

GARY R. HERBERT Governor

SPENCER J. COX Lieutenant Governor

Department of Environmental Quality

Alan Matheson Executive Director

DIVISION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT AND RADIATION CONTROL Ty L. Howard Director

June 26, 2019

Nathan Rich, Executive Director Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District P.O. Box 900 Layton, UT 84041-0900

RE: Finding of Completeness and Draft Permit Renewal

Davis Class I Landfill-SW-100

Dear Mr. Rich:

The Division of Waste Management and Radiation Control has completed its review of the permit renewal request for Davis Class I Landfill. The permit renewal has been determined complete. Enclosed is a draft permit and associated attachments.

The required public comment period will begin July 2, 2019 and will end on July 31, 2019. Notice of the public comment period will be published in the Salt Lake Tribune, Deseret News and the Ogden Standard Examiner on July 1, 2019. Following the public comment period and resolution of any comments, final action will be taken on the draft permit.

If you have any questions, please call Rob Powers at (801) 536-0255.

Sincerely,

T. Allan Moore, Solid Waste Program Manager

Division of Waste Management and Radiation Control

TAM/RDP/k1

c: Brian Hatch, Health Officer, Davis County Health Department David W. Spence, Deputy Director, Davis County Health Department Rachelle Blackham, Acting Environmental Health Director, Davis County Health Department Preston Lee, Landfill Manager

DIVISION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT AND RADIATION CONTROL SOLID WASTE LANDFILL PERMIT

DAVIS CLASS I LANDFILL

Pursuant to the provision of the Utah Solid and Hazardous Waste Act, Title 19, Chapter 6, Part 1, Utah Code Annotated (Utah Code Ann.) (the Act) and the Utah Solid Waste Permitting and Management Rules, R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code adopted thereunder, a Permit is issued to:

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District as owner and operator,

to own, construct, and operate the Davis Class I landfill located in Davis County, Utah.

The Permittee is subject to the requirements of R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code and the requirements set forth herein.

All references to R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code are to regulations that are in effect on the date that this permit becomes effective.

This Permit sh	all become effective	<u>2019</u> .
This Permit sh	all expire at midnight	2029.
Closure Cost F	Revision Date:	2024.
Signed this	day of	, 2019.
	Ty L. Howard, Director Division of Waste Management	and Radiation Control

I.A.1.

FACILITY OWNER/OPERATOR INFORMATION

LANDFILL NAME: Davis Class I Landfill

OWNER NAME: Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District

OWNER ADDRESS: P.O. Box 900, Layton, Utah 84041

OWNER PHONE NO.: 801-614-5600

OPERATOR NAME: Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District

OPERATOR ADDRESS: P.O. Box 900

Layton, Utah 84041

OPERATOR PHONE

NO.:

801-614-5600

TYPE OF PERMIT: Class I Landfill

LOCATION: Sections 34, 35, 3 and 2, Township 4 north and 5

north, Range 1west, Salt Lake Base and Meridian

PERMIT NUMBER: 9419R3

PERMIT HISTORY: Permit Renewal signed INSERT DATE SIGNED

The term, "Permit," as used in this document is defined in R315-301-2(55) of the Utah Administrative Code. Director as used throughout this permit refers to the Director of the Division of Waste Management and Radiation Control.

The Permit renewal application, for the Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District Landfill is deemed complete on the date shown on the signature page of this Permit. This Permit consists of the signature page, Facility Owner/Operator Information section, sections I through V, and all attachments.

The facility as described in this Permit consists of the current disposal area, the maintenance shop, scale house, the green waste recycling, compost operations and the citizen's drop off pad and House hold Hazardous Waste facility. Compliance with this Permit does not constitute a defense to actions brought under any other local, state, or federal laws. This Permit does not exempt the Permittee from obtaining any other local, state or federal permits or approvals required for the facility operation.

The issuance of this Permit does not convey any property rights, other than the rights inherent in this Permit, in either real or personal property, or any exclusive privileges other than those inherent in this Permit. Nor does this Permit authorize any injury to private property or any invasion of personal rights, nor any infringement of federal, state or local laws or regulations, including zoning ordinances.

The provisions of this Permit are severable. If any provision of this Permit is held invalid for any reason, the remaining provisions shall remain in full force and effect. If the application of any provision of this Permit to any circumstance is held invalid, its application to other circumstances shall not be affected.

By this Permit, the Permittee is subject to the following conditions.



PERMIT REQUIREMENTS



I. GENERAL COMPLIANCE RESPONSIBILITIES

I.A. <u>General Operation</u>

I.A.1. The Permittee shall operate the landfill in accordance with all applicable requirements of R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code, for a Class I landfill, that are in effect as of the date of this Permit unless otherwise noted in this Permit. Any permit noncompliance or noncompliance with any applicable portions of Utah Code Ann. § 19-6-101 through 126 and applicable portions of R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code constitutes a violation of the Permit or applicable statute or rule and is grounds for appropriate enforcement action, permit revocation, modification, or denial of a permit renewal application.

I.B. Acceptable Waste

- I.B.1. This Permit is for the disposal of non-hazardous solid waste that may include:
- I.B.1.a Municipal solid waste as defined by R315-301-2(47) of the Utah Administrative Code;
- I.B.1.b Commercial waste as defined by R315-302-2(14) of the Utah Administrative Code;
- I.B.1.c Industrial waste as defined by R315-302-2(35) of the Utah Administrative Code;
- I.B.1.d Construction/demolition waste as defined by 19-6-102(4), Utah Code Annotated;
- I.B.1.e Special waste as allowed by R315-315 of the Utah Administrative Code and authorized in section III-I of this Permit and limited by this section;
- I.B.1.f Conditionally exempt small quantity generator hazardous waste as specified in R315-303-4(7)(a)(i)(B) of the Utah Administrative Code;
- I.B.1.g Waste Tires may be accepted and managed as specified in R315-320 of the Utah Administrative Code; and
- I.B.1.h Acceptable wastes are restricted to wastes that are received under sole contracts with local governments, within Utah, for waste generated within the boundaries of the local government. Each contract shall be approved by the Director prior to acceptance of the waste at the landfill.

I.C. Prohibited Waste

- I.C.1. Hazardous waste as defined by R315-1 and R315-2 of the Utah Administrative Code except as allowed in permit condition I-B6 (Acceptable Waste) above;
- I.C.2. Containers larger than household size (five gallons) holding any liquid; non-containerized material containing free liquids; or any waste containing free liquids in containers larger than five gallons; or
- I.C.3. PCB's as defined by R315-301-2(53) of the Utah Administrative Code.

- I.C.4. Regulated asbestos-containing material as defined by R315-301-2(5) of the Utah Administrative Code.
- I.C.5. Any prohibited waste received and accepted for treatment, storage, or disposal at the facility shall constitute a violation of this Permit, of Utah Code Ann. § 19-6-101 through 126 and of R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code.

I.D. <u>Inspections and Inspection Access</u>

- I.D.1. The Permittee shall allow the Director or an authorized representative, or representatives from the Davis County Health Department, to enter at reasonable times and:
- I.D.1.a Inspect the landfill or other premises, practices or operations regulated or required under the terms and conditions of this Permit or R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code;
- I.D.1.b Have access to and copy any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of this Permit or R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code;
- I.D.1.c Inspect any loads of waste, treatment facilities or processes, pollution management facilities or processes, or control facilities or processes required under this Permit or regulated under R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code; and
- I.D.1.d Create a record of any inspection by photographic, video, electronic, or any other reasonable means.

I.E. Noncompliance

- I.E.1. In the event of noncompliance with any permit condition or violation of an applicable rule, the Permittee shall promptly take any action reasonably necessary to correct the noncompliance or violation and mitigate any risk to the human health or the environment. Actions may include eliminating the activity causing the noncompliance or violation and containment of any waste or contamination using barriers or access restrictions, placing of warning signs, or permanently closing areas of the facility.
- I.E.2. The Permittee shall:
- I.E.2.a Document the noncompliance or violation in the daily operating record, on the day the event occurred or the day it was discovered;
- I.E.2.b Notify the Director by telephone within 24 hours, or the next business day following documentation of the event; and
- I.E.2.c Give written notice of the noncompliance or violation and measures taken to protect human health and the environment within seven days after Director notification.

