Utah Division of Water Quality Statement of Basis ADDENDUM Wasteload Analysis and Antidegradation Level I Review

| Date: | May 21, 2020 |
|-------------------------|---|
| Facility: | Logan Wastewater Treatment Plant Logan, UT |
| | UPDES No. UT0021920 |
| Receiving Water: | Swift Slough (2B, 3B, 3D, 4) |

This addendum summarizes the wasteload analysis that was performed to determine water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL) for this discharge. Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses (UAC R317-2-8). Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

<u>Discharge</u> Outfall 001: Irrigation Ditch Outfall 002: Swift Slough

The maximum design flow for the discharge is summarized in Table 1, per the previous permit.

Table 1: Seasonal maximum daily discharge

| Season | Flow (MGD) |
|--------|------------|
| Summer | 22 |
| Fall | 21 |
| Winter | 16 |
| Spring | 21 |

Receiving Water

The receiving water for Outfall 001 is an irrigation ditch that conveys the effluent from the lagoons to the polishing wetlands. The polishing wetlands discharge via Outfall 002. The beneficial uses for the irrigation ditch are presumed 2B, 3E, and 4 per UAC R317-2-13.9. The irrigation ditch has no background flow during critical conditions.

The receiving water for Outfall 002 is the Swift Slough. Swift Slough is tributary to Cutler Reservoir and the Bear River. Per UAC 317.2.13.3(a), the designated beneficial uses for the Bear River and tributaries, from the Great Salt Lake to the Utah-Idaho border are 2B, 3B, 3D, and 4.

- Class 2B: Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- Class 3B: Protected for warm water species of game fish and other warm water aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- Class 3D: Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- Class 4: Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

Typically, the critical flow for the wasteload analysis is considered the lowest stream flow for seven consecutive days with a ten year return frequency (7Q10). Flow records from Swift Slough immediately upstream of Outfall 002 were provided by Logan City for the years 2004-2007. Since this is not a long enough flow record to compute the 7Q10 flow, the lowest 7-day average flow for each season in the record was used.

Table 2: Seasonal critical low flow

| Season | Flow (cfs) |
|--------|------------|
| Summer | 4.0 |
| Fall | 8.4 |
| Winter | 8.8 |
| Spring | 2.9 |

TMDL

Cutler Reservoir has an approved TMDL for dissolved oxygen (DO) and total phosphorus (TP), *Middle Bear River and Cutler Reservoir TMDLs* (SWCA 2010). The TMDL allocated load for TP from Logan City Wastewater Treatment Plant is 4,405 kg for May through October and 11,831 kg for November through April.

Mixing Zone

Per UAC 317-2-5, the discharge is considered instantaneously fully mixed since the discharge is more than twice the background receiving water flow. Therefore, no mixing zone is allowed.

Parameters of Concern

The potential parameters of concern identified for the discharge/receiving water were total suspended solids (TSS), dissolved oxygen (DO), BOD5, total phosphorus (TP), total nitrogen (TN), total ammonia (NH3), E. coli, pH, total copper, and total lead as determined in consultation with the UPDES Permit Writer.

Water Quality Modeling

A QUAL2Kw model of the receiving water was built and calibrated to synoptic survey data collected in September of 2011 by DWQ staff. The model of Swift Slough extends 1.2 kilometers downstream from the treatment facility outfall to Cutler Reservoir.

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Logan Wastewater Treatment Plant, Logan, UT UPDES No. UT0021920

Receiving water quality data was primarily obtained from the synoptic survey conducted for the model calibration from 9/15 to 9/19/2011. The sampling site was on the Swift Slough immediately above the plant discharge. Limited water quality data was obtained from monitoring location 4905050 Swift Slough below confluence with Logan Lagoons Effluent and monitoring location 4905070 Swift Slough at 1300 West. The average value was calculated for each constituent in the receiving water.

The QUAL2Kw model was used for determining the WQBELs for parameters related to eutrophication and in-stream DO criteria. Effluent concentrations were adjusted so that water quality standards were not exceeded in the receiving water. Where WQBELs exceeded secondary standards or technology based effluent limits (TBEL), the concentration in the model was set at the secondary standard or TBEL.

The QUAL2Kw model was also used to determine the limits for ammonia. The water quality standard for chronic ammonia toxicity is dependent on temperature and pH, and the water quality standard for acute ammonia toxicity is dependent on pH. QUAL2Kw rates, input and output for DO and eutrophication related constituents are summarized in Appendix A.

A mass balance mixing analysis was conducted for conservative constituents such as dissolved metals. The WQBELs for conservative constituents are summarized in Appendix B.

