Utah Division of Water Quality Statement of Basis ADDENDUM Wasteload Analysis and Antidegradation Level I Review

Date:

March 26, 2018

Prepared by:

Dave Wham

Standards and Technical Services

Facility:

Stansbury Park WWTP UPDES No. UT 0025241

Receiving water:

Ditch=>Wetland=>Saline Playa

This addendum summarizes the wasteload analysis that was performed to determine water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL) for this discharge. Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses (UAC R317-2-8). Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

Discharge

001 & 002

Combined plant discharge

1.5 MGD

Receiving Water

Stansbury Park's WWTP discharges into a constructed ditch that flows for approximately 1.3 miles before reaching a wetland area which transitions into a saline playa. As per UAC R317-2-13.10, the receiving ditch is classed 2B, 3E. As per r317-2-13.13, the transitional wetland was presumptively classified 2B, 3D.

- Class 2B Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- Class 3D Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- Class 3E- Severely habitat-limited waters. Narrative standards will be applied to protect these waters for aquatic wildlife.

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Stansbury Park WWTP UPDES No. UT 0025241

Typically, the critical flow for the wasteload analysis is considered the lowest stream flow for seven consecutive days with a ten year return frequency (7Q10). Because the receiving water is a seasonally dry ditch (prior to discharge), the 7Q10 is assumed to be zero and effluent limits revert to end of pipe water quality standards.

Receiving water quality data was not available. Data inputs for temperature, pH, TDS and hardness were based on effluent water quality data. Limits for total residual chlorine and ammonia were calculated by considering modeled conditions where the flow enters the 3D classified wetlands and are protective of the use at that point.

TMDL

The receiving water is not listed as impaired according to the Utah's 2016 303(d) assessment.

Mixing Zone

The maximum allowable mixing zone is 15 minutes of travel time for acute conditions, not to exceed 50% of stream width, and 2,500 feet for chronic conditions, per UAC R317-2-5. Water quality standards must be met at the end of the mixing zone. In this case, because the 7Q10 was assumed to be zero, no mixing zone was considered.

Parameters of Concern

The potential parameters of concern identified for the discharge/receiving water were total ammonia and total residual chlorine.

WET Limits

The percent of effluent in the receiving water in a fully mixed condition, and acute and chronic dilution in a not fully mixed condition are calculated in the WLA in order to generate WET limits. The LC₅₀ (lethal concentration, 50%) percent effluent for acute toxicity and the IC₂₅ (inhibition concentration, 25%) percent effluent for chronic toxicity, as determined by the WET test, needs to be below the WET limits, as determined by the WLA. The WET limit for LC₅₀ is typically 100% effluent and does not need to be determined by the WLA.

IC25 WET limits for Outfall 001 100% effluent.

Wasteload Allocation Methods

Effluent limits were determined for conservative constituents using a simple mass balance mixing analysis (UDWQ 2012). The mass balance analysis is summarized in the Wasteload Addendum.

The water quality standard for chronic ammonia toxicity is dependent on temperature and pH, and the water quality standard for acute ammonia toxicity is dependent on pH. The AMMTOX Model developed by University of Colorado and adapted by Utah DWQ and EPA Region VIII was used to determine ammonia effluent limits (Lewis et al. 2002). The analysis is summarized in the Wasteload Addendum.

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Stansbury Park WWTP UPDES No. UT 0025241

Models and supporting documentation are available for review upon request.

Antidegradation Level I Review

The objective of the Level I ADR is to ensure the protection of existing uses, defined as the beneficial uses attained in the receiving water on or after November 28, 1975. No evidence is known that the existing uses deviate from the designated beneficial uses for the receiving water. Therefore, the beneficial uses will be protected if the discharge remains below the WQBELs presented in this wasteload.

A Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR) is not required for this facility. The proposed permit is a simple renewal of an existing UPDES permit. No increase in flow or concentration of pollutants over those authorized in the the existing permit is being requested.