- I.E.3. Within thirty days after the documentation of the event, the Permittee shall submit to the Director a written report describing the nature and extent of the noncompliance or violation and the remedial measures taken or to be taken to protect human health and the environment and to eliminate the noncompliance or violation. Upon receipt and review of the assessment report, the Director may order the Permittee to perform appropriate remedial measures, including development of a site remediation plan for approval by the Director.
- I.E.4. In an enforcement action, the Permittee may not claim as a defense that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code and this Permit.

I.F. Revocation

I.F.1. This Permit is subject to revocation if the Permittee fails to comply with any condition of the Permit. The Director will notify the Permittee in writing prior to any proposed revocation action and such action shall be subject to all applicable hearing procedures established under R305-7 of the Utah Administrative Code and the Utah Administrative Procedures Act.

I.G. Attachment Incorporation

I.G.1.a Attachments to the Permit Application are incorporated by reference into this Permit and are enforceable conditions of this Permit, as are documents incorporated by reference into the attachments. Language in this Permit supersedes any conflicting language in the attachments or documents incorporated into the attachments.

II. DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

II.A.1. Design and Construction

- II.A.1.a The Permittee shall construct any landfill cell, sub-cell, run-on diversion system, runoff containment system, waste treatment facility, leachate handling system, or final cover in accordance with the design as defined in Attachment #1 and in accordance with the R315-301 thru 320 of the Utah Administrative Code.
- II.A.1.b Prior to construction of any landfill cell, sub-cell, engineered control system, waste treatment facility, leachate handling system, or final cover, the Permittee shall submit construction design drawings and a Construction Quality Control and Construction Quality Assurance (CQC/CQA) Plans to the Director for approval. Approved design drawings and CQA/CQC plans will be incorporated into this permit through modification. Buildings do not require approval. The Permittee shall construct any landfill cell, sub-cell, cell liner, engineered control system, waste treatment facility, leachate handling system, and final cover in accordance with the design drawings and CQC/CQA Plans submitted to and approved by the Director.

- II.A.1.c Subsequent to construction, the Permittee shall notify the Director of completion of construction of any landfill cell, sub-cell, engineered control system, waste treatment facility, or final cover. Landfill cells may not be used for treatment or disposal of waste until all CQC/CQA documents and construction-related documents, including as-built drawings, are approved by the Director and this permit has been modified to reflect these changes. The Permittee shall submit as-built drawings for each construction event that are stamped and approved by an engineer registered in the State of Utah.
- II.A.1.d The Permittee shall notify the Director of any proposed incremental closure, placement of any part of the final cover, or placement of the full final cover. Design approval must be received from the Director and this permit modified prior to construction. The design shall be accompanied by a CQC/CQA Plan, for each construction season where incremental or final closure is performed.
- II.A.1.e A qualified party, independent of the owner and the construction contractor, shall perform the quality assurance function on liner components, cover components, and other testing as required by the approved CQC/CQA Plan. The results shall be submitted to the Director as part of the as-built drawings.
- II.A.1.f All engineering drawings submitted to the Director shall be stamped and approved by a professional engineer with a current registration in Utah.
- II.A.1.g If ground water is encountered during excavation of the landfill, the Director shall be notified immediately, and a contingency plan implemented or alternative construction design developed and submitted for approval.
- II.A.2. Run-On Control
- II.A.2.a The Permittee shall construct drainage channels and diversions as specified in Attachment #2 and shall maintain them at all times to effectively prevent runoff from the surrounding area from entering the landfill.
- II.A.3. Equivalent Design

The Permittee may propose a landfill liner design that uses a geosynthetic clay liner in place of the clay component of the liner required by R315-303-3(3)(a)(ii) of the Utah Administrative Code.

III. LANDFILL OPERATION

III.A. Operations Plan

III.A.1. The Permittee shall keep the Operations Plan included in Attachment #2 on site at the landfill or at the location designated in section III-H of this Permit. The Permittee shall operate the landfill in accordance with the operations plan. If necessary, the Permittee may modify the Operations Plan, provided that the modification meets all of the requirements of R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code, is as protective of human health and the environment as the Operations Plan approved as part of this Permit, and is approved by the Director as a minor modification under R315-311-2(1)(a)(xiii) of the Utah Administrative Code. The Permittee shall note any modification to the Operations Plan in the daily operating record.

III.B. Security

- III.B.1. The Permittee shall operate the Landfill so that unauthorized entry to the facility is restricted. The Permittee shall:
- III.B.2. Lock all facility gates and other access routes during the time the landfill is closed.
- III.B.3. Have at least one person required on site employed by the Permittee at the landfill during all hours that the landfill is open.
- III.B.4. Construct all fencing and any other access controls as shown in the Permit Application to prevent access by persons or livestock by other routes.

III.C. Training

III.C.1. The Permittee shall provide training for on-site personnel in landfill operation, including waste load inspection, hazardous waste identification, and personal safety and protection.

III.D. <u>Burning of Waste</u>

- III.D.1. Intentional burning of solid waste is prohibited and is a violation of R315-303-4(2)(b) of the Utah Administrative Code.
- III.D.2. The Permittee shall extinguish all accidental fires as soon as reasonably possible.

III.E. Daily Cover

III.E.1. The Permittee shall completely cover the solid waste received at the landfill at the end of each working day with a minimum of six inches of earthen material. The Permittee may use an alternative daily cover material when the material and the application of the alternative daily cover meets the requirements of R315-303-4(4)(b) through (e) of the Utah Administrative Code.

III.E.2. The Director may rescind or amend the alternative daily cover approval if the requirements to prevent blowing debris, to minimize access to the waste by vectors, to minimize the threat of fires at the open face, to minimize odors, or to shed precipitation are not met, or if necessary to prevent nuisance conditions or adverse impacts to human health or the environment.

III.F. Ground Water Monitoring

III.F.1. The Permittee shall monitor the ground water underlying the landfill in accordance with the Ground Water Monitoring Plan and the Ground Water Monitoring Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan contained in Attachment #3. If necessary, the Permittee may modify the Ground Water Monitoring Plan and the Ground Water Monitoring Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan, provided that the modification meets all of the requirements of R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code and is as protective of human health and the environment as the conditions approved in this Permit, and is approved by the Director as a minor modification under R315-311-2(1)(a) of the Utah Administrative Code. The Permittee shall note in the daily operating record any modification to the Ground Water Monitoring Plan and the Ground Water Monitoring Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan. A plan change that the Director finds to be less protective of human health or the environment than the approved plan is a major modification and is subject to the requirements of R315-311 of the Utah Administrative Code.

III.G. <u>Gas Monitoring</u>

- III.G.1. The Permittee shall monitor explosive gases at the landfill in accordance with the Gas Monitoring Plan contained in Attachment #4 and shall otherwise meet the requirements of R315-303-3(5) of the Utah Administrative Code. If necessary, the Permittee/s may modify the Gas Monitoring Plan, provided that the modification meets all of the requirements of R315-301 through 320 of the Utah Administrative Code and is as protective of human health and the environment as the conditions approved in this Permit, and is approved by the Director as a minor modification under R315-311-2(1) of the Utah Administrative Code. The Permittee shall note any modification to the Gas Monitoring Plan in the daily operating record. Plan changes that the Director finds to be less protective of human health or the environment than the approved plan are a major modification and are subject to the requirements of R315-311 of the Utah Administrative Code.
- III.G.2. If the concentrations of explosive gases at any of the facility structures, at the property boundary, or beyond the property boundary ever exceed the standards set in R315-303-2(2)(a) of the Utah Administrative Code, the Permittee shall:
- III.G.2.a Immediately take all necessary steps to ensure protection of human health and notify the Director;
- III.G.2.b Within seven days of detection, place in the daily operating record the explosive gas levels detected and a description of the immediate steps taken to protect human health;

- III.G.2.c Implement a remediation plan that meets the requirements of R315-303-3(5)(b) of the Utah Administrative Code; and
- III.G.2.d Submit the plan to, and receive approval from, the Director prior to implementation.
- III.G.2.e The Permittee shall operate and maintain the active landfill gas collection system to collect and treat the gas and any soil gas monitoring wells located along the facility boundary as necessary to meet the requirements of R315-303-3(5) of the Utah Administrative Code.