The calibration model and the wasteload model are available for review by request.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Limits

The percent of effluent in the receiving water in a fully mixed condition, and acute and chronic dilution in a not fully mixed condition are calculated in the WLA in order to generate WET limits. The LC₅₀ (lethal concentration, 50%) percent effluent for acute toxicity and the IC₂₅ (inhibition concentration, 25%) percent effluent for chronic toxicity, as determined by the WET test, needs to be below the WET limits, as determined by the WLA. The WET limit for LC₅₀ is typically 100% effluent and does not need to be determined by the WLA.

| Table 5. WEI Linnes | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Season | Percent Effluent | Dilution Ratio | | | |
| Summer | 90% | 0.12:1 | | | |
| Fall | 79% | 0.26:1 | | | |
| Winter | 74% | 0.36:1 | | | |
| Spring | 92% | 0.09:1 | | | |

Table 3: WET Limits

Effluent Limits

Selected Water Quality Based Effluent Limits for Outfall 002 are presented in Table 4. The complete list of effluent limits is attached in the appendices.

| | Acute | | | Chronic | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-------|---------------------|----------|-------|---------------------|
| Effluent Constituent | Standard | Limit | Averaging Period | Standard | Limit | Averaging Period |
| Flow (MGD) | | | | | | |
| Summer (Jul-Sep) | | 22.0 | | | 22.0 | |
| Fall (Oct-Dec) | N/A | 21.0 | 1 day | N/A | 21.0 | 30 days |
| Winter (Jan-Mar) | | 16.0 | | | 16.0 | |
| Spring (Apr-Jun) | | 21.0 | | | 21.0 | |
| Ammonia (mg/L) | | | | | | |
| Summer (Jul-Sep) | | 10.0 | | | 2.0 | |
| Fall (Oct-Dec) | Varies | 12.0 | 1 hour | Varies | 3.5 | 30 days |
| Winter (Jan-Mar) | | 11.0 | | | 3.0 | |
| Spring (Apr-Jun) | | 5.8 | | | 2.0 | |
| Min. Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) | | | | | | |
| Summer (Jul-Sep) | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| Fall (Oct-Dec) | 3.0 | 4.0 | Instantaneous | 5.5 | 4.5 | 30 days |
| Winter (Jan-Mar) | | 4.0 | | | 4.0 | |
| Spring (Apr-Jun) | | 4.0 | | | 5.0 | |
| $BOD_5 (mg/L)$ | N/A | 35.0 | 7 days | N/A | 25.0 | 30 days |
| Total Recoverable Copper (µg/L) | | 35 | 1 hour | | 21 | 4 days |
| Total Recoverable Lead (µg/L) | | 285 | 1 hour | | 11 | 4 days |

Table 4: Selected Water Quality Based Effluent Limits for Outfall 002

QUAL2Kw rates, input and output for DO and nutrient related constituents are summarized in Appendix A.

Mass balance mixing analysis input and output for conservative constituents are summarized in Appendix B.

WQBELs for Outfall 001 are summarized in Appendix C.

Models and supporting documentation are available for review upon request.

Antidegradation Level I Review

The objective of the Level I ADR is to ensure the protection of existing uses, defined as the beneficial uses attained in the receiving water on or after November 28, 1975. No evidence is known that the existing uses deviate from the designated beneficial uses for the receiving water. Therefore, the beneficial uses will be protected if the discharge remains below the WQBELs presented in this wasteload.

A Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR) is not required for this discharge, as neither pollutant concentration nor load is being increased under this permit renewal.

Prepared by: Nicholas von Stackelberg, P.E. Watershed Protection Section

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Logan Wastewater Treatment Plant, Logan, UT UPDES No. UT0021920

<u>Files</u> WLA Document: *LoganLagoonsWLA_2020-05-21.docx* QUAL2Kw Wasteload Model: *LoganLagoonsWLA_2020.xlsm* QUAL2Kw Calibration Model: *logan_q2k_cal_1.3.xlsm*

References

Middle Bear River and Cutler Reservoir TMDLs 2010. SWCA Environmental Consultants.

Utah 2016 Integrated Report. 2016. Utah Division of Water Quality.

Utah Wasteload Analysis Procedures Version 1.0. 2012. Utah Division of Water Quality.

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA] Appendix A: QUAL2Kw Analysis Results

| Discharging Facility: UPDES No: Permit Flow [MGD]: | Logan WWTP UT-0021920 22.00 Summer (July-Sept) 21.00 Fall (Oct-Dec) 16.00 Winter (Jan-Mar) 21.00 Spring (Apr-June) |
|---|--|
| Receiving Water: Stream Classification: Stream Flows [cfs]: | Swift Slough 2B, 3B, 3D, 4 3.98 Summer (July-Sept) 8.40 Fall (Oct-Dec) 8.82 Winter (Jan-Mar) 2.88 Spring (Apr-June) |
| Acute River Width: Chronic River Width: | 100.0% 100.0% |

Modeling Information

A QUAL2Kw model was used to determine these effluent limits.

Model Inputs

The following is upstream and discharge information that was utilized as inputs for the analysis. Dry washes are considered to have an upstream flow equal to the flow of the discharge.

Headwater/Upstream Information pН 7Q10 Flow Alkalinity Spec. Cond. ISS Temp. cfs Deg. C mg/L umhos mg/L Summer 4.0 21.6 8.1 225.0 850 225.0 409 Fall 8.4 12.3 8.5 Winter 8.8 1.5 8.3 225.0 357 Spring 2.9 14.9 8.4 225.0 415 T-NH4 NO3 Org. P Inorg. P Org. N mg/L as N mg/L as N mg/L as N mg/L as P mg/L as P Summer 0.025 0.084 0.270 0.025 0.025 Fall 0.025 0.084 0.270 0.025 0.025 Winter 0.025 0.084 0.270 0.025 0.025 Spring 0.025 0.084 0.270 0.025 0.025 Mean DO Diel DO CBOD Detritus Phytoplank ug/L mg/L mg/L mg/L mg/L Summer 5.80 4.35 3.60 2.60 -Fall 9.01 4.35 3.60 2.60 Winter 12.09 4.35 3.60 2.60 _ Spring 9.44 3.60 2.60 4.35 _ **Discharge Information** рΗ Alkalinity ISS Flow Temp. MGD Deg. C mg/L mg/L 22.00 20.70 8.90 Summer 7.85 271.5 21.00 7.86 271.5 Fall 7.77 11.53 Winter 16.00 3.11 7.87 271.5 21.96 14.31 Spring 21.00 8.11 271.5 10.24

All model numerical inputs, intermediate calculations, outputs and graphs are available for discussion, inspection and copy at the Division of Water Quality.