Documents:

WLA Document: StansburyPark WLADoc 3-26-18.docx

Wasteload Analysis and Addendums: StansburyPark_WLA_3-26-18

References:

Utah Division of Water Quality. 2012. Utah Wasteload Analysis Procedures Version 1.0.

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA] **Addendum: Statement of Basis**

SUMMARY

Discharging Facility: Stansbury Park WWTP

UPDES No:

UT-0025241

Design Flow

1.50 MGD

Receiving Water: Ditch>Wetland>Playa

Stream Classification:

2B, 3D, 3E

Stream Flows [cfs]:

0.00 Summer (July-Sept)

20th Percentile

0.00 Fall (Oct-Dec)

20th Percentile

0.00 Winter (Jan-Mar)

20th Percentile

0.00 Spring (Apr-June)

20th Percentile

1.0 Average

Stream TDS Values:

400.0 Summer (July-Sept)

Average Average

400.0 Fall (Oct-Dec)

Average

400.0 Winter (Jan-Mar) 400.0 Spring (Apr-June)

Average

Effluent Limits:

WQ Standard:

Flow, MGD:

1.50 MGD

Design Flow

BOD, mg/l:

25.0 Summer

5.0 Indicator

Dissolved Oxygen, mg/l

3.0 Summer

5.0 30 Day Average

TNH3, Chronic, mg/l: TDS, mg/l:

N/A

5.4 Summer Summer Varies Function of pH and Temperature 0.0 Site Specific

Modeling Parameters:

Acute River Width:

50.0%

Chronic River Width:

100.0%

Level 1 Antidegradation Level Completed: Amended Level II Review NOT required.

Date:

3/27/2019

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA]
Addendum: Statement of Basis

27-Mar-19

UPDES No: UT-0025241

Facilities:

Stansbury Park WWTP

Discharging to:

Ditch>Wetland>Playa 1.50 MGD

Design Flow

1. Introduction

Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses [R317-2-8, UAC]. Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The anti-degradation policy and procedures are also considered. The primary in-stream parameters of concern may include metals (as a function of hardness), total dissolved solids (TDS), total residual chlorine (TRC), un-ionized ammonia (as a function of pH and temperature, measured and evaluated interms of total ammonia), and dissolved oxygen.

Mathematical water quality modeling is employed to determine stream quality response to point source discharges. Models aid in the effort of anticipating stream quality at future effluent flows at critical environmental conditions (e.g., low stream flow, high temperature, high pH, etc).

The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may always be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

II. Receiving Water and Stream Classification

Ditch>Wetland>Playa:

2B, 3D, 3E

Antidegradation Review:

Level I review completed. Amended Level II review NOT requ

III. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Aquatic Wildlife

Total Ammonia (TNH3)

Varies as a function of Temperature and pH Rebound. See Water Quality Standards

Chronic Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)

0.011 mg/l (4 Day Average) 0.019 mg/l (1 Hour Average)

Chronic Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

5.00 mg/l (30 Day Average) N/A mg/l (7Day Average) 3.00 mg/l (1 Day Average

Maximum Total Dissolved Solids

N/A mg/l

3ackground

Acute and Chronic Heavy Metals (Dissolved)

	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
Parameter	Concentration	Load*	Concentration	•	Load*
Aluminum	51100 d.g	1.090 lbs/day	750.00	ug/l	9.399 lbs/day
Arsenic	190.00 ug/l	2.381 lbs/day	340.00	ug/l	4.261 lbs/day
Cadmium	0.76 ug/l	0.009 lbs/day	8.73	ug/l	0.109 lbs/day
Chromium III	268.22 ug/l	3.361 lbs/day	5611.60	ug/l	70.323 lbs/day
ChromiumVI	11.00 ug/l	0.138 lbs/day	16.00	ug/l	0.201 lbs/day
Copper	30.50 ug/l	0.382 lbs/day	51.68	ug/l	0.648 lbs/day
Iron			1000.00	ug/l	12.532 lbs/day
Lead	18.58 ug/l	0.233 lbs/day	476.81	ug/l	5.975 lbs/day
Mercury	0.0120 ug/l	0.000 lbs/day	2.40	ug/l	0.030 lbs/day
Nickel	168.54 ug/l	2.112 lbs/day	1515.89	ug/l	18.997 lbs/day
Selenium	4.60 ug/l	0.058 lbs/day	20.00	ug/l	0.251 lbs/day
Silver	N/A ug/l	N/A lbs/day	41.07	ug/l	0.515 lbs/day
Zinc	387.82 ug/l	4.860 lbs/day	387.82	ug/l	4.860 lbs/day
* Allov	ved below discharge				

