



Utah Lake Water Quality Study  
*Proposed Stakeholder Process*  
*Response to Comments*

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
1	4	Provo City	Scientific study should establish numeric criteria that are practically attainable and reasonably feasible.	DWQ agrees with this comment. The process of developing a nutrient standard for Utah Lake will consider feasibility and attainability in the implementation plans associated with any new standards. The water quality model under development of by the University of Utah will be capable of assessing a variety of scenarios to determine the level of effort needed to attain the recommended criteria. The charter document was edited to reflect this comment.	8
2	4	Provo City	A Use Attainability Analysis may be a more appropriate approach, however, if the site specific criterion includes an evaluation of attainability, then that approach may be broadly accepted.	The Steering Committee and Science Panel should consider the extent that natural conditions contribute to nutrient dynamics in Utah Lake through scientific study and water quality modeling. While Use Attainability Analysis is not applicable to the standards development process, the process of developing a water quality standard for Utah Lake will allow for characterization of in-lake water quality in response to a variety of watershed loading scenarios. Development of these scenarios will help inform the determination of whether or not standards are attainable and achievable.	NA
3	4	Provo City	Recommendation to add an additional 3 to 4 representatives from Utah County cities to adequately represent local responsibility for implementing	DWQ recognizes the importance of having strong local representation throughout the stakeholder process while acknowledging the roles and responsibilities of other key stakeholders. While the potential cost of meeting nutrient criteria is a critical element to consider, the purpose of the Utah Lake	8

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
			any recommended nutrient criteria.	Water Quality Study is to determine what is required to support the lake’s beneficial uses. Equitable representation is required not only for buy-in from cities but from all of the lake’s vested interests. Additional comments received also highlight the importance of allowing locally elected officials to determine municipal representation on the Steering Committee. Therefore, the proposed revision includes an additional position for local city, county, or other desired municipal representation and broadening the scope of the steering committee positions to include locally elected officials or their designees.	
4	4	Provo City	Prohibition of Utah Lake researchers does not guarantee the absence of bias or ensure scientific independence and may exclude valuable expertise from the Science Panel. A recommendation was made to narrowly limit the prohibition and to minimize, avoid, or balance Science Panel bias.	The provision excluding Utah Lake researchers from the Science Panel was proposed by Utah Lake stakeholders to ensure an independent and unbiased scientific process where Science Panel recommendations would not be influenced by various interest groups. The charter document recognizes that these researchers will have valuable input during the process and specifically allows for their participation in all Science Panel meetings to discuss issues of concern and significant findings. Version 8 of the document was edited to allow for Utah Lake researchers to serve on the Science Panel. The Steering Committee will be charged with formulation of the Science Panel charter and membership, however, DWQ maintains that Steering Committee members and active researchers not be eligible to participate on the Science Panel.	8
5	4	Provo City	What is the status of completion of Phase I?	<p>DWQ is currently assembling and characterizing available datasets for the Phase 1 water quality study. DWQ anticipates presenting the draft Phase 1 report of the Utah Lake Water Quality Study to the Science Panel for review once completed in the summer of 2017.</p> <p>While the Phase 1 product will serve as the foundation for Phase 2 study, the proposed stakeholder revision does not exclusively apply to future work completed in Phase 2. The proposed stakeholder process will also be used to complete Phase 1. The document text was edited to make this clarification.</p>	8