Date: 5/1/2020

26.5

26.5

26.5

26.5

Effluent Limitations

Current State water quality standards are required to be met under a variety of conditions including in-stream flows targeted to the 7-day, 10-year low flow (R317-2-9).

Other conditions used in the modeling effort reflect the environmental conditions expected at low stream flows.

Effluent Limitation for Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) based upon Secondary Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent BOD5 limitation as follows:

| Concentration | | | | |
|---------------|---------|-------|---------------|--|
| Season | Chronic | Acute | | |
| Summer | 25.0 | 35.0 | mg/L as CBOD5 | |
| Fall | 25.0 | 35.0 | mg/L as CBOD5 | |
| Winter | 25.0 | 35.0 | mg/L as CBOD5 | |
| Spring | 25.0 | 35.0 | mg/L as CBOD5 | |

Effluent Limitation for Dissolved Oxygen (DO) based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent DO limitation as follows:

| Season | Chronic | Acute | |
|--------|---------|-------|------|
| Summer | 5.0 | 4.0 | mg/L |
| Fall | 4.5 | 4.0 | mg/L |
| Winter | 4.0 | 4.0 | mg/L |
| Spring | 5.0 | 4.0 | mg/L |

Effluent Limitation for Total Phosphorus based upon TMDL

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with effluent TP limitation as follows:

Total Phosphorus

| Season | Load | |
|------------------|--------|----|
| May - October | 4,405 | kg |
| November - April | 11,831 | kg |

Effluent Limitation for Total Ammonia based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Ammonia will be met with an effluent limitation (expressed as Total Ammonia as N) as follows:

| Total Ammonia | | | | | |
|---------------|---------|-------|-----------|--|--|
| Season | Chronic | Acute | | | |
| Summer | 2.0 | 10.0 | mg/L as N | | |
| Fall | 3.5 | | mg/L as N | | |
| Winter | 3.0 | 11.0 | mg/L as N | | |
| Spring | 2.0 | 5.8 | mg/L as N | | |

Summary Comments

The mathematical modeling and best professional judgement indicate that violations of receiving water beneficial uses with their associated water quality standards, including important down-stream segments, will not occur for the evaluated parameters of concern as discussed above if the effluent limitations indicated above are met.

Coefficients and Other Model Information

| Parameter | Value | Units |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| Stoichiometry: | | |
| Carbon | 40 | gC |
| Nitrogen | 7.2 | gN |
| Phosphorus | 1 | qΡ |
| Dry weight | 100 | ğD |
| Chlorophyll | 1 | gA |
| Inorganic suspended solids: | • | 9, 1 |
| Settling velocity | 2 | m/d |
| Oxygen: | 2 | III/U |
| Reaeration model | Internal | |
| | | |
| Temp correction | 1.024 | |
| Reaeration wind effect | None | |
| O2 for carbon oxidation | 2.69 | gO2/gC |
| O2 for NH4 nitrification | 4.57 | gO2/gN |
| Oxygen inhib model CBOD oxidation | Exponential | |
| Oxygen inhib parameter CBOD oxidation | 0.60 | L/mgO2 |
| Oxygen inhib model nitrification | Exponential | e e |
| Oxygen inhib parameter nitrification | 0.60 | L/mgO2 |
| Oxygen enhance model denitrification | Exponential | |
| Oxygen enhance parameter denitrification | 0.60 | L/mgO2 |
| Oxygen inhib model phyto resp | Exponential | LingOz |
| | | |
| Oxygen inhib parameter phyto resp | 0.60 | L/mgO2 |
| Oxygen enhance model bot alg resp | Exponential | |
| Oxygen enhance parameter bot alg resp | 0.60 | L/mgO2 |
| Slow CBOD: | | |
| Hydrolysis rate | 0 | /d |
| Temp correction | 1.047 | |
| Oxidation rate | 0.240778 | /d |
| Temp correction | 1.047 | |
| Fast CBOD: | | |
| Oxidation rate | 10 | /d |
| Temp correction | 1.047 | |
| Organic N: | 1.011 | |
| Hydrolysis | 0.2964425 | /d |
| Temp correction | 1.07 | ,u |
| | 0.147494 | m/d |
| Settling velocity | 0.14/494 | iii/u |
| Ammonium: | 0.0770045 | (el |
| Nitrification | 0.0772945 | /d |
| Temp correction | 1.07 | |
| Nitrate: | | |
| Denitrification | 1.8113375 | /d |
| Temp correction | 1.07 | |
| Sed denitrification transfer coeff | 0.22471 | m/d |
| Temp correction | 1.07 | |
| Organic P: | | |
| Hydrolysis | 0.1360275 | /d |
| Temp correction | 1.07 | |
| Settling velocity | 0.11495 | m/d |
| | 0.11495 | ni/u |
| Inorganic P: | | |
| | | |
| Settling velocity Sed P oxygen attenuation half sat constant | 0.02022 1.40616 | m/d mgO2/L |