^{**}Chronic Aluminum standard applies only to waters with a pH < 7.0 and a Hardness < 50 mg/l as CaCO3

Metals Standards Based upon a Hardness of 399.99 mg/l as CaCO3

Organics [Pesticides]

	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard				
Parameter	Concen	tration	Loa	d*	Concentratio	n	Load*
Aldrin					1.500	ug/l	0.019 lbs/day
Chlordane	0.004	ug/l	0.054	lbs/day	1.200	ug/l	0.015 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	0.001	ug/l	0.013	lbs/day	0.550	ug/l	0.007 lbs/day
Dieldrin	0.002	ug/l	0.024	lbs/day	1.250	ug/l	0.016 lbs/day
Endosulfan	0.056	ug/l	0.700	lbs/day	0.110	ug/l	0.001 lbs/day
Endrin	0.002	ug/l	0.029	lbs/day	0.090	ug/l	0.001 lbs/day
Guthion					0.010	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Heptachlor	0.004	ug/l	0.048	lbs/day	0.260	ug/l	0.003 lbs/day
Lindane	0.080	ug/l	1.001	lbs/day	1.000	ug/l	0.013 lbs/day
Methoxychlor					0.030	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Mirex					0.010	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Parathion					0.040	ug/l	0.001 lbs/day
PCB's	0.014	ug/l	0.175	lbs/day	2.000	ug/l	0.025 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	13.00	ug/l	162.604	lbs/day	20.000	ug/l	0.251 lbs/day
Toxephene	0.0002	ug/l	0.003	lbs/day	0.7300	ug/l	0.009 lbs/day

IV. Numeric Stream	ı Standards	for Protection	of Agriculture
--------------------	-------------	----------------	----------------

	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration	Load*	
Arsenic			ug/l	lbs/day	
Boron			ug/l	lbs/day	
Cadmium			ug/l	lbs/day	
Chromium			ug/l	lbs/day	
Copper			ug/l	lbs/day	
Lead			ug/l	lbs/day	
Selenium			ug/l	lbs/day	
TDS, Summer			mg/l	tons/day	

V. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Human Health (Class 1C Waters)

4	Day Average (Chronic) S	1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
Metals	Concentration	Load*	Concentration	Load*
Arsenic			ug/l	lbs/day
Barium			ug/l	lbs/day
Cadmium			ug/l	lbs/day
Chromium			ug/l	lbs/day
Lead			ug/l	lbs/day
Mercury			ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium			ug/l	lbs/day
Silver	74		ug/l	lbs/day
Fluoride (3)			ug/l	lbs/day
to			ug/l	lbs/day
Nitrates as N			ug/l	lbs/day
Chlorophenoxy Herbicio	des			
2,4-D			ug/l	lbs/day
2,4,5-TP			ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin			ug/l	lbs/day
ocyclohexane (Lindane)			ug/l	lbs/day
Methoxychlor			ug/l	lbs/day
Toxaphene			ug/l	lbs/day

VI. Numeric Stream Standards the Protection of Human Health from Water & Fish Consumption [Toxics]

Maximum Conc., ug/I - Acute Standards

	Class 1C	,	Class 3A, 3B		
Toxic Organics	[2 Liters/Day for 70 Kg F	erson over 70 Yr.]	[6.5 g for 70 Kg Perso	on over 70 Yr.]	
Acenaphthene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
Acrolein	- ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
Acrylonitrile	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
Benzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
Benzidine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
Chlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene					
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day	

