

**FACT SHEET AND STATEMENT OF BASIS
MONTICELLO CITY WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT
RENEWAL PERMIT: DISCHARGE & REUSE
UPDES PERMIT NUMBER: UT0024503MINOR MUNICIPAL**

FACILITY CONTACTS

Person Name: Timothy Young
Position: City Manager

Person Name: Nathan Langston
Position: Public Works Director
Phone Number: (435) 587-2271

Person Name: George Rice
Position: Water Reclamation Operator
Phone Number: (435) 587-2271

Facility Name: Monticello City Wastewater Treatment Plant
Mailing and Facility Address: P.O. Box 457
Monticello, Utah 84535
Telephone: (435) 587-2271

DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY

The Monticello Wastewater Treatment Facility (MWTF) was designed to store the effluent during the non-irrigation months and use the water for irrigation during the cultivating season. The MWTF is a 38.5 acre, 5 cell, non-aerated, lagoon system, with the first 4 cells having a water depth from 3 to 6 feet and the final cell (winter storage pond) having a maximum water depth of 12 feet. The first two cells of the facility are run in parallel, and the remaining cells are run in series. The average design flow is 0.32 MGD, and the design population equivalent is 3,000. The city's population is estimated to be about 2,300 people. The facility is located approximately two miles southeast of downtown Monticello in San Juan County, Utah. Designed as total containment lagoons, the facility has only had to discharge to waters of the State in years where the precipitation was higher than normal and the irrigation demand was less than expected. MWTF does not anticipate discharging in the next five years. If water needs to be discharged, it is discharged into Montezuma Creek through an outfall located at latitude 38°51'30" and longitude 109°18'30", outfall STORET Number 495382.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS PERMIT

Stream Classification:

Stream Classification has been re-examined, and changed from Class 2B to Class 2A; see section below for more details on this classification.

TRC:

The total residual chlorine limit (TRC) is based on the acute TRC water quality standard at end-of-pipe, and is retained from the previous permit. This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved TRC methods. The Division has determined the current acceptable ML to be .06 mg/L and the method detection limit (MDL) to be 0.02 mg/L when using the DPD colorimetric Method #4500 – CL G. Measured values greater than or equal to the ML of .06 mg/l will be considered violations of the permit, and values less than the ML of .06 mg/l will be considered to be in compliance with the permit. For purposes of calculating averages and reporting on the Discharge Monitoring Report form, the following will apply:

- 1) analytical values less than 0.02 mg/L shall be considered zero; and
- 2) analytical values less than .06 mg/L and equal to or greater than .02 mg/L will be recorded as measured

TBPEL Rule:

Water Quality adopted UAC R317-1-3.3, Technology-Based Phosphorus Effluent Limit (TBPEL) Rule in 2014. No TBPEL will be instituted for discharging treatment lagoons. Instead, each discharging lagoon will be evaluated to determine the current annual average total phosphorus load measured in pounds per year based on monthly average flow rates and concentrations. Absent field data to determine these loads, and in case of intermittent discharging lagoons, the phosphorus load cap will be estimated by the Director. A cap of 125% of the current annual total phosphorus load will be established and referred to as phosphorus loading cap. Once the lagoon's phosphorus loading cap has been reached, the owner of the facility will have five years to construct treatment processes or implement treatment alternatives to prevent the total phosphorus loading cap from being exceeded. The load cap shall become effective July 1, 2018.

The TBPEL discharging treatment works are required to implement, at a minimum, monthly monitoring of the following beginning July 1, 2015:

- | | |
|----------------------|---|
| R317-1-3.3, E, 1, a. | Influent for total phosphorus (as P) and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N) concentrations; |
| R317-1-3.3, E, 1, b. | Effluent for total phosphorus and orthophosphate (as P), ammonia, nitrate-nitrite and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (an N); |

In R317-1-3.3, E, 3 the rule states that all monitoring shall be based on 24-hour composite samples by use of an automatic sampler or a minimum of four grab samples collected a minimum of two hours apart.