Utah Division of Water Quality

| Phytoplankton: | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|
| Max Growth rate | | | | 1.99746 | /d |
| Temp correction | | | | 1.07 | , d |
| Respiration rate | | | | 0.49199 | /d |
| Temp correction | | | | 1.07 | |
| Death rate | | | | 0.97217 | /d |
| Temp correction | | | | 1 | |
| Nitrogen half sat constant | | | | 22.0366 | ugN/L |
| Phosphorus half sat const | tant | | | 1.95708 | ugP/L |
| Inorganic carbon half sat | constant | | | 1.30E-05 | moles/L |
| Phytoplankton use HCO3 | as substrate | | | Yes | |
| Light model | | | | Smith | |
| Light constant | | | | 97.3006 | langleys/d |
| Ammonia preference | | | | 27.86895 | ugN/L |
| Settling velocity | | | | 0.326705 | m/d |
| Bottom Plants: | | | | 7 . | |
| Growth model | | | | Zero-order | |
| Max Growth rate | | | | 7.262455 | gD/m2/d or /d |
| Temp correction | | | | 1.07 | |
| First-order model carrying Basal respiration rate | capacity | | | 100 0.1455158 | gD/m2 /d |
| • | amatar | | | 0.1455156 | /u unitless |
| Photo-respiration rate par Temp correction | amelei | | | 0.39 1.07 | unnuess |
| Excretion rate | | | | 0.202475 | /d |
| Temp correction | | | | 1.07 | /u |
| Death rate | | | | 3.8662 | /d |
| Temp correction | | | | 1.07 | , a |
| External nitrogen half sat | constant | | | 288.016 | ugN/L |
| External phosphorus half | | | | 98.1445 | ugP/L |
| Inorganic carbon half sat | | | | 1.19E-04 | moles/L |
| Bottom algae use HCO3- | | | | Yes | |
| Light model | | | | Half saturation | on |
| Light constant | | | | 89.3608 | langleys/d |
| Ammonia preference | | | | 21.65055 | ugN/L |
| Subsistence quota for nitr | ogen | | | 0.5779116 | mgN/gD |
| Subsistence quota for phosphorus | | | 0.1656965 | mgP/gD | |
| Maximum uptake rate for | nitrogen | | | 636.1775 | mgN/gD/d |
| Maximum uptake rate for | | | | 136.553 | mgP/gD/d |
| Internal nitrogen half sat r | | | | 3.4205925 | |
| Internal phosphorus half s | | | | 2.539308 | |
| Nitrogen uptake water col | | | | 1 | |
| Phosphorus uptake water | column fraction | | | 1 | |
| Detritus (POM): | | | | 1 1000505 | |
| Dissolution rate | | | | 1.1092505 1.07 | /d |
| Temp correction | | | | 0.125501 | m/d |
| Settling velocity | | | | 0.120001 | m/u |
| <i>PH:</i> Partial pressure of carbon | dioxide | | | 370 | ppm |
| | | | | 010 | 22 |
| Atmospheric Inputs: | Summer | Fall | Winter | Spring | r |
| Max. Air Temperature, F | 85.7 | 45.5 | 36.9 | 67.5 | |
| Min. Air Temperature, F | 57.5 | 27.9 | 19.7 | 43.6 | |
| Dew Point, Temp., F | 55.7 | 30.9 | 22.4 | 46.2 | |
| Wind, ft./sec. @ 21 ft. | 5.7 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 5.6 | |
| Cloud Cover, % | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | |
| | •••• | | 0.1 | 5.1 | |
| Other Inputs: | | | | | |
| Bottom Algae Coverage | 100.0% | | | | |
| Bottom SOD Coverage | 100.0% | | | | |
| Prescribed SOD | 0.0 gO | 2/m2/d | | | |
| | 90 | - | | | |

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA] Appendix B: Mass Balance Mixing Analysis Results

| Discharging Facility: UPDES No: Permit Flow [MGD]: | 21.00 16.00 | Summer (July-Sept) Fall (Oct-Dec) Winter (Jan-Mar) Spring (Apr-June) | |
|---|------------------|---|-------------------|
| Receiving Water: Stream Classification: Stream Flows [cfs]: | 8.40 8.82 | Summer (July-Sept) Fall (Oct-Dec) Winter (Jan-Mar) Spring (Apr-June) | Critical Low Flow |
| Acute River Width: Chronic River Width: | 100.0% 100.0% | | |

Modeling Information

A mass balance mixing analysis was used to determine these effluent limits.

Model Inputs

The following is upstream and discharge information that was utilized as inputs for the analysis.

Flow Rate

| | Headwaters cfs | Discharge MGD | Combined cfs |
|--------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Summer | 4.0 | 22.0 | 38.0 |
| Fall | 8.4 | 21.0 | 40.9 |
| Winter | 8.8 | 16.0 | 33.6 |
| Spring | 2.9 | 21.0 | 35.4 |

All model numerical inputs, intermediate calculations, outputs and graphs are available for discussion, inspection and copy at the Division of Water Quality.