1,1,1-Trichloroethane				
Hexachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/i	ibs/day	ugn	ibs/day
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethai	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chloroethane	ugn	103/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	1/5
p-Chloro-m-cresol	ugn	ibs/day	ug/l	lbs/day lbs/day
Chloroform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	199
2-Chlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day		lbs/day
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethyle	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Fluoranthene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ugn	los/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether				
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) e	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) met	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Methylene chloride (HM	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Methyl bromide (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Bromoform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Hexachlorobutadiene(c)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadi	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Isophorone	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Naphthalene	-3.	1507 day	ugn	ib3/day
Nitrobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylami	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
. Sitta citto priorior	wg//	105/day	ugn	iboruay

Phenol	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthala	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthlate				
Diethyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Dimethyl phthlate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (P/	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day	. ug/l	lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Acenaphthylene (PAH)		,	3	
Anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Toluene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Trichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Vinyl chloride	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
,.	~ 5 ··	120/44	ug/i	lbs/day
Pesticides				lbs/day
Aldrin	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Dieldrin	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlordane	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDT	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDE	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDD	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
alpha-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day		lbs/day
beta-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	-
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day lbs/day
Endrin aldehyde	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	
Heptachlor	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Heptachlor epoxide	ug/i	ibs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
rieptacilioi epoxide				
PCB's				
PCB 1242 (Arochlor 124	ug/l	lbs/day	ua/l	lbo/dov
PCB-1254 (Arochlor 125	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1221 (Arochlor 122	—·	-	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1231 (Arochlor 12)	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1232 (Arochlor 124	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1246 (Arochlor 124 PCB-1260 (Arochlor 124	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
•	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1016 (Arochlor 10 ⁻	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Pesticide	m 5:			
Toxaphene	ua/l		ua/l	lha/da
ι ολαμπεπε	ug/l		ug/l	lbs/day
Dioxin				
Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	ug/l	lbs/day		
DIOXIII (2,0,1,0 1000)	ug/i	ibs/day		

Metals				
Antimony	ug/l	lbs/day		
Arsenic	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Asbestos	ug/l	lbs/day		•
Beryllium				
Cadmium				
Chromium (III)				
Chromium (VI)	(3)			
Copper				
Cyanide	ug/l	lbs/day	ug/l	lbs/day
Lead	ug/l	lbs/day		•
Mercury	-	-	ug/l	lbs/day
Nickel			ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium	ug/l	lbs/day		•
Silver	ug/l	lbs/day		
Thallium	-	-	ug/l	lbs/day
Zinc				

There are additional standards that apply to this receiving water, but were not considered in this modeling/waste load allocation analysis.

VII. Mathematical Modeling of Stream Quality

Model configuration was accomplished utilizing standard modeling procedures. Data points were plotted and coefficients adjusted as required to match observed data as closely as possible.

The modeling approach used in this analysis included one or a combination of the following models.

- (1) The Utah River Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992. Based upon STREAMDO IV (Region VIII) and Supplemental Ammonia Toxicity Models; EPA Region VIII, Sept. 1990 and QUAL2E (EPA, Athens, GA).
- (2) Utah Ammonia/Chlorine Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992.
- (3) AMMTOX Model, University of Colorado, Center of Limnology, and EPA Region 8
- (4) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al. Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

Coefficients used in the model were based, in part, upon the following references:

(1) Rates, Constants, and Kinetics Formulations in Surface Water Quality Modeling. Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens Georgia. EPA/600/3-85/040 June 1985.

(2) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al. Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

VIII. Modeling Information

The required information for the model may include the following information for both the upstream conditions at low flow and the effluent conditions:

Flow, Q, (cfs or MGD)

D.O. mg/l

Temperature, Deg. C.

Total Residual Chlorine (TRC), mg/l

рН

Total NH3-N, mg/l

BOD5, mg/l

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), mg/l

Metals, ug/l

Toxic Organics of Concern, ug/l

Other Conditions

In addition to the upstream and effluent conditions, the models require a variety of physical and biological coefficients and other technical information. In the process of actually establishing the permit limits for an effluent, values are used based upon the available data, model calibration, literature values, site visits and best professional judgement.

