

**FACT SHEET AND STATEMENT OF BASIS  
HUNTINGTON LAGOONS RENEWAL PERMIT: DISCHARGE  
UPDES PERMIT NUMBER: UT0021296  
MINOR MUNICIPAL**

**FACILITY CONTACTS**

Person Name: Jacob Sharp, P.E.  
Position: District Manager  
Phone Number: (435) 381-5333

Facility Name: Huntington Lagoons  
Mailing and Facility Address: Castle Valley Special Service District  
PO Box 877  
20 South 100 East,  
Castle Dale, Utah 84513

Telephone: (435) 381-5333  
Actual Address: Just east of Huntington City off Hwy 10 in Emery County

**DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY**

CVSSD operates the Huntington City domestic wastewater treatment facility (Huntington). The facility is a six-cell, flow-thru lagoon system serving the population of Huntington City with no industrial users on the system. The first cell is the largest and includes multiple aerators followed by 5 smaller cells and 3 alternating sand filters. The outfall is located after the final lagoon cell and 3 sand filters into Huntington Creek. The facility is an intermittent discharger based on seasonal loading and precipitation events with discharges occurring 3-4 times each year on average.

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS PERMIT**

1. Reasonable Potential Analysis

During the permit cycle, Water Quality has worked to improve our reasonable potential analysis (RP) for parameters to have limits included by using an EPA provided model. The results of the RP Analysis are included in Attachment 4 of the FSSOB. Huntington is a minor discharger with no known industrial dischargers with a low reasonable potential for toxics to be in the effluent, therefore they are not required to monitor metals, and RP is not required to be run on their effluent at this time. If and when this changes, monitoring for metals other than selenium may be added to the permit. Selenium monitoring was added during the 2014 permit renewal after Huntington Creek was identified on the 303(d) list for selenium.

2. TBPEL Rule

Water Quality adopted UAC R317-1-3.3, Technology-Based Phosphorus Effluent Limit (TBPEL) Rule in 2014. No TBPEL will be instituted for discharging treatment lagoons. Instead, each discharging lagoon will be evaluated to determine the current annual average total phosphorus load measured in pounds per year based on monthly average flow rates and concentrations. Absent field data to determine these loads, and in case of intermittent discharging lagoons, the phosphorus load cap will be estimated by the Director. A cap of 125% of the current annual total phosphorus load will be established and referred to as phosphorus loading cap. Once the lagoon's phosphorus loading cap has been reached, the owner of the facility will have

five years to construct treatment processes or implement treatment alternatives to prevent the total phosphorus loading cap from being exceeded. The load cap shall become effective July 1, 2018.

The TBPEL discharging treatment works are required to implement, at a minimum, monthly monitoring of the following beginning July 1, 2015:

R317-1-3.3, E, 1, a. Influent for total phosphorus (as P) and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N) concentrations;

R317-1-3.3, E, 1, b. Effluent for total phosphorus and orthophosphate (as P), ammonia, nitrate-nitrite and total Kjeldahl nitrogen (as N);

In R317-1-3.3, E, 3 the rule states that all monitoring shall be based on 24-hour composite samples by use of an automatic sampler or a minimum of four grab samples collected a minimum of two hours apart.

The phosphorus annual loading cap is defined as

"Annual Loading Cap" is the highest allowable phosphorus loading discharged over a calendar year, calculated as the sum of all the monthly loading discharges measured during a calendar year divided by the number of monthly discharges measured during that year.

The reported monthly loading is calculated as shown here;

$$\text{Monthyl Mass Loading, } \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{Month}} = (\text{Ave Flow}) * (\text{Ave Concetration}) * \left(8.34 \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{gal}}\right) * \left(\frac{\text{Days Discharged}}{\text{Month}}\right)$$

The annual total phosphorus loading

$$\text{Annual Mass Loading, lbs} = \text{Sum} \left( \text{Monthyl Mass Loading, } \frac{\text{lbs}}{\text{Month}} \right)$$

A cap of 125% of the current annual total phosphorus load has been established and is referred to as phosphorus loading cap. It is the intent of UAC R317-3.3.B to provide capacity for growth within your facility's service area by setting the loading cap at 125 percent of your current annual total phosphorus load. Castle Dale's current annual total phosphorus load was calculated based on the data reported on your monthly discharge monitoring reports. The Castle Dale phosphorus loading cap is 563 lbs/year and went into effect July 1, 2018.

