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Receiving water: Dix Creek (2B, 3D)

This addendum summarizes the wasteload analysis that was performed to determine water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL) for this discharge. Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses (UAC R317-2-8). Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

Discharge
Outfall 001: Drainage Ditch → Dix Creek → First Salt Creek → Harold S. Crane Waterfowl Management Area and Willard Spur of Great Salt Lake

The maximum daily design discharge is 0.9 MGD and the maximum monthly design discharge is 0.6 MGD for the facility.

Receiving Water
The receiving water for Outfall 001 is an unnamed drainage ditch, which is tributary to Dix Creek, which drains to First Salt Creek, Harold S. Crane Waterfowl Management Area, and Willard Spur of the Great Salt Lake. None of the receiving waters downstream of the lagoons appear to be used for agricultural purposes.

Per UAC R317-2-13.10, the presumptive designated beneficial uses for the drainage ditch are 2B and 3E.

- **Class 2B - Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.**

- **Class 3E - Severely habitat-limited waters. Narrative standards will be applied to protect these waters for aquatic wildlife.**
Per UAC R317-2-13.13, the presumptive designated beneficial uses for Dix Creek are 2B and 3D.

- **Class 3D** - Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

Typically, the critical flow for the wasteload analysis is considered the lowest stream flow for seven consecutive days with a ten year return frequency (7Q10). Due to a lack of flow records for Dix Creek, a flow measurement was taken on December 20, 2013 to estimate annual critical flow in the receiving water (Table 1). No flow records were found for the drainage ditch and it was assumed the ditch has no flow during critical conditions.

**Table 1: Annual critical low flow**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Flow (cfs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drainage Ditch above WWTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dix Creek water quality was characterized based on one sampling event conducted on December 20, 2013.

**TMDL**
The receiving water and downstream waterbodies are not listed as impaired for any parameters according to the 2016 303(d) list.

**Mixing Zone**
The maximum allowable mixing zone is 15 minutes of travel time for acute conditions, not to exceed 50% of stream width, and 2,500 feet for chronic conditions, per UAC R317-2-5. Water quality standards must be met at the end of the mixing zone.

The actual length of the mixing zone was not determined; however, it was presumed to remain within the maximum allowable mixing zone dimensions. Acute limits were calculated using 50% of the seasonal critical low flow.

**Parameters of Concern**
The potential parameters of concern identified for the discharge/receiving water were total suspended solids (TSS), dissolved oxygen (DO), BOD₅, total phosphorus (TP), total nitrogen (TN), total ammonia (TAN), E. coli, pH, and total residual chlorine (TRC) as determined in consultation with the UPDES Permit Writer.
WET Limits
The percent of effluent in the receiving water in a fully mixed condition, and acute and chronic dilution in a not fully mixed condition are calculated in the WLA in order to generate WET limits. The LC$_{50}$ (lethal concentration, 50%) percent effluent for acute toxicity and the IC$_{25}$ (inhibition concentration, 25%) percent effluent for chronic toxicity, as determined by the WET test, needs to be below the WET limits, as determined by the WLA. The WET limit for LC$_{50}$ is typically 100% effluent and does not need to be determined by the WLA.

Table 2: WET Limits for IC$_{25}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Percent Effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effluent Limits
Effluent limits were determined using a mass balance mixing analysis (UDWQ 2012). The mass balance mixing analysis is summarized in Appendix A.

The water quality standard for chronic ammonia toxicity is dependent on temperature and pH, and the water quality standard for acute ammonia toxicity is dependent on pH. The water quality standards for ammonia are summarized in Appendix B.

The limits for total residual chlorine were determined assuming a decay rate of 20 /day (at 20 °C) and a travel time in the unnamed ditch of 260 minutes (3,900 linear feet at 0.25 foot per second velocity) prior to discharge to Dix Creek. The analysis for TRC is summarized in Appendix C.

Due to lack of monitoring data, it was not possible to assess the effects of TP, TN, DO and BOD$_5$ in the effluent on the DO in the downstream receiving waters; it is presumed that previous permit limits for these constituents, if applicable, would be sufficiently protective of the receiving water.

Table 3: Water Quality Based Effluent Limits Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effluent Constituent</th>
<th>Acute Standard</th>
<th>Acute Limit</th>
<th>Averaging Period</th>
<th>Chronic Standard</th>
<th>Chronic Limit</th>
<th>Averaging Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flow (MGD)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD$_5$ (mg/L)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO Minimum (mg/L)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>Instantaneous</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia (mg/L)$^1$</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer (Jul-Sep)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall (Oct-Dec)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (Jan-Mar)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring (Apr-Jun)</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer (Jul-Sep)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall (Oct-Dec)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (Jan-Mar)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring (Apr-Jun)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Models and supporting documentation are available for review upon request.
Antidegradation Level I Review
The objective of the Level I ADR is to ensure the protection of existing uses, defined as the 
beneficial uses attained in the receiving water on or after November 28, 1975. No evidence is 
known that the existing uses deviate from the designated beneficial uses for the receiving water. 
Therefore, the beneficial uses will be protected if the discharge remains below the WQBELs 
presented in this wasteload.

A Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR) is not required for this discharge since the pollutant 
concentration and load is not increasing under this permit renewal.

Documents:
WLA Document: plain_city_potw_wla_2020.docx
Wasteload Analysis: plain_city_potw_wla_2020.xlsm
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