The phosphorus annual loading cap is defined as

"Annual Loading Cap" is the highest allowable phosphorus loading discharged over a calendar year, calculated as the sum of all the monthly loading discharges measured during a calendar year divided by the number of monthly discharges measured during that year.

The reported monthly loading is calculated as shown here;

$$\text{Monthyl Mass Loading, } \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{Month}} = (\text{Ave Flow}) * (\text{Ave Concetration}) * \left(8.34 \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{gal}}\right) * \left(\frac{\text{Days Discharged}}{\text{Month}}\right)$$

The annual total phosphorus loading

$$\text{Annual Mass Loading, lbs} = \text{Sum} \left(\text{Monthyl Mass Loading, } \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{Month}} \right)$$

MWTF only discharged twice during the last permit cycle, and not once during the previous. Due to a lack of data, MWTF has not been given an Annual Loading Cap. If the discharge frequency increases significantly, this will be readdressed.

DISCHARGE

DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE

MWTF has been reporting self-monitoring results on Discharge Monitoring Reports on a monthly basis. MWTF is designed to be a total reuse facility, only discharged when needed. MWTF discharged for 2 days, in 2017, during previous permit cycle.

<u>Outfall</u>	<u>Description of Discharge Point</u>
001	Located at latitude 38°51'30" and longitude 109°18'30". The discharge enters Montezuma Creek from a ten-inch concrete pipe approximately 1/4 mile south of the lagoons.
<u>Outfall</u>	<u>Description of Reuse Water Discharge Point</u>
001R	Located at latitude 37°51'31" and longitude 109°18'15". Discharge to an agricultural area 3/4 a mile west of the facility.

RECEIVING WATERS AND STREAM CLASSIFICATION

If a discharge were to occur, it would discharge into Montezuma Creek, which is a Class 1C, 2A, 3B and 4 according to *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13*:

- Class 1C -- Protected for domestic purposes with prior treatment by treatment processes as required by the Utah Division of Drinking Water
- Class 2A -- Protected for frequent primary contact recreation where there is a high likelihood of ingestion of water or a high degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, swimming, rafting, kayaking, diving, and water skiing.
- Class 3B -- Protected for warm water species of game fish and other warm water aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

Class 4 -- Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

BASIS FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Limitations on total suspended solids (TSS), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD5) fecal and total coliforms, and pH are based on current Utah Secondary Treatment Standards, UAC R317-1-3.2. Total residual chlorine (TRC), ammonia as (N) and dissolved oxygen (DO) limits are water quality limited and based on the WLA. The WLA (see ADDENDUM) also indicates that these limitations should be sufficiently protective of water quality, in order to meet State water quality standards in the receiving waters. Since the MWTF is in the Colorado River drainage, the MWTF must also conform to the Colorado River Salinity Control Forum Policy that states that the effluent shall not exceed the culinary intake water supply by more than 400 mg/L total dissolved solids (TDS). The permittee is expected to be able to comply with the limitations.

The Wasteload Analysis indicates that seasonal ammonia limits in the range of 13.8 mg/L – 53.4 mg/L should be applied (see ADDENDUM), however, since these limits are substantially higher than what is reasonably expected in the discharge, there will be no effluent limitations or monitoring requirements for this parameter. If discharges were to occur, and higher limits reported, this will be revisited.

Reasonable Potential Analysis

Since January 1, 2016, DWQ has conducted reasonable potential analysis (RP) on all new and renewal applications received after that date. RP for this permit renewal was conducted following DWQ's September 10, 2015 Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance (RP Guidance). There are four outcomes defined in the RP Guidance: Outcome A, B, C, or D. These Outcomes provide a frame work for what routine monitoring or effluent limitations are required. Due to limited data reported from previous permit cycle, RP was not run on current parameters.