Once the lagoon's phosphorus loading cap has been reached, the owner of the facility will have five years to construct treatment processes or implement treatment alternatives to prevent the total phosphorus loading cap from being exceeded.

The permit effluent limits will incorporate the following change as a result of the phosphorus loading cap:

	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	lbs./Year	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Phosphorus, lbs	-	-	563	-	-

**DISCHARGE**

**DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE**

Huntington Lagoons has been reporting self-monitoring results on Discharge Monitoring Reports on a monthly basis. Huntington Lagoons discharges only a few times each year. The reported monitoring results for 2017 through 2019 have been summarized in attachment 2 of this FSSOB. There were no permit violations during that time.

OutfallDescription of Discharge Point

001 Located at latitude 39°18'46" and longitude 110°55'15".  
The discharge is by pipe to Huntington Creek.

**RECEIVING WATERS AND STREAM CLASSIFICATION**

The discharge flows into Huntington Creek which is tributary to Cottonwood Creek, which drains to the San Rafael River and the Colorado River. Huntington Creek is a Class 2B, 3C and 4 according to *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13*:

- Class 2B -- Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- Class 3C -- Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
- Class 4 -- Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

**BASIS FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS**

Limitations on total suspended solids (TSS), 5-day biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), E. coli, pH and percent removal for TSS and BOD<sub>5</sub> are based on current Utah Secondary Treatment Standards, UAC R317-1-3.2. The alternative effluent limits and percent removal requirements for TSS and BOD<sub>5</sub> were previously requested by CVSSD and subsequently granted by the Utah Water Quality Board in 2001 and remains unchanged. Seasonal ammonia as nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), total residual chlorine (TRC), and dissolved oxygen (DO), are water quality based, and were derived by the waste load analysis attached to this fact sheet statement of basis. Flow limitations were developed from information included in the permit application.

The 2010 303(d) list identified Huntington Creek as impaired for selenium. As a result of the 303(d) listing, monitoring of selenium in the effluent was added to the permit during the 2014 renewal and will remain in the permit for this renewal.

The TDS concentration limit of 4,800 mg/L is based on the approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) study for the San Rafael River watershed (which includes Huntington Creek), in which a site specific criterion was developed for TDS and can be found in Table A-12 of the document entitled, "*Price River, San Rafael River, and Muddy Creek TMDLs for Total Dissolved Solids, West Colorado Watershed Management Unit, Utah*", EPA Approval Date: August 4, 2004.

Total dissolved solids (TDS) limitations are based upon Utah Water Quality Standards for concentration values and the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum (CRBSCF) for mass loading values when applicable as authorized in *UAC R317-2-4*. CRBSCF has established a policy for the reasonable increase of salinity for municipal discharges to any portion of the Colorado River stream system that has an impact on the lower main stem. The CRBSCF Policy entitled "NPDES Permit Program Policy for Implementation of Colorado River Salinity Standards" (Policy), with the most current version dated October 2020, states that the incremental increase in salinity shall be 400 mg/L or less, which is considered to be a reasonable incremental increase above the flow weighted average salinity of the intake water supply. The permittee previously requested a salt loading (TDS) of 1 ton/day, or 366 tons/year in lieu of the requirement that the

effluent not exceeding the culinary source water intake by more than 400 mg/L of TDS, which is in allowable under CRBSCF Policy.

### Reasonable Potential Analysis

Since January 1, 2016, DWQ has conducted reasonable potential analysis (RP) on all new and renewal applications received after that date. RP for this permit renewal was conducted following DWQ's September 10, 2015 Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance (RP Guidance). There are four outcomes defined in the RP Guidance: Outcome A, B, C, or D. These Outcomes provide a frame work for what routine monitoring or effluent limitations are required.

A qualitative RP check was performed on the pollutants of concern to determine if there was enough data to perform a reasonable potential analysis on the outfall. Huntington is a minor discharger with no known industrial dischargers and a low reasonable potential for toxics to be present in the effluent, therefore they have not been required to monitor metals, and RP is not required to be run on their effluent at this time. If and when this changes, metals monitoring may be added to the permit.