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
6	4	Provo City	To demonstrate DWQ's commitment to a transparent process, add language that the DWQ final approval of the Steering Committee and Science Panel charter and composition will be done in consultation the Utah Lake Commission.	Page 1 paragraph 5 sentences 2 was edited to include the Utah Lake Commission in the approval process of the Steering Committee and Science Panel. The text is as follows: "DWQ will provide final review and approval of the composition of the Steering Committee and its charter with input from the Utah Lake Commission and other stakeholders." The Science Panel charter and membership will be finalized by the Steering Committee with confirmation by DWQ.	8
7	4	Provo City	The current language implies the Governing Board has no option other than to "endorse" the Steering Committee recommendations. "Input" may be a better term.	This statement was edited to allow for Commission. Additionally, The Executive Director of the Commission will co-chair the Steering Committee with the Division of Water Quality and will provide representation for the Governing Board including review and comment on Steering Committee and Science Panel products and decisions. The Commission will receive recommendations from the Steering Committee and provide its own formal recommendations to DWQ.	8
8	4	Provo City	What is meant by "independent peer review?"	The Science Panel is charged with developing a peer review process. DWQ believes the Science Panel can serve as the peer review body for this study, with exception to circumstances where external review is required to minimize bias and conflicts of interest.	8
9	4	Provo City	The co-chairs of the Steering Committee should be identified by title, with the current names in parentheses. Are the co-chairs anticipated to be voting members of the Committee?	Co-chairs of the Steering Committee, or their designees, will have one vote each.	NA
10	4	Provo City	How will the Science Panel chairperson be established?	Page 10 Paragraph 1 was edited to allow for the Science Panel to nominate and select the panel chair. "The Science Panel members will nominate and select one of its members to serve as the panel chair."	8
11	4	DNR	The proposed process is a well thought out approach.	None.	NA

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
12	4	DNR	DWQ may consider representation from NRCS on either the Science Panel or Steering Committee for input on non-point sources and planning for Farm Bill projects.	The Steering Committee membership was edited to include a local agricultural representative. DWQ believes the Conservation Districts or Conservation Commission Zone 3 will best represent local agricultural interests.	8
13	4	January 18, 2017 ULC Technical Committee meeting verbal comment	Provide additional Steering Committee representation for POTWs, Utah County municipality interests, and local agricultural interests.	See response to comments #3 and #12. The Utah Conservation Commission Zone 3 coordinator was added to the Steering Committee membership as a potential representative for local agricultural interests and a Utah County affiliate was added to represent stormwater issues.	8
14	4	January 18, 2017 ULC Technical Committee meeting verbal comment	The final charter documents should be presented to the Utah Lake Commission Governing board for final approval in form of a formal resolution	The Utah Lake Commission Governing Board will have the opportunity to endorse the Steering Committee and Science Panel charter by adopting a formal resolution.	8
15	4	January 18, 2017 ULC Technical Committee meeting verbal comment	Comment on providing sufficient representation for local municipal interests.	See response to comment # 3.	8
16	4	Provo City	Recommendation of “3 to 4 additional municipal positions” to the Steering Committee to include administrative staff positions (PW directors, division directors, district managers, and elected officials)	See response to comment #3.	8

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
17	4	Provo City	Recommendation to include MAG staff representative	Local municipal and County interests will be represented on the Steering Committee through the three proposed municipal and county positions presented in Version 8. Including the specific communities in proximity to Utah Lake will best represent local municipal interests	8
18	4	Provo City	Proposed Steering Committee representation can be interpreted as “stacking the deck” of state officials against Utah County cities.	In the final version of the charter, the number of state agency representatives is limited to 2 (one for DNR and one for DWQ). Utah County has two representatives (one for public health and one for stormwater). Additional local municipalities have three representatives in addition to one representative from the POTW community.	10
19	4	Provo City	The State Engineers office would better represent broader water rights issues rather than individual downstream users	The “Agriculture/water rights/ water users” stakeholder interest presented in Table 1 is intended to represent agricultural and secondary water users downstream of Utah Lake. The State Engineer is beholden to the individual water rights holder, so it is they that more appropriately on the Steering Committee	NA
20	4	UDAF	The Steering Committee makeup appears to favor communities, governmental entities, and special interest groups and does not include adequate representation from the agricultural community	With the exception of two positions for the POTW community, the Steering Committee composition generally includes one representative from all of the active management agencies and significant stakeholder interests. The proposed agricultural steering committee stakeholder interest will provide equal representation to the Steering Committee as compared to other represented interests.	NA
21	4	UDAF	UDAF proposes a 7 voting-member Steering Committee consisting of one representative from each of the following: Utah Lake Water Users, Conservation Districts, Recreation/ Environmental groups, Utah County Health Department, POTW, local community, and irrigation. The Commission and DWQ would co-chair the	DWQ recognizes the challenges associated with the size of the proposed Steering Committee. However, we believe the membership of the Steering Committee must be sufficient to provide representation for the many highly diverse and unique stakeholder interests involved in management of and uses associated with the Lake. The composition of the Steering Committee as proposed allows for these interests to be involved in the decision making process related to water quality criteria development.	NA