The permit limitations are:

Parameter	Effluent Limitations *a				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow	--	--	--	--	0.32
BOD ₅ , mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
BOD ₅ Min. % Removal	85	--	--	--	--
TSS, mg/L	25	35	--	--	--
TSS Min. % Removal	85	--	--	--	--
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	--	--	--	4.0	--
TRC, mg/L	--	--	--	--	0.075
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	157	--	--	--
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.5	9
TDS, mg/L *h	--	--	--	--	--

The permit limitations for Outfall 001R (Reuse) are:

Parameter	Type II Reuse Outfall 001R Effluent Limitations *a				
	Max Monthly Average	Max Weekly Median	Max Daily Average	Minimum	Maximum
BOD ₅	25	--	--	--	--

TSS	25	35	--	-	--
<i>E. coli</i> , No/100mL *o	--	126	--	--	500
pH, Standard Units	--	--	--	6.0	9.0

SELF-MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following self-monitoring requirements are not the same as in the previous permit; this permit includes an oil and grease parameter. The permit will require reports to be submitted monthly and annually, as applicable, on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms due 28 days after the end of the monitoring period. Effective January 1, 2017, monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception. Lab sheets for biomonitoring must be attached to the biomonitoring DMR. Lab sheets for metals and toxic organics must be attached to the DMRs.

Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements *a			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow *b, *c	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD ₅ , Influent *d	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TSS, Influent *d	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
<i>E. coli</i>	Monthly	Grab	No./100mL
pH	Monthly	Grab	SU
Total Ammonia (as N)	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
DO	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TRC, mg/L, *e, *g	Daily	Grab	mg/L
Oil & Grease *f	When Sheen Observed	Grab	mg/L
Orthophosphate (as P), *i			
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Phosphorus (as P), *j, *i			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen			
TKN (as N), *i, *j			
Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrate, NO ₃ *i, *j	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrite, NO ₂ *i, *j	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
TDS, mg/L *h	Monthly	Composite	mg/L

The following is a summary of the Type II reuse self-monitoring and reporting requirements.

Type II Reuse Outfall 001R Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements *a *k			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow, *b, *c	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD ₅	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TSS	Monthly	Grab	mg/L

<i>E. coli</i>	Monthly	Grab	No./100mL
pH	Monthly	Grab	SU

- *a See Definitions, *Part VIII*, for definition of terms.
- *b Flow measurements of influent/effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained.
- *c If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported.
- *d In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge.
- *e Analytical results less than 0.06 mg/l will not be considered out of compliance with the permit. For purposes of calculating averages and reporting on the Discharge Monitoring Report form, the following will apply:
 - 1) analytical values less than 0.02 mg/L shall be considered zero; and
 - 2) analytical values less than 0.06 mg/L and equal to or greater than 0.02 mg/L will be recorded as measured.
- *f Oil & Grease sampled when sheen is present or visible. If no sheen is present or visible, report NA.
- *g Total residual chlorine monitoring frequency is daily. The TRC limits are low enough to require analysis in the onsite lab which is open only 6 days a week. Frequency reduction will remove a requirement that the lab be opened for a 7th day.
- *h The effluent shall not exceed the culinary source water intake by more than 400 mg/L of TDS (*****or the permittee could request 1 ton/day salt loading, or 366 tons/year*****).
- *i These reflect changes required with the adoption of UCA R317-1-3.3, Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent Limits rule.
- *j The Pollutants Of Concern (POC) will be monitored and reported (on a monthly basis by the facility on Discharge Monitoring Report, but will not have a limit associated with them /or at the end of each Calendar year of sampling for these POC's), (Permittee) will report the results of all sampling done for the POC. If (Permittee) decides to sample more frequently for these POC's, the additional data will be welcome.
- *k Reuse monitoring results obtained during the previous month for reuse discharges shall be summarized for each month and reported on a Monthly Operational Report, post-marked no later than the 28th day of the month following the completed reporting period.

Management Practices for Land Application of Treated Effluent:

- (1) The application of treated effluent to frozen, ice-covered, or snow covered land is prohibited.
- (2) No person shall apply treated effluent where the slope of the site exceeds 6 percent.
- (3) The use should not result in a surface water runoff.