Effluent Limitations

Current State water quality standards are required to be met under a variety of conditions including in-stream flows targeted to the 7-day, 10-year low flow (R317-2-9).

Other conditions used in the modeling effort reflect the environmental conditions expected at low stream flows.

Effluent Limitations for Protection of Recreation (Class 2B Waters)

| Parameter Physical | Maximum Concentration |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| pH Minimum | 6.5 |
| pH Maximum | 9.0 |
| Bacteriological | |
| E. coli (30 Day Geometric Mean) | 206 (#/100 mL) |
| E. coli (Maximum) | 668 (#/100 mL) |

Effluent Limitations for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)

WET TestChronic IC₂₅

| Percent Effluent | Dilution Ratio |
|---------------------|---|
| er 90% | 0.12 :1 |
| all 79% | 0.26 :1 |
| er 74% | 0.36 :1 |
| ig 92% | 0.09 :1 |
| | Effluent er 90% all 79% er 74% |

Date: 5/21/2020

Effluent Limitations for Protection of Aquatic Wildlife (Class 3B Waters)

| Inorganics (mg/L) | Chronic Standard (4 Day Average) | | Acute Stan | dard (1 Hour Average) | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | Standard | Limit | Unit | Standard | Limit |
| Phenol | | | | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| Hydrogen Sulfide (Undissociated) | | | | 0.002 | 0.002 |

Total Recoverable Metals (µg/L)

| Chronic Standard (4 Day Average) | | | | | Acute Standard (1 Hour Average) | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|
| | | | Conc. Limit | Load Limit | | | Conc. Limit | Load Limit | |
| Parameter | Standard ¹ | Background ² | (μg/L) | (lbs/day) | Standard | Background | (µg/L) | (lbs/day) | |
| Aluminum | N/A ³ | | N/A | | 750 | 503 | 772 | 142 | |
| Arsenic | 150 | 101 | 154 | 28.3 | 340 | 101 | 361 | 66.3 | |
| Cadmium | 0.53 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 0.10 | 5.4 | 0.4 | 5.9 | 1.1 | |
| Chromium VI | 11.0 | 7.4 | 11.3 | 2.1 | 16.0 | 7.4 | 16.8 | 3.1 | |
| Chromium III | 183 | 122 | 188 | 34.5 | 3,819 | 122 | 4,146 | 761 | |
| Copper | 20.4 | 13.7 | 21.0 | 3.9 | 33.2 | 13.7 | 34.9 | 6.41 | |
| Cyanide | 5.2 | 3.5 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 22.0 | 3.5 | 23.6 | 4.34 | |
| Iron | | | | | 1,000 | 670 | 1,029 | 189 | |
| Lead | 10.2 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 1.9 | 262.1 | 6.8 | 284.7 | 52.3 | |
| Mercury | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.012 | 0.002 | 2.4 | 0.008 | 2.6 | 0.48 | |
| Nickel | 113 | 76 | 117 | 21.4 | 1,019 | 76 | 1,102 | 202 | |
| Selenium | 4.6 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 0.87 | 18.4 | 3.1 | 19.8 | 3.6 | |
| Silver | | | | | 18.3 | 12.3 | 18.8 | 3.5 | |
| Tributylin | 0.072 | 0.048 | 0.074 | 0.014 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.09 | |
| Zinc | 260 | 174 | 268 | 49.2 | 260 | 174 | 268 | 49.2 | |
| non a Hardnors of 250 mg/l as C | 003 | | | | | | | | |

1: Based upon a Hardness of 250 mg/l as CaCO3

2: Background concentration assumed 67% of chronic standard

3: Where the pH is equal to or greater than 7.0 and the hardness is equal to or greater than 50 ppm as CaC03 in the receiving water after mixing, the 87 ug/L chronic criterion (expressed as total recoverable) will not apply, and aluminum will be regulated based on compliance with the 750 ug/L acute aluminum criterion (expressed as total recoverable).

Organics [Pesticides] (µg/L)

| | Chronic Standard (4 Day Average) | | | Ac | Acute Standard (1 Hour Average) | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| | | | Conc. Limit | Load Limit | | | Conc. Limit | Load Limit |
| Parameter | Standard | Background | (µg/L) | (lbs/day) | Standard | Background | (µg/L) | (lbs/day) |
| Acrolein | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 0.55 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 0.55 |
| Aldrin | | | | | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 0.28 |
| Carbaryl | 2.1 | | 2.1 | 0.39 | 2.1 | | 2.1 | 0.39 |
| Chlordane (µg/L) | 0.0043 | | 0.0043 | 0.0008 | 1.2 | | 1.2 | 0.22 |
| Clorpyrifos | 0.041 | | 0.041 | 0.008 | 0.083 | | 0.083 | 0.015 |
| 4,4' - DDT | 0.001 | | 0.001 | 0.0002 | 0.55 | | 0.55 | 0.10 |
| Diazinon | 0.17 | | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.17 | | 0.17 | 0.03 |
| Dieldrin | 0.056 | | 0.056 | 0.010 | 0.24 | | 0.24 | 0.04 |
| Endosulfan, a & b | 0.056 | | 0.056 | 0.010 | 0.11 | | 0.11 | 0.02 |
| Endrin | 0.036 | | 0.036 | 0.007 | 0.086 | | 0.086 | 0.016 |
| Heptachlor & H. epoxide | 0.0038 | | 0.0038 | 0.0007 | 0.26 | | 0.26 | 0.05 |
| Lindane | 0.08 | | 0.08 | 0.015 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 0.18 |
| Methoxychlor | | | | | 0.03 | | 0.03 | 0.006 |
| Mirex | | | | | 0.001 | | 0.001 | 0.0002 |
| Nonylphenol | 6.6 | | 6.6 | 1.2 | 28.0 | | 28.0 | 5.1 |
| Parathion | 0.013 | | 0.013 | 0.0024 | 0.066 | | 0.066 | 0.012 |
| PCB's | 0.014 | | 0.014 | 0.0026 | | | | |
| Pentachlorophenol | 15.0 | | 15.0 | 2.8 | 19.0 | | 19.0 | 3.5 |
| Toxephene | 0.0002 | | 0.0002 | 0.00004 | 0.73 | | 0.73 | 0.13 |