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
			committee as non-voting members.		
22	5	February 15, 2017 ULC Technical Committee meeting verbal comment	Technical Committee comment recommending to clearly state that DWQ will forward Commission and Steering Committee recommendations directly to the Water Quality Board unaltered	A text clarification was made to demonstrate that DWQ will forward recommendations from the Commission and Steering Committee directly to the Water Quality Board without alteration. DWQ will also prepare a formal recommendation to the Water Quality Board.	8
23	8	Neal Winterton, Jeff Salt, John Adams, Chris Cline, Theron Miller	Multiple commenters stated that one of the “municipal” stakeholder interest positions on the Steering Committee be changed to a Publically Owned Treatment Works (POTW) interest.	The Steering Committee composition was adjusted to reinstate the POTW stakeholder interest position originally proposed in Version 4. Version 9 includes 3 municipal representatives and 1 POTW representative on the Steering Committee.	9
24	8	Rich Mickelsen	The Utah Lake Commission Technical Committee should serve as the Steering Committee in order to comply with the Commission bylaws.	DWQ has statutory responsibility for developing water quality standards to protect the designated uses of Utah Lake and therefore is commissioning the Utah Lake Water Quality Study to develop any necessary water quality criteria. DWQ is formulating the proposed Steering Committee with input from the Utah Lake Commission Governing Board, Utah Lake Commission Technical Committee, and the Executive Director of the Utah Lake Commission, but retains the authority to approve the Committee Charter and membership. While some proposed members of the Steering Committee may also be members of the Commission, the Steering Committee and the study it will direct are under the authority of the Division of Water Quality. Further, not all stakeholder groups are represented by the Utah Lake Commission Technical Committee.	NA
25	8	Jeff Salt	An angler representative should be added to the Steering Committee	Thank you for this recommendation. The Steering Committee as proposed includes one position to represent all Utah Lake recreational interests collectively. The selected nominee and their alternate are expected to represent these collective interests rather	NA

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
				than individual recreational activities.	
26	8	Jay Olsen, Daniel Gunnell	The proposed Steering Committee affiliation does not fairly represent agricultural interests. An additional agriculture seat should be added to the Steering Committee	See response to comment #20.	NA
27	8	Jay Olsen	Including three municipal representatives on the Steering Committee is not necessary since the Utah Lake Commission has the majority of representation on the Committee.	The three municipal Steering Committee positions are provided to ensure representation for the diversity of interests present within the communities surrounding Utah Lake. The Utah Lake Commission will facilitate selection of these representatives. DWQ encourages the Commission to select communities that provide representation for this broad range of interests. Additionally, comments received from other municipal, recreational, and resource management stakeholder interests express agreement with the inclusion of the three municipal representatives.	NA
28	8	Theron Miller	Municipal leaders can adequately represent public health issues so a specific public health stakeholder interest is not necessary	While municipal leaders have regard for the health of their citizens, the Utah County Health Department, as a proposed member of the Steering Committee, has the statutory responsibility and necessary technical expertise to adequately represent the complex interaction between water quality conditions and the potential for associated human health risks. The Utah County Health Department will be retained as a member of the Steering Committee.	NA
29	8	Jay Olsen	All nonpoint source contributors to Utah Lake need equal representation on the Steering Committee	See response to comment #20.	NA
30	8	Jeff Salt	A Steering Committee position should be added to include representation from a special service district.	The Steering Committee composition was adjusted to reinstate the POTW stakeholder interest position originally proposed in Version 4. The affiliation of this position may be a district or a municipality.	9
31	8	Jeff Salt	A representative from a grass roots organization should be added to the Steering	The "conservation and environment" stakeholder interest on the Steering Committee is intended to represent grass root interests.	NA