The permit limitations are

Parameter	Effluent Limitations <sup>1</sup>				
	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	Yearly Average	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
Total Flow	0.8	-	-	-	(X.X)
BOD <sub>5</sub> , mg/L	45	65	-	-	-
BOD <sub>5</sub> Min. % Removal	65	-	-	-	-
TSS, mg/L	45	65	-	-	-
TSS Min. % Removal	65	-	-	-	-
Dissolved Oxygen, mg/L	-	-	-	5.0	-
Total Ammonia (as N), mg/L					
Summer (Jul-Sep)	12.2	-	-	-	5.6
Fall (Oct-Dec)	11.8	-	-	-	5.7
Winter (Jan-Mar)	14.1	-	-	-	5.9
Spring (Apr-Jun)	12.5	-	-	-	6.2
TDS, mg/L	-	-	-	-	4,800
Oil & Grease, mg/L	-	-	-	-	10.0
TRC, mg/L <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-	0.4
pH, Standard Units	-	-	-	6.5	9
<i>E. coli</i> , No./100mL	126	158	-	-	-
Mass Loading Limits					
Parameter	Maximum Monthly Avg	Maximum Weekly Avg	lbs./Year	Daily Minimum	Daily Maximum
TDS, Ton/Day <sup>3</sup>	-	-	-	-	1.0
Tons/Year	-	-	366 Tons	-	-

<sup>1</sup> See Definitions, Part VIII, for definition of terms

<sup>2</sup> Analytical results less than 0.06 mg/l will not be considered out of compliance with the permit. For purposes of calculating averages and reporting on the Discharge Monitoring Report form, the following will apply:

- 1) analytical values less than 0.02 mg/L shall be considered zero; and
- 2) analytical values less than 0.06 mg/L and equal to or greater than 0.02 mg/L will be recorded as measured

<sup>3</sup> The salt loading (TDS) limit is 1 ton/day, or 366 tons/year.

Total Phosphorus, lbs	-	-	563 lbs	-	-
-----------------------	---	---	---------	---	---

### SELF-MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following self-monitoring requirements are updated from the previous permit. The permit will require reports to be submitted monthly and annually, as applicable, on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms due 28 days after the end of the monitoring period. Effective January 1, 2017, monitoring results must be submitted using NetDMR unless the permittee has successfully petitioned for an exception. Lab sheets for biomonitoring must be attached to the biomonitoring DMR. Lab sheets for metals and toxic organics must be attached to the DMRs.

Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements <sup>1</sup>			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Total Flow <sup>4, 5</sup>	Continuous	Recorder	MGD
BOD <sub>5</sub> , Influent <sup>6</sup>	Twice Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Effluent	Twice Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TSS, Influent <sup>6</sup>	Twice Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Effluent	Twice Monthly	Grab	mg/L
<i>E. coli</i>	Twice Monthly	Grab	No./100mL
pH	Twice Monthly	Grab	SU
Total Ammonia (as N)	How Often?	Grab	mg/L
DO	Twice Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TRC, mg/L, <sup>7</sup>	Daily, if chlorinating	Grab	mg/L
Oil & Grease <sup>8</sup>	When Sheen Observed	Visual, Grab	mg/L
TDS, Effluent	Twice Monthly	Grab	mg/L
TDS, Ton/day	Annually	Calculate	Ton/Day
Selenium, mg/L <sup>9</sup>	Monthly	Grab	mg/L
Orthophosphate (as P), <sup>10</sup> Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Phosphorus (as P), <sup>10</sup> Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen TKN (as N), <sup>10</sup> Influent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Effluent	Monthly	Composite	mg/L
Nitrate, NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>10</sup>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L

<sup>4</sup> Flow measurements of influent/effluent volume shall be made in such a manner that the permittee can affirmatively demonstrate that representative values are being obtained

<sup>5</sup> If the rate of discharge is controlled, the rate and duration of discharge shall be reported

<sup>6</sup> In addition to monitoring the final discharge, influent samples shall be taken and analyzed for this constituent at the same frequency as required for this constituent in the discharge

<sup>7</sup> Total residual chlorine monitoring frequency is Daily, but only if the facility is chlorinating the effluent during monitoring period

<sup>8</sup> Oil & Grease sampled when sheen is present or visible. If no sheen is present or visible, report NA

<sup>9</sup> Selenium is being sampled in support of a TMDL that may be conducted in the future for Huntington Creek. The pollutant of concern (POC) will be monitored and reported (on a monthly basis by the facility on Discharge Monitoring Report, but will not have a limit associated with them. If the Huntington City Lagoons decides to sample more frequently for these POC's, the additional data will be welcome

