Utah Division of Water Quality Statement of Basis ADDENDUM Wasteload Analysis and Antidegradation Level I Review

Date:	January 29, 2018
Prepared by:	Dave Wham Survices Standards a nd Te chnical Services
Facility:	Centerfield Regional Culinary Water Treatment Plant UPDES No. UT-0025704
Receiving water:	Twelve Mile Creek (2B, 3C, 3D, 4)

This addendum summarizes the wasteload analysis that was performed to determine water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL) for this discharge. Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses (UAC R317-2-8). Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

Discharge

001 Reverse osmosis retort water discharge: 0.33 MGD

Receiving Water

The receiving water for the discharge is Twelve Mile Creek.

Per UAC R317-2-13.6(a), the designated beneficial uses of San Pitch River and tributaries, from confluence with Sevier River to Highway U-132 crossing (with exceptions) are 2B, 3C, 3D, 4.

- Class 2B Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- Class 3C Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- Class 3D -- Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Centerfield Regional Culinary Water Treatment Plant UPDES No. UT0025704

• Class 4 - Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

Typically, the critical flow for the wasteload analysis is considered the lowest stream flow for seven consecutive days with a ten year return frequency (7Q10). Due to a lack of flow records for Twelvemile Creek, the 20th percentile of available flow measurements from DWQ monitoring station #4946160, TWELVE MILE CK @ U-137 XING IN MAYFIELD, was calculated from available flow data from the period 2001-2007. Ambient upstream water quality was characterized using the same data set.

The critical low flow condition for discharge 001 is 4.0 cfs.

TMDL

According to the Utah's 2016 303(d) Water Quality Assessment Report, the receiving water for the discharge, San Pitch River and tributaries from confluence with Sevier River to tailwaters of Gunnison Reservoir (excluding all of Sixmile Creek and Twelvemile Creek above USFS boundary), (AU UT16030004-001_00) is listed as impaired for total dissolved solids (TDS). A TMDL addressing TDS for the San Pitch River was completed November 18, 2003.

A Level II Antidegradation review of the proposed Centerfield Drinking Water Plant discharge was completed in October 2007 That review found that the discharge would not have a detrimental impact on the San Pitch River TMDL. As detailed in that document, nearly 100 percent of the water in 12 Mile Creek is diverted into the Highland Canal during the irrigation season. It is reasonable to assume that the majority of the diverted water is consumed and that a negligible amount reaches the San Pitch River in the form of irrigation return flows. Therefore, it is unlikely that the higher TDS concentrations on the RO retort effluent will have an impact on the San Pitch River via the lower section of 12 Mile Creek. This scenario is unlikely to have an impact on established TMDLs based on the fact that spring flows are much greater than the RO effluent and net TDS concentrations should be well below the established TMDL Criteria.

Mixing Zone

The maximum allowable mixing zone is 15 minutes of travel time for acute conditions, not to exceed 50% of stream width, and for chronic conditions, per UAC R317-2-5. Water quality standards must be met at the end of the mixing zone. The mixing zone model showed complete mixing within 2,500 feet for chronic conditions. Acute limits were calculated using 50% of the seasonal critical low flow.

Parameters of Concern

The potential parameter of concern identified for the discharge was TDS, as determined by the impairment status of the receiving water.

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Centerfield Regional Culinary Water Treatment Plant UPDES No. UT0025704

WET Limits

The percent of effluent in the receiving water in a fully mixed condition, and acute and chronic dilution in a not fully mixed condition are calculated in the WLA in order to generate WET limits. The LC_{50} (lethal concentration, 50%) percent effluent for acute toxicity and the IC_{25} (inhibition concentration, 25%) percent effluent for chronic toxicity, as determined by the WET test, needs to be below the WET limits, as determined by the WLA. The WET limit for LC_{50} is typically 100% effluent and does not need to be determined by the WLA.

IC25 WET limits for Outfall 001 should be based on 10.4% effluent.

Wasteload Allocation Methods

Effluent limits were determined for conservative constituents using a simple mass balance mixing analysis (UDWQ 2012). The mass balance analysis is summarized in the Wasteload Addendums.

The water quality standard for chronic ammonia toxicity is dependent on temperature and pH, and the water quality standard for acute ammonia toxicity is dependent on pH. The AMMTOX Model developed by University of Colorado and adapted by Utah DWQ and EPA Region VIII was used to determine ammonia effluent limits (Lewis et al. 2002). The analysis is summarized in the Wasteload Addendum.

Models and supporting documentation are available for review upon request.

Antidegradation Level I Review

The objective of the Level I ADR is to ensure the protection of existing uses, defined as the beneficial uses attained in the receiving water on or after November 28, 1975. No evidence is known that the existing uses deviate from the designated beneficial uses for the receiving water. Therefore, the beneficial uses will be protected if the discharge remains below the WQBELs presented in this wasteload.

A Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR) is not required for this facility. The proposed permit is a simple renewal of an existing UPDES permit. No increase in flow or concentration of pollutants over those authorized in the the existing permit is being requested.

Documents:

WLA Document: Centerfield _WLADoc_12-29-17.docx Wasteload Analysis and Addendums: Centerfield _WLA_12-29-17.xlsm

References:

Utah Division of Water Quality. 2012. Utah Wasteload Analysis Procedures Version 1.0.

