

Department of Environmental Quality

L. Scott Baird Interim Executive Director

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY Erica Brown Gaddis, PhD Director



DWQ-2019-010612ch

August 27, 2019

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY
UTAH DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
PUBLIC NOTICE OF LADERA PHASE 2 EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT OFFER

PURPOSE OF PUBLIC NOTICE

THE PURPOSE OF THIS PUBLIC NOTICE IS TO SOLICIT COMMENT ON THE EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT OFFER (ESO) BETWEEN THE STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY ("DIVISION") AND CORAL CANYON BUILDERS LLC. THE ESO CONTAINS PENTALTIES FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE STORM WATER RULES ON THE LADERA PHASE 2 CONSTRUCTION SITE IN WASHINGTON, UT. THE ESO IS PROVIDED UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE UTAH WATER QUALITY ACT, TITLE 9, CHAPTER 5, SECTION 115.

PERMIT INFORMATION

NAME: EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT OFFER FOR LADERA PHASE 2.

BACKGROUND

The Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) General Permit for Discharges from Construction Activities (Permit No. UTRC00000) authorizes owners and operators of construction activities that meet the requirements of Part 1 of this permit to discharge pollutants in accordance with specific effluent limitations and conditions. The owner and operator, Coral Canyon Builders LLC, obtained coverage under this general permit on April 18, 2019 and was assigned permit number of UTR392699.

The Division conducted an inspection of the construction site on June 26, 2019 in response to a complaint. The following violations were discovered during the inspection: The site failed to post a signage identifying Construction Storm Water permit coverage, tracking controls were not installed on four lots, sediment was stockpiled without perimeter controls on a storm water conveyance, two dumpsters were found without appropriate covers, sediment was tracked onto the road on seven lots, and concrete washout was dumped on four lots on the site.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

The Expedited Settlement Offer is available for public review under "Public Notices" at www.waterquality.utah.gov/. Written public comments can be submitted to: Ryan Curtin, Utah Division of Water Quality, P.O. Box 144870, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870 or by email at: Rscurtin@utah.gov. The deadline to receive comments is by close of business on September 26, 2019. After considering public comment, the Division of Utah Water Quality will execute the Expedited Settlement Offer or revise it.



STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY

EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT OFFER

DOCKET NUMBER:

- Operator certifies that all deficiencies identified herein have been corrected and that the Project is currently in full compliance with the terms and provisions of the Permit. Additionally, operator has attached to this ESO: (1) a written description detailing how the deficiencies were corrected; and (2) representative photographs documenting the current conditions and the associated BMPs implemented at the Project.
- 10. The Parties mutually agree that this ESO is entered in good faith and is an appropriate means to resolve the matters specified herein.
- 11. This ESO will be final after the thirty day notice and comment period on the date the Director signs the ESO, at which time the civil penalty takes effect.
- 12. Operator agrees that within fifteen (15) calendar days of receiving the signed and final ESO from the Division Operator shall submit a certified or cashier's check written to the Division of Water Quality in the amount specified in paragraph 4, above and hand deliver or send by certified mail to

Division of Water Quality PO Box 144870 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

- 13. Notwithstanding paragraph 7 above, the violations described herein will constitute part of Operator's compliance history where such history is relevant, including any subsequent violations. Operator understands and agrees that this ESO is not and cannot be raised as a defense to any other action to enforce any federal, state or local law.
- 14. This ESO, when final, is binding upon Operator and any corporate subsidiaries or parents, their officers, directors, employees, successors in interest, and assigns. The undersigned warrants that it is authorized to legally bind their respective principals to this ESO.

ACCEPTED BY OPERATOR:

Name (print) Michael Gardner	
Title (print) Manager	
Signature Whatala	Date 8-12-19
DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY	
	Date
Erica Brown Gaddis, PhD, Director	

Expedited Settlement Offer Worksheet Deficiencies Form

Consult instructions regarding eligibility criteria and procedures prior to use

version 1



LEGAL NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF OPERATOR	Telephone Number	UPDES Per	rmit No.
UNIVERSITY SECTIONS THAT SOME SERVICE SECTIONS		UTR392699	
Mike Gardner			
Coral Canyon Builders LLC	Inspector Name:	Ryan Curtin	
2303 N Coral Canyon Boulevard #108	UPDES Program:	Construction	n Storm Water
Washington, UT 84780	Entrance Interview Condu	icted:	No
	Exit Interview Conducted:		No
LOCATION AND ADDRESS OF SITE	Exit Interview given to:	Edition	
N Red Stone Road	Exit Interview time:		Date:
Washington, UT 84780			