Radiological

Maximum Concentration Parameter

Gross Alpha

15 pCi/L

| | | Class 1C (Wate | - | , | | Class 3 (Orga | • · | |
|--|---------------|----------------|-------------|------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| - · - · | o | <u>.</u> | Conc. Limit | | <u>.</u> | <u>.</u> | Conc. Limit | Load Limit |
| Toxic Organics | Standard | Background | (µg/L) | (lbs/day) | Standard | Background | (µg/L) | (Ibs/day) |
| Antimony | 5.6 | | N/A N/A | N/A | 640 | | 640 | 118 |
| Copper Nickel | 1300 610 | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 4600 | | 4600 | 945 |
| Selenium | 170 | | N/A N/A | | 4600 | | 4600 | 845 771 |
| | | | | N/A | | | | |
| Thallium | 0.24 7400 | | N/A | N/A | 0.47 | | 0.47 | 0.09 4774 |
| Zinc | | | N/A | N/A | 26000 | | 26000 | |
| Cyanide Asbestos (million fibers/L) | 4 | | N/A N/A | N/A | 400 | | 400 | 73 |
| 2,3,7,8-TCDD Dioxin | 5.00E-09 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 5.1E-09 | | E 1E 00 | 9.36354E-10 |
| 2,3,7,6-1000 Dioxin Acrolein | 5.00E-09 3 | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 5.TE-09 400 | | 5.1E-09 400 | 9.36354E-10 73 |
| Acrylonitrile | 0.061 | | N/A | N/A | 400 | | 7.0 | 1.3 |
| Benzene | 2.1 | | N/A | N/A | , 51 | | 51 | 9.4 |
| Bromoform | 2.1 | | N/A | | 120 | | 120 | 9.4 22 |
| | 0.4 | | | N/A | 5 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | | | N/A | N/A | | | 5.0 | 0.9 |
| Chlorobenzene | 100 | | N/A | N/A | 800 | | 800 | 147 |
| Chlorodibromomethane | 0.8 | | N/A | N/A | 21 | | 21 | 3.9 |
| Chloroform | 60 | | N/A | N/A | 2000 | | 2000 | 367 |
| Dalapon | 200 | | N/A | N/A | 07 | | 07 | F |
| Dichlorobromomethane | 0.95 | | N/A | N/A | 27 | | 27 | 5 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 9.9 | | N/A | N/A | 2000 | | 2000 | 367 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 300 | | N/A | N/A | 20000 | | 20000 | 3672 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.9 | | N/A | N/A | 31 | | 31 | 6 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.27 | | N/A | N/A | 12 | | 12 | 2 |
| Ethylbenzene | 68 | | N/A | N/A | 130 | | 130 | 24 |
| Ethylene Dibromide | 0.05 | | N/A | N/A | 10000 | | 10000 | 1000 |
| Methyl Bromide | 100 | | N/A | N/A | 10000 | | 10000 | 1836 |
| Methylene Chloride | 20 | | N/A | N/A | 1000 | | 1000 | 184 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.2 | | N/A | N/A | 3 | | 3.0 | 0.6 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 10 | | N/A | N/A | 29 | | 29 | 5 |
| Toluene | 57 | | N/A | N/A | 520 | | 520 | 95 |
| 1,2 -Trans-Dichloroethyle | 100 | | N/A | N/A | 4000 | | 4000 | 734 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 10000 | | N/A | N/A | 200000 | | 200000 | 36720 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.55 | | N/A | N/A | 8.9 | | 8.9 | 2 |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.6 | | N/A | N/A | 7 | | 7.0 | 1 |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.022 | | N/A | N/A | 1.6 | | 1.6 | 0.3 |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 30 | | N/A | N/A | 800 | | 800 | 147 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 10 | | N/A | N/A | 60 | | 60 | 11 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 100 | | N/A | N/A | 3000 | | 3000 | 551 |
| 2-Methyl-4,6-Dinitrophenol | 2 | | N/A | N/A | 30 | | 30 | 6 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 10 | | N/A | N/A | 300 | | 300 | 55 |
| 3-Methyl-4-Chlorophenol | 500 | | N/A | N/A | 2000 | | 2000 | 367 |
| Penetachlorophenol | 0.03 | | N/A | N/A | 0.04 | | 0.04 | 0.007 |
| Phenol | 4000 | | N/A | N/A | 300000 | | 300000 | 55080 |
| 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol | 300 | | N/A | N/A | 600 | | 600 | 110 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 1.5 | | N/A | N/A | 2.8 | | 2.8 | 1 |
| Acenaphthene | 70 | | N/A | N/A | 90 | | 90 | 17 |
| Anthracene | 300 | | N/A | N/A | 400 | | 400 | 73 |
| Benzidine | 0.00014 | | N/A | N/A | 0.011 | | 0.011 | 0.0020 |
| BenzoaAnthracene | 0.0012 | | N/A | N/A | 0.0013 | | 0.0013 | 0.0002 |
| BenzoaPyrene | 0.00012 | | N/A | N/A | 0.00013 | | 0.00013 | 0.00002 |
| BenzobFluoranthene | 0.0012 | | N/A | N/A | 0.0013 | | 0.0013 | 0.0002 |
| BenzokFluoranthene | 0.012 | | N/A | N/A | 0.013 | | 0.013 | 0.0024 |