III.H. Waste Inspections

- III.H.1. The Permittee shall visually inspect incoming waste loads to verify that no wastes other than those allowed by this permit are disposed in the landfill. The Permittee shall conduct a complete waste inspection at a minimum frequency of 1 % of incoming loads, but no less than one complete inspection per day. The Permittee shall select the loads to be inspected on a random basis.
- III.H.2. The Permittee shall inspect all loads suspected or known to have one or more containers capable of holding more than five gallons of liquid to ensure that each container is empty.
- III.H.3. The Permittee shall inspect all loads that the Permittee suspect may contain a waste not allowed for disposal at the landfill.
- III.H.4. The Permittee shall conduct complete random inspections as follows:
- III.H.4.a The Permittee shall conduct the random waste inspection at the working face or an area designated by the Permittee.
- III.H.4.b The Permittee shall direct that loads subjected to complete inspection be unloaded at the designated area;
- III.H.4.c Loads shall be spread by equipment or by hand tools;
- III.H.4.d Personnel trained in hazardous waste recognition and recognition of other unacceptable waste shall conduct a visual inspection of the waste; and
- III.H.4.e The personnel conducting the inspection shall record the results of the inspection on a waste inspection form as found in Attachment #5.
- III.H.4.f The Permittee shall place the form in the daily operating record at the end of the operating day.
- III.H.4.g The Permittee or the waste transporter shall properly dispose of any waste found that is not acceptable at the facility at an approved disposal site for the waste type and handle the waste according to the rules covering the waste type.

III.I. <u>Disposal of Special Wastes</u>

III.I.1. The Permittee may dispose of animal carcasses in the landfill working face and shall cover them with two feet of soil or material as outlined in R315-315-6. Alternatively, the Permittee may dispose of animal carcasses in a special trench or pit prepared for the acceptance of dead animals. If a special trench is used, the Permittee shall cover animals placed in the trench with six inches of earth by the end of each operating day.

III.J. Self-Inspections

III.J.1. The Permittee shall inspect the facility to prevent malfunctions and deterioration, operator errors, and discharges that may cause or lead to the release of wastes or contaminated materials to the environment or create a threat to human health or the environment. The Permittee shall complete these general inspections no less than quarterly and shall cover the following areas: Waste placement, compaction, cover; cell liner; leachate systems; fences and access controls; roads; run-on/run-off controls; ground water monitoring wells; final and intermediate cover; litter controls; and records. The Permittee shall place a record of the inspections in the daily operating record on the day of the inspection. The Permittee shall correct the problems identified in the inspections in a timely manner and document the corrective actions in the daily operating record.

III.K. Recordkeeping

- III.K.1. The Permittee shall maintain and keep on file at the landfill office a daily operating record and other general records of landfill operation as required by R315-302-2(3) of the Utah Administrative Code. The landfill operator shall date and sign the daily operating record at the end of each operating day. Each record to be kept shall contain the signature of the appropriate operator and the date signed. The Daily operating record shall consist of the following two types of documents:
- III.K.1.a Records related to the daily landfill operation or periodic events including:
- III.K.1.a.(i) The number of loads of waste and the weights or estimates of weights or volume of waste received each day of operation and recorded at the end of each operating day;
- III.K.1.a.(ii) Major deviations from the approved plan of operation, recorded at the end of the operating day the deviation occurred;
- III.K.1.a.(iii) Results of monitoring required by this Permit, recorded in the daily operating record on the day of the event or the day the information is received;
- III.K.1.a.(iv) Records of all inspections conducted by the Permittee, results of the inspections, and corrective actions taken, recorded in the record on the day of the event.
- III.K.1.b Records of a general nature including:

- III.K.1.b.(i) A copy of this Permit, including all attachments;
- III.K.1.b.(ii) Results of inspections conducted by representatives of the Director, and of representatives of the local Health Department, when forwarded to the Permittee;
- III.K.1.b.(iii) Closure and Post-closure care plans; and
- III.K.1.b.(iv) Records of employee training.

III.L. Reporting

III.L.1. The Permittee shall prepare and submit to the Director an Annual Report as required by R315-302-2(4) of the Utah Administrative Code. The Annual Report shall include: the period covered by the report, the annual quantity of waste received, an annual update of the financial assurance mechanism, a re-application for approval of the financial assurance mechanism, any leachate analysis results, all ground water monitoring results, the statistical analysis of ground water monitoring results, the results of gas monitoring, the quantity of leachate pumped, and all training programs completed.

III.M. Roads

III.M.1. The Permittee shall improve and maintain all access roads within the landfill boundary that are used for transporting waste to the landfill for disposal shall be improved and maintained as necessary to assure safe and reliable all-weather access to the disposal area.

III.N. Litter Control

- III.N.1. Litter resulting from operations of the landfill shall be minimized. In addition to the litter control plans found in Attachment #2, the Permittee shall implement the following procedures when high wind conditions are present:
- III.N.1.a Reduce the size of the tipping face;
- III.N.1.b Reduce the number of vehicles allowed to discharge at the tipping face at one time;
- III.N.1.c Orient vehicles to reduce wind effects on unloading and waste compaction;
- III.N.1.d Reconfigure tipping face to reduce wind effect;
- III.N.1.e Use portable and permanent wind fencing as needed; and
- III.N.1.f Should high winds present a situation that the windblown litter cannot be controlled, the Permittee shall cease operations of the landfill until the winds diminish.

IV. CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

IV.A. Closure

IV.A.1. The Permittee shall install final cover of the landfill as shown in Attachment #1 The final cover shall meet, at a minimum, the standard design for closure as specified in the R315-303-3(4) of the Utah Administrative Code plus sufficient cover soil or equivalent material to protect the low permeability layer from the effects of frost, desiccation, and root penetration. The Permittee shall submit to the Director a quality assurance plan for construction of the final landfill cover, and approval of the plan shall be received from the Director prior to construction of any part of the final cover at the landfill. A qualified person not affiliated with the Permittee or the construction contractor shall perform permeability testing on the recompacted clay placed as part of the final cover.

IV.A.2. Title Recording

IV.A.2.a The Permittee shall meet the requirements of R315-302-2(6) of the Utah Administrative Code by recording a notice with the Davis County Recorder as part of the record of title that the property has been used as a landfill. The notice shall include waste disposal locations and types of waste disposed. The Permittee shall provide the Director the notice as recorded.

IV.B. Post-Closure Care

IV.B.1. The Permittee shall perform post-closure care at the closed landfill in accordance with the Post-Closure Care Plan contained in Attachment #6. Post-closure care shall continue until all waste disposal sites at the landfill have stabilized and the finding of R315-302-3(7)(c) of the Utah Administrative Code is made.

IV.C. Financial Assurance

IV.C.1. The Permittee shall adequately fund and maintain the financial assurance mechanism(s) to provide for the cost of closure at any stage or phase or anytime during the life of the landfill or the permit life, whichever is shorter. The Permittee shall keep the approved financial assurance mechanism in effect and active until closure and post-closure care activities are completed and the Director has released the facility from all post-closure care requirements.

IV.D. <u>Financial Assurance Annual Update</u>

IV.D.1. The Permittee shall submit an annual revision of closure and post-closure costs for inflation and financial assurance funding as required by R315-309-2(2) of the Utah Administrative Code, to the Director as part of the annual report. The Permittee shall submit the information as required in R315-309-8 of the Utah Administrative Code and shall meet the qualifications for the "Local Government Financial Test" or "Local Government Guarantee" each year.

IV.E. Closure Cost and Post-Closure Cost Revision

IV.E.1. The Permittee shall submit a complete revision of the closure and post-closure cost estimates by the Closure Cost Revision Date list-ed on the signature page of this Permit and any time the facility is expanded, any time a new cell is constructed, or any time a cell is expanded.

V. ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

V.A. Permit Modification

V.A.1. Modifications to this Permit may be made upon application by the Permittee or by the Director. The Permittee shall be given written notice of any permit modification initiated by the Director.

V.B. Permit Transfer

V.B.1. This Permit may be transferred to a new permittee or new permittees by complying with the permit transfer provisions specified in R315-310-11 of the Utah Administrative Code.

V.C. Expansion

- V.C.1. This Permit is for a Class I Landfill. The permitted landfill shall operate according to the design and Operation Plan described and explained in this Permit. Any expansion of the current footprint designated in the description contained in Attachment #2, but within the property boundaries designated in Attachment #2, shall require submittal of plans and specifications to the Director. The plans and specifications shall be approved by the Director prior to construction.
- V.C.2. Any expansion of the landfill facility beyond the property boundaries designated in the description contained in Attachment #2 shall require submittal of a new permit application in accordance with the requirements of R315-310 of the Utah Administrative Code.