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA] Addendum: Statement of Basis SUMMARY

Discharging Facility: UPDES No:	Centerfield Regional Culinary Water Treatment Plant UT-0025704					
Current Flow:	0.30	MGD Design Flow				
Design Flow	0.30	MGD				
Receiving Water:	Twelve-mile	Creek				
Stream Classification:	2B, 3B, 3D, 4					
Stream Flows [cfs]:	4.0	Summer (July-Sept)	20th Percentile		
• •	4.0	Fall (Oct-D	Dec)	20th Percentile		
	4.0	Winter (Ja	in-Mar)	20th Percentile		
	4.0	Spring (Ap	or-June)	20th Percentile		
	13.0	Average				
Stream TDS Values:	274.0	Summer (July-Sept)	Mean		
	274.0	Fall (Oct-D	Dec)	Mean		
	274.0	Winter (Ja	in-Mar)	Mean		
	274.0	Spring (Ap	or-June)	Mean		
Effluent Limits:				WQ Standard:		
Flow, MGD:	0.30	MGD	Design Flor	w		
BOD, mg/l:	25.0	Summer	5.0	Indicator		
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/	I 4.0	Summer	5.5	30 Day Average		
TNH3, Chronic, mg/l:	56.0	Summer	Varies	Function of pH and Temperature		
TDS, mg/l:	9181.0	Summer	1200.0			

Modeling Parameters:

Acute River Width:	50.0%
Chronic River Width:	100.0%

Level 1 Antidegradation Level Completed: Level II Review not required

Date: 1/29/2018

•

WASTELOAD ANALYSIS [WLA] Addendum: Statement of Basis

29-Jan-18
4:00 PM

THIS IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT

Facilities: Centerfield Regional Culinary Water Treatment Plant UPDES No: UT-0025704 Discharging to: Twelve-mile Creek UPDES No: UT-0025704

I. Introduction

Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses [R317-2-8, UAC]. Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The anti-degradation policy and procedures are also considered. The primary in-stream parameters of concern may include metals (as a function of hardness), total dissolved solids (TDS), total residual chlorine (TRC), un-ionized ammonia (as a function of pH and temperature, measured and evaluated interms of total ammonia), and dissolved oxygen.

Mathematical water quality modeling is employed to determine stream quality response to point source discharges. Models aid in the effort of anticipating stream quality at future effluent flows at critical environmental conditions (e.g., low stream flow, high temperature, high pH, etc).

The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may always be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

II. Receiving Water and Stream Classification

Twelve-mile Creek:	2B, 3B, 3D, 4
Antidegradation Review:	Antidegradation Level II Review is NOT Required

Ill. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Aquatic Wildlife

Total Ammonia (TNH3)	Varies as a function of Temperature and pH Rebound. See Water Quality Standards
Chronic Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)	0.011 mg/l (4 Day Average) 0.019 mg/l (1 Hour Average)
Chronic Dissolved Oxygen (DO)	5.50 mg/l (30 Day Average) 4.00 mg/l (7Day Average) 3.00 mg/l (1 Day Average
Maximum Total Dissolved Solids	1200.0 mg/l

Acute and Chronic Heavy Metals (Dissolved)

	4 Day Average (Chronic	1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard			
Parameter	Concentration	Load*	Concentration		Load*
Aluminum	87.00 ug/l**	0.218 lbs/day	750.00	ug/l	1.876 lbs/day
Arsenic	·	0.475 lbs/day	340.00	ug/l	0.851 lbs/day
Cadmium		0.001 lbs/day	4.88	ug/l	0.012 lbs/day
Chromium III	167.82 ug/l	0.420 lbs/day	3511.04	ug/l	8.783 lbs/day
ChromiumVI	11.00 ug/l	0.028 lbs/day	16.00	ug/l	0.040 lbs/day
Copper	18.70 ug/l	0.047 lbs/day	30.13	ug/l	0.075 lbs/day
Iron			1000.00	ug/l	2.501 lbs/day
Lead	8.96 ug/l	0.022 lbs/day	230.04	ug/l	0.575 lbs/day
Mercury	0.0120 ug/l	0.000 lbs/day	2.40	ug/l	0.006 lbs/day
Nickel	103.83 ug/l	0.260 lbs/day	933.91	ug/l	2.336 lbs/day
Selenium	4.60 ug/l	0.012 lbs/day	20.00	ug/l	0.050 lbs/day
Silver	N/A ug/I	N/A lbs/day	15.34	ug/l	0.038 lbs/day
Zinc	238.75 ug/l	0.597 lbs/day	238.75	ug/l	0.597 lbs/day
* Allov	ved below discharge				

**Chronic Aluminum standard applies only to waters with a pH < 7.0 and a Hardness < 50 mg/l as CaCO3

Metals Standards Based upon a Hardness of 225.63 mg/l as CaCO3

Organics [Pesticides]

4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard				
Parameter	Concen	tration	Load*	Concentration		Load*
Aldrin				1.500	ug/l	0.004 lbs/day
Chlordane	0.004	ug/l	0.103 lbs/day	1.200	ug/l	0.003 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	0.001	ug/l	0.024 lbs/day	0.550	ug/l	0.001 lbs/day
Dieldrin	0.002	ug/l	0.046 lbs/day	1.250	ug/l	0.003 lbs/day
Endosulfan	0.056	ug/l	1.347 lbs/day	0.110	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Endrin	0.002	ug/l	0.055 lbs/day	0.090	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Guthion				0.010	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Heptachlor	0.004	ug/l	0.091 lbs/day	0.260	ug/l	0.001 lbs/day
Lindane	0.080	ug/l	1.925 lbs/day	1.000	ug/l	0.003 lbs/day
Methoxychlor				0.030	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Mirex				0.010	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
Parathion				0.040	ug/l	0.000 lbs/day
PCB's	0.014	ug/l	0.337 lbs/day	2.000	ug/l	0.005 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	13.00	ug/l	312.799 lbs/day	20.000	ug/l	0.050 lbs/day
Toxephene	0.0002	ug/l	0.005 lbs/day	0.7300	ug/l	0.002 lbs/day

IV. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Agriculture

4	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Ac	ute) Standard
	Concentration	Load*	Concentration	Load*
Arsenic			100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Boron	51		750.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Cadmium			10.0 ug/l	0.01 lbs/day
Chromium			100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Copper			200.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Lead			100.0 ug/l	lbs/day
Selenium			50.0 ug/l	lbs/day
TDS, Summer			1200.0 mg/l	1.50 tons/day