FACILITY DESCRIPTION / CONTACT NAMES	
Name of Site Contact (ESO Worksheet recipient):	Mike Gardner
Name of Authorized Official (UT CGP Appendix G.16):	
Inspection Date:	06/26/2019
Start Construction Date:	04/16/2018
Estimated Completion Construction Date:	
If Unpermitted, Number of Months Unpermitted:	
Name of Receiving Water Body (Indicate whether 303(d) listed or high quality):	
Acres Currently Disturbed Acres to be Disturbed in Whole Common Plan:	
Has Operator Requested Rainfall Erosivity?	

PERMIT COVERAGE	Findings	Citation Reference**	R C A*	No. of Deficien- cies	Dollar Amount	Tota
Operator unpermitted formonths (# months unpermitted equals number of violations)		UAC R317-8-3.9(1), (6)(d)10, & (6)(e)			\$500.00 =	
SWPPP REVIEW		luz oon z				
2 SWPPP not prepared (If no SWMP, leave elements 3 - 24 blank and put "1" for deficiencies)		UT CGP 7.1.1			\$3,000.00 =	
3 SWPPP prepared but prepared after construction start (# of months = # of violations)		UT CGP 7.1.1 & 1.4			\$200.00 =	
4 SWPPP does not list and identify all potential sources of pollution to include: portable toilet, fuel tanks, egress points, staging areas, waste containers, chemical storage areas, concrete washout, paints, solvents, etc.		UT CGP 7,2,6			\$75,00 =	
5 SWPPP does not correctly identify owner and general contractor for the project site		UT CGP 1,1,1			\$50.00 =	
6 SWPPP does not have site description, as follows:						
Nature of activity in description (including disturbed & total acres)		UT CGP 7,2,2			\$40.00 =	
8 Intended sequence of major activities		UT CGP 7.2.4		E SATES	\$40.00 =	
9 Site Map		UT CGP 7.2.5	-		\$400.00 =	
10 Site map does not show boundaries of project & disturbances, drainage patterns, slopes, stockpiles, surface waters, inlets, egress points, storm water control measures, natural buffers, structures (to be constructed), stabilization practices, offsite staging, waste bins, equipment storage areas, discharge points, areas of final stabilization (each relevant omission is 1 violation)		UT CGP 7.2.5.a to h.			\$25.00 =	
11 SWPPP does not have location/description industria activities including concrete, asphalt batch plants, staging areas, equipment or supply storage, borrow areas (including those that are off-site).		UT CGP 7,2.5,a,vii			\$75.00 =	