<sup>10</sup> These reflect changes required with the adoption of UCA R317-1-3.3, Technology-based Phosphorus Effluent Limits rule

Self-Monitoring and Reporting Requirements <sup>1</sup>			
Parameter	Frequency	Sample Type	Units
Nitrite, NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>10</sup>	Monthly	Composite	mg/L

### **BIOSOLIDS**

The State of Utah has adopted the 40 CFR 503 federal regulations for the disposal of sewage sludge (biosolids) by reference. However, since this facility is a lagoon, there is not any regular sludge production. Therefore 40 CFR 503 does not apply at this time. In the future, if the sludge needs to be removed from the lagoons and is disposed in some way, the Division of Water Quality must be contacted prior to the removal of the sludge to ensure that all applicable state and federal regulations are met.

### **STORM WATER**

Separate storm water permits may be required based on the types of activities occurring on site.

Permit coverage under the Construction General Storm Water Permit (CGP) is required for any construction at the facility which disturb an acre or more, or is part of a common plan of development or sale that is an acre or greater. A Notice of Intent (NOI) is required to obtain a construction storm water permit prior to the period of construction.

Information on storm water permit requirements can be found at <http://stormwater.utah.gov>

### **PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS**

The permittee has not been designated for pretreatment program development because it does not meet conditions which necessitate a full program. Although the permittee does not have to develop a State-approved pretreatment program, any wastewater discharges to the sanitary sewer are subject to Federal, State and local regulations. Pursuant to Section 307 of the Clean Water Act, the permittee shall comply with all applicable Federal General Pretreatment Regulations promulgated, found in 40 CFR 403 and the State Pretreatment Requirements found in UAC R317-8-8.

An industrial waste survey (IWS) is required of the permittee as stated in Part II of the permit. The IWS is to assess the needs of the permittee regarding pretreatment assistance. The IWS is required to be submitted within sixty (60) days after the issuance of the permit. If an Industrial User begins to discharge or an existing Industrial User changes their discharge the permittee must resubmit an IWS no later than sixty days following the introduction or change as stated in Part II of the permit.

It is required that the permittee submit for review any local limits that are developed to the Division of Water Quality for review. If local limits are developed it is required that the permittee perform an annual evaluation of the need to revise or develop technically based local limits for pollutants of concern, to implement the general and specific prohibitions *40 CFR, Part 403.5(a)* and *Part 403.5(b)*. This evaluation may indicate that present local limits are sufficiently protective, need to be revised or should be developed.

### **BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

A nationwide effort to control toxic discharges where effluent toxicity is an existing or potential concern is regulated in accordance with the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit and Enforcement Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity Control (biomonitoring), dated February 2018. Authority to require effluent biomonitoring is provided in Permit Conditions, UAC R317-8-4.2, Permit Provisions,

UAC R317-8-5.3 and Water Quality Standards, UAC R317-2-5 and R317 -2-7.2.

The permittee is a minor municipal facility that will be infrequently discharging a minimal amount of effluent, in which toxicity is neither an existing concern, nor likely to be present. Based on these considerations there is no reasonable potential for toxicity in the permittee's discharge (per State of Utah Permitting and Enforcement Guidance Document for WET Control). As such, there will be no numerical WET limitations or WET monitoring requirements in this permit. However, the permit will contain a toxicity limitation re-opener provision that allows for modification of the permit should additional information indicate the presence of toxicity in the discharge.

### **PERMIT DURATION**

It is recommended that this permit be effective for a duration of five (5) years.

Drafted by  
Daniel Griffin, Discharge, Biosolids, Reasonable Potential Analysis  
Jennifer Robinson, Pretreatment  
Lonnie Shull, Biomonitoring  
Christopher Shope, Wasteload Analysis  
Utah Division of Water Quality, (801) 536-4300

### **PUBLIC NOTICE**

Began: Month Day, 2020

Ended: Month Day, 2020

Comments will be received at: 195 North 1950 West  
PO Box 144870  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

The Public Noticed of the draft permit was published in the Emery County Progress.

During the public comment period provided under R317-8-6.5, any interested person may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing, if no hearing has already been scheduled. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing. All comments will be considered in making the final decision and shall be answered as provided in R317-8-6.12.

