Project Proponents: Brett Jensen, Chief Financial Officer  
Willow Lakes Holding, LLC  
565 West 465 North  
Providence, Utah 84332

Project: Willow Lakes Holding, LLC (Project Proponent) is proposing to discharge dredged and fill material into 5.47 acres of wetlands and 3,987 linear feet of perennial stream channel to construct a 190-acre residential housing development. The Project would consist of 123 single family buildings, six apartment buildings consisting of 180 units, two human-made water sports lakes, and a clubhouse/event center. The Project would include a dock for each of the two water sports lakes to accommodate tubing, waking and slalom skiing. The project would also include new roads and utility, communication, and water lines that are associated with residential construction. The project proponent indicated the purpose of the project is to create affordable housing and public recreation opportunities in Cache County. The Project Proponent has purchased 47 acres along the Logan River with the planned purpose of creating a conservation easement as part of their proposed mitigation.

Location: The proposed project is located in southwest Logan City, Cache County, Utah. The project is adjacent to 1000 West between 1100 South and 1600 South. The project is approximately located at 41.70972 and -111.86463.

Watercourse(s): Logan River and tributaries (Logan River-1 AU[1]), Emergent, and Forested wetlands

USACE Section 404: SPK-2020-00588

Effective Date: Month, Day, Year

---

1 The DWQ delineates streams, rivers, lakes and reservoirs into discrete units called Assessment Units. Assessment Units (AUs) are used in identifying waters of the State that have been assessed to determine if they are supporting their designated beneficial uses. See https://deq.utah.gov/water-quality/water-quality-assessment-map for additional information.
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I. **Definitions**

A. **Designated Beneficial Uses** means a water’s present most reasonable uses, grouped by use classes to protect the uses against controllable pollution. Beneficial uses designated within each class are described in Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-6 and waterbodies beneficial uses can be found in UAC R317-2-13. For the purposes of this document, the term “designated beneficial uses” will be used to describe all uses required to be protected by Utah water quality standards and antidegradation policy.

B. **Blue Ribbon Fishery**: status administered by the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources and the Blue Ribbon Advisory Council that indicates the waterbody has high quality in the following attributes: fishing, outdoor experience, fish habitat, and economic benefits.

C. **Beneficial Use Classes** are how waters of the state are grouped and classified to protect against controllable pollution the beneficial uses designated within each class. UAC R317-2-6.

D. **Designated Beneficial Uses** means a water’s present most reasonable uses, grouped by use classes to protect the uses against controllable pollution. Beneficial uses designated within each class are described in Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-6 and waterbodies beneficial uses can be found in UAC R317-2-13.

E. **Level II Antidegradation Review (ADR)** is conducted to ensure that water quality degradation is necessary and that the proposed activity is documented to be both economically and socially important. Level II ADRs are required for any activity that’s impacts are not considered temporary and limited and is likely to result in degradation of water quality.

F. **Project Proponent** “means the applicant for license or permit or entity seeking certification.” 40 CFR §121.1.

G. **Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)** “means the maximum amount of a particular pollutant that a waterbody can receive and still meet state water quality standards, and an allocation of that amount to the pollutant's sources.” UAC R317-1-1

H. **Waters of the United States (WOTUS)** means waterbodies subject to the provisions of the Clean Water Act.

I. **303(d) list** is a state’s list of impaired and threatened waters, including but not limited to; streams, lakes, and reservoirs adopted to implement the Clean Water Act Section 303(d).

II. **Acronyms**

AU – Assessment Unit
BMPs – Best Management Practices
CFR – Code of Federal Regulations
CWA – Clean Water Act
DEQ – Utah Department of Environmental Quality
DWQ – Utah Division of Water Quality
EPA – Environmental Protection Agency
mg/L – milligrams per liter
MS4 – Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
NOI – Notice of Intent
NTU – Nephelometric Turbidity Units
PEM – palustrine emergent
PFO-palustrine forested
SWPPP – stormwater pollution prevention plan
TMDL – Total Maximum Daily Load
UAC – Utah Administrative Code
UNT- Unnamed Tributary
UPDES – Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
USACE – U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
WQC – Water Quality Certification
WQS – Utah Water Quality Standards
WOTUS – Waters of the United States
III. Executive Summary

Pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA 33 U.S.C. Section 1251 et seq., the DWQ grants Water Quality Certification (Certification) to Willow Lakes Holding, LLC for the proposed Willow Lakes Residential Project (Project) in Logan, Cache County, Utah. Certification is subject to the conditions outlined in this document and adherence to any U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Section 404 Permit Conditions. The conditions outlined in this Certification are necessary to assure compliance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements, and/or other applicable laws and regulations adopted for state primacy of the CWA. Condition justification and appropriate citations of Federal and State laws that authorize the condition, as required by 30 CFR Part 121.7, can be found in the section immediately following the conditions.

