Utah Division of Water Quality Statement of Basis ADDENDUM Wasteload Analysis and Antidegradation Level I Review

Date: April 30, 2020

Prepared by: Suzan Tahir

Standards and Technical Services Section

Facility: Canyon Fuel Company, LLC - Dugout Canyon Mine

UPDES No. UT0025593

Receiving water: Dugout Creek (2B, 3C, 4)

This addendum summarizes the wasteload analysis that was performed to determine water quality based effluent limits (WQBEL) for this discharge. Wasteload analyses are performed to determine point source effluent limitations necessary to maintain designated beneficial uses by evaluating projected effects of discharge concentrations on in-stream water quality. The wasteload analysis also takes into account downstream designated uses (UAC R317-2-8). Projected concentrations are compared to numeric water quality standards to determine acceptability. The numeric criteria in this wasteload analysis may be modified by narrative criteria and other conditions determined by staff of the Division of Water Quality.

Main Discharge

Outfall 001: Mine Water Discharge.

Design flow is 2 mgd.

Receiving Water

The receiving water for the main discharge is Dugout Creek.

Per UAC R317-2-13.2(b), Grassy Trail Creek and tributaries from Price River confluence to Grassy Trail Creek Reservoir (UT14060007-012_00) is 2B, 3C and 4.

- Class 2B Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
- Class 3C Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain
- Class 4 Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Dugout Mine UPDES No. UT0025593

Typically, the critical flow for the wasteload analysis is considered the lowest stream flow for seven consecutive days with a ten year return frequency (7Q10). Due to a lack of flow records for Dugout Creek, the average flow measurement was calculated for all the data set on an annual basis. The source of flow data was DOGM. The site names mentioned in Table 1 were used to calculate critical low flow for the period (2000-2019).

Table 1: Annual critical low flow (cfs)

Site Name	Flow Annual (cfs)
(G-13) R FK DUGOUT CK AB M (#6) and (G-12) L FK DUGOUT CK AB M (#7)	0.10

TMDL

According to Utah's 2016 303(d) there have been no updates to the 2004 TMDL.

Mixing Zone

The maximum allowable mixing zone is 15 minutes of travel time for acute conditions, not to exceed 50% of stream width, and 2,500 feet for chronic conditions, per UAC R317-2-5. Water quality standards must be met at the end of the mixing zone.

Based on the results of the mixing zone modeling, plume width was 100% of the creek at 2500 feet. 100% of the seasonal critical flow was used to calculate chronic limits. Acute limits were calculated using 50% of the seasonal critical low flow.

Parameters of Concern

The potential parameters of concern identified for the discharge/receiving water were iron, TSS, TDS and pH as determined in consultation with the UPDES Permit Writer.

WET Limits

The percent of effluent in the receiving water in a fully mixed condition, and acute and chronic dilution in a not fully mixed condition are calculated in the WLA in order to generate WET limits. The LC_{50} (lethal concentration, 50%) percent effluent for acute toxicity and the IC_{25} (inhibition concentration, 25%) percent effluent for chronic toxicity, as determined by the WET test, needs to be below the WET limits, as determined by the WLA. The WET limit for LC_{50} is typically 100% effluent and does not need to be determined by the WLA.

Table 2: WET Limits for IC₂₅ (Outfall 001)

Outfall	Percent Effluent
001	100%

Utah Division of Water Quality Wasteload Analysis Dugout Mine UPDES No. UT0025593

Wasteload Allocation Methods

Effluent limits were determined for conservative constituents using a simple mass balance mixing analysis (UDWQ 2012). The mass balance analysis is summarized in Appendix A.

The water quality standard for chronic ammonia toxicity is dependent on temperature and pH, and the water quality standard for acute ammonia toxicity is dependent on pH. The AMMTOX Model developed by University of Colorado and adapted by Utah DWQ and EPA Region VIII was used to determine ammonia effluent limits (Lewis et al. 2002).

Models and supporting documentation are available for review upon request.

Antidegradation Level I Review

The objective of the Level I ADR is to ensure the protection of existing uses, defined as the beneficial uses attained in the receiving water on or after November 28, 1975. No evidence is known that the existing uses deviate from the designated beneficial uses for the receiving water. Therefore, the beneficial uses will be protected if the discharge remains below the WQBELs presented in this wasteload.

A Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR) is not required for this facility at this time. The proposed permit is a simple renewal of an existing UPDES permit. No increase in flow or concentration of pollutants over those authorized in the existing permit is being requested.

Documents:

WLA Document: Dugout_WLADoc_04-10-20.docx Wasteload Analysis: Dugout Creek WLA 4 10 2020.xlsm

References:

Utah Division of Water Quality. 2012. Utah Wasteload Analysis Procedures Version 1.0.

Lewis, B., J. Saunders, and M. Murphy. 2002. *Ammonia Toxicity Model (AMMTOX, Version2): A Tool for Determining Effluent Ammonia Limits*. University of Colorado, Center for Limnology.

DWQ-2020-010955