V.D. Expiration

V.D.1. If the Permittee desires to continue operating this landfill after the expiration date of this Permit, the Permittee shall submit an application for permit renewal at least six months prior to the expiration date, as shown on the signature (cover) page of this Permit. If the Permittee timely submits a permit renewal application and the permit renewal is not complete by the expiration date, this Permit shall continue in force until renewal is completed or denied.

Attachment #1 Engineering Report



IIc. ENGINEERING REPORT – PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND CALCULATIONS

IIc.1. Performance Standards of R315-303-2

The Davis Landfill meets the performance standards of R315-303-2. The leachate collection system was constructed as approved.

IIc.2. Engineering Reports Required to Meeting Location Standards

Wasatch and its predecessors have been in continuous occupancy at the site since the early 1950's. During that period, no archaeologically significant discoveries have been made at the site, nor are any known to exist. All areas to be landfilled or borrow sources have been heavily disturbed.

The Davis Landfill is not located within 1,000 feet of a national, state, or county park, monument, or recreation area; designated wilderness or wilderness study area; or wild and scenic river area.

Ecologically or scientifically significant natural areas have not been observed within or adjacent to the current site. This site is an active landfill and has been in continuous use as such since the early 1950's.

There are no soils within the landfill property boundaries that are classified prime soil types for farmland use per the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) maps of Davis County. There are no irrigation water sources generated on-site but pressured irrigation is available from local purveyors. Some of the borrow areas are currently being cultivated but are not classified as prime soil types or considered within a unique or important farmland zone.

There are no historic structures or properties eligible to be listed in the State or National Register of Historic Places currently located within one-quarter mile of the property line that encloses the area currently being operated as a landfill. There are residential dwellings, a church, and a school that have encroached within this one-quarter-mile zone since the landfill began operating. The landfill has been in continuous operation under the direction of various governmental authorities since the early 1950's. The properties that comprise the area of this permit application have been designated for landfill use for this same period. A Master Plan prepared for Wasatch in 1984 also identifies the precise location of anticipated filling operations. Construction of the dwelling units within one-quarter mile of the property boundary occurred after the development of the Master Plan. At the time of the Master Plan, no residences, church, or school were located within the one-quarter-mile buffer. Therefore, the location standard with respect to these structures has been substantively met by Wasatch and should not limit the use of its facility.





The Davis Landfill is not located within 10,000 feet of a public-use airport runway used by turbojet aircraft. However, the landfill is located within 10,000 feet of a runway at Hill Air Force Base (AFB), which is not under the jurisdiction of the FAA or UDEQ. Therefore, the Davis Landfill does comply with the specific airport runway restrictions.

The Utah State Regulations indicate "No new facility or lateral expansion of an existing facility shall be located in a subsidence area, a dam failure flood area, above an underground mine, above a salt dome, above a salt bed, or on or adjacent to geologic features which could compromise the structural integrity of the facility".

Neither the unlined landfill nor the lined landfill cells (all phases) are located in a subsidence area, a dam failure flood area, above an underground mine, above a salt dome, or above a salt bed as mentioned in the Utah State Regulations. However, the landfill area is located in the southeast portion of the Salt Lake Basin along the western side of the Wasatch Front Mountains and is built on and into a bluff overlooking the Weber River. This area may be considered to be geologically hazardous due to the steep side slopes and the associated potential for landslides and erosion. In order to address the concern for potential instability, site analyses were conducted to evaluate the slope stability and design criteria for the existing landfill and the proposed expansion. The analysis, conducted previously by others (Roy F. Weston, Inc. 1996, Bingham Environmental, Inc. 1997, and IGES 2005) represents the site conditions and has been so referenced. Based on this evaluation, the Davis Landfill is stable under static and seismic conditions.

The landfill site is not located over or within 200 feet of any known Holocene fault, however it is located about 1.5 miles from the Wasatch Fault Zone. This fault zone is considered active and capable of producing 7 to 7 ½ magnitude earthquake.

DWMRC has adopted Subtitle D location restrictions for floodplains and wetlands. The landfill site is not within a delineated 100-year flood zone. There are no known or designated wetlands within the limits of the landfill boundary. There are no known endangered or threatened species within the landfill area.

DWMRC location restrictions with respect to groundwater protection include the following:

- No new facility shall be located at a site where the bottom of the lowest liner is less than 5 feet above historical high level of groundwater in the uppermost aquifer.
- No new facility shall be located over a sole source aquifer as designated in 40 CFR 149.
- No new facility shall be located over groundwater classified as IB under Section R317-6-3.3 (an irreplaceable aquifer).
- A new facility located above any aquifer containing groundwater which has a total dissolved solids (TDSs) content below 1,000 milligrams per liter (mg/l) and does not exceed applicable groundwater quality standards for any contaminant is permitted only





where the depth to groundwater is greater than 100 feet. For a TDS content between 1,000 and 3,000 mg/l, the separation must be 50 feet or greater. These separation distance requirements are waived if the landfill is constructed with a composite liner.

• No new facility shall be located in designated drinking water source protection areas or, if no such protection area is designated, within a distance to existing drinking water wells or springs for public water supplies of 250-day groundwater travel time.

Phase IV liner construction is at least 5 feet above the highest observed groundwater elevation in the shallow perched groundwater and is approximately 300 feet above the highest usable aquifer. The bottom liner for all lined Phases will be the equivalent of a composite system, using a GCL overlain by a 60-mil HDPE membrane. Therefore, the future Phase IV meets the requirements of the groundwater protection location restrictions.

Groundwater beneath the landfill area is of Class I quality, with a TDS of less than 500 mg/l. It is not a sole source or Class IB (irreplaceable aquifer). Usable drinking water wells are generally drilled to greater than 400-foot depths within a 1-mile radius of the site.

IIc.3. Anticipated Facility Life.

The remaining capacity the Davis Landfill is 8,905,560 cy. Wasatch will have airspace for approximately 27 years of disposal based on available fill volume, expected daily waste disposal rates, and an in-place density of 1,633 pounds per cubic yard (pcy). The in-place density increase is a result of Wasatch's commitment to increased compaction and long term compaction studies. As Wasatch increases the in-place density the years of design capacity will also increase. Drawings in Appendix K and calculations in Appendix Q detail the waste, soil, and ash parameters along with consumption of airspace.

IIc.4. Cell Design

The Landfill was designed and constructed to meet or exceed current regulatory standards. As new technologies and standards have been developed the Davis Landfill has updated and upgraded their design. Landfill Design Drawings are attached in Appendix K.

The landfill is designed with environmental controls (both a composite liner and a leachate collection system) that are intended to protect surface water and groundwater from contamination. The previously approved composite liner system consists of:

- Prepared subbase foundation.
- A geosynthetic clay liner (GCL).
- A geomembrane liner (60-mil HDPE, or equivalent synthetic material).
- A geocomposite drainage layer.
- A 24-inch protective soil layer.





This configuration was selected to provide a composite liner system that closely resembles the standard synthetic-over-clay composite liner system required by State of Utah Regulations (R315-303-3). This liner is an alternative system to the standard design and was selected for the following reasons:

- No source of clay acceptable for use is known to be available within a reasonable distance from the site (e.g., within 10 miles).
- Bentonite amendments to the on-site soils are not likely to achieve the regulatory hydraulic conductivity requirements at reasonable amendment ratios (e.g., less than 10% bentonite addition). This is partly due to the sandy texture of the on-site soils and to the general alkaline nature of Great Basin soils. Bentonite amendments at these levels are both very difficult to achieve homogeneously and expensive.
- GCL utilization has been an available and acceptable technology. The performance and associated QA/QC of the GCL materials is superior to compacted clay liners in this application.

This alternative liner system has been previously approved by DWMRC and used in the Davis Landfill. The minimum vertical separation from the groundwater and the lowest point of liner of all constructed phases and future Phase IV is more than the minimum 5 feet as specified in R315-302-1.