V. Numeric Stream Standards for Protection of Human Health (Class 1C Waters)

4	4 Day Average (Chronic) Standard		1 Hour Average (Acute) Standard		
Metals	Concentration	Load*	Concentration	Load*	
Arsenic			ug/l	lbs/day	
Barium			ug/l	lbs/day	
Cadmium			ug/l	lbs/day	
Chromium			ug/l	lbs/day	
Lead			ug/l	lbs/day	
Mercury			ug/l	lbs/day	
Selenium			ug/l	lbs/day	
Silver			ug/l	lbs/day	
Fluoride (3)			ug/l	lbs/day	
to			ug/l	lbs/day	
Nitrates as N			ug/l	lbs/day	
Chlorophenoxy Herbicid	es				
2,4-D			ug/l	lbs/day	
2,4,5-TP			ug/l	lbs/day	
Endrin			ug/l	lbs/day	
ocyclohexane (Lindane)			ug/l	lbs/day	
Methoxychlor			ug/l	lbs/day	
Toxaphene			ug/l	lbs/day	

VI. Numeric Stream Standards the Protection of Human Health from Water & Fish Consumption [Toxics]

	Maximum Conc., ug/l - Acute Standards				
	Class 1C	5.0% (1). 14		Class 3A	, 3B
Toxic Organics	[2 Liters/Day for 70 Kg F	Person over 70 Yr.]	[6.5 g	for 70 K	g Person over 70 Yr.]
Acenaphthene	ug/l	lbs/day	2700.0	ug/l	64.97 lbs/day
Acrolein	ug/l	lbs/day	780.0	ug/l	18.77 lbs/day
Acrylonitrile	ug/l	lbs/day	0.7	ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Benzene	ug/l	lbs/day	71.0	ug/l	1.71 lbs/day
Benzidine	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Carbon tetrachloride	ug/l	lbs/day	4.4	ug/l	0.11 lbs/day
Chlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	21000.0	ug/l	505.29 lbs/day
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene					
Hexachlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	99.0	ug/l	2.38 lbs/day

1,1,1-Trichloroethane		lhe/dev	0.0		0.21 lbs/day
Hexachloroethane 1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/l	lbs/day	0.9	ug/l	0.21 lbs/day
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	110/	lbs/day	42.0	110/	1.01 lbs/day
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethai	ug/l	lbs/day	42.0	1000	0.26 lbs/day
Chloroethane	ug/l	ib5/day	0.0	ug/l ug/l	0.20 lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	110/1	lbs/day	1.4	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2-Chloronaphthalene	ug/l ug/l	lbs/day	4300.0	ug/l	103.46 lbs/day
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	4300.0	ug/l	0.16 lbs/day
p-Chloro-m-cresol	ugn	105/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Chloroform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	470.0	ug/l	11.31 lbs/day
2-Chlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	400.0	ug/l	9.62 lbs/day
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	17000.0	ug/l	409.05 lbs/day
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	2600.0	ug/l	62.56 lbs/day
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	2600.0	ug/l	62.56 lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ug/l	lbs/day	0.1	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.08 lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethyle	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	790.0	-	19.01 lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/l	lbs/day	39.0		0.94 lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	ug/l	lbs/day	1700.0	ug/l	40.90 lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ug/l	lbs/day	2300.0	ug/l	55.34 lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	2300.0	ug/l	0.22 lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.22 lbs/day 0.00 lbs/day
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	ug/l	lbs/day	0.5	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	29000.0	ug/l	697.78 lbs/day
Fluoranthene	ug/l	lbs/day	370.0		8.90 lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ugn	103/ddy	570.0	ugn	0.00 103/049
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		(2 2))			
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) e	ug/l	lbs/day	170000.0	ug/l	4090.45 lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) met	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Methylene chloride (HM	ug/l	lbs/day	1600.0	ug/l	38.50 lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Methyl bromide (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Bromoform (HM)	ug/l	lbs/day	360.0		8.66 lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane	ug/l	lbs/day	22.0		0.53 lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane	ug/l	lbs/day	34.0		0.82 lbs/day
Hexachlorobutadiene(c)	ug/l	lbs/day	50.0	25-51 CO. 1107	1.20 lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadi	ug/l	lbs/day	17000.0		409.05 lbs/day
Isophorone	ug/l	lbs/day	600.0		14.44 lbs/day
Naphthalene	ugn	isorday	000.0	ugn	14.44 100/00/
Nitrobenzene	ug/l	lbs/day	1900.0	ua/l	45.72 lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4-Nitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ug/l	lbs/day	14000.0	ug/l	336.86 lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	ug/l	lbs/day	765.0	ug/l	18.41 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	8.1	ug/l	0.19 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ug/l	lbs/day	16.0	ug/l	0.38 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylami	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.03 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.20 lbs/day
	~9.1	isor day	0.2	~9/1	0.20 100/044