DWQ’s conditions are based on and are necessary to comply with applicable state rules. Specifically, the following Utah rules represent overarching considerations that require the conditions outlined by this document to apply to the USACE Section 404 Permit: Utah’s rules promulgating standards of quality for waters of the State affirm “it shall be unlawful and a violation of these rules for any person to discharge or place any wastes or other substances in such manner as may interfere with designated uses protected by assigned classes or to cause any of the applicable standards to be violated” UAC R317-2-7.1.a. Additionally, “all actions to control waste discharges under these rules shall be modified as necessary to protect downstream designated uses” UAC R317-2-8. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3.

The DWQ attended a joint pre-application meeting with the project proponent on July 15, 2021. Nathan Jones of SWCA submitted a Section 401 Water Quality Certification application on the project proponent’s behalf on April 1, 2022. On April 4, 2022, the DWQ notified the project proponent via meeting that the application was incomplete and requested additional information that was needed for a complete application. The DWQ requested the additional information be submitted within thirty (30) days of the notification. On April 4, 2022, the DWQ received the additional information requested and the application was determined to be complete.

On April 6, 2022, the U.S. Supreme Court issued a stay of the October 2021 order by the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California that vacated EPA’s 2020 Clean Water Act Section 401 Certification Rule. On April 26, 2022, Nathan Jones of SWCA was notified via email that the 2020 Rule would apply to the projects Certification Decision based on guidance issued by the EPA. The DWQ provided details on what was required for a formal Certification Request and how to initiate that request. The applicant has not submitted a formal Certification Request at this time, but it is anticipated that the reasonable period to make a certifying decision will be 90 days. The DWQ will work to issue the Certification within 90 days of the receipt date of the complete application (July 3, 2022). However, the reasonable period of time does not commence until a complete certification request is received.

IV. Background

The Project Proponent proposes to construct a residential housing development in Logan City, Utah. The project proponent indicated that the purpose of this project is to relieve a shortage in affordable housing in Cache County as well as provide public recreational areas. The project will consist of approximately 123 single-family buildings, six apartment buildings containing an estimated 180 units, two human-made water sports lakes, and a clubhouse/event center. There will be a boat dock constructed in each of the two human-made lakes. The project area is located on 190 acres of private undeveloped agricultural land, which is currently used for livestock grazing.
The project area is surrounded by existing residential development, SR 252, industrial development, and other undeveloped agricultural land. The Logan River runs south and southwest of the project area with the Little Logan River to the north and northwest. Clusters of trees and other vegetation line the river corridor near the project area.

The project area contains approximately 26.96 acres of palustrine emergent marsh wetlands (PEM), 0.18 acre of fen wetlands, 1.18 acres of wet meadow wetlands, 3.34 acres of palustrine forested wetlands (PFO), 0.08 acre of scrub shrub wetlands, and 18,054 linear feet of perennial channel. The project would permanently impact 5.47 acres of PEM and PFO wetlands and approximately 3,987.28 linear feet of the perennial channels located onsite. The perennial channels proposed to be impacted onsite include unnamed tributaries (UNT) to the Logan River. The project proponent indicated that Best Management Practices (BMPs) would be utilized to minimize temporary impacts to the remaining onsite wetlands and perennial channels.

The project proponent indicated that temporary impacts to WOTUS would be minimized through project design, construction scheduling, and avoidance and mitigation measures. Site grading will occur in intervals to prevent erosion, allow for revegetation, allow for relocation of sensitive resources, and will provide time for additional threatened and endangered species surveys and aquatic resource protections. The Project Proponent plans to maintain and utilize vegetative buffers throughout the project. All stormwater runoff will be contained within the two onsite water sport lakes and will minimize any sedimentation and nutrient loading during construction and operation of the facilities. The lakes are designed to have no outlet and losses from evaporation and infiltration will reduce any discharges. The retention basin system will be maintained by the established homeowner’s association (HOA). An emergency outfall to the Logan River for the lake system will be constructed on the northwest side of the western sports lake for extreme precipitation events. An additional 47 acres along the Logan River has been purchased by the Project Proponent with plans to place this area into a conservation easement as part of the mitigation plan. The 47 acres are not located with the project area. According to the Project Proponent it is anticipated that the project will require a mitigation ratio of 3:1. The Project Proponent is currently developing plans for additional mitigation.