The remaining liner in Phase IV will be constructed having a minimum slope of 2% as shown in the contours indicated on the Drawings (Appendix K). Phase IV will slope towards Phase II and III and due to the short distance will not require piping. All foundation soils underlying the GCL will be free of surface anomalies and uniformly graded. The alternate liner system will be installed per the manufacturer's recommendations and will be inspected to ensure continuity. Construction plans, specifications and QA/QC program will be submitted to the DWMRC for review and approval prior to any construction related activities.

The Davis Landfill uses an area fill method. In the area fill method, an area is excavated and prepared as a lined landfill phase with excavated construction and borrow source soils being utilized for daily, intermediate, and final cover.

At the beginning of each new phase, a 2-foot-thick layer of protective soil is placed over the leachate collection system for the entire phase and over side slopes to protect the entire liner system. The first solid waste and ash placed in a newly constructed landfill phase will be placed in a layer approximately 3 feet thick over the entire bottom of the active area. Large objects will be removed from the deposited waste and the solid waste and ash will be compacted as a single lift, with no intermediate compaction to provide a 5-foot-thick protective working surface over the liner and leachate collection systems.

Subsequent layers of solid waste and ash will be placed in lifts of 10 to 20 feet in thickness. The solid waste and ash is spread and compacted in no more than 24-inch-thick layers on a working face 50 to 75 feet in width. The working face is sloped no steeper than 3H:1V to facilitate the





compaction of the waste. The working face area is kept to the minimum size necessary for operations. This minimizes the area exposed for wind or vector related problems and also minimizes the quantity of daily cover material required.

To prevent bridging of surrounding waste, large, bulky wastes are typically placed at the toe of the working face and crushed thoroughly prior to placement of additional solid wastes.

Temporary berms may be constructed on lifts to control surface water and vehicular traffic. These berms are constructed using the soil stockpiled for daily cover. In addition, the working face and lifts are sloped to minimize ponding of water.

Waste is placed in the development area until the waste reaches the planned intermediate or final grade. When final grades are reached, the surface is prepared for final cover. The Davis Landfill has closed the area identified as Stage A which is shown as the closed area on the drawings contained in Appendix A. It is anticipated that final cover will be placed over the lined landfill areas in a series of stages as sufficient area is brought to final elevation. Each stage of final cover installation will consider the operation, maintenance, storm water management, landfill gas collection, odors, financials, constructability, weather, and other factors.

The engineered final cover system will minimize surface water infiltration (thereby minimizing leachate generation), control gas migration, maintain slope stability, control surface water and erosion, and be capable of supporting vegetative cover. The vegetative cover has been selected with shallow root systems to prevent penetration into the drainage layer or geocomposites. The cover will be constructed as indicated on the drawings (Appendix K) that are included with this permit application. The final cover design will have a minimum of 2.5 feet of soil protection and topsoil over the synthetic cover materials. The 3 feet of soil cover minimizes the effect of frost (typical depth of influence between 20 to 30 inches as determined by UDOT guidance for the site) but also provides enough soil to protect the final cover components from damage. Side slopes will be maintained at 4H:1V and will typically have 10- to 15-foot-wide benches every 30 to 40 vertical feet to aid in constructing and maintaining the landfill cap slopes while providing areas for storm water management. The benches will slope a minimum of 2% to 5% to provide a positive drainage allowing for the anticipated settlement of the MSW. Elevations of the final cover are showed in the drawings in Appendix K.

The landfill cover design allows for natural shedding of water during a normal rainfall or snowmelt with little infiltration into the drainage layer. However, in the case of unusually high rainfall event, water will infiltrate to the underlying drainage layer (geonet). The geonet geocomposite will terminate or daylight into a perimeter ditch at the edge of the landfill cover and in the ditches associated with the landfill benches. The perimeter ditch will route all storm water to the storm water retention ponds as shown in Appendix F.

The gas transmission layer will help to direct the landfill gases generated from the MSW to the gas collections system and ultimately to the landfill gas flare or to Hill Air Force Base for use in the landfill gas-to-energy system.





IIc.5. Leachate Collection System Design and Calculations

Leachate collection system (LCS) design has been approved and constructed during phases I, II, III, and V. A drawing of the constructed leachate collection system is provided in Appendix K. The LCS consists of a geocomposite drainage material to provide lateral drainage of leachate directly above the liner system. The geocomposite layer was placed over the entire bottom of each of the lined landfill phases. The LCS is designed to minimize physical and biological clogging. The piping, grades, and materials of the LCS was designed to operate during filling, closure, and post-closure periods. The geocomposite was designed to limit leachate depths on the liner to well less than one foot, even when clogged by sediments and biofouling that has been observed at similar facilities. Each leachate collection and header pipe was oversized to allow maintenance cleaning. The geocomposite was covered by a protective soil layer consisting of 24 inches of soil with an in-place permeability of between 10⁻³ and 10⁻⁵ centimeters/second. This material protects the liner system, including the LCS, from damage during the placement of the first layer of select solid waste. The protective layer was constructed of moderately permeable, sandy soils excavated from the landfill expansion and separately stockpiled during excavation. The protective soil layer was track packed with landfill equipment prior to the placement of select waste. Phase IV liner will be constructed in a similar manner.

The bottom of each of the landfill phases was graded to provide a minimum slope of 2% from the highest side of the graded bottom to the lowest side. Perforated drainage pipes will be installed to prevent the localized buildup of head (leachate) and to transport collected leachate. Within each constructed phase, the lateral pipes terminate at a leachate header pipe (installed in Phase I), which connects all leachate piping to Phase V and the outlet pipe which gravity drains to the publicly owned treatment works (Central Weber Sewer Improvement District). All leachate from current phases (I, II, III, and V) along with the future Phase IV will report into the outlet pipe for final disposal.

Design of the LCS was based on a series of HELP model runs that simulate the generation of leachate within the landfill. To determine the maximum amount of leachate that the LCS would be required to transport, several computer runs were performed to evaluate the sensitivity of the model parameters with the Davis Landfill site. Using multiple configurations of final, intermediate, daily, and no covers and adding 25-year, 24-hour rainstorms at various stages of construction, the maximum amount of expected leachate flow was obtained. The LCS was evaluated for this flow using its designed and "clogged" conditions. For all anticipated flows, the LCS has been shown to be more than adequate to meet the design requirement of less than 12 inches of head on the liner. The piping systems have been designed to allow long-term maintenance activities to be performed and are therefore oversized for the anticipated hydraulic flows.

The LCS, as designed, has been in operation within Phase I of the lined landfill cell for approximately 16 years with no operational problems being experienced. The volume of leachate is metered monthly and varies based on weather and construction activities. On average, the lined area produces just under 10 million gallons per year or 19 gallons per minute.





IIc.6. Equipment Requirements and Availability

Per the Solid Waste Organization of North America, the minimum equipment required to operate the Davis Landfill is one dozer, one compactor, and 2 loaders. The Davis Landfill uses considerably more equipment than the minimum to fulfill its commitment to excellence. Wasatch has operated this landfill for about 20 years and has maintained sufficient equipment to operate it efficiently and effectively. As projects and programs change, Wasatch will add and change equipment to meet those needs. Wasatch will meet all the equipment requirements to maintain the Davis Landfill in an environmental sound condition.

IIc.7. Identification of Borrow Sources

Volume of soil required for daily, intermediate, and final cover have been determined for each development area. Possible borrow source locations sufficient to provide adequate volume are identified on the drawings contained in Appendix K.

IIc.8. Run-on and Run-off Diversion Design

Run-On and run-off diversion designs are contained in Appendix F and a copy of the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan is Appendix G.

IIc.9. Leachate Collection, Treatment, and Disposal

The drawing for the LCS is attached in Appendix K. Leachate is disposed of through: 1) free surface evaporation, 2) surface application/dust control within the lined landfill cell and 3) discharge to Central Weber Sewer Improvement District. Davis landfill has an agreement with Central Weber Sewer Improvement District to accept and treat leachate. A copy of the treatment permit is contained in Appendix R.

IIc.10. Ground Water Monitoring Plan

The drawing in Appendix A and in the Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill attached in Appendix N; show the ground water monitoring well locations, design, and construction. The Groundwater Monitoring Plan has already been approved by DWMRC.

IIc.11. Landfill Gas Monitoring and Control Plan

The drawing in Appendix A and in Explosive Landfill Gas Plan in Appendix H, show the landfill gas monitoring locations, buildings, well locations, design, and construction. This plan meets the requirements of DWMRC.