- (4) The use must not result in the creation of an unhealthy or nuisance condition, as determined by the local health department.
- (5) Any irrigation with treated effluent must be at least 300 feet from a potable well.
- (6) For Type I reuse, any irrigation must be at least 50 feet from any potable water well.
- (7) For Type II reuse, any irrigation must be at least 300 feet from any potable water well.
- (8) For Type II reuse, spray irrigation must be at least 100 feet from areas intended for public access. This distance may be reduced or increased by the Director.
- (9) Impoundments of treated effluent, if not sealed, must be at least 500 feet from any potable well.
- (10) Public access to effluent storage and irrigation or disposal sites shall be restricted by a stock-tight fence or other comparable means which shall be posted and controlled to exclude the public (Compliance Schedule for a Particular Parameter if necessary)

BIOSOLIDS

The State of Utah has adopted the 40 CFR 503 federal regulations for the disposal of sewage sludge (biosolids) by reference. However, since this facility is a lagoon, there is not any regular sludge production. Therefore 40 CFR 503 does not apply at this time. In the future, if the sludge needs to be removed from the lagoons and is disposed in some way, the Division of Water Quality must be contacted prior to the removal of the sludge to ensure that all applicable state and federal regulations are met

STORM WATER

Separate storm water permits may be required based on the types of activities occurring on site.

Permit coverage under the Construction General Storm Water Permit (CGP) is required for any construction at the facility which disturb an acre or more, or is part of a common plan of development or sale that is an acre or greater. A Notice of Intent (NOI) is required to obtain a construction storm water permit prior to the period of construction.

Information on storm water permit requirements can be found at <http://stormwater.utah.gov>

PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS

The permittee has not been designated for pretreatment program development because it does not meet conditions that necessitate a full program. The flow through the plant is less than five (5) MGD and there is no indication of pass through or interference with the operation of the treatment facility such as upsets or violations of permit limits for the UPDES Permit. Although the permittee does not have to develop an approved pretreatment program, any wastewater discharges to the sanitary sewer are subject to Federal, State and local regulations. Pursuant to Section 307 of the Clean Water Act, the permittee shall comply with all applicable Federal General Pretreatment Regulations promulgated, found in 40 CFR 403 and the State Pretreatment Requirements found in UAC R317-8-8.

An industrial waste survey (IWS) is required of the permittee as stated in Part II of the permit. The IWS

is to assess the needs of the permittee regarding pretreatment assistance. The IWS is required to be submitted within sixty (60) days after the issuance of the permit. If an Industrial User begins to discharge or an existing Industrial User changes their discharge the permittee must resubmit an IWS no later than sixty days following the introduction or change as stated in Part II of the permit.

Sampling for metals and toxic organic chemicals are not required for the pretreatment requirements in Part II of the permit. At this time local limits have not been and are not required to be developed by the permittee. Although the permittee is required to submit any local limits that are developed for review and approval by the Division of Water Quality prior to the implementation of the local limits. If local limits are developed it is required that the permittee perform an annual evaluation of the need to revise or develop technically based local limits for pollutants of concern, to implement the general and specific prohibitions 40 CFR, Part 403.5(a) and Part 403.5(b). This evaluation may indicate that present local limits are sufficiently protective, need to be revised or should be developed.

BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS

A nationwide effort to control toxic discharges where effluent toxicity is an existing or potential concern is regulated in accordance with the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit and Enforcement Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity Control (biomonitoring), dated February 2018. Authority to require effluent biomonitoring is provided in Permit Conditions, UAC R317-8-4.2, Permit Provisions, UAC R317-8-5.3 and Water Quality Standards, UAC R317-2-5 and R317 -2-7.2.

The permittee is a minor municipal facility that will be discharging an infrequent amount of effluent, in which toxicity is neither an existing concern, nor likely to be present. As such, there will be no numerical WET limitations or WET monitoring requirements in this permit. However, the permit will contain a toxicity limitation re-opener provision that allows for modification of the permit should additional information indicate the presence of toxicity in the discharge.