Numeric Criteria for the Protection of Human Health from Consumption of Water and Fish Class 1C (Water and Organism)

Utah Division of Water Quality

| | | Class 1C (Wate | - | | Class 3 (Org | anism Only) | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | <u>.</u> | Berland | Conc. Limit | | . | | Conc. Limit | Load Limit |
| Toxic Organics | Standard | Background | (μg/L) | (lbs/day) | Standard | Background | (µg/L) | (lbs/day) |
| Bis2-Chloro1methylether | 0.00015 200 | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 0.017 4000 | | 0.017 | 0.0031 |
| Bis2-Chloro1methylethylether | | | | N/A N/A | 4000 | | 4000 | 734 0.4 |
| Bis2-ChloroethylEther | 0.03 | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 65000 | | 2.2 65000 | 0.4 11934 |
| Bis2-Chloroisopropy1Ether | 1400 0.32 | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 0.37 | | 0.37 | 0.07 |
| Bis2-EthylhexylPhthalate | | | | | 0.37 | | | |
| Butylbenzyl Phthalate | 0.1 | | N/A | N/A N/A | | | 0.1 | 0.02 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene Chrysene | 800 0.12 | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 1000 0.13 | | 1000 0.13 | 184 0.02 |
| Dibenzoa, (h)Anthracene | 0.12 | | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 0.00013 | | 0.13 | 0.02 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 1000 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 3000 | | 3000 | 551 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 7 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 10 | | 10.0 | 2 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 300 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 900 | | 900 | 165 |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | 0.049 | | N/A | N/A | 0.15 | | 0.15 | 0.03 |
| Diethyl Phthalate | 600 | | N/A | N/A | 600 | | 600 | 110 |
| Dimethyl Phthalate | 2000 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 2000 | | 2000 | 367 |
| Di-n-Butyl Phthalate | 2000 | | N/A | N/A | 30 | | 2000 | 6 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 0.049 | | N/A | N/A | 1.7 | | 1.7 | 0.3 |
| Dinitrophenols | 10 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 1000 | | 1000 | 184 |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine | 0.03 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 0.2 | | 0.2 | 0.04 |
| Fluoranthene | 20 | | N/A | N/A | 20 | | 20 | 4 |
| Fluorene | 50 | | N/A | N/A | 70 | | 70 | 13 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 0.000079 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 0.000079 | | 0.000079 | 0.000015 |
| Hexachlorobutedine | 0.000079 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 0.000079 | | 0.000079 | 0.00013 |
| Hexachloroethane | 0.01 | | N/A | N/A | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.002 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 4 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 4 | | 4.0 | 0.02 |
| Ideno 1,2,3-cdPyrene | 0.0012 | | N/A | N/A | 0.0013 | | 0.0013 | 0.0002 |
| Isophorone | 34 | | N/A | N/A | 1800 | | 1800 | 330 |
| Nitrobenzene | 10 | | N/A | N/A | 600 | | 600 | 110 |
| N-Nitrosodiethylamine | 0.0008 | | N/A | N/A | 1.24 | | 1.2 | 0.2 |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | 0.00069 | | N/A | N/A | 3 | | 3 | 0.2 |
| N-Nitrosodi-n-Propylamine | 0.0003 | | N/A | N/A | 0.51 | | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 3.3 | | N/A | N/A | 6 | | 6 | 1 |
| N-Nitrosopyrrolidine | 0.016 | | N/A | N/A | 34 | | 34 | 6 |
| Pentachlorobenzene | 0.010 | | N/A | N/A | 0.1 | | 0.1 | 0.02 |
| Pyrene | 20 | | N/A | N/A | 30 | | 30 | 6.02 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.071 | | N/A | N/A N/A | 0.076 | | 0.076 | 0.01 |
| Aldrin | 0.00000077 | | N/A | N/A | 0.0000077 | | 0.00000077 | 0.00000014 |
| alpha-BHC | 0.00036 | | N/A | N/A | 0.00039 | | 0.00039 | 0.00007 |
| beta-BHC | 0.008 | | N/A | N/A | 0.0000 | | 0.014 | 0.0007 |
| gamma-BHC (Lindane) | 4.2 | | N/A | N/A | 4.4 | | 4.4 | 0.003 |
| Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) | 0.0066 | | N/A | N/A | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.002 |
| Chlordane | 0.00031 | | N/A | N/A | 0.00032 | | 0.00032 | 0.00006 |
| 4,4-DDT | 0.000031 | | N/A | N/A | 0.000032 | | 0.000032 | 0.000006 |
| 4,4-DDE | 0.000018 | | N/A | N/A | 0.000018 | | 0.000018 | 0.000003 |
| 4,4-DDD | 0.00012 | | N/A | N/A | 0.00012 | | 0.00012 | 0.00002 |
| Dieldrin | 0.0000012 | | N/A | N/A | 0.0000012 | | 0.0000012 | 0.000002 |
| alpha-Endosulfan | 20 | | N/A | N/A | 30 | | 30 | 6 |
| beta-Endosulfan | 20 | | N/A | N/A | 40 | | 40 | 7 |
| Endosulfan Sulfate | 20 | | N/A | N/A | 40 | | 40 | 7 |
| Endosulian Sullate | 0.03 | | N/A | N/A | 0.03 | | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Endrin Aldehyde | 0.03 | | N/A | N/A | 0.05 | | 1.0 | 0.01 |
| Heptachlor | 0.0000059 | | N/A | N/A | 0.0000059 | | 0.0000059 | 0.0000011 |
| Heptachlor Epoxide | 0.000033 | | N/A | N/A | 0.000033 | | 0.000032 | 0.0000011 |
| Methoxychlor | 0.000032 | | N/A | N/A | 0.000032 | | 0.000032 | 0.000000 |
| Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB) | 0.000064 | | N/A | N/A | 0.00064 | | 0.000064 | 0.000012 |
| Toxaphene | 0.00004 | | N/A | N/A | 0.00071 | | 0.00004 | 0.00012 |
| ioxapitette | 0.0007 | | | 11/17 | 0.00071 | | 0.00071 | 0.00013 |