Model Inputs

The following is upstream and discharge information that was utilized as inputs for the analysis. Dry washes are considered to have an upstream flow equal to the flow of the discharge.

Current Upstream Information

Ju	Calli
Critical	Low

	Critical Low							
	Flow	Temp.	рН	T-NH3	BOD5	DO	TRC	TDS
	cfs	Deg. C		mg/l as N	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l
Summer (Irrig. Season)	0.00	20.0	7.6	0.00	0.10	11.35	0.00	400.0
Fall	0.00	15.0	7.6	0.00	0.10		0.00	400.0
Winter	0.00	12.0	7.5	0.00	0.10		0.00	400.0
Spring	0.00	18.0	7.6	0.00	0.10		0.00	400.0
Dissolved	Al	As	Cd	Crlll	CrVI	Copper	Fe	Pb
Metals	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l
All Seasons	2.385*	0.795*	0.0795*	0.795*	3.975*	0.8*	1.25*	0.795*
Dissolved	Hg	Ni	Se	Ag	Zn	Boron		
Metals	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l		
All Seasons	0.159*	0.795*	1.59*	0.15*	0.0795*	1.59*	*~	80% MDL

Projected Discharge Information

Season	Flow, MGD	Temp.
Summer	1.50000	15.6
Fall	1.50000	15.6
Winter	1.50000	15.6
Spring	1.50000	15.6

All model numerical inputs, intermediate calculations, outputs and graphs are available for discussion, inspection and copy at the Division of Water Quality.

IX. Effluent Limitations

Current State water quality standards are required to be met under a variety of conditions including in-stream flows targeted to the 7-day, 10-year low flow (R317-2-9).

Other conditions used in the modeling effort coincide with the environmental conditions expected at low stream flows

Effluent Limitation for Flow based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments will be met with an effluent flow maximum value as follows:

Season	Daily Average	Э
Summer	1.500 MGD	2.321 cfs
Fall	1.500 MGD	2.321 cfs
Winter	1.500 MGD	2.321 cfs
Spring	1.500 MGD	2.321 cfs

Flow Requirement or Loading Requirement

The calculations in this wasteload analysis utilize the maximum effluent discharge flow of 1.5 MGD. If the discharger is allowed to have a flow greater than 1.5 MGD during 7Q10 conditions, and effluent limit concentrations as indicated, then water quality standards will be violated. In order to prevent this from occuring, the permit writers must include the discharge flow limititation as indicated above; or, include loading effluent limits in the permit.

Effluent Limitation for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) based upon WET Policy

Effluent Toxicity will not occur in downstream segements if the values below are met.

WET Requirements	LC50 >	100.0% Effluent	[Acute]
	IC25 >	100.0% Effluent	[Chronic]

Effluent Limitation for Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) based upon Water Quality Standards or Regulations

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent BOD limitation as follows:

Season	Concentration	
Summer	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	312.7 lbs/day
Fall	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	312.7 lbs/day
Winter	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	312.7 lbs/day
Spring	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	312.7 lbs/day

Effluent Limitation for Dissolved Oxygen (DO) based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent D.O. limitation as follows:

Concentration
3.00
3.00
3.00
3.00

Effluent Limitation for Total Ammonia based upon Water Quality Standards

Season

Spring

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Ammonia will be met with an effluent limitation (expressed as Total Ammonia as N) as follows:

4 Day Avg. - Chronic

1 Hour Avg. - Acute

	Concentr	ation	Load	t
Summer	4 Day Avg Chronic	5.4 mg/l as N	66.9	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	28.2 mg/l as N	352.8	lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg Chronic	5.4 mg/l as N	67.0	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	27.6 mg/l as N	345.2	lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg Chronic	5.4 mg/l as N	67.5	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	28.2 mg/l as N	352.8	lbs/day

Acute limit calculated with an Acute Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID) to be equal to 100.%.