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
			Committee		
32	8	Theron Miller	Science Panel members should be vetted by the Steering Committee.	The Steering Committee is charged with establishing the Science Panel charter and membership and will have the opportunity to vet its membership.	9
33	8	Theron Miller	The charter language prohibiting current researchers from participating in the Science Panel has the potential to exclude many qualified Science Panel candidates	Version 9 of the charter document was edited to remove the explicit prohibition of research contractors from participating on the Science Panel. A text clarification was also added to explicitly state that Science Panel members are expected to be independent and unbiased members of the scientific community with demonstrated expertise in their respective field.	9
34	8	Jay Olsen	Science Panel members should be from the local scientific community with experience studying Utah Lake to increase scientific understanding of relevant issues and to minimize travel expenses.	DWQ agrees that local scientists should have an opportunity to serve on the Science Panel to provide expertise unique to Utah Lake. Nevertheless, the charter document provides the flexibility to include out-of-state scientists to fill expertise gaps as needed.	NA
35	8	Neal Winterton and Theron Miller	The Science Panel should have the flexibility to conduct peer review internally or externally at discretion to address conflicts of interest.	Version 8 of the Science Panel charter charges the Science Panel with developing an appropriate peer review process. A text clarification was made to Version 9 to explicitly state that the Science Panel may employ an internal or external peer review process, as warranted.	9
36	8	Dan Potts	Expressed agreement with the proposed process		NA
37	8	Dan Potts	Expressed interest in representing angler issues on the Steering Committee	DWQ will seek nominations from all interested stakeholders for participation on the Steering Committee.	NA
38	8	David Richards	The charter document incorrectly states that wildlife is a designated use of Utah Lake. The document should be edited to clearly state the designated use is aquatic life.	The text was clarified to accurately present Utah Lake's designated uses.	9



Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
39	8	David Richards	There seem to be some misunderstanding and misgivings about not letting research contractors participate on the Science Panel. This will exclude highly qualified scientists with experience with Utah Lake issues. Clarification is needed to understand the specifics of who is excluded.	See response to comment #33.	9
40	8	David Richards	Table 1 does not explicitly include a member affiliate to represent aquatic life, a designated use on Utah Lake.	The proposed Steering Committee composition includes a “Wildlife” position that is proposed to be filled by representative from the US Fish and Wildlife service. The intent of this position is to provide representation for the endangered June Sucker as well as for health and sustainability of other fish species in Utah Lake. The stakeholder interest column for this position was edited to read “fish and wildlife” to more accurately reflect the intent of this position. Also worth noting, as a member of the Steering Committee and the agency responsible for protection of the aquatic life designated use, DWQ will further provide representation for protection of the aquatic life and the other designated uses for the lake.	9
41	8	David Richards	Science Panel expertise should include an invertebrate scientist. Aquatic ecology/fisheries management should be two separate groups because of the difference in expertise between the two groups.	The Steering Committee is charged with finalizing the Science Panel charter and membership, including a determination of the relevant scientific disciplines necessary for the Utah Lake Study. Table 2 and the associated text are provided as a guideline or recommendation and do not reflect the final composition of the Science Panel.	NA
42	8	Timpanogos Special Service District	There should be representation on the Steering Committee from both elected officials and the POTW community, not either or. The two POTW representatives	Version 9 of the document was edited to add one position to represent POTW interests on the Steering Committee while retaining three municipal representatives. The municipalities represented on the Steering Committee are at liberty to select their POTW staff to represent their interests.	9