IIc.12. Slope Stability Analysis

The EPA and the DWMRC define a seismic impact zone as any location where the expected peak bedrock acceleration from earthquake activity exceeds 0.1 times the acceleration due to gravity (g).

The Maximum Horizontal Acceleration (MHA) in lithified earth material is defined in 40 CFR part 258.14 (EPA 1991) as the "maximum expected horizontal acceleration depicted on a seismic hazard map with a 90% or greater probability that the acceleration will not be exceeded in 250 years, or the maximum expected horizontal acceleration based on site specific seismic risk assessment." This definition was adopted in full by the DWMRC. This ground motion is often termed the Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE) seismic hazard level and associated with a 2% chance of exceedance in 50 years. The acceleration value of approximately 0.6g was obtained from the United States Geologic Survey's (USGS) Earthquake Hazards Program – National Seismic Hazard Mapping Project. The value is an estimated ground surface acceleration of a "firm rock" site, which is identified as having a shear-wave velocity of 760 m/sec in the top 30 meters; sites with different soil types may amplify or de-amplify this value.

A seismic response analysis and a dynamic deformation analysis were performed by Roy F. Weston, Inc., 1996, Bingham Environmental, Inc. 1997, and IGES 2005. These firms used similar input values, the similar computer software and in general came up the same results. The analysis and results from these previous permit documents has been reviewed and agreed with by IGES and in their opinion no further analysis is required.

In summary, the seismic response at the site was evaluated by Roy F. Weston, Inc., using the computer program SHAKE91, with the Loma Prieta motion being scaled using the 0.6g MHA value obtained for the site. Four soil/refuse conditions for the site were modeled representing 0, 40, 60 and 80 feet of refuse overlying native soils. Acceleration time histories were then selected and used in the displacement analysis.

Displacement analysis was performed using the computer program DSPLMT. Weston and Bingham Environmental each performed a separate displacement analysis using the SHAKE91 time histories. The time histories, static factor of safety, and the yield acceleration were input to evaluate the potential displacement. Based on the results of their analysis, the predicted displacements were approximately equal to or less than 1.0 foot.

Additional slope stability and deformation analysis were performed by IGES to evaluate areas where modifications have been made to the final design. Input information for the stability analyses was evaluated and modified prior to performing additional evaluation. These values are discussed further below.





SOIL AND REFUSE STRENGTH PARAMETERS			
MATERIAL	COHESION	FRICTION ANGLE	UNIT WEIGHT
	(psf)	(degrees)	(pcf)
Foundation Soils			
(Sandy Silt, Silty	50	32	110
Sand)			
MSW	200	30	85
Final Cover Soils	50	32	110

The parameters for the MSW were obtained from published results as part of a seismic design review performed by IGES in February of 2005. Withiam et al. (1995) found a friction angle of 30 degrees and a cohesion of 209 based on large-scale in-situ direct shear tests. Kavazanjian et al. (1995) suggested a friction angle of 33 degrees based on the back-analysis of several landfills. Based on this review a friction angle of 30 degrees and a cohesion of 200 psf were selected to define the strength properties of the MSW. These values were also used for the stability evaluation pertinent to this permit application.

The strength values used for the foundation and cover materials have been used by IGES in several studies for the landfill site and substantiated with field and laboratory testing and observation. The strength parameters used in this assessment are considered slightly conservative based on the values obtained from laboratory tests, however the values are consistent with previous modeling.

Static and pseudo-static stability assessments on typical worst-case excavation and final cover areas were performed as part of this permit application. The analysis was performed with the software SLIDE version 5.016 using the option for the Bishop's method of slices for the computations. In general, excavation slopes for the landfill bottom are proposed to be 3H:1V (horizontal to vertical) and final cover slopes are proposed to be 4H:1V. The results of our stability analyses indicate the proposed slopes are stable under static and seismic conditions. A summary of the results is presented in the following table. Output for the analyses, including plots of the most critical failure surfaces is provided in Appendix S.

STATIC CONDITIONS			
SECTION	CONDITION	Minimum Factor of Safety	
Phase Liners	Global stability with liner	2.27	
Stage A Cover	Global stability with liner and cover	3.34	
Stage C Cover	Global stability with liner and cover	2.91	

The seismic parameters used in the analysis were the same as those presented and used by Weston in 1996, which were based on MHA of 0.6g. Weston performed an attenuation analysis to estimate the effects on the MHA as the motion propagates up through the soil profile to the surface. The results of the attenuation analysis indicated peak ground base accelerations ranging from 0.41g to 0.47g with an average of about 0.44g. IGES reviewed this analysis and agreed





with the findings. The deformation analysis performed as part of IGES's study used the average value (0.44g) in the deformation assessment.

The internal friction angle of the reinforced GCL liner and the interface friction angle of the GCL to the textured polyethylene liner were also reviewed. Bingham Environmental had compiled relatively extensive test results pertaining to parameters for the Bentomat ST product. These parameters are consistent with information obtained by IGES for the same product. This information is summarized below:

SHEAR STRENGTH DATA OF BENTOMAT ST AS A FUNCTION OF			
OVERBURDEN*			
OVERBURDEN STRESS	INTERNAL FRICTION ANGLE	COHESION	
(psf)	(degrees)	(psf)	
<3000	34.9	280	
>3000	24.5	450	

^{*} These values are an average of direct shear test data on hydrated bentonite.

INTERFACE SHEAR STRENGTH DATA OF BENTOMAT ST AGAINST A TEXTURED POLYETHYLENE LINER AS A FUNCTION OF OVERBURDEN*				
OVERBURDEN STRESS	INTERNAL FRICTION ANGLE	COHESION		
(psf)	(degrees)	(psf)		
<1200	29.5	25		
>1200	17.6	200		

^{*} These values are an average of direct shear test data on hydrated bentonite.

Bingham Environmental, Inc., used these values to analyze a shear failure within the geosynthetic clay liner (GCL) and along the interface using an infinite slope analysis. Based on a review of their analysis, IGES confirmed their factor of safety of 1.7 on a 3H:1V liner slope under low confining pressures.

IGES previously evaluated the interface between a textured polyethylene liner and a geonet (drain net) composite, which consists of a standard geonet sandwiched between two non-woven geotextile fabrics. An interface friction angle of 27 degrees was obtained from the manufacturer and was used in our slope stability evaluation. Using an infinite slope analysis, a factor of safety of 1.52 was obtained. Based on this evaluation IGES anticipates the interface to be stable under static conditions.

Previous studies performed by Weston and Bingham Environmental also contained a deformation evaluation of the landfill. Based on their findings, a yield acceleration of 0.29g or greater predicted a displacement of one foot or less. Per EPA guidance documents, a maximum permissible displacement of one foot is considered acceptable for liners and caps.

IGES also was retained to complete a pseudo-static analysis and determine the yield accelerations for the proposed sections (Phase IV Liner and Stage A and C Covers). Yield





accelerations for these sections ranged from 0.35 to 0.45. These yield accelerations were normalized by the anticipated average attenuated ground motion to obtain estimates of slope deformation. These normalized values were multiplied by an amplification factor to account for the increase in horizontal acceleration as the ground motion propagated up through the landfill slopes. Singh and Sun (2000) recommend using the amplification relationship given by Harder (1991) as an upper bound estimate for the seismic response of landfills. Using this relationship an amplification factor of 1.6 was obtained. This value was used to scale the normalized accelerations and the anticipated permanent displacements were obtained using the upper bound curve given by Hynes-Griffin and Franklin (1984). Based on this analysis, IGES anticipates permanent deformations less than 1.0 foot. The results of the deformation analysis are summarized below. Output for the analyses, including plots of the most critical failure yield acceleration and sliding surfaces are given in Appendix S.

	PSEUDO-STATIC CONDITIONS				
		YIELD		ANTICIPATED PERMANENT DISPLACEMENT (ft)	
SECTION	CONDITION	ACCELERATION (g)	AMPLIFICATION FACTOR	Upper bound	Lower bound
Phase Liners	Global stability with liner	0.35	1.6	0.7	0.2
Stage A Cover	Global stability with liner and cover	0.45	1.6	0.5	0.1
Stage C Cover	Global stability with liner and cover	0.41	1.6	0.6	0.2

Based on IGES's evaluation, the interface was stable under static conditions and the deformations associated with seismic event are anticipated to be less than 1.0 foot.