Phenol	ug/l	lbs/day	4.6E+06	-	1.11E+05 lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthala	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.14 lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	5200.0	ug/l	125.12 lbs/day
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	12000.0	ug/l	288.74 lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthlate					
Diethyl phthalate	ug/l	lbs/day	120000.0	ug/l	2887.38 lbs/day
Dimethyl phthlate	ug/l	lbs/day	2.9E+06	ug/l	6.98E+04 lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (P/	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (F	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	0.000	0.00 lbs/day
Acenaphthylene (PAH)	39.1	no or did y	0.0	u.g.i	0.00 100/04
Anthracene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ua/l	0.00 lbs/day
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
The second second reaction of the second	ug/l	a state to the state of the sta		A DOMESTIC AND A DOMESTICA AND	PERSONAL PROPERTY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A
Pyrene (PAH)	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	264.68 lbs/day
Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.21 lbs/day
Toluene	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	4812.30 lbs/day
Trichloroethylene	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	1.95 lbs/day
Vinyl chloride	ug/l	lbs/day	525.0	ug/l	12.63 lbs/day
_					lbs/day
Pesticides					lbs/day
Aldrin	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	CONTROL MARK	0.00 lbs/day
Dieldrin	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Chlordane	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4,4'-DDT	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4,4'-DDE	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
4,4'-DDD	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
alpha-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day	2.0	ug/l	0.05 lbs/day
beta-Endosulfan	ug/l	lbs/day	2.0	ug/l	0.05 lbs/day
Endosulfan sulfate	ug/l	lbs/day	2.0	ug/l	0.05 lbs/day
Endrin	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Endrin aldehyde	ug/l	lbs/day	0.8	ug/l	0.02 lbs/day
Heptachlor	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Heptachlor epoxide	5			5	
S PINE PERCENCICE DE MINISCRIPPIC					
PCB's					
PCB 1242 (Arochlor 124	ug/l	lbs/day	0.0	ua/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1254 (Arochlor 12	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1221 (Arochlor 122	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1232 (Arochlor 12:	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1248 (Arochlor 124	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1260 (Arochlor 12	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
PCB-1016 (Arochlor 10'	ug/l	lbs/day		ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
	ugh	ibbiday	0.0	ugn	0.00 Ibs/day
Pesticide		.*			
Toxaphene	ug/l		0.0	ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
2012/02/2017 012/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02/02	- 3		0.0		
Dioxin					
Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)	ug/l	lbs/day			
The first of the second s	- 3				

Metals				
Antimony	ug/l	lbs/day		
Arsenic	ug/l	lbs/day	4300.00 ug/l	103.46 lbs/day
Asbestos	ug/l	lbs/day		
Beryllium				
Cadmium				
Chromium (III)			*	
Chromium (VI)				
Copper				
Cyanide	ug/l	lbs/day	2.2E+05 ug/l	5293.53 lbs/day
Lead	ug/l	lbs/day		
Mercury			0.15 ug/l	0.00 lbs/day
Nickel			4600.00 ug/l	110.68 lbs/day
Selenium	ug/l	lbs/day		
Silver	ug/l	lbs/day		
Thallium			6.30 ug/l	0.15 lbs/day
Zinc				

There are additional standards that apply to this receiving water, but were not considered in this modeling/waste load allocation analysis.

VII. Mathematical Modeling of Stream Quality

Model configuration was accomplished utilizing standard modeling procedures. Data points were plotted and coefficients adjusted as required to match observed data as closely as possible.

The modeling approach used in this analysis included one or a combination of the following models.

(1) The Utah River Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992. Based upon STREAMDO IV (Region VIII) and Supplemental Ammonia Toxicity Models; EPA Region VIII, Sept. 1990 and QUAL2E (EPA, Athens, GA).

- (2) Utah Ammonia/Chlorine Model, Utah Division of Water Quality, 1992.
- (3) AMMTOX Model, University of Colorado, Center of Limnology, and EPA Region 8
- (4) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al. Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

Coefficients used in the model were based, in part, upon the following references:

(1) Rates, Constants, and Kinetics Formulations in Surface Water Quality Modeling. Environmental Research Laboratory, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens Georgia. EPA/600/3-85/040 June 1985.

(2) Principles of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control. Robert V. Thomann, et.al. Harper Collins Publisher, Inc. 1987, pp. 644.

VIII. Modeling Information

The required information for the model may include the following information for both the upstream conditions at low flow and the effluent conditions:

Flow, Q, (cfs or MGD)	D.O. mg/l
Temperature, Deg. C.	Total Residual Chlorine (TRC), mg/l
pH	Total NH3-N, mg/l
BOD5, mg/l	Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), mg/l
Metals, ug/l	Toxic Organics of Concern, ug/I
V 364	2

Other Conditions

In addition to the upstream and effluent conditions, the models require a variety of physical and biological coefficients and other technical information. In the process of actually establishing the permit limits for an effluent, values are used based upon the available data, model calibration, literature values, site visits and best professional judgement. **Model Inputs**

The following is upstream and discharge information that was utilized as inputs for the analysis. Dry washes are considered to have an upstream flow equal to the flow of the discharge.

Current Upstream	Information Stream Critical Low							
	Flow	Temp.	рН	T-NH3	BOD5	DO	TRC	TDS
	cfs	Deg. C		mg/l as N	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l
Summer (Irrig. Season)	4.0	20.0	8.2	0.10	0.50	6.76	0.00	274.0
Fall	4.0	12.0	8.1	0.10	0.50		0.00	274.0
Winter	4.0	4.0	8.0	0.10	0.50		0.00	274.0
Spring	4.0	12.0	8.1	0.10	0.50		0.00	274.0
Dissolved	AI	As	Cd	Crlll	CrVI	Copper	Fe	Pb
Metals	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l
All Seasons	1.59*	0.53*	0.053*	0.53*	2.65*	0.53*	0.83*	0.53*
Dissolved	Hg	Ni	Se	Ag	Zn	Boron		
Metals	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l	ug/l		
All Seasons	0.0000	0.53*	1.06*	0.1*	0.053*	10.0	*	1/2 MDL

Projected Discharge Information

Season	Flow, MGD	Temp.	TDS mg/l	TDS tons/day
Summer	0.30000	12.0	0.00	0.00000
Fall	0.30000	12.0		
Winter	0.30000	12.0		
Spring	0.30000	12.0		

All model numerical inputs, intermediate calculations, outputs and graphs are available for discussion, inspection and copy at the Division of Water Quality.