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
			presented in Version 4 should be reinstated.		
43	8	Timpanogos Special Service District	TSSD is concerned with DWQ's rapid change of course from an adaptive management approach, to a TMDL study, and then to quickly addressing algae blooms. DWQ should instead take a little slower pace to do the science right. TSSD is also concerned about DWQ's apparent lack of accountability wherein they give no assurance that the potential expenditure of \$100s of millions spent on nutrient control will result in major improvements to the lake.	Development of site-specific standards has been a cornerstone of Utah's nutrient strategy since the inception of Utah's Nutrient Strategy. The Technology Based Phosphorus Effluent Limit was implemented to "hold the line" on phosphorus loading statewide to provide the time necessary to develop nutrient standards on a site-specific basis. DWQ has selected Utah Lake as the first water body in which to develop site-specific standards because it is one of few remaining waters with nutrient related impairments that has not undergone a complete TMDL process and because of the massive harmful algal bloom of 2016. The cost of implementing any new criteria will be considered in the implementation phase of the project. The purpose of the Utah Lake Study is to determine what, if any, nutrient criteria are necessary to protect the designated uses of the lake.	NA
44	8	Timpanogos Special Service District	The Science Panel needs to be balanced and unbiased.	DWQ agrees with this comment. Version 9 of the charter addresses this with the following language: "All members of the Science Panel will be unbiased, independent scientists with demonstrated expertise in their respective field of study. Science Panel members must be currently active members of their assigned area of expertise as demonstrated by recent peer-reviewed publications, presentations at scientific meetings, and/or recent experience using applied sciences to manage Utah Lake resources. No member of the Science Panel may be a member of the Steering Committee"	9
45	8	UDAF -Daniel Gunnel	The Timp-Nebo Conservation District would like representation from the Timp-Nebo and Alpine CDs, the Springville, West Field, Lake Shore, Genola, and Provo Irrigation Companies, the	See response to comment #20.	NA

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
			Benjamin and Palmyra Drainage Districts, and the Spanish for River Water Commissioner added to the Steering Committee membership.		
46	9	ULC Governing Board	A recommendation was made to prescribe an attendance expectation for Steering Committee and Science Panel members and to develop a process for replacing inactive members.	The text of the Steering Committee composition section was edited to state that Steering Committee representatives, or their designated alternate, are expected to attend all Steering Committee meetings.	10
47	9	Salt Lake City Department of Public Utilities	The statement “No member of the Steering Committee can be a research contractor working on this project” was deleted. However, it is essential to ensure that Steering Committee members will be separate and independent of the Science Panel to ensure conflicts of interest do not occur between Steering Committee and Science Panel members.	To ensure that Steering Committee members solely represent their respective stakeholder interests and not the interest of a particular scientific question or finding, version 10 states the following: “no member of the Steering Committee may be a member of the Science Panel or a research contractor working on this project.”	10
48	9	Utah Department of Agriculture and Food (UDAF)	UDAF would like to be included as a member of the Steering Committee to facilitate the development and implementation of scientifically sound recommendations for Utah Lake with the local agricultural community.	Version 4 of the Proposed Stakeholder Approach identified UDAF as a potential affiliate to represent agricultural stakeholder interests. Based on a conversation with UDAF and verbal comment from the Utah Lake Commission, an edit made in version 8 changed the UDAF representative to the Conservation Commission Zone 3. This recommendation was made to allow for the local agricultural community to represent agricultural interests. DWQ will allow the agricultural community as a whole to select the representative that most effectively represents their stakeholder interest. The affiliation for the agricultural stakeholder interest was amended to	10