During finalization of the Permit certain dates, spelling edits and minor language corrections were completed. Due to the nature of these changes they were not considered Major and the permit is not required to be re Public Noticed.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

PND Draft



**ATTACHMENT 1**

*Industrial Waste Survey*

PVNDraft

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

# Industrial Pretreatment Wastewater Survey



Do you periodically experience any of the following treatment works problems:

- foam, floaties or unusual colors
- plugged collection lines caused by grease, sand, flour, etc.
- discharging excessive suspended solids, even in the winter
- smells unusually bad
- waste treatment facility doesn't seem to be treating the waste right

Perhaps the solution to a problem like one of these may lie in investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system from industrial users.

An industrial user (IU) is defined as a non-domestic user discharging to the waste treatment facility which meets any of the following criteria:

1. **has a lot of process wastewater (5% of the flow at the waste treatment facility or more than 25,000 gallons per work day.)**

Examples: Food processor, dairy, slaughterhouse, industrial laundry.

2. **is subject to Federal Categorical Pretreatment Standards;**

Examples: metal plating, cleaning or coating of metals, blueing of metals, aluminum extruding, circuit board manufacturing, tanning animal skins, pesticide formulating or packaging, and pharmaceutical manufacturing or packaging,

3. **is a concern to the POTW.**

Examples: septage hauler, restaurant and food service, car wash, hospital, photo lab, carpet cleaner, commercial laundry.

All users of the water treatment facility are **prohibited** from making the following types of discharges:

1. A discharge which creates a fire or explosion hazard in the collection system.
2. A discharge which creates toxic gases, vapor or fumes in the collection system.
3. A discharge of solids or thick liquids which creates flow obstructions in the collection system.
4. An acidic discharge (low pH) which causes corrosive damage to the collection system.
5. Petroleum oil, nonbiodegradable cutting oil, or products of mineral oil origin in amounts that will cause problems in the collection system or at the waste treatment facility.
6. Waste haulers are prohibited from discharging without permission. (No midnight dumping!)

When the solution to a sewer system problem may be found by investigating the types and amounts of wastewater entering the sewer system discharged from IUs, it's appropriate to conduct an Industrial Waste Survey.

## An Industrial Waste Survey consists of:

### Step 1: Identify Industrial Users

Make a list of all the commercial and industrial sewer connections.

Sources for the list:

business license, building permits, water and wastewater billing, Chamber of Commerce, newspaper, telephone book, yellow pages.

Split the list into two groups:

domestic wastewater only--no further information needed  
everyone else (IUs)

### Step 2: Preliminary Inspection

Go visit each IU identified on the "everybody else" list.

Fill out the **Preliminary Inspection Form** during the site visit.

### Step 3: Informing the State

Please fax or send a copy of the Preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

Jennifer Robinson

Division of Water Quality  
288 North 1460 West  
PO Box 144870  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383  
Fax : (801) 536-4301  
E-mail : [jenrobinson@utah.gov](mailto:jenrobinson@utah.gov)

**PRELIMINARY INSPECTION FORM**

INSPECTION DATE \_\_\_ / \_\_\_ /

Name of Business \_\_\_\_\_ Person Contacted \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_ Phone Number \_\_\_\_\_

Description of Business \_\_\_\_\_

Principal product or service: \_\_\_\_\_

Raw Materials used: \_\_\_\_\_

Production process is:  Batch  Continuous  Both

Is production subject to seasonal variation?  yes  no

If yes, briefly describe seasonal production cycle.

This facility generates the following types of wastes (check all that apply):

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic wastes             | (Restrooms, employee showers, etc.)                    |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling water, non-contact  | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Boiler/Tower blowdown      |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling water, contact      | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Process                    |
| 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Equipment/Facility washdown | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Air Pollution Control Unit |
| 8. <input type="checkbox"/> Storm water runoff to sewer | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other describe             |

Wastes are discharged to (check all that apply):

- |   |                                       |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Sanitary sewer   | <input type="checkbox"/> Storm sewer  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Surface water    | <input type="checkbox"/> Ground water |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Waste haulers    | <input type="checkbox"/> Evaporation  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) |                                       |

Name of waste hauler(s), if used

Is a grease trap installed? Yes No

Is it operational? Yes No

Does the business discharge a lot of process wastewater?