Effluent Limitation for Protection of Agriculture (Class 4 Waters) Maximum Concentration

| Standard | Background | Conc. Limit | Load Limit (Ibs/day) | |
|----------|---|--|---|--|
| 1,200 | 569 | 1,256 | 231 | |
| 75 | 50.25 | 77 | 14.2 | |
| 100 | 100 | 100 | 18.4 | |
| 10 | 0.36 | 10.9 | 2.0 | |
| 100 | 7 | 108 | 19.9 | |
| 200 | 13.7 | 217 | 39.7 | |
| 100 | 6.8 | 108 | 19.9 | |
| 50 | 3.1 | 54.2 | 9.9 | |
| 15 | | 15.0 | 2.8 | |
| | 1,200 75 100 10 100 200 100 50 | Standard Background 1,200 569 75 50.25 100 100 10 0.36 100 7 200 13.7 100 6.8 50 3.1 | StandardBackgroundConc. Limit1,2005691,2567550.2577100100100100.3610.9100710820013.72171006.8108503.154.2 | |

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA] Appendix C: Effluent Limits for Outfall 001

| Discharging Facility: UPDES No: Permit Flow [MGD]: | Logan WWTP UT-0021920 22.00 Summer (July-Sept) 21.00 Fall (Oct-Dec) 16.00 Winter (Jan-Mar) 21.00 Spring (Apr-June) |
|--|---|
| Receiving Water: | Irrigation Ditch |
| Stream Classification: | 2B, 3E, 4 |
| Stream Flows [cfs]: | 0 Summer (July-Sept) Critical Low Flow |
| Acute River Width: | 100.0% |
| Chronic River Width: | 100.0% |

Modeling Information

A simple mixing analysis was used to determine these effluent limits.

Model Inputs

The following is upstream and discharge information that was utilized as inputs for the analysis.

Headwater/Upstream Information

| | 7Q10 Flow | |
|--------|-----------|---|
| | cfs | |
| Summer | | 0 |

Discharge Information

| | Flow MGD |
|--------|--------------------|
| Summer | 22.0 |
| Fall | 21.0 |
| Winter | 16.0 |
| Spring | 21.0 |

All model numerical inputs, intermediate calculations, outputs and graphs are available for discussion, inspection and copy at the Division of Water Quality.

Effluent Limitations

Current State water quality standards are required to be met under a variety of conditions including in-stream flows targeted to the 7-day, 10-year low flow (R317-2-9).

Other conditions used in the modeling effort reflect the environmental conditions expected at low stream flows.

Effluent Limitations for Protection of Recreation (Class 2B Waters)

| Parameter Physical | | Maximum Concentration |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| - | pH Minimum pH Maximum | 6.5 9.0 |
| Bacteriological | | |

| E. coli (30 Day Geometric Mean) | 206 (#/100 mL) |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| E. coli (Maximum) | 668 (#/100 mL) |

Date: 5/1/2020

Effluent Limitation for Protection of Agriculture (Class 4 Waters)

| Parameter | | Maximum Cor | ncentration |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Total Dissolved Solids | 1200 | mg/L |
| | Boron | 75 | μg/L |
| | Arsenic | 100 | μg/L |
| | Cadmium | 10 | μg/L |
| | Chromium | 100 | μg/L |
| | Copper | 200 | μg/L |
| | Lead | 100 | μg/L |
| | Selenium | 50 | μg/L |
| | Gross Alpha | 15 | pCi/L |