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
				include all potential levels of interest. The affiliation now states “Utah Conservation Commission Zone 3, Utah Department of Agriculture and Food, or local agricultural interest.” The Steering Committee composition was not adjusted to include a singular dedicated stakeholder position for UDAF.	
49	9	Utah Lake Water Users Association	DWQ should consider adding a water rights position on the Steering Committee	Table 1 of the Steering Committee charter document includes the water rights stakeholder interest with the “agriculture/water rights/water users” stakeholder interest. The representative, proposed to be affiliated with the Utah Lake Water Users Association is charged with representing the included stakeholder interests.	NA
50	9	Utah Lake Water Users Association	Edit Science Panel member duties on Page 9 to recognize both upstream and downstream waterbodies, instead of only downstream waterbodies as presented in Version 9.	The text was edited to include both upstream and downstream waterbodies.	10
51	9	Utah Lake Water Users Association	Edit the Science Panel membership table and associated text so that the disciplines presented are consistent between each other.	The Science Panel membership description and associated table were edited to be consistent with each other.	10
52	9	Utah Lake Water Users Association	Is it possible or practical for a subgroup of Science Panel members to submit a joint minority opinion if needed.	Yes. A text clarification as made to express this concept.	10
53	9	Wasatch Conservation District	Since most projects aimed at improving water quality will be implemented on agricultural land, the Steering Committee should include multiple representatives from the agricultural community.	See response to comment #20.	NA

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
54	9	Wasatch Conservation District	While public recreation and aquatic wildlife are important aspects of Utah Lake, focusing on them will not improve water quality.	While these stakeholder interests will not be responsible for implementing any necessary water quality improvement projects, along with agricultural water use, they represent one of the designated uses for Utah Lake. The expressed purpose of the Steering Committee and Science Panel is to develop water quality criteria that are protective of all designated uses and to sustain the natural resources of the lake. Therefore, DWQ believes that these are stakeholder interests that are important to the Utah Lake water quality study.	10
55	9	Friends of Great Salt Lake	Friends of Great Salt Lake supports the proposed Version 9 as presented, which includes 3 Municipal, 1 recreation, and 1 conservation and environment Steering Committee positions.		NA
56	9	Western Resource Advocates	Western Resource Advocates supports the proposed Version 9 as presented, which includes 3 Municipal, 1 recreation, and 1 conservation and environment Steering Committee positions.		NA
57	9	Great Salt Lake Keeper	Steering Committee recommendations should be forwarded to the Water Quality Board unaltered by the Commission, DWQ, and the Water Quality Standards Work Group. Figure 1 and associated text should be clarified to so that recommendations are not altered by these groups.	The text of the charter document indicates that Steering Committee recommendations be forwarded unaltered to the Commission, DWQ, and the Water Quality Board. Figure 1 is intended to demonstrate the accumulation of interactions between all groups including the Steering Committee, Science Panel, Commission, and the Water Quality Board. Figure 2 provides additional detail related to the flow of the stakeholder process, scientific study, and recommendations from each entity. The process for recommendations was edited to demonstrate that recommendations from the Steering Committee will be forwarded independently, and unaltered, to the Commission and the Water Quality Board.	10

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
58	9	Great Salt Lake Keeper	DWQ should not have the opportunity to alter the Science Panel membership and charter established by the Steering Committee. A selection committee should be established to select Science Panel members.	DWQ has statutory responsibility for developing water quality standards to protect the designated uses of Utah Lake and therefore is commissioning the Utah Lake Water Quality Study to develop any necessary water quality criteria. As a key stakeholder and the agency responsible for developing the proposed process and adopting the study recommendations, it is important that DWQ maintain a leadership role throughout the process.	NA
59	9	Great Salt Lake Keeper	The Steering Committee membership is weighted in favor of the Utah Lake Commission. Commission members should be reduced to one to two representatives.	See response to comment #27.	NA
60	9	Great Salt Lake Keeper	A grass roots organization should be assigned as the Steering Committee Chair.	See response to comment #58.	NA
61	9	Great Salt Lake Keeper	Government agencies should be reduced so that each level of government has one Steering Committee seat.	See response to comment #21.	NA
62	9	Great Salt Lake Keeper	The Science Panel charter should be edited so that recommendations can be forwarded to the WQB unaltered by the Steering Committee, Commission, and DWQ.	The Science Panel is charged with developing scientifically defensible information to be considered by the Steering Committee while developing any necessary water quality criteria. Criteria development is a process by which scientific information is incorporated into policy. While it is important that any policy decision be built on the foundation of defensible science, it is not necessary for the Water Quality Board to review the unsynthesized results from scientific study. It is the responsibility of the Steering Committee to responsibly incorporate the scientific findings of the Science Panel into a policy recommendation, which is then considered by the WQB.	NA
63	9	Great Salt Lake Keeper	Steering Committee composition should be changed	See response to comment #21.	NA