- |   |     |    |
|---|-----|----|
| • More than 5% of the flow to the waste treatment facility? | Yes | No |
| • More than 25,000 gallons per work day?                    | Yes | No |

Does the business do any of the following:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adhesives                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Car Wash                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aluminum Forming                             | <input type="checkbox"/> Carpet Cleaner            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Battery Manufacturing                        | <input type="checkbox"/> Dairy                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Copper Forming                               | <input type="checkbox"/> Food Processor            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electric & Electronic Components             | <input type="checkbox"/> Hospital                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Explosives Manufacturing                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Laundries                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Foundries                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Photo Lab                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Inorganic Chemicals Mfg. or Packaging        | <input type="checkbox"/> Restaurant & Food Service |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial Porcelain Ceramic Manufacturing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Septage Hauler            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Iron & Steel                                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Slaughter House           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Metal Finishing, Coating or Cleaning         |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mining                                       |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Nonferrous Metals Manufacturing              |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Organic Chemicals Manufacturing or Packaging |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Paint & Ink Manufacturing                    |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pesticides Formulating or Packaging          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Petroleum Refining                           |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pharmaceuticals Manufacturing or Packaging   |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Plastics Manufacturing                       |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rubber Manufacturing                         |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soaps & Detergents Manufacturing             |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Steam Electric Generation                    |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Tanning Animal Skins                         |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Textile Mills                                |  |

Are any process changes or expansions planned during the next three years? Yes No  
If yes, attach a separate sheet to this form describing the nature of planned changes or expansions.

---

Inspector

---

Waste Treatment Facility

Please send a copy of the preliminary inspection form (both sides) to:

Jennifer Robinson  
Division of Water Quality  
PO Box 144870  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4870

Phone: (801) 536-4383  
Fax: (801) 536-4301  
E-Mail: [jenrobinson@utah.gov](mailto:jenrobinson@utah.gov)

	<b>Industrial User</b>	<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>SIC Codes</b>	<b>Categorical Standard Number</b>	<b>Total Average Process Flow (gpd)</b>	<b>Total Average Facility Flow (gpd)</b>	<b>Facility Description</b>
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							

PND Draft

This Page Intentionally Left Blank



**ATTACHMENT 2**

*Effluent Monitoring Data*

PND Draft

This Page Intentionally Left Blank





TDS Loading

Month	TDS		
	Limit	4800	
Units	mg/L	ton/d	kg/d
Jan-17			
Feb-17			
Mar-17	3240	2.96	2684.72
Apr-17	3070	2.38	2158.66
May-17			
Jun-17			
Jul-17			
Aug-17	4440	4.78	4335.46
Sep-17	4460	2.08	1886.56
Oct-17			
Nov-17			
Dec-17			
Jan-18			
Feb-18			
Mar-18			
Apr-18			
May-18	3800	2.04	1850.28
Jun-18			
Jul-18			
Aug-18			
Sep-18			
Oct-18			
Nov-18	3990	2.95	2675.65
Dec-18			
Jan-19			
Feb-19			
Mar-19	2900	3.04	2757.28
Apr-19	2160	2.64	2394.48
May-19			
Jun-19			
Jul-19			
Aug-19			
Sep-19	3300	3.67	3328.69
Oct-19			
Nov-19			
Dec-19			
Jan-20	3620	4.04	3664.28
Feb-20			
Mar-20			
Apr-20			
May-20	3150	3.38	3065.66
Jun-20			
Jul-20			
Aug-20			
Sep-20			

**ATTACHMENT 3**

*Wasteload Analysis*

PND Draft

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

**ATTACHMENT 4**

*Reasonable Potential Analysis*



PND Draft

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS

Water Quality has worked to improve our reasonable potential analysis (RP) for the inclusion of limits for parameters in the permit by using an EPA provided model. As a result of the model, more parameters may be included in the renewal permit. A Copy of the Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance (RP Guide) is available at water Quality. There are four outcomes for the RP Analysis<sup>11</sup>. They are;

- Outcome A: A new effluent limitation will be placed in the permit.
- Outcome B: No new effluent limitation. Routine monitoring requirements will be placed or increased from what they are in the permit,
- Outcome C: No new effluent limitation. Routine monitoring requirements maintained as they are in the permit,
- Outcome D: No limitation or routine monitoring requirements are in the permit.

Huntington is a minor discharger with no known industrial dischargers with a low reasonable potential for toxics to be in the effluent, therefore they are not required to monitor metals, and RP is not required to be run on their effluent at this time. If and when this changes, metals monitoring may be added to the permit.

---

<sup>11</sup> See Reasonable Potential Analysis Guidance for definitions of terms