PERMIT DURATION

It is recommended that this permit be effective for a duration of five (5) years.

Drafted by
Danielle Lenz, Discharge, Reasonable Potential Analysis
Daniel Griffin, Biosolids
Jennifer Robinson, Pretreatment
Lonnie Shull, Biomonitoring
Lisa Stevens, Storm Water
Suzan Tahir, Wasteload Analysis
Utah Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300

PUBLIC NOTICE

Began: September 14, 2020

Ended: October 14, 2020

Comments will be received at: 195 North 1950 West
 PO Box 144870
 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

The Public Noticed of the draft permit was published on the DEQ webpage.

During the public comment period provided under R317-8-6.5, any interested person may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing, if no hearing has already been scheduled. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. All comments will be considered in making the final decision and shall be answered as provided in R317-8-6.12.

ADDENDUM TO FSSOB

During finalization of the Permit certain dates, spelling edits and minor language corrections were completed. Due to the nature of these changes they were not considered Major and the permit is not required to be re Public Noticed.

Responsiveness Summary

There were no public comments received during the public notice period.

DWQ-2020-015854

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

ATTACHMENT 1

Industrial Waste Survey

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Industrial Pretreatment Wastewater Survey



Do you periodically experience any of the following treatment works problems:

- foam, floaties or unusual colors
- plugged collection lines caused by grease, sand, flour, etc.
- discharging excessive suspended solids, even in the winter
- smells unusually bad
- waste treatment facility doesn't seem to be treating the waste right

Perhaps the solution to a problem like one of these may lie in investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system from industrial users.

An industrial user (IU) is defined as a non-domestic user discharging to the waste treatment facility which meets any of the following criteria:

1. **has a lot of process wastewater (5% of the flow at the waste treatment facility or more than 25,000 gallons per work day.)**

Examples: Food processor, dairy, slaughterhouse, industrial laundry.

2. **is subject to Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards;**

Examples: metal plating, cleaning or coating of metals, blueing of metals, aluminum extruding, circuit board manufacturing, tanning animal skins, pesticide formulating or packaging, and pharmaceutical manufacturing or packaging,

3. **is a concern to the POTW.**

Examples: septage hauler, restaurant and food service, car wash, hospital, photo lab, carpet cleaner, commercial laundry.

All users of the water treatment facility are **prohibited** from making the following types of discharges:

1. A discharge which creates a fire or explosion hazard in the collection system.
2. A discharge which creates toxic gases, vapor or fumes in the collection system.
3. A discharge of solids or thick liquids which creates flow obstructions in the collection system.
4. An acidic discharge (low pH) which causes corrosive damage to the collection system.
5. Petroleum oil, nonbiodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause problems in the collection system or at the waste treatment facility.
6. Waste haulers are prohibited from discharging without permission. (No midnight dumping!)

When the solution to a sewer system problem may be found by investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system discharged from IUs, it's appropriate to conduct an Industrial Waste Survey.

An Industrial Waste Survey consists of:

Step 1: Identify Industrial Users

Make a list of all the commercial and industrial sewer connections.

Sources for the list:

business license, building permits, water and wastewater billing, Chamber of Commerce, newspaper, telephone book, yellow pages.

Split the list into two groups:

domestic wastewater only--no further information needed
everyone else (IUs)

Step 2: Preliminary Inspection

Go visit each IU identified on the "everybody else" list.

Fill out the **Preliminary Inspection Form** during the site visit.

Step 3: Informing the State

Please fax or send a copy of the Preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

Jennifer Robinson

Division of Water Quality
288 North 1460 West
PO Box 144870
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383
Fax: (801) 536-4301
E-mail: jenrobinson@utah.gov

PRELIMINARY INSPECTION FORM

INSPECTION DATE ___ / ___ /

Name of Business _____ **Person Contacted** _____
Address _____ **Phone Number** _____

Description of Business _____

Principal product or service: _____

Raw Materials used: _____

Production process is: [] Batch [] Continuous [] Both

Is production subject to seasonal variation? [] yes [] no

If yes, briefly describe seasonal production cycle.