V. Aquatic Resource Impacts
All Waters of the State of Utah (defined in UAC R317-1-1) are protected from pollutant discharges that affect water quality by narrative standards (see UAC R317-2-7.2); broadly, discharges should not become offensive or cause undesirable conditions in human health effects or aquatic life. In addition, some particularly sensitive classes of water are further protected from deleterious effects of specific pollutants by application of numeric criteria to designated beneficial uses of that waterbody. Listed below are the water features, grouped by AUs, impacted by the Project, their associated designated beneficial uses (see UAC R317-2-6 and UAC R317-2-13) and any impairments:

A. Logan River and tributaries: Logan River-1 AU [Logan River and tributaries (including Little Logan River and UNTs onsite)]

1. Beneficial Use Designations
   a. Class 2B: Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
   b. Class 3A: Protected for cold water species of game fish and other cold-water aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
   c. Class 3D: Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.
   d. Class 4: Protected for agricultural uses including irrigation of crops and stock watering.
2. **Impairments and Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)**

Results from the current water quality assessment, as documented in Utah’s 2022 Integrated Report[^2], indicate that the water quality of the Logan River-1 AU is considered to be impaired (Assessment Category 5). These waterbodies are impaired for *E. coli*, which impacts the infrequent primary contact recreation (Class 2B) beneficial use and Total Phosphorus, which impacts cold water species of game fish and other cold water aquatic life (Class 3A). A TMDL is required for each parameter and waterbody to define pollutant reduction requirements necessary for the water body to meet water quality standards. At present, a TMDL has been finalized for the Lower Bear River Watershed to address *E. coli* and Total Phosphorus[^3].

3. **Antidegradation Review**

Waters within the Logan River-1 AU are considered Category 3 waters for antidegradation purposes. Category 3 waters in Utah are waters where "point source discharges are allowed and degradation may occur, pursuant to the conditions and review procedures outlined in Section 3.5", as described in UAC R317-2-3.4. The antidegradation policy allows for discharges where the water quality effects of the proposed Project are determined to be temporary and limited after consideration of the factors identified in UAC R317-2-3.5.b.4., and where best management practices (BMPs) would be employed to minimize pollution effects.

B. **PEM Wetlands[^4]**

1. **Beneficial Use Designations**
   a. Class 2B: Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
   b. Class 3D: Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

2. **Impairments and TMDLs:** N/A

3. **Antidegradation Review**

The PEM wetlands are considered a Category 3 water for antidegradation purposes. Category 3 waters in Utah are waters where “point source discharges are allowed and degradation may occur, pursuant to the conditions and review procedures outlined in Section 3.5”, as described in UAC R317-2-3.4. The antidegradation policy allows for discharges where the water quality effects of the proposed Project are determined to be temporary and limited after consideration of the factors identified in UAC R317-2-3.5.b.4., and where BMPs would be employed to minimize pollution effects.

C. **PFO Wetlands[^5]**

1. **Beneficial Use Designations**
   a. Class 2B: Protected for infrequent primary contact recreation. Also protected for secondary contact recreation where there is a low likelihood of ingestion of water or a low degree of bodily contact with the water. Examples include, but are not limited to, wading, hunting, and fishing.
   b. Class 3D: Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water-oriented wildlife not included in Classes 3A, 3B, or 3C including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

[^4]: In UAC R317-2-13, all waters not specifically classified are presumptively classified 2B and 3D.
[^5]: In UAC R317-2-13, all waters not specifically classified are presumptively classified 2B and 3D.
2. Impairments and TMDLs: N/A

3. Antidegradation Review
   The PEM wetlands are considered a Category 3 water for antidegradation purposes. Category 3 waters in Utah are waters where “point source discharges are allowed and degradation may occur, pursuant to the conditions and review procedures outlined in Section 3.5”, as described in UAC R317-2-3.4. The antidegradation policy allows for discharges where the water quality effects of the proposed Project are determined to be temporary and limited after consideration of the factors identified in UAC R317-2-3.5.b.4., and where BMPs would be employed to minimize pollution effects.