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

Comment #	Document Version #	Commenter	Comment	Response	Version # containing Revision
			to reduce the number of total members to 13, add additional positions to represent a variety of recreation groups, and reduce the number of government and Commission positions.		
64	9	Utah Anglers Coalition	Steering Committee recommendations should be forwarded to the Water Quality Board unaltered by the Commission, DWQ, and the Water Quality Standards Work Group. Figure 1 and associated text should be clarified to so that recommendations are not altered by these groups.	See response to comment # 56.	NA
65	9	Utah Anglers Coalition	DWQ should not have the opportunity to alter the Science Panel membership and charter established by the Steering Committee. A selection committee should be established to select Science Panel members.	DWQ has statutory responsibility for developing water quality standards to protect the designated uses of Utah Lake and therefore is maintaining a key leadership role throughout the process. The Steering Committee is charged with developing the Science Panel charter and membership, but DWQ retains the authority to approve these components. DWQ is ultimately responsible for Science Panel makeup and deliverables,	NA
66	9	Utah Anglers Coalition	The Steering Committee membership is weighted in favor of the Utah Lake Commission. Commission members should be reduced to one to two representatives.	See response to comment #58.	NA
67	9	Utah Anglers Coalition	A grass roots organization should be assigned as the Steering Committee Chair.	See response to comment #27.	NA

Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

<b>Comment #</b>	<b>Document Version #</b>	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Version # containing Revision</b>
68	9	Utah Anglers Coalition	Government agencies should be reduced so that each level of government has one Steering Committee seat.	See response to comment #58.	NA
69	9	Utah Anglers Coalition	The Science Panel charter should be edited so that recommendations can be forwarded to the WQB unaltered by the Steering Committee, Commission, and DWQ.	See response to comment #21.	NA
70	9	Utah Anglers Coalition	Steering Committee composition should be changed to reduce the number of total members to 13, add additional positions to represent a variety of recreation groups, and reduce the number of government and Commission positions.	See response to comment # 62.	NA
71	9	Salt Lake County Fish and Game Association	Steering Committee recommendations should be forwarded to the Water Quality Board unaltered by the Commission, DWQ, and the Water Quality Standards Work Group. Figure 1 and associated text should be clarified to so that recommendations are not altered by these groups.	See response to comment # 56.	NA
72	9	Salt Lake County Fish and Game Association	DWQ should not have the opportunity to alter the Science Panel membership and charter established by the Steering Committee. A selection	See response to comment #58.	NA



Utah Lake Water Quality Study Proposed Stakeholder Process: Response to Comments

<b>Comment #</b>	<b>Document Version #</b>	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>	<b>Version # containing Revision</b>
			committee should be established to select Science Panel members.		
73	9	Salt Lake County Fish and Game Association	The Steering Committee membership is weighted in favor of the Utah Lake Commission. Commission members should be reduced to one to two representatives.	See response to comment #58.	NA
74	9	Salt Lake County Fish and Game Association	A grass roots organization should be assigned as the Steering Committee Chair.	See response to comment #27.	NA
75	9	Salt Lake County Fish and Game Association	Government agencies should be reduced so that each level of government has one Steering Committee seat.	See response to comment #58.	NA
76	9	Salt Lake County Fish and Game Association	The Science Panel charter should be edited so that recommendations can be forwarded to the WQB unaltered by the Steering Committee, Commission, and DWQ.	See response to comment #21.	NA
77	9	Salt Lake County Fish and Game Association	Steering Committee composition should be changed to reduce the number of total members to 13, add additional positions to represent a variety of recreation groups, and reduce the number of government and Commission positions.	See response to comment # 62.	NA