This facility generates the following types of wastes (check all that apply):

- | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. [] Domestic wastes | (Restrooms, employee showers, etc.) |
| 2. [] Cooling water, non-contact | 3. [] Boiler/Tower blowdown |
| 4. [] Cooling water, contact | 5. [] Process |
| 6. [] Equipment/Facility washdown | 7. [] Air Pollution Control Unit |
| 8. [] Storm water runoff to sewer | 9. [] Other describe |

Wastes are discharged to (check all that apply):

- | | |
|----------------------|------------------|
| [] Sanitary sewer | [] Storm sewer |
| [] Surface water | [] Ground water |
| [] Waste haulers | [] Evaporation |
| [] Other (describe) | |

Name of waste hauler(s), if used

Is a grease trap installed? Yes No

Is it operational? Yes No

Does the business discharge a lot of process wastewater?

- | | | |
|---|-----|----|
| • More than 5% of the flow to the waste treatment facility? | Yes | No |
| • More than 25,000 gallons per work day? | Yes | No |

Does the business do any of the following:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adhesives | <input type="checkbox"/> Car Wash |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aluminum Forming | <input type="checkbox"/> Carpet Cleaner |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Battery Manufacturing | <input type="checkbox"/> Dairy |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Copper Forming | <input type="checkbox"/> Food Processor |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electric & Electronic Components | <input type="checkbox"/> Hospital |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Manufacturing | <input type="checkbox"/> Laundries |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Foundries | <input type="checkbox"/> Photo Lab |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inorganic Chemicals Mfg. or Packaging | <input type="checkbox"/> Restaurant & Food Service |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial Porcelain Ceramic Manufacturing | <input type="checkbox"/> Septage Hauler |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Iron & Steel | <input type="checkbox"/> Slaughter House |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Metal Finishing, Coating or Cleaning | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mining | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nonferrous Metals Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organic Chemicals Manufacturing or Packaging | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Paint & Ink Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pesticides Formulating or Packaging | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petroleum Refining | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pharmaceuticals Manufacturing or Packaging | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plastics Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rubber Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soaps & Detergents Manufacturing | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Steam Electric Generation | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tanning Animal Skins | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Textile Mills | |

Are any process changes or expansions planned during the next three years? Yes No
If yes, attach a separate sheet to this form describing the nature of planned changes or expansions.

Inspector

Waste Treatment Facility

Please send a copy of the preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

Jennifer Robinson
Division of Water Quality
PO Box 144870
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383
Fax: (801) 536-4301
E-Mail: jenrobinson@utah.gov

	Industrial User	Jurisdiction	SIC Codes	Categorical Standard Number	Total Average Process Flow (gpd)	Total Average Facility Flow (gpd)	Facility Description
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

ATTACHMENT 2

Effluent Monitoring Data

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Effluent Monitoring Data

Month	pH		<i>E. coli</i>	BOD5	TSS	
	Min	Max			Ave	Max
May- 17	7.9	7.9	12	No data	23	23
June- 17	7.6	7.6	No data	40	2	5

ATTACHMENT 3

Wasteload Analysis

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

ATTACHMENT 4

Reasonable Potential Analysis

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS

Water Quality has worked to improve our reasonable potential analysis (RP) for the inclusion of limits for parameters in the permit by using an EPA provided model. As a result of the model, more parameters may be included in the renewal permit. A Copy of the Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance (RP Guide) is available at water Quality. There are four outcomes for the RP Analysis¹. They are;

- Outcome A: A new effluent limitation will be placed in the permit.
- Outcome B: No new effluent limitation. Routine monitoring requirements will be placed or increased from what they are in the permit,
- Outcome C: No new effluent limitation. Routine monitoring requirements maintained as they are in the permit,
- Outcome D: No limitation or routine monitoring requirements are in the permit.

Due to limited data reported from previous permit cycle, RP was not run on current parameters.

¹ See Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance for definitions of terms