IX. Effluent Limitations

Current State water quality standards are required to be met under a variety of conditions including in-stream flows targeted to the 7-day, 10-year low flow (R317-2-9).

Other conditions used in the modeling effort coincide with the environmental conditions expected at low stream flows.

Effluent Limitation for Flow based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments will be met with an effluent flow maximum value as follows:

Season	Daily Avera	Daily Average		
Summer	0.300 MGD	0.464 cfs		
Fall	0.300 MGD	0.464 cfs		
Winter	0.300 MGD	0.464 cfs		
Spring	0.300 MGD	0.464 cfs		

Flow Requirement or Loading Requirement

The calculations in this wasteload analysis utilize the maximum effluent discharge flow of 0.3 MGD. If the discharger is allowed to have a flow greater than 0.3 MGD during 7Q10 conditions, and effluent limit concentrations as indicated, then water quality standards will be violated. In order to prevent this from occuring, the permit writers must include the discharge flow limititation as indicated above; or, include loading effluent limits in the permit.

Effluent Limitation for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) based upon WET Policy

Effluent Toxicity will not occur in downstream segements if the values below are met.

WET Requirements	LC50 >	100.0% Effluent	[Acute]
	IC25 >	10.4% Effluent	[Chronic]

Effluent Limitation for Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) based upon Water Quality Standards or Regulations

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent BOD limitation as follows:

Season	Concentration	
Summer	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	62.5 lbs/day
Fall	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	62.5 lbs/day
Winter	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	62.5 lbs/day
Spring	25.0 mg/l as BOD5	62.5 lbs/day

Effluent Limitation for Dissolved Oxygen (DO) based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Oxygen will be met with an effluent D.O. limitation as follows:

Season	Concentration
Summer	4.00
Fall	4.00
Winter	4.00
Spring	4.00

Effluent Limitation for Total Ammonia based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Ammonia will be met with an effluent limitation (expressed as Total Ammonia as N) as follows:

Seas	on				
	Concent	ration		Load	ł
Summer	4 Day Avg Chronic	56.0	mg/I as N	140.0	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	234.8	mg/l as N	587.4	lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg Chronic	69.0	mg/l as N	172.5	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	231.4	mg/l as N	578.9	lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg Chronic	68.3	mg/l as N	170.8	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	229.3	mg/l as N	573.6	lbs/day
Spring	4 Day Avg Chronic	69.0	mg/l as N	0.0	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	231.4	mg/l as N	0.0	lbs/day

Acute limit calculated with an Acute Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID) to be equal to 50.%.

Effluent Limitation for Total Residual Chlorine based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Total Residual Chlorine will be met with an effluent limitation as follows:

Season		Concentration		Load	
Summer	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.105	mg/l	0.26	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	0.100	mg/l	0.25	lbs/day
Fall	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.105	mg/l	0.26	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	0.100	mg/l	0.25	lbs/day
Winter	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.105	mg/l	0.26	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	0.100	mg/l	0.25	lbs/day
Spring	4 Day Avg Chronic	0.105	mg/l	0.00	lbs/day
	1 Hour Avg Acute	0.100	mg/l	0.00	lbs/day

Effluent Limitations for Total Dissolved Solids based upon Water Quality Standards

Season		Concentra	Concentration		d
Summer	Maximum, Acute	9181.0	mg/l	11.48	tons/day
Fall	Maximum, Acute	9181.0	mg/l	11.48	tons/day
Winter	Maximum, Acute	9181.0	mg/l	11.48	tons/day
Spring	Maximum, Acute	9181.0	mg/l	11.48	tons/day
Colorado S	alinity Forum Limits	Determine	d by Permit	ting Section	

Effluent Limitations for Total Recoverable Metals based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Dissolved Metals will be met with an effluent limitation as follows (based upon a hardness of 225.63 mg/l):

	4 Day Average			1 Hour			
	Concen	tration	Load	Concentration		Load	
Aluminum	N/A		N/A	3,971.8	ug/l	9.9	lbs/day
Arsenic	1,820.73	ug/l	2.9 lbs/day	1,801.8	ug/l		lbs/day
Cadmium	4.07	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	25.6	ug/l		lbs/day
Chromium III	1,607.34	ug/l	2.6 lbs/day	18,638.1	ug/l	46.6	lbs/day
Chromium VI	71.55	ug/l	0.1 lbs/day	67.8	ug/l	0.2	lbs/day
Copper	173.01	ug/l	0.3 lbs/day	156.6	ug/l	0.4	lbs/day
Iron	N/A		N/A	5,304.0	ug/l	13.3	lbs/day
Lead	79.37	ug/l	0.1 lbs/day	1,217.9	ug/l	3.0	lbs/day
Mercury	0.12	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	12.7	ug/l	0.0	lbs/day
Nickel	991.90	ug/l	1.6 lbs/day	4,955.1	ug/l	12.4	lbs/day
Selenium	30.54	ug/l	0.0 lbs/day	99.3	ug/l	0.2	lbs/day
Silver	N/A	ug/l	N/A lbs/day	81.4	ug/l	0.2	lbs/day

Zinc	2,295.83 ug/l	3.7 lbs/day	1,267.3	ug/l	3.2 lbs/day
Cyanide	50.02 ug/l	0.1 lbs/day	116.8	ug/l	0.3 lbs/day

Effluent Limitations for Heat/Temperature based upon Water Quality Standards

Summer	30.6 Deg. C.	87.1 Deg. F
Fall	22.6 Deg. C.	72.7 Deg. F
Winter	14.6 Deg. C.	58.3 Deg. F
Spring	22.6 Deg. C.	72.7 Deg. F