Describ						
	P does not:					
land sh	be all pollution control measures (e.g. BMPs),	UT CGP 7,2,9,a			\$75.00	=
	ow details and specifications for proper			Barrey.		
	ation. (include 0.5 for description and 0.5 for & specifications for each BMP not provided in					
SWPP		· ·				
	P does not describe permanent and interim	UT CGP 7,2,9,c			\$75.00	_
		01 CGF 7,2,9,6			\$75,00	-
	ration practices P does not identify and show locations of the	UT CGP 7.2.7			\$100,00	_
	of non-storm water and discharge.	01 CGF 7.2.7			\$100.00	-
	P does not describe and show locations of	UT CGP 7.2.8			\$150.00	=
	buffer by water body(ies).	01 001 7,2,0			\$100.00	55
	P does not describe the use of treatment	UT CGP 7.2.9.b		100	\$100.00	=
chemic		01 001 7,2,5,5			4100,00	
	P does not have description of spill	UT CGP 7.2.10.a			\$50.00	=
	ition and response procedures.					
	P does not have a description of measures	UT CGP 7.2.10.b		2720	\$50.00	=
	Il be used to control waste on the site					385
	ng: demolition debris, spoils (unwanted dirt),		25			
	uction waste, hazardous or toxic waste, and					
	y waste, (include 1 for each deficiency).					
Journa	, made (morado / no/ adam damarama),					
SWPP	P does not describe inspection procedures	UT CGP 7.2.11			\$50.00	=
	ng inspectors (and certifications), inspection	07 001 1.2.11			455,55	
	ules, inspection forms or checklists, and					
	lures for corrective action. (1 violation for each	1 1				
				28.20		
deficie		LIT CCD 6 9 7 2 42			\$50.00	-
	P does not describe training of personnel	UT CGP 6 & 7.2.12			\$50.00	- T
	sible for conducting inspections, taking					
	tive action, applying or storing treatment					
	cals, and those involved with design;					
	ation; maintenance; and repair of storm water					
	measures.					
	of permit and/or NOI not in SWPPP (each	UT CGP 7.2.16			\$50.00	=
	on is 1 violation)					
	P has not been updated to match corrections	UT CGP 7.4.1			\$100.00	=
	odifications made on the site.					
23 Copy o	of SWPPP not retained on site per permit	UT CGP 7.3			\$300.00	=
condition	ons					
A SW	PPP not made available upon request	UT CGP 7,3			\$100.00	=
4 SWPP	P not signed/certified	UT CGP 7.2.15		S. Town	\$50.00	=
			-	ubtotal SV	VMP Deficienci	00
			3		AIMIL DELICIELICI	50
			3		WIN Delicienci	55
INSPE	CTIONS		3		VIMIT Delicienci	55
	CTIONS or of inspections not performed and	UT CGP 4.1.2. 4.1.3.	3			
25 Numbe	er of inspections not performed and	UT CGP 4.1.2, 4.1.3, 4.1.4	3		\$200.00	
25 Numbe docum	er of inspections not performed and tented either once every 7 days, or once every	UT CGP 4.1.2, 4.1.3, 4.1.4	3			
25 Numbe docum 14 day	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every is and within 24 hours after storm event		3			
25 Number docum 14 day greater	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every is and within 24 hours after storm event ir than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if:		3			
Number docum 14 day greater permai	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every is and within 24 hours after storm event ir than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter		3			
Number docum 14 day greater permai condition	er of inspections not performed and sented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event or than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized)		3			
Number docum 14 day greater permai condition (Count	er of inspections not performed and sented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event or than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one		3			
Number docum 14 day greater permais condition (Count	er of inspections not performed and sented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event or than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized)		3			
14 day greater permar condition (Count violation	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 s and within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) t each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows).		3		\$200,00	
25 Number docum 14 day greater permai condition (Count violation No i	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows).		3		\$200.00	
25 Number docum 14 day greater permai condition (Count violation No in true	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) t each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank):		3		\$200,00	
25 Number docum 14 day greater permai condition (Count violation No in true	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) t each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): her of inspections expected if performed		3		\$200.00	
Number docum 14 day greater permai condition (Count violation No in true	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) t each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank):		5		\$200.00	
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai conditii (Count violatic No i true Num evel	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) t each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): her of inspections expected if performed				\$200.00	
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai conditii (Count violatice Nun ever Nun human 14 day Number 15 day	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) 1 each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed by 7 days:				\$200.00	
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai conditii (Count violatic No i true Num evei Num biwe	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) 1 each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if 1 leave elements 26 to 29 blank): 1 leave elements 26 to 29 blank): 1 leave of inspections expected if performed 1 my 7 days: 1 leave of inspections expected if performed 1 leave of inspections expected if performed 1 leave of inspections expected if performed 1 leave		5		\$200.00	
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai conditi (Count violatic No i true Num evei Num biwe If kn	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7s and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) the each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if the leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed they 7 days: Inspections expected if performed elekly:		5		\$200.00	
Numbed docum 14 day greater permail conditi (Count violatic No i true Num even Num biwee If kn	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): niher of inspections expected if performed by 7 days: nober of inspections expected if performed eekly: Nown, number of days of rainfall of >0.5" that turred during the construction time.	4.1.4	5		\$200,000 True or False	=
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai conditii (Count violatic No i true Num evel Num biwe If km occi 26 Inspec	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inter of inspections expected if performed by 7 days: Inter of inspections expected if performed elekly: Inter of inspections of days of rainfall of >0.5" that burned during the construction time.	4.1.4 UT CGP 4.1.1			\$200,000 True or False	=
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai conditiin (Count violation No i true Num ever Num biwed If kin occi 26 Inspec 27 All area	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) the each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed the root of inspections expected if performed the root inspections expected in performed the root inspections are root inspections.	4.1.4			\$200,000 True or False	=
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai conditii (Count violatic No i true Num ever Num biwe If kn occi. 26 Inspec 27 All area storm v	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) to each failure to inspect and document as one on — see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed by 7 days: Inspections expected if performed beekly: Independent of inspections expected if performed deekly: Independent of days of rainfall of >0.5" that burred during the construction time. It is to conducted by qualified personnel as with construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support	4.1.4 UT CGP 4.1.1			\$200,000 True or False	=