VI. Certification Conditions

A. All activities with a potential discharge to WOTUS must implement and maintain BMPs to fully protect the waterbodies assigned beneficial use(s).

B. All activities shall not cause further degradation of impaired waterbodies, as defined in DWQ’s most recent 303(d) list, regardless of whether a TMDL has been completed. The Project Proponent must review impairments on the waterbodies where the Project has the potential to discharge and is responsible for ensuring that water quality standards are not exceeded and designated beneficial uses are not impaired.

C. Hazardous and otherwise deleterious materials (e.g. oil, gasoline, chemicals, trash, sawdust, etc.) shall not be stored, disposed of, or accumulated or conveyed through adjacent to or in immediate vicinity WOTUS unless adequate measures and controls are provided to ensure those materials would not enter WOTUS in the State of Utah. Any spill or discharge of oil or other substance which may cause pollution to WOTUS in the State of Utah, including wetlands, must be immediately reported to the Utah DEQ Hotline at (801) 536-4123, a 24-hour phone number.

D. All activities conducted in WOTUS in the State of Utah shall be conducted in the “dry” to the maximum extent practicable, by diverting flow utilizing cofferdams, berms constructed of sandbags, clean rock (containing no fine sediment) or other non-erodible, non-toxic material. All diversion materials shall be removed at the completion of the work. The Project Proponent shall consider conducting instream work during low flow conditions and work shall not be conducted during spawning season. Additionally, construction machinery shall not be operated within WOTUS in the State of Utah unless it is unavoidable, in which case it shall be conducted in the “dry” as stated above. The work shall be conducted in a manner to minimize the duration of the disturbance, turbidity increases, substrate disturbance, and minimize the removal of riparian vegetation. Construction machinery shall be clean to prevent the transfer of aquatic invasive species.

E. Project activities shall not increase water turbidity by more than 10 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTUs) in waterbodies classified as beneficial use class 2B for recreation and 3A for cold water aquatic life. Project activities shall not cause an increase in water turbidity by more than 15 NTUS in waterbodies classified as beneficial use class 3D. Project Proponents must continuously monitor turbidity during instream construction to ensure turbidity increases are within the limits listed above. The Project Proponents must provide monthly reports to DWQ during instream construction in waterbodies with class 2B, 3A, and 3D beneficial use designations that include at a minimum: baseline (reference) turbidity measurements in each waterbody where instream construction is occurring. 
F. All activities conducted in or immediately adjacent to WOTUS in the State of Utah with assigned beneficial use class 3A (cold water fishery) or has blue ribbon fishery designation must avoid removal of native riparian vegetation that provides stream shading to the maximum extent practicable. Any Projects that approve removal of riparian vegetation that provides shade must require reestablishment of native vegetation that provides equal or greater shade. The Project Proponent shall provide successful reestablishment of native vegetation.

G. Construction activities that disturb either greater than one acre of land, or less than one acre of land and is part of a larger common plan of development that would disturb greater than one acre, are required to obtain coverage under the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) Storm Water General Permit for Construction Activities ( Permit No. UTRC00000[6]). The permit requires the development of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to be implemented and updated from the commencement of any soil disturbing activities at the site, until final stabilization of the project. The SWPPP should include, but not be limited to, final site maps and legible plans, location of storm water outfalls/discharges, and information pertaining to any storm water retention requirements.

H. Dewatering activities, if necessary during construction, may require coverage under the UPDES General Permit for Construction Dewatering ( Permit No. UTG070000[7]) applies to the construction dewatering of uncontaminated groundwater or surface water sources due to construction activities; hydrostatic testing of pipelines or other fluids vessels; water used in disinfection of drinking water vessels; and other similar discharges in the State of Utah that have no discharge of process wastewater. The permit requires submission of a Notice of Intent (NOI); maintenance of a discharge log; development and implementation of a dewatering control plan; and monitoring for Flow, Oil & Grease, pH, Total Suspended Solids (TSS), and Chlorine (required when chlorinated water is used and discharged to a stream with a chlorine standard). Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) are required to be submitted monthly, regardless of whether a site discharges in a particular month.