Effluent Limitations for Organics [Pesticides] Based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Organics [Pesticides] will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	4 Day Average		1 Hour Av		
	Concentration	Load	Concentration		Load
Aldrin			1.5E+00	ug/l	5.80E-03 lbs/day
Chlordane	4.30E-03 ug/l	1.08E-02 lbs/day	1.2E+00	ug/l	4.64E-03 lbs/day
DDT, DDE	1.00E-03 ug/l	2.50E-03 lbs/day	5.5E-01	ug/l	2.13E-03 lbs/day
Dieldrin	1.90E-03 ug/l	4.75E-03 lbs/day	1.3E+00	ug/l	4.84E-03 lbs/day
Endosulfan	5.60E-02 ug/l	1.40E-01 lbs/day	1.1E-01	ug/l	4.26E-04 lbs/day
Endrin	2.30E-03 ug/l	5.75E-03 lbs/day	9.0E-02	ug/l	3.48E-04 lbs/day
Guthion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	3.87E-05 lbs/day
Heptachlor	3.80E-03 ug/l	9.51E-03 lbs/day	2.6E-01	ug/l	1.01E-03 lbs/day
Lindane	8.00E-02 ug/l	2.00E-01 lbs/day	1.0E+00	ug/l	3.87E-03 lbs/day
Methoxychlor	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	3.0E-02	ug/l	1.16E-04 lbs/day
Mirex	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	1.0E-02	ug/l	3.87E-05 lbs/day
Parathion	0.00E+00 ug/l	0.00E+00 lbs/day	4.0E-02	ug/l	1.55E-04 lbs/day
PCB's	1.40E-02 ug/l	3.50E-02 lbs/day	2.0E+00	ug/l	7.74E-03 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	1.30E+01 ug/l	3.25E+01 lbs/day	2.0E+01	ug/l	7.74E-02 lbs/day
Toxephene	2.00E-04 ug/l	5.00E-04 lbs/day	7.3E-01	ug/l	2.82E-03 lbs/day

Effluent Targets for Pollution Indicators Based upon Water Quality Standards

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Pollution Indicators will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

	1 Hour Average			
	Concentration	Loading		
Gross Beta (pCi/l)	50.0 pCi/L			
BOD (mg/l)	5.0 mg/l	12.5 lbs/day		
Nitrates as N	4.0 mg/l	10.0 lbs/day		
Total Phosphorus as P	0.05 mg/l	0.1 lbs/day		
Total Suspended Solids	90.0 mg/l	225.1 lbs/day		

Note: Pollution indicator targets are for information purposes only.

Effluent Limitations for Protection of Human Health [Toxics Rule] Based upon Water Quality Standards (Most stringent of 1C or 3A & 3B as appropriate.)

In-stream criteria of downstream segments for Protection of Human Health [Toxics] will be met with an effluent limit as follows:

indent innit as follows.	Maximum Concentration			
	Concentration	Load		
Toxic Organics				
Acenaphthene	2.60E+04 ug/l	6.50E+01 lbs/day		
Acrolein	7.50E+03 ug/l	1.88E+01 lbs/day		
Acrylonitrile	6.35E+00 ug/l	1.59E-02 lbs/day		
Benzene	6.83E+02 ug/l	1.71E+00 lbs/day		
Benzidine	ug/l	lbs/day		
Carbon tetrachloride	4.23E+01 ug/l	1.06E-01 lbs/day		
Chlorobenzene	2.02E+05 ug/l	5.05E+02 lbs/day		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene				
Hexachlorobenzene	7.41E-03 ug/l	1.85E-05 lbs/day		
1,2-Dichloroethane	9.52E+02 ug/l	2.38E+00 lbs/day		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane				
Hexachloroethane	8.56E+01 ug/l	2.14E-01 lbs/day		
1,1-Dichloroethane				
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	4.04E+02 ug/l	1.01E+00 lbs/day		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.06E+02 ug/l	2.65E-01 lbs/day		
Chloroethane				
Bis(2-chloroethyl) ether	1.35E+01 ug/l	3.37E-02 lbs/day		
2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether				
2-Chloronaphthalene	4.14E+04 ug/l	1.03E+02 lbs/day		
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	6.25E+01 ug/l	1.56E-01 lbs/day		
p-Chloro-m-cresol				
Chloroform (HM)	4.52E+03 ug/l	1.13E+01 lbs/day		
2-Chlorophenol	3.85E+03 ug/l	9.62E+00 lbs/day		
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1.64E+05 ug/l	4.09E+02 lbs/day		
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	2.50E+04 ug/l	6.26E+01 lbs/day		