Numbed docum 14 day greater permai condition (Count violation Number of Numb	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) 1 each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed by 7 days: Inspections expected if performed beakly: Inspections of days of rainfall of >0.5" that unred during the construction time. It is not conducted by qualified personnel as with construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water	4.1.4 UT CGP 4.1.1			\$200,000 True or False	=
Numbedocum 14 day greater permai conditii (Count violatic No i true Num evei Num evei If kn occi 27 All area storm v areas, flows, 1	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) 1 each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if 1 leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed between 1 expections expected if performed and 1 expections expected if performed expections expected if performed expections expected if performed expections on the construction time. It is not conducted by qualified personnel as with construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water	4.1.4 UT CGP 4.1.1	0		\$200,000 True or False	=
Numbedocum 14 day greater permail (Count violatic No i true Num evei Num biwe If kn occi 20 Inspec 27 All arei storm v areas, flows,	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) 1 each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if 1 leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed 1 more of inspections expected if performed 1 more of inspections expected if performed 1 performed 2	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Numbedocum 14 day greater permail conditii (Count violatic No i true Num ever Num biwwe If kn occo 26 Inspec 27 All area storm v areas, flows, i dischal	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): neber of inspections expected if performed by 7 days: nown, number of days of rainfall of >0.5" that the urred during the construction time. It is the construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water rge points not inspection reports (date, name and	4.1.4 UT CGP 4.1.1			\$200,000 True or False	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Numbedocum 14 day greater permai condition (Count violation No i true Num ever Num biwe If kn occi 26 Inspec 27 All area storm v areas, flows, 1 dischala 28 Incomp qualific	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7 sand within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): neer of inspections expected if performed by 7 days: neer of inspections expected if performed exekly: nown, number of days of rainfall of >0.5" that the unred during the construction time. It is the construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water reperiors not inspected.	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Number docum 14 day greater permai conditiin (Count violation No i true Num ever Num biwer 15 km occi 26 Inspect 27 All areas, flows, 1 dischal 28 Incomp qualific location location of the county of	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7s and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) to each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): neer of inspections expected if performed by 7 days: Indeer of inspections expected if performed eachly: Indeer of inspections expected if performed eachly: Interest during the construction time. It is a with construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water gree points not inspected. Polete site inspection, weather information, or of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s)	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
25 Numbedocum 14 day greater permail (Count violatic No i true Num ever Num ever Storm storm storm dischal 28 Incomp qualific locatio requirir	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 8 and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) 1 each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if 1 leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed only 7 days: Inspections expected if performed only 8 days on the construction time. It is as with construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water rige points not inspected. Delete site inspection reports (date, name and cations of inspector, weather information, not sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) ing maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed,	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
25 Numbedocum 14 day greater permai conditii (Count violatic No i true Num ever Num ever Storm occc 26 Inspec 27 All area storm dischal 28 Incomp qualific locatiol requirir BMP(s	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7s and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) the each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if the leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed only 7 days: Inspections expected if performed only 8 days: Inspections expected if performed only 10 days: Inspection on the construction time. Inspection on the construction time on the construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water rige points not inspected. Inspection reports (date, name and cations of inspector, weather information, of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) and maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed, that are needed, corrective action required	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Number docum 14 day greater permai conditi (Count violatic Number ever Number ever Number ever ever ever ever ever ever ever e	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7s and within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed by 7 days: Inspections expected if performed beakly: Inspections of inspections expected if performed beakly: Inspections not conducted by qualified personnel as with construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water rege points not inspected. Inspection reports (date, name and bations of inspector, weather information, of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) and maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed, by that have failed, or changes/updates to SWPPP and	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
Number docum 14 day greater permai conditi (Count violatic Number ever Number ever Number ever ever ever ever ever ever ever e	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7s and within 24 hours after storm event 1 than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) the each failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if the leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed only 7 days: Inspections expected if performed only 8 days: Inspections expected if performed only 10 days: Inspection on the construction time. Inspection on the construction time on the construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water rige points not inspected. Inspection reports (date, name and cations of inspector, weather information, of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) and maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed, that are needed, corrective action required	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
25 Number docum 14 day greater permai conditi (Count violatic No i true Num ever Num ever 15 km occu 26 Inspec 27 All area storm areas, flows, i dischar 28 Incomp qualific location requirir BMP(si includity schedu. 29 Inspec 20 Inspec 29 Inspec 29 Inspec 20 Inspec 2	er of inspections not performed and lented either once every 7 days, or once every 7s and within 24 hours after storm event r than 0.5 inches or greater (not required if: nent stabilization; runoff unlikely due to winter ons; once a month if temporarily stabilized) teach failure to inspect and document as one on see next 5 rows). Inspections conducted and documented (if leave elements 26 to 29 blank): Inspections expected if performed by 7 days: Inspections expected if performed beakly: Inspections of inspections expected if performed beakly: Inspections not conducted by qualified personnel as with construction activity disturbance, water control measures, construction support exposed to precipitation with storm water temporarily stabilized areas, and storm water rege points not inspected. Inspection reports (date, name and bations of inspector, weather information, of sediment/pollutant discharge, BMP(s) and maintenance, BMP(s) that have failed, by that have failed, or changes/updates to SWPPP and	UT CGP 4.1.1 UT CGP 4.1.5			\$200.00 True or False \$40.00	