VII. Condition Justification and Citation
A. Implementation of BMPs. Project approval is conditioned on implementation of BMPs, which are required to be implemented by the antidegradation policy in UAC R317-2-3, water quality standards may be violated unless appropriate BMPs are incorporated to minimize the erosion-sediment and nutrient load. Violations of water quality standards could cause a waterbody to fail to meet its designated beneficial uses. As required by Utah’s antidegradation policy UAC R317-2-3.1 “Existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses.” As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3 when making a Certification decision. If appropriate BMPs are incorporated, there is assurance that the Project will not violate water quality standards or impair a waterbody’s beneficial use.


B. Protection of Impaired Waterbodies. Waters that are impaired and conjunctively on Utah’s most up to date 303(d) list are not currently meeting their designated beneficial uses. According to Utah’s Final 2016 Integrated Report[8] the waters identified as impaired are not meeting their designated beneficial uses because “the concentration of the pollutant- or several pollutants- exceeds numeric water quality criteria, or quantitative biological assessments indicate that the biological designated uses are not supported (Narrative water quality standards are violated).” Utah’s antidegradation policy states “existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses.” UAC R317-2-3.1. In order to ensure that proposed Project meets Utah’s antidegradation policy and that discharges do not further degrade water quality the Project Proponent needs to be aware of the waterbodies assessment, more specifically if the waterbody is impaired and listed on Utah’s most current 303(d) list. If the potential discharge contains pollutants/parameters that the waterbody is listed as impaired for, the Project Proponent needs to take extra precautions to minimize and prevent discharges that could further degrade the waterbodies and prevent the waterbodies from meeting its beneficial and existing uses. Typical pollutants associated with USACE Section 404 permits (e.g. sediment), especially when a waterbody proposed for discharge is impaired, could cause applicable water quality standards to be violated, if appropriate measures are taken. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g. aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3. when making a Certification decision.


C. Proper Storage of Hazardous and Otherwise Deleterious Materials. Project approval is conditioned on proper storage of hazardous and otherwise deleterious materials, and notification of any discharge of those materials, to assure that water quality and narrative standards are not violated. When projects are occurring in or around waterbodies, there is a chance for pollutants to inadvertently be spilled/discharged into waterbodies due to increased risk from project related activities (e.g. presence of machinery, onsite chemical and gas storage, improper waste storage, and failure to use proper BMPs). To prevent or reduce the possibility that hazardous and otherwise deleterious materials are inadvertently discharged into a waterbody, Project Proponents must not store, dispose of, or accumulated such materials adjacent to or in immediate vicinity of WOTUS unless adequate measures and controls are provided to ensure those materials would not enter waters of the State. If there is a discharge to WOTUS in the State of Utah, it must be immediately reported to the DEQ, as stated in Utah Code Section 19-5-114. An inadvertent discharge of pollutants can cause violations with Utah’s Narrative Standards, which states “It shall be unlawful, and a violation of these rules, for any person to discharge or place any waste or other substance in such a way as will be or may become offensive such as unnatural deposits, floating debris, oil, scum or other nuisances such as color, odor or taste; or cause conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life or which produce objectionable tastes in edible aquatic organisms; or result in concentrations or combinations of substances which produce undesirable physiological responses in desirable resident fish, or other desirable aquatic life, or undesirable human health effects, as determined by bioassay or other tests performed in accordance with standard procedures; or determined by biological assessments in Subsection R317-2-7.3” UAC R317-3-7.2. Utah’s rules promulgating standards of quality for waters of the State affirm “it shall be unlawful and a violation of these rules for any person to discharge or place any wastes or other substances in such manner as may interfere with designated uses protected by

assigned classes or to cause any of the applicable standards to be violated” UAC R317-2-7.1.a. Discharges of pollutants, even inadvertently, could cause both a violation of applicable water quality standards and possibly interfere with a waterbodies designated uses.