1,4-Dichlorobenzene	2.50E+04 ug/l	6.26E+01 lbs/day
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	7.41E-01 ug/l	1.85E-03 lbs/day
1,1-Dichloroethylene	3.08E+01 ug/l	7.70E-02 lbs/day
1,2-trans-Dichloroethylene1		
2,4-Dichlorophenol	7.60E+03 ug/l	1.90E+01 lbs/day
1,2-Dichloropropane	3.75E+02 ug/l	9.38E-01 lbs/day
1,3-Dichloropropylene	1.64E+04 ug/l	4.09E+01 lbs/day
2,4-Dimethylphenol	2.21E+04 ug/l	5.53E+01 lbs/day
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	8.75E+01 ug/l	2.19E-01 lbs/day
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	5.19E+00 ug/l	1.30E-02 lbs/day
Ethylbenzene	2.79E+05 ug/l	6.98E+02 lbs/day
Fluoranthene	3.56E+03 ug/l	8.90E+00 lbs/day
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether		
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether		
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl) ether	1.64E+06 ug/l	4.09E+03 lbs/day
Bis(2-chloroethoxy) methane		
Methylene chloride (HM)	1.54E+04 ug/l	3.85E+01 lbs/day
Methyl chloride (HM)		
Methyl bromide (HM)	a	
Bromoform (HM)	3.46E+03 ug/l	8.66E+00 lbs/day
Dichlorobromomethane(HM)	2.12E+02 ug/l	5.29E-01 lbs/day
Chlorodibromomethane (HM)	3.27E+02 ug/l	8.18E-01 lbs/day
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	1.64E+05 ug/l	4.09E+02 lbs/day
Isophorone	5.77E+03 ug/l	1.44E+01 lbs/day
Naphthalene	on i L oo ugn	
Nitrobenzene	1.83E+04 ug/l	4.57E+01 lbs/day
2-Nitrophenol	1.002.01 ug/1	1.07 2 0 1 100/003
4-Nitrophenol		
2,4-Dinitrophenol	1.35E+05 ug/l	3.37E+02 lbs/day
4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	7.36E+03 ug/l	1.84E+01 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	7.79E+01 ug/l	1.95E-01 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	1.54E+02 ug/l	3.85E-01 lbs/day
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	1.35E+01 ug/l	3.37E-02 lbs/day
Pentachlorophenol	7.89E+01 ug/l	1.97E-01 lbs/day
Phenol	4.42E+07 ug/l	1.11E+05 lbs/day
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	5.68E+01 ug/l	1.42E-01 lbs/day
Butyl benzyl phthalate	5.00E+04 ug/l	2
Di-n-butyl phthalate	an accountant constant and and	1.25E+02 lbs/day
Di-n-octyl phthlate	1.15E+05 ug/l	2.89E+02 lbs/day
Diethyl phthalate	1 155,06	0.005,00 lbs/dev
Dimethyl phthlate	1.15E+06 ug/l	2.89E+03 lbs/day
	2.79E+07 ug/l	6.98E+04 lbs/day
Benzo(a)anthracene (PAH) Benzo(a)pyrene (PAH)	2.98E-01 ug/l	7.46E-04 lbs/day
	2.98E-01 ug/l	7.46E-04 lbs/day
Benzo(b)fluoranthene (PAH)	2.98E-01 ug/l	7.46E-04 lbs/day
Benzo(k)fluoranthene (PAH)	2.98E-01 ug/l	7.46E-04 lbs/day
Chrysene (PAH)	2.98E-01 ug/l	7.46E-04 lbs/day
Acenaphthylene (PAH)		
Anthracene (PAH)		
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (PAH)	2.98E-01 ug/l	7.46E-04 lbs/day
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene (PAH)	2.98E-01 ug/l	7.46E-04 lbs/day

Pyrene (PAH) Tetrachloroethylene Toluene Trichloroethylene Vinyl chloride	1.06E+05 ug/l 8.56E+01 ug/l 1.92E+06 ug/l 7.79E+02 ug/l 5.05E+03 ug/l	2.65E+02 lbs/day 2.14E-01 lbs/day 4.81E+03 lbs/day 1.95E+00 lbs/day 1.26E+01 lbs/day
Pesticides Aldrin Dieldrin Chlordane 4,4'-DDT 4,4'-DDE 4,4'-DDD alpha-Endosulfan beta-Endosulfan Endosulfan sulfate Endrin Endrin aldehyde Heptachlor Heptachlor epoxide	1.35E-03 ug/l 1.35E-03 ug/l 5.68E-03 ug/l 5.68E-03 ug/l 5.68E-03 ug/l 8.08E-03 ug/l 1.92E+01 ug/l 1.92E+01 ug/l 1.92E+01 ug/l 7.79E+00 ug/l 2.02E-03 ug/l	3.37E-06 lbs/day 3.37E-06 lbs/day 1.42E-05 lbs/day 1.42E-05 lbs/day 1.42E-05 lbs/day 2.02E-05 lbs/day 4.81E-02 lbs/day 4.81E-02 lbs/day 1.95E-02 lbs/day 1.95E-02 lbs/day 5.05E-06 lbs/day
PCB's PCB 1242 (Arochlor 1242) PCB-1254 (Arochlor 1254) PCB-1221 (Arochlor 1221) PCB-1232 (Arochlor 1232) PCB-1248 (Arochlor 1248) PCB-1260 (Arochlor 1260) PCB-1016 (Arochlor 1016) Pesticide	4.33E-04 ug/l 4.33E-04 ug/l 4.33E-04 ug/l 4.33E-04 ug/l 4.33E-04 ug/l 4.33E-04 ug/l 4.33E-04 ug/l	1.08E-06 lbs/day 1.08E-06 lbs/day 1.08E-06 lbs/day 1.08E-06 lbs/day 1.08E-06 lbs/day 1.08E-06 lbs/day 1.08E-06 lbs/day
Toxaphene Metals Antimony Arsenic Asbestos Beryllium Cadmium Chromium (III) Chromium (VI) Copper Cuspide	7.21E-03 ug/l ug/l ug/l ug/l	1.80E-05 lbs/day lbs/day lbs/day lbs/day
Cyanide Lead Mercury Nickel Selenium Silver Thallium Zinc	ug/l ug/l ug/l	lbs/day lbs/day lbs/day lbs/day

Dioxin Dioxin (2,3,7,8-TCDD)

1.35E-07 ug/l

Metals Effluent Limitations for Protection of All Beneficial Uses Based upon Water Quality Standards and Toxics Rule