IIc.13. Design and Location of Run-On and Run-Off Control Systems

Design and location of run-on and run-off control systems are shown in Appendix F and a copy of the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan is contained in Appendix G.



Attachment #2 Operations Plan



I.d. OPERATIONS PLAN

On October 9, 1991, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced revisions to the Criteria for Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities. These revisions were developed in response to Subtitle D of the 1984 Hazardous Waste Amendments to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The Subtitle D regulations set forth revised minimum federal criteria for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills (MSWLFs), including facility design and operating criteria. The Subtitle D regulations set forth differing requirements for existing and new units (e.g., existing units are not required to remove wastes to install liners).

Subtitle D established a framework for federal, state, and local government cooperation in controlling the management of non-hazardous solid waste. The federal role in this arrangement is to establish the regulatory direction by providing minimum nationwide standards for protection of human health and the environment and by providing technical assistance to States for planning and developing their own environmentally sound waste management practices. However, the actual planning, direct implementation, and enforcement of solid waste programs under Subtitle D remains largely a state and local function.

On November 5, 1995, the State of Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UDEQ) issued final Administrative Rules entitled Solid Waste Permitting and Management Rules (R315-301 through 320) implementing Subtitle D at the state level. UDEQ has received authorization from EPA to implement and enforce the solid waste program.

Wasatch has prepared this Landfill Operations Plan to guide the daily operations at the Davis Landfill. This document provides substantial discussion of operations at the landfill based on the operating criteria outlined in 40 CFR 258, Subpart C, and State of Utah Administrative Rules R315-301 through 310.

Portions of this Operations Plan are subdivided into separate discussions of the unlined landfill cell and the lined landfill cell. Since the unlined landfill accepted waste after October 9, 1993, its closure and post-closure care must follow more stringent state and federal regulations than those facilities which were closed prior to October 9, 1993. Subtitle D regulations apply fully to the lined landfill cell. Where separate discussions are made, the regulations differ regarding the required design, operation, or closure between the unlined and lined facilities.

I.d.1 Onsite Waste Handling

A waste control program designed to detect and deter attempts to dispose of hazardous and other unacceptable wastes will continue to be implemented at the Davis Landfill. The program is designed to protect the health and safety of employees, customers, and the general public, as well as to protect against contamination of the environment.

The Davis Landfill is open to the general public and commercial haulers for solid waste disposal typically Monday through Saturday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (6:00 p.m. in summer), year-





round, excluding holidays. During special events (flooding, windstorms, etc.) the landfill can change hours under the consent of management. Wasatch controls public access to the landfill to prevent illegal dumping of wastes, public exposure to hazards, scavenging, and unauthorized traffic. Access control is a key element in preventing unauthorized scavenging or injury. Fences, locked gates, and natural barriers provide the basis of the site's access control system. During operating hours, Wasatch personnel monitor and control all access to facilities with at least two people on-site, one of which is at the active face.

The Davis Landfill is open for public and private disposal. Signs posted near the landfill entrance clearly indicate (1) name of facility; (2) hours of operation, (3) unacceptable waste; and (3) emergency contact telephone number.

All vehicles delivering wastes to the site must stop at the scale house. Commercial waste haulers are required to comply with the rules established by Wasatch and can lose the right to use their facilities if they violate the rules. Scale house personnel will obtain a weight and inquire as to the origins of the waste and the contents of each incoming load. Any vehicle suspected of carrying unacceptable materials (liquid waste, sludges, or hazardous waste) will be prevented from entering the disposal site unless the driver can provide evidence that the waste is acceptable for disposal at the site. Wasatch reserves the right to refuse service to any suspect load. Vehicles carrying unacceptable materials will be required to exit the site without discharging their loads. If a load is suspected of containing unacceptable materials, the following information will be recorded: date, time, name of the hauler, license plate, and source of waste. The scale house will then notify the tipping area attendants by radio that a load is suspect and that load will be further inspected at the landfill tipping area before final disposal is allowed.

After a vehicle leaves the scale house, the vehicle will be routed to the appropriate discharge location by site personnel. Loads will be regularly surveyed at the tipping area. If a discharged load contains inappropriate or unacceptable material, the discharger will be required to reload the material and remove it from the landfill site. If the discharger is not immediately identified, the area where the unacceptable material was discharged will be cordoned off if necessary. The unacceptable material will be moved to a designated area for identification and preparation for proper disposal.

The scale house ticket and other forms needed for the daily operating record are found in Appendix D.

I.d.2 Inspection Schedule

Groundwater
Lined Landfill
Unlined Landfill
Surface Water Drainage System
Leachate Collection Operation
Landfill Gas
Quarterly
Quarterly
Quarterly





Examples of the forms that will be used to record the results of the inspections and monitoring are found in Appendix E. The inspections are discussed in greater detail as follows.

I.d.2.A Groundwater

Wasatch will continue to monitor groundwater in conformance with Ground Water Quality Standards of the DWMRC, Administrative Rules, Section R315-308. Groundwater sampling, analysis and statistical evaluation are done in strict accordance with the approved groundwater monitoring plan. Currently, the groundwater monitor well network for the lined landfill is in detection monitoring and is sampled on a semi-annual basis. The groundwater monitor well network for the unlined landfill cell is currently in assessment monitoring and is sampled in accordance to R315-308.

I.d.2.B Surface Water

Drawings in Appendix F illustrate the location of the surface water drainage control system designed to incorporate both existing topographical features as well as changes to the overall site layout. A copy of the Storm Water Pollutions Prevention Plan is attached in Appendix G. Wasatch staff will inspect the drainage system no less than quarterly. Wasatch or a licensed general contractor will repair drainage facilities as required.

I.d.2.C Leachate Collection

The leachate collection and recovery system (LCRS), installed in the lined landfill, must be maintained so that it operates during the post-closure maintenance period. The operation of the system will be observed no less than quarterly by Wasatch staff. Wasatch or a licensed contractor will make repairs as required. Cleanouts have been provided to aid Wasatch in maintaining continuous flow. The location and distance to cleanout ports have been designed to facilitate inspection and cleaning operations.

I.d.2.D Landfill Gas

Explosive Landfill Gas Monitoring Plan (Plan) for the Davis Landfill is attach in Appendix H. The landfill gas collection system will be inspected no less than quarterly according to those specifications and parameters listed in Utah Administrative Rules R315-303-2, Standards for Performance. The system will be inspected and maintained to ensure system capabilities throughout operations and the post-closure maintenance period.





I.d.2.F Inspection Documentation

The results of all routine inspections of site facilities will be recorded on inspection forms. The inspection forms will be submitted to the Landfill Manager for inclusion in the landfill operating records as required in Section R315-302-2(5) of the Utah Administrative Rules. Examples of forms utilized in the documentation of the landfill operations are included in Appendix D. Davis Landfill will maintain and keep, on-site the following permanent records:

- 1. A daily operating record, that includes: the weights and type of received, the number of vehicles.
- 2. Any deviations from the approved plan of operation.
- 3. Training and notification procedures.
- 4. The results of ground water, leachate, gas, and other environmental monitoring.
- 5. All inspection logs.

I.d.3 Contingency Plans

Contingency operations will be implemented should specific or unusual situations occur. The following subsections discuss such contingencies as fire, explosion, release of explosive gases, and failure of run-off containment. The Landfill Manager has a cellular phone and radio which serve as the on-site mobile communications system for use in an emergency to communicate with the management offices and off-site personnel. Additional available communication is the telephone located in the scale house, which will serve as the back-up communication system.

Emergency Action Plan

Wasatch will follow the procedures in the Emergency Action Plan Attached in Appendix I. The following procedures will be initiated when conditions become evident or as notification is received.

- a. Fire.
- b. Explosion.
- c. Trauma/Medical Emergency.
- d. Hazardous Material Release.
- e. Earthquake.
- f. Severe Weather.
- g. Violent or Criminal Activity/Behavior.
- h. Bomb Threat.
- i. Civil Disturbance/Demonstration.
- j. Military/Commercial Downed Aircraft.

A. Fire

Wasatch policies and procedures require that all persons in a facility evacuate that facility any time the fire alarm is activated. Wasatch protocol for response to a general fire is as follows:

a. Activate the nearest fire alarm.