D. **Dry Conditions to the Maximum Extent Practicable.** Project approval is conditioned on conducting activities under dry conditions to the maximum extent practicable to assure that water quality standards are not exceeded. Construction machinery used within a waterbody can cause significant impacts to water quality if adequate precautions are not taken. When it is unavoidable to operate construction machinery within the waterbody the Project Proponent should focus on minimizing the duration of the disturbance, turbidity increase, substrate disturbance, removal of riparian vegetation, and work shall be conducted in the “dry” to the maximum extent practicable. Minimizing the duration of impact reduces the chance that the impacts will accumulate and cause significant impacts to water quality. Minimizing turbidity increases is important because the State of Utah has numeric water quality criteria for turbidity in certain use designations, which could be violated if the Project Proponent does not take proper steps to minimize the increases. Water quality criteria for turbidity will be violated if there is an increase of 10 NTUs in waterbodies with designated uses related to recreation and if there is an increase of 10 NTUs (class 3A and 3B) or 15 NTUs (class 3C and 3D) in waterbodies with aquatic wildlife designated uses. UAC R317-2-14.1 and UAC R317-2-14.2. Conducting work in the “dry” to the maximum extent practicable will help reduce the risk of the numeric criteria for turbidity to be exceeded, as well as reduce the risk of a significant sediment load being transported downstream. Discharges of sediment can not only violate numeric criteria, but also, risk violating Utah’s narrative standard “It shall be unlawful, and a violation of these rules, for any person to discharge or place any waste or other substance in such a way as will be or may become offensive such as unnatural deposits, floating debris, oil, scum or other nuisances such as color, odor or taste; or cause conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life or which produce objectionable tastes in edible aquatic organisms; or result in concentrations or combinations of substances which produce undesirable physiological responses in desirable resident fish, or other desirable aquatic life, or undesirable human health effects, as determined by bioassay or other tests performed in accordance with standard procedures; or determined by biological assessments in Subsection R317-2-7.3.” UAC R317-2-7.2. Violations of numeric and narrative criteria could cause a waterbody not to meet its designated beneficial use and a transport of sediment downstream could prevent a downstream waterbody from meeting its designated beneficial uses. As required by Utah’s antidegradation policy UAC R317-2-3.1 “Existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses”. Additionally, “All actions to control waste discharges under these rules shall be modified as necessary to protect downstream designated uses” UAC R317-2-8. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.3 when making a certification decision.

E. Turbidity Increases and Instream Construction Monitoring. Beneficial uses associated with recreation and aquatic life have been assigned numeric criteria for turbidity. An increase of more than 10 NTUs in class 2B and 3A waterbodies above the turbidity of that waterbody would be a violation of instream criteria for waterbodies that have recreation or aquatic life uses. Similarly, an increase of more than 15 NTUs in class 3D waterbodies above the turbidity of that waterbody would be a violation of instream criteria for waterbodies that have aquatic life uses. UAC R317-2-14.1 and UAC R317-2-14.2. Therefore, turbidity increases above those allowed by this Certification could cause the waterbody to fail to meet its designated beneficial use classes. Turbidity monitoring during instream construction in waterbodies with class 2B, 3A and 3D beneficial uses designations will ensure turbidity increases do not violate Utah’s water quality standards. Utah’s antidegradation policy states “existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses” UAC R317-2-3.1. Failure to minimize turbidity increases that result in the failure to maintain beneficial use class 2B or 3A would be considered a violation of Utah’s rules and promulgated standards of quality for waters of the State, specifically Utah’s antidegradation policy found at UAC R317-2-3. The Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1A.3 when making a certification decision.


F. Vegetation Preservation and Reestablishment in Fisheries. Project approval is conditioned on avoiding native riparian vegetation removal that provides stream shading to the maximum extent practicable in or immediately adjacent to WOTUS used as fisheries in order to maintain existing beneficial use. Waterbodies with beneficial use class 3A (cold water fishery) or waterbodies with a blue ribbon fishery designation rely heavily on the available stream cover/shade to maintain designated beneficial uses. Riparian vegetation supplies necessary shade to stabilize water temperatures in streams. Removal of riparian vegetation, without reestablishment, could cause a waterbody not to maintain beneficial use 3A or its blue ribbon fishery designation. Utah’s antidegradation policy states “existing instream water uses shall be maintained and protected. No water quality degradation is allowable which would interfere with or become injurious to existing instream water uses.” UAC R317-2-3.1. Failure to minimize riparian vegetation removal and failure to reestablish riparian vegetation which results in the failure to maintain beneficial use class 3A would be considered a violation of Utah’s rules promulgating standards of quality for waters of the State, more specifically Utah’s antidegradation policy found at UAC R317-2-3. Additionally, the loss of riparian vegetation could cause a violation of the instream numeric criteria for temperature, which is listed as 20°C with a maximum temperature change of 2°C for beneficial use class 3A. UAC R317-2-14.2. If the temperature of the waterbody increases, there is a potential for instream water quality criteria for dissolved oxygen to be violated. Temperature and dissolved oxygen have an inverse relationship, where temperature increases then dissolved oxygen decreases, so an increase in temperature could cause a decrease in dissolved oxygen, and possibly a violation of the instream criteria for dissolved oxygen. The instream criteria for dissolved oxygen for beneficial use class 3A is a minimum of 8.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) when early life stages are present and 4.0 mg/L when all other life stages are present. UAC R317-2-14.2. As stated in UAC R317-15-6.1 the Director will ordinarily consider whether the proposed discharge “impairs the designated beneficial use classifications (e.g., aquatic life, drinking water, recreation) in Section R317-2-6” UAC R317-15-6.1.A.1., “exceeds water quality criteria, either narrative or numeric, in Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1A.2. or “fails to meet the antidegradation (ADR) requirements of Section R317-2-7” UAC R317-15-6.1A.3 when making a certification decision.
G. UPDES Storm Water General Permit for Construction Activities (Permit No. UTRC00000). UAC R317-8-2.5, gives the Director authority to issue general permits to cover specific categories of discharges, including storm water and construction dewatering that is discharged to a surface water. According to UAC R317-8-3.9 (6)(d), construction activities that result in a land disturbance of equal to or greater than one acre, including clearing, grading, and excavation are “industrial activities” under UAC R317-8-3.9(1)(a) and are therefore required to obtain and comply with a UPDES Permit for storm water discharges. This only applies to projects that meet or exceed one acre of disturbance.