3	Class 4 Acute	Class 3 Acute Aquatic	Acute Toxics Drinking Water	Acute Toxics	1C Acute Health	Acute Most	Class 3 Chronic Aquatic
	Agricultural ug/l	Wildlife ug/l	Source ug/l	Wildlife ug/l	Criteria ug/l	Stringent ug/l	Wildlife ug/l
Aluminum	ugn	3971.8	ugn	ugn	ugn	3971.8	N/A
Antimony				41361.0		41361.0	
Arsenic	961.9	1801.8			0.0	961.9	1820.7
Barium						0.0	
Beryllium						0.0	
Cadmium	95.5	25.6			0.0	25.6	4.1
Chromium (III)		18638.1			0.0	18638.1	1607.3
Chromium (VI)	955.0	67.8			0.0	67.82	71.55
Copper	1916.9	156.6				156.6	173.0
Cyanide		116.8	2116143.1			116.8	50.0
Iron		5304.0				5304.0	
Lead	955.0	1217.9			0.0	955.0	79.4
Mercury		12.74		1.44	0.0	1.44	0.115
Nickel		4955.1		44246.6		4955.1	991.9
Selenium	467.2	99.3			0.0	99.3	30.5
Silver		81.4			0.0	81.4	
Thallium				60.6		60.6	
Zinc		1267.3				1267.3	2295.8
Boron	7214.1					7214.1	

Summary Effluent Limitations for Metals [Wasteload Allocation, TMDL]

[If Acute is more stringent than Chronic, then the Chronic takes on the Acute value.]

	WLA Acute ug/l	WLA Chronic ug/l	
Aluminum	3971.8	N/A	
Antimony	41360.98		
Arsenic	961.9	1820.7	Acute Controls
Asbestos	0.00E+00		
Barium			
Beryllium			
Cadmium	25.6	4.1	
Chromium (III)	18638.1	1607	
Chromium (VI)	67.8	71.5	Acute Controls
Copper	156.6	173.0	Acute Controls

Cyanide	116.8	50.0	
Iron	5304.0		
Lead	955.0	79.4	
Mercury	1.443	0.115	
Nickel	4955.1	992	
Selenium	99.3	30.5	
Silver	81.4	N/A	
Thallium	60.6		
Zinc	1267.3	2295.8	Acute Controls
Boron	7214.12		

Other Effluent Limitations are based upon R317-1.

E. coli

126.0 organisms per 100 ml

X. Antidegradation Considerations

The Utah Antidegradation Policy allows for degradation of existing quality where it is determined that such lowering of water quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are protected [R317-2-3]. It has been determined that certain chemical parameters introduced by this discharge will cause an increase of the concentration of said parameters in the receiving waters. Under no conditions will the increase in concentration be allowed to interfere with existing instream water uses.

The antidegradation rules and procedures allow for modification of effluent limits less than those based strictly upon mass balance equations utilizing 100% of the assimilative capacity of the receiving water. Additional factors include considerations for "Blue-ribbon" fisheries, special recreational areas, threatened and endangered species, and drinking water sources.

An Antidegradation Level I Review was conducted on this discharge and its effect on the receiving water. Based upon that review, it has been determined that an **Antidegradation Level II Review is NOT Required**

XI. Colorado River Salinity Forum Considerations

Discharges in the Colorado River Basin are required to have their discharge at a TDS loading of less than 1.00 tons/day unless certain exemptions apply. Refer to the Forum's Guidelines for additional information allowing for an exceedence of this value.

XII. Summary Comments

The mathematical modeling and best professional judgement indicate that violations of receiving water beneficial uses with their associated water quality standards, including important down-stream segments, will not occur for the evaluated parameters of concern as discussed above if the effluent limitations indicated above are met.

XIII. Notice of UPDES Requirement

This Addendum to the Statement of Basis does not authorize any entity or party to discharge to the waters of the State of Utah. That authority is granted through a UPDES permit issued by the Utah Division of Water Quality. The numbers presented here may be changed as a function of other factors. Dischargers are strongly urged to contact the Permits Section for further information. Permit writers may utilize other information to adjust these limits and/or to determine other limits based upon best available technology and other considerations provided that the values in this wasteload analysis [TMDL] are not compromised. See special provisions in Utah Water Quality Standards for adjustments in the Total Dissolved Solids values based upon background concentration.

THIS IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT

Utah Division of Water Quality 801-538-6052 File Name: Centerfield_WLA_1-29-18

APPENDIX - Coefficients and Other Model Information

CBOD Coeff. (Kd)20 1/day 2.000	CBOD Coeff. FORCED (Kd)/day 0.000	CBOD Coeff. (Ka)T 1/day 2.000	REAER. Coeff. (Ka)20 (Ka)/day 58.547	REAER. Coeff. FORCED 1/day 0.000	REAER. Coeff. (Ka)T 1/day 58.547	NBOD Coeff. (Kn)20 1/day 0.600	NBOD Coeff. (Kn)T 1/day 0.600
Open Coeff.	Open Coeff.	NH3 LOSS	NH3	NO2+NO3 LOSS	NO2+NO3	TRC Decay	TRC
(K4)20	(K4)T	(K5)20	(K5)T	(K6)20	(K6)T	K(CI)20	K(CI)(T)
1/day	1/day	1/day	1/day	1/day	1/day	1/day	1/day
0.000	0.000	4.000	4.000	0.000	0.000	32.000	32.000
BENTHIC DEMAND (SOD)20 gm/m2/day 1.000	BENTHIC DEMAND (SOD)T gm/m2/day 1.000	,					
K1 CBOD {theta} 1.0	K2 Reaer. {theta} 1.0	K3 NH3 {theta} 1.1	K4 Open {theta} 1.0	K5 NH3 Loss {theta} 1.0	K6 NO2+3 {theta} 1.0	K(Cl) TRC {theta} 1.1	S Benthic {theta} 1.1

Level I Antidegradation Review for: Centerfield Regional Culinary Water Treatment Plant

THIS IS A DRAFT DOCUMENT

An antidegradation review (ADR) was conducted to determine whether the proposed activity complies with the applicable antidegradation requirements for receiving waters that may be affected. The Level I ADR found that the proposed activity meets the requirements of R317-2-3.5(b)(1) (water quality will not be lowered by the proposed activity) and, therefore does not require a Level II review. The proposed activity is a basic permit renewal. No increase in effluent concentration or load is requested over that allowed under the current UPDES Permit.