			Subtotal Inspect	ons Deficiencies	\$0
AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS					
30 Sign/notice not posted	No signage posted	UT CGP 1.5	1 1 1	\$100.00 =	\$100
Does not contain copy of complete NOI		UT CGP 7.2.16		\$40.00 =	
Contact information on site sign/notice was not available.		UT CGP 1.5		\$40.00 =	
	*		Subtotal Rec	ords Deficiencies	\$100

31	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES No velocity dissipation devices located at discharge		UT CGP 2,1,1		\$100,00 =	
- 1	locations or outfall channels to ensure non-erosive					
_	flow to receiving water					
	Control measures are not properly	tracking controls not installed on 4 lots.				
	Selected, installed and maintained	Sediment stockpile missing perimeter controls, 2 dumpsters with broken lid	UT CGP 2,1,1	7	\$100.00 =	\$700
	Maintenance not performed regular enough to maintain effectiveness of BMPs	were found onsite.	UT CGP 2.1.1		\$100.00 =	
	(count each failure to select, install, maintain each BMP as one violation					
	When sediment escapes the site from track out or bypassing BMPs, it is not removed at a frequency necessary to minimize off-site impacts	Sediment tracked onto road and not removed on 7 lots	UT CGP 2.1.2	7	\$100.00 =	\$700
	Natrual vegetated buffer is not present adjacent to bordering water bodies (or substituted equivalent BMPs.		UT CGP 2.1.2		\$100.00	
	Litter, construction debris, and construction chemicals exposed to storm water are not prevented from becoming a pollutant source (e.g. screening outfalls, pickup daily, etc.)	Concrete washout was dumped on four lots onsite	UT CGP 2,3,3	4	\$100.00 =	\$400
-	Stabilization measures are not initiated within 14- days on portions of the site where construction activities have temporarily or permanently ceased		UT CGP 2.2.1 & 2		\$500,00 =	
	*Exceptions:		Para Marianta			
	(a) Snow or frozen ground conditions		BUTTER SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE SE			
- 1	(b) Activities will be resumed immediately after					
ļ	the 14 days					
	(c) Arid or Semi-arid areas (<20 inches per year)					
	If a sedimentation basin is used, it is not designed for the 2 year, 24 hour storm, or 3600 cubic ft. storage per acre drained.		UT CGP 2.1.3		\$100.00 =	
	Where a sedimentation basin is not used, smaller sediment basins, sediment traps, or erosion controls		UT CGP 2.1.1		\$100.00 =	
	not implemented for downslope boundaries Sediment not removed from sediment basin or traps		UT CGP 2.1.2.b.ii &		\$100.00 =	
	when design capacity reduced by 50% or more		2.1.3.b.ii		\$100.00	
	Common Drainage does not have sediment traps, silt fences, vegetative buffer strips, or equivalent sediment controls for all down slope boundaries (not required if sedimentation sediment basin meeting criteria in 37 above)		UT CGP 2.1.1		\$100.00 =	

ADDITIONAL FACTORS	12		
39 Is the project over 30 acres? (True or False)	Add 15% to penalty		\$(
40 Is the project over 100 acres? (True or False)	Add 50% more to penalty		\$0
Does the project border on a water body? (Trui False)	e or Add 20% to penalty		\$0
42 Significant Economic Benefit	Economic Benefit entries over \$200 enter in column "H" from separate BEN worksheet (inluded if value)		\$199.00
		Total Expedited	Settlement: \$1,900