Citation(s): UAC R317-8-3.9(6)(d) and UAC R317-8-3.9(1)(a)

H. UPDES General Permit for Construction Dewatering (Permit No. UTG070000). UAC R317-8-2.5, gives the Director authority to issue general permits to cover specific categories of discharges, including storm water and construction dewatering that is discharged to a surface water. Under the authority granted by UAC R317-8-2.5, the Director issued the General Permit for Construction Dewatering and Hydrostatic Testing, UPDES Permit No. UTG070000 renewed and effective as of February 1, 2020. UPDES Permit No. UTG070000 applies to construction dewatering of uncontaminated groundwater or surface water sources due to construction activities, hydrostatic testing of pipelines or other fluids vessels, water used in disinfection of drinking water vessels and other similar discharges in the State of Utah that have no discharge of process wastewater. This only applies to projects that require dewatering and discharge to surface water.

Citation(s): UAC R317-8-2.5

VIII. Disclaimers

A. Fees
   1. The legislatively-mandated fee for the 2022 fiscal year is $110.00/hour for review and issuance of the Section 401 Water Quality Certification. A quarterly invoice will be sent and your payment is due within 30 days. Disclaimers

B. Disclaimers
   1. The Project Proponent must acquire all necessary easements, access authorizations and permits to ensure they are able to implement the Project. This Section 401 Certification does not convey any property rights or exclusive privileges, nor does it authorize access or injury to private property.

   2. This Section 401 Certification does not preclude the Project Proponent’s responsibility of complying with all applicable Federal, State or local laws, regulations or ordinances, including water quality standards. Permit coverage does not release the project proponent from any liability or penalty, should violations to the permit terms and conditions or Federal or State Laws occur.

   3. A Project within a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) jurisdiction, must comply with all the conditions required in that UPDES MS4 Permit and associated ordinances. No condition of this Section 401 Certification shall reduce or minimize any requirements provided in the MS4 Permit. In the case of conflicting requirements, the most stringent criteria shall apply.
IX. **Public Notice and Comments**
As in UAC R317-15-5., this Certification decision is subject to a 30 public notice period. After considering public comment, the Director may execute the Certification issuance, revise it, or abandon it.

A. Public Notice Dates
B. Public Notice Comments/Response
C. During finalization of the Certification certain dates, spelling edits, and minor language or formatting corrections may have been completed. Due to the nature of these changes they were not considered major and the Certification will not be Public Noticed again.

X. **Water Quality Certification**
The Utah DWQ certifies that if the Project Proponent adhere to the conditions outlined in this Certification and adheres to any USACE Section 404 Permit Conditions, then the Project will comply with water quality requirements and applicable provisions of the CWA sections 301 (Effluent Limitations), 302 (Water Quality Related Effluent Limitations), 303 (Water Quality Standards and Implementation Plans), 306 (National Standards of Performance), and 307 (Toxic and Pretreatment Effluent Standards).

John K. Mackey P.E.  
Interim Director  

Date  
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