- b. If alarm is not available notify via voice, radio, or phone paging system.
- c. Consideration for use of a fire extinguisher:
 - i. Fire is small containing no explosives or flammable liquids.
 - ii. Have a clear escape route away from fire source.
 - iii. Have been trained in use of extinguisher.
 - iv. Have confidence in skill to use an extinguisher.
- d Leave facility by designated escape routes:
 - i. Don't run.
 - ii. Do not use elevators use clear stairways.
 - iii. Close doors when all personnel have cleared area.
 - iv. Assist others in need of evacuation help.
- e. Assemble in designated evacuation points to await head count.
- f. Notify Evacuation Point Contact of any processes that were left on or are of a critical nature.
- g. Do not re-enter facility until instructed.

Evacuation for Personnel Requiring Assistance and Disability Guidelines

Persons with a special need for assistance during an evacuation should inform another person that assistance may be required during an evacuation; a survey identifying this need will be conducted on an annual basis and results will be kept on file at the Wasatch Safety/Training and Human Resources office with information to be disseminated to designated on a need to know basis.

Buddy System Option

When a fire alarm sounds or an evacuation is ordered, the "buddy" or designated assistant will make sure of the location of the person requiring assistance, then go outside and inform emergency personnel that a person in that location needs assistance living the building. Emergency personnel will then enter the building and evacuate that person.

Vertical (Stairway) Evacuation

Persons with sight disabilities may require the assistance of a sighted person. Persons who must use crutches or other devices as walking aids will need to use their own discretion, especially where several flights of stairs maybe present.

- Mobility Impaired (Wheelchair)
 - Persons using wheelchairs should evacuate horizontally to evacuation points. If the person with disability is alone and unable to evacuate unassisted, they should phone 911 and give their present location, need of assistance, or area which they are headed.
- Mobility Impaired (Non-Wheelchair)
 - Persons with mobility impairments, who are able to walk independently, may be able to negotiate stairs in an emergency with minor assistance. If there is no immediate danger, the person with disability may choose to stay in building, using other options, or until emergency personnel arrive.
- Hearing Impaired
 - Most facilities are equipped with fire alarm horns/bells/strobe lights that sound the alarm and flash lights. The lights are for hearing impaired persons.
- Visually Impaired





Most Facilities are equipped with fire alarm horns/bells/strobe lights that sound the alarm and flash lights. The horn is for sight impaired persons. People who are visually impaired may need assistance evacuating facilities. Personnel assisting visually impaired persons should offer to guide them to the evacuation point.

Landfill Operations

The Site foreman or designated Operator/Lead is the designated Incident Commander (IC) in the event of a fire emergency and will conduct the following fire response:

- i. Landfill face: isolate from other waste, push dirt over, smother fire, or call for water truck and suppress with minimum amount of water.
- ii. Landfill brush: push dirt over, smother fire, call for water truck, or call 911 request a Fire Department Brush Truck.
- iii. Landfill General Response, Citizen Drop-Off Pad, and Green Waste Facility.

Warm Weather:

- Notify Foreman and/or other operators by radio.
- Use on board fire extinguisher to initially contain propagation of fire.
- Water Pull Tanker will be brought to the scene to extinguish fire both are to take the higher ground.
- attack posture.
- Tow canister to open area to allow to burn out or continue to extinguish.
- Having proper PPE donned, open door of canister; finish suppression with Water Pull Tanker and/or Excavator to pull out contents and expose flames/hotspots.

Cold Weather:

- Notify Foreman and/or other operators by radio.
- Use on board fire extinguisher to initially contain propagation of fire.
- Front End Loader to obtain a bucket of dirt to be brought to the scene to extinguish fire. Both are to take the higher ground attack posture.
- Water Pull Tanker is to be filled with water from the Machine shop southwest fire hydrant by using the fire hose stored in cabinet directly outside of Machine shop's south-east bay.
- During Water Pull Tanker preparation, tow canister to open area and either allow fire to burn out or continue to extinguish. Having proper PPE donned, open door of canister; finish suppression with Water Pull Tanker water.
- Front End Loader dirt, and/or Excavator to pull out contents and expose flames/hotspots.
- iv. Equipment Fire: Notify Foreman and/or other operators by radio: use on board fire extinguisher. For your knowledge, there is no motor compartment suppression unit on equipment.
- v. Administration Building: Evacuate building and call 911.





Emergency Gathering Points

Davis Landfill:

Administrative office and Machine Shop emergency evacuation assembly points are: West side of the Main Building – at posted Evacuation sign - Parking lot and East side of shop building – on berm.

Personnel are to remain in area until both area supervision and Safety verify an accurate head count.

Immediately following any fire, the following protocol will be followed:

Facility Building:

- All fire protection systems/equipment will be replaced and/or reset, fused sprinkler heads replaced.
- Lead Operator will secure the scene by cordoning off effected area with barrier tape or other appropriate media to restrict unauthorized traffic into area.
- Lead Operator, Safety, Maintenance Supervisor, and Landfill Management will constitute the initial investigation team and will be notified within the first hour and convened within the first two hours following the incident
- Accurate documentation of the event to include, but not limited to, circumstances, scene location, probable cause, equipment involved, personnel involved, detailed documentation of injuries, associated deaths, dismemberments, estimation of damage with estimation of associated cost, witness statements, machinery instrument logs, computer data history, digital imagery or equivalent technology.
- Site Foreman, Safety, Purchasing Manager, Landfill Management, and Wasatch Management will formulate a written salvage operation plan based on the documented findings of the initial investigation team.
- Immediate notification of State Fire Marshall Office if incident is suspected to be suspicious in nature.
- Immediate notification of State Medical Examiner Office if incident involves loss of life
- Notification of insurance courier will occur during the initial 8 hours of the event.
- Notification requirements of Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)

Within 8 hours after death of any employee as a result of a work-related incident.

Within 24 hours after the in-patient hospitalization of one or more employees or an employee's amputation or an employee's loss of an eye, as a result of a work-related incident.

- Special Procedures Landfill Active Face Refuse Fire Do Not use water, Dirt must be used to smother the fire.
- Special Procedures Landfill Foliage Fire Call 911- Use water and earth moving equipment to extinguish.





B. Explosion

In the event a mishap occurs such as an explosion at the facilities, take the following actions:

- a. Immediately take cover under tables, desk, and other objects that will give protection against falling glass or debris.
- b. After the effects of the explosion and/or fire have subsided, notify Layton City Police or Davis County Sheriff Bomb Technician at 911; give your name and describe the location and nature of the emergency.
- c. If necessary, or when directed to do so, activate the building alarm.
- d. When the building evacuation alarm is sounded or when told to leave by Wasatch Management or designate, walk quickly to the nearest marked exit and ask others to do the same.
- e. Assist the handicapped in exiting the building, do not use elevators in case of fire and/or potential for power loss, do not panic or create panic in other.
- f. Once outside, move to a clear area that is at least 1000 feet away from the affected building, keep streets and walkways clear for emergency vehicles and crews, know your assembly points.
- g. If requested, assist Emergency crews as necessary.
- h. Do not return to an evacuated building unless told to do so by an authorized Wasatch Management or designate.

Explosive/Pipe Bombs

If unscheduled waste articles, such as ammunition, explosives, pipe bombs, etc., that are brought into or discovered at the facilities, take the following actions:

Explosives/Pipe Bombs:

- a. Don't disturb the material/substance and notify Facility Supervision/Management or Wasatch Safety: NOTE Do Not use Cellular Phone or Radio and No Smoking in the same area as the material/ substance.
- b. Evacuate area or deny entry to transient personnel to a distance of 100 yards in all directions.
- c. Cordon off immediate area with cones or tape.
- d. Record description of article/material substance, e.g., type of container, material color, any oily/dusty appearance on container, etc.
- e. Facility Supervision/Management or Wasatch Safety will notify law enforcement at 911 and report the following:
 - i. A suspicious article has been found at —Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District facilities, give name of person reporting, give address of facility, give contact phone number (Do Not Hang up until told to do so).
 - ii. Request On-Call Bomb Technician and other appropriate units to respond.

Ammunitions

As general policy, ammunition, explosives, gun powder and like substances are not to be left at the facilities; however, if said material is discovered during waste screening or during the disposal process the following actions will be taken:





Ammunition Container/Casing Material (Stable/Good Shape)

- a. Take and secure ammunition in cool