5.4 mg/l as N

27.6 mg/l as N

67.0

345.2

lbs/day

lbs/day

Effluent Limitation for Total Residual Chlorine based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Residual Chlorine will be met with an effluent limitation as follows:

Seas	on	Concentra	ation	Load	!
Summer	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.630	mg/l	7.88	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	1.100	mg/l	13.76	lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.630	mg/l	7.88	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	1.100	mg/l	13.76	lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.630	mg/l	7.88	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	1.100	mg/l	13.76	lbs/day
Spring	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.630	mg/l	7.88	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	1.100	mg/l	13.76	lbs/day

Effluent Limitations for Total Dissolved Solids based upon Water Quality Standards

Seas	on	C	oncentr	ation	Load	ł
Summer Fall Winter Spring	Maximum, Acute Maximum, Acute Maximum, Acute 4 Day Avg Chronic		N/A N/A N/A N/A	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	N/A N/A N/A N/A	tons/day tons/day tons/day tons/day
Colorado Sa	alinity Forum Limits	D	etermine	ed by Permit	ting Section	

Effluent Limitations for Total Recoverable Metals based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Metals will be met with an effluent limitation as follows (based upon a hardness of 399.99 mg/l):

		4 Day Average		1 Hour	Average	
	Concen	tration	Load	Concentration		Load
Aluminum*	N/A		N/A	750.0	ug/l	9.4 lbs/day
Arsenic*	190.01	ug/l	1.5 lbs/day	340.0	ug/l	4.3 lbs/day
Cadmium	0.76	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	8.7	ug/l	0.1 lbs/day
Chromium III	268.23	ug/l	2.2 lbs/day	5,611.8	ug/l	70.3 lbs/day
Chromium VI*	11.00	ug/l	0.1 lbs/day	16.0	ug/l	0.2 lbs/day
Copper	30.50	ug/l	0.2 lbs/day	51.7	ug/l	0.6 lbs/day
Iron*	N/A		N/A	2,320.6	ug/l	29.1 lbs/day
Lead	18.58	ug/l	0.2 lbs/day	476.8	ug/l	6.0 lbs/day
Mercury*	0.01	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	2.4	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day
Nickel	168.55	ug/l	1.4 lbs/day	1,516.0	ug/l	19.0 lbs/day
Selenium*	4.60	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	20.0	ug/l	0.3 lbs/day
Silver	N/A	ug/l	N/A lbs/day	41.1	ug/l	0.5 lbs/day

Zinc	387.84 ug/l	3.1 lbs/day	387.8	ug/l	4.9 lbs/day
Cyanide*	5.20 ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	22.0	ug/l	0.3 lbs/day

^{*}Limits for these metals are based on the dissolved standard.

Effluent Limitations for Heat/Temperature based upon Water Quality Standards

Summer	24.0 Deg. C.	75.2 Deg. F
Fall	19.0 Deg. C.	66.2 Deg. F
Winter	16.0 Deg. C.	60.8 Deg. F
Spring	22.0 Deg. C.	71.6 Deg. F

Effluent Limitations for Organics [Pesticides] Based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Organics [Pesticides] will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	4 Day Ave	erage	1 Hour A	verage	
	Concentration	Load	Concentration		Load
Aldrin			1.5E+00	ug/l	2.91E-02 lbs/day
Chlordane	4.30E-03 ug/l	5.38E-02 lbs/day	1.2E+00	ug/l	2.33E-02 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	1.00E-03 ug/l	1.25E-02 lbs/day	5.5E-01	ug/l	1.07E-02 lbs/day
Dieldrin	1.90E-03 ug/l	2.38E-02 lbs/day	1.3E+00	ug/l	2.42E-02 lbs/day
Endosulfan	5.60E-02 ug/l	7.00E-01 lbs/day	1.1E-01	ug/l	2.13E-03 lbs/day
Endrin	2.30E-03 ug/l	2.88E-02 lbs/day	9.0E-02	ug/l	1.74E-03 lbs/day
Guthion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	1.94E-04 lbs/day
Heptachlor	3.80E-03 ug/l	4.75E-02 lbs/day	2.6E-01	ug/l	5.04E-03 lbs/day
Lindane	8.00E-02 ug/l	1.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E+00	ug/l	1.94E-02 lbs/day
Methoxychlor	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	3.0E-02	ug/l	5.82E-04 lbs/day
Mirex	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	1.94E-04 lbs/day
Parathion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	4.0E-02	ug/l	7.75E-04 lbs/day
PCB's	1.40E-02 ug/l	1.75E-01 lbs/day	2.0E+00	ug/l	3.88E-02 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	1.30E+01 ug/l	1.63E+02 lbs/day	2.0E+01	ug/l	3.88E-01 lbs/day
Toxephene	2.00E-04 ug/l	2.50E-03 lbs/day	7.3E-01	ug/l	1.42E-02 lbs/day

Effluent Targets for Pollution Indicators Based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Pollution Indicators will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	1 Hour Average		
	Concentration	Loading	
Gross Beta (pCi/l)	50.0 pCi/L		
BOD (mg/l)	5.0 mg/l	62.7 lbs/day	
Nitrates as N	4.0 mg/l	50.1 lbs/day	
Total Phosphorus as P	0.05 mg/l	0.6 lbs/day	
Total Suspended Solids	90.0 mg/l	1127.9 lbs/day	

Note: Pollution indicator targets are for information purposes only.

Effluent Limitations for Protection of Human Health [Toxics Rule] Based upon Water Quality Standards (Most stringent of 1C or 3A & 3B as appropriate.)

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Protection of Human Health [Toxics] will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

ciliaciti ilitili as follows.				
	Maximum Co	Maximum Concentration		
	Concentration	Load		
Toxic Organics				
Acenaphthene	ug/l	lbs/day		
Acrolein	ug/l	lbs/day		
Acrylonitrile	ug/l	lbs/day		
Benzene	ug/l	lbs/day		
Benzidine	ug/l	lbs/day		
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/l	lbs/day		
Chlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene				
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day		
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane				
Hexachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day		
1,1-Dichloroethane				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day		
Chloroethane				
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day		
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether				
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/l	lbs/day		
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day		
p-Chloro-m-cresol				
Chloroform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day		
2-Chlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day		

1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	· ug/l	lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene1		
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/l	lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/l	lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	ug/l	lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
Fluoranthene	ug/l	lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether		•
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane		•
Methylene chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)		•
Methyl bromide (HM)		
Bromoform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane(HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ug/l	lbs/day
Isophorone	ug/l	lbs/day
Naphthalene	3,1	
Nitrobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol	- <u> </u>	1.00, 44,
4-Nitrophenol		
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/l	lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	ug/l	lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day
Phenoi	ug/l	lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthlate	ug/i	103/44
Diethyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day
Dimethyl phthlate	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)		
Acenaphthylene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day
Anthracene (PAH)		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbe/dev
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (PAH)	_	lbs/day
macho(1,2,0-od)pyrene (FAII)	ug/l	lbs/day

Pyrene (PAH) Tetrachloroethylene Toluene Trichloroethylene Vinyl chloride	ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	lbs/day lbs/day lbs/day lbs/day
Vinyl chloride Pesticides	ug/l	lbs/day
Aldrin	ug/l	lbs/day
Dieldrin	ug/l	lbs/day
Chlordane	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDT	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDE	ug/l	lbs/day
4,4'-DDD	ug/l	lbs/day
alpha-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day
beta-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day
Endrin aldehyde	ug/l	lbs/day
Heptachlor	ug/l	lbs/day
Heptachlor epoxide		
PCB's		
PCB 1242 (Arochlor 1242)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1254 (Arochlor 1254)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1221 (Arochlor 1221)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1232 (Arochlor 1232)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1248 (Arochlor 1248)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1260 (Arochlor 1260)	ug/l	lbs/day
PCB-1016 (Arochlor 1016)	ug/l	lbs/day
Pesticide		
Toxaphene	ug/l	lbs/day
Metals		
Antimony	ug/l	lbs/day
Arsenic	ug/l	lbs/day
Asbestos	ug/l	lbs/day
Beryllium Cadmium		
Chromium (III)		
Chromium (VI)		
Copper	ua/l	lba/day
Cyanide	ug/l	lbs/day
Lead	ug/l	lbs/day
Mercury	ug/l	lbs/day
Nickel	ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium	ug/i	ibarday
Silver		
Thallium	ug/l	lbs/day
Zinc	~ <i>a</i> ,,	ibo/day

Dioxin

Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)

#N/A ug/l

#N/A lbs/day

Metals Effluent Limitations for Protection of All Beneficial Uses Based upon Water Quality Standards and Toxics Rule

		Class 3	Acute Toxics				Class 3
	Class 4	Acute	Drinking	Acute	1C Acute	Acute	Chronic
	Acute	Aquatic	Water	Toxics	Health	Most	Aquatic
	Agricultural ug/l	Wildlife ug/l	Source ug/l	Wildlife ug/l	Criteria ug/l	Stringent ug/l	Wildlife ug/l
Aluminum	ug/.	750.0	ug,.	ugn	ug	750.0	N/A
Antimony				4300.2		4300.2	
Arsenic		340.0				340.0	190.0
Barium							
Beryllium	28						
Cadmium		8.7				8.7	0.8
Chromium (III)		5611.8				5611.8	268.2
Chromium (VI)		16.0				16.00	11.00
Copper		51.7				51.7	30.5
Cyanide		22.0	220009.5			22.0	5.2
Iron		2320.6				2320.6	
Lead		476.8				476.8	18.6
Mercury		2.40		0.15		0.15	0.012
Nickel		1516.0		4600.2		1516.0	168.5
Selenium		20.0				20.0	4.6
Silver		41.1				41.1	
Thallium				6.3		6.3	
Zinc		387.8				387.8	387.8
Boron							
Sulfate	N/A					N/A	

Summary Effluent Limitations for Metals [Wasteload Allocation, TMDL]

[If Acute is more stringent than Chronic, then the Chronic takes on the Acute value.]

	WLA Acute ug/l	WLA Chronic ug/l
Aluminum	750.0	N/A
Antimony	4300.19	
Arsenic	340.0	190.0
Asbestos		
Barium		
Beryllium		
Cadmium	8.7	0.8
Chromium (III)	5611.8	268
Chromium (VI)	16.0	11.0
Copper	51.7	30.5

Cyanide	22.0	5.2
Iron	2320.6	
Lead	476.8	18.6
Mercury	0.150	0.012
Nickel	1516.0	169
Selenium	20.0	4.6
Silver	41.1	N/A
Thallium	6.3	
Zinc	387.8	387.8
Boron		
Sulfate	N/A	

N/A at this Waterbody

Other Effluent Limitations are based upon R317-1.

E. coli

126.0 organisms per 100 ml

X. Antidegradation Considerations

The Utah Antidegradation Policy allows for degradation of existing quality where it is determined that such lowering of water quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are protected [R317-2-3]. It has been determined that certain chemical parameters introduced by this discharge will cause an increase of the concentration of said parameters in the receiving waters. Under no conditions will the increase in concentration be allowed to interfere with existing instream water uses.

An Antidegradation Level I Review was conducted on this discharge and its effect on the receiving water. Based upon that review, it has been determined that an Antidegradation Level II Review is required because the receiving water for the discharge is a Class 1C Drinking Water Source.

Discharges in the Colorado River Basin are required to have their discharge at a TDS loading of less than 1.00 tons/day unless certain exemptions apply. Refer to the Forum's Guidelines

The mathematical modeling and best professional judgement indicate that violations of receiving water beneficial uses with their associated water quality standards, including important downstream segments, will not occur for the evaluated parameters of concern as discussed above if the effluent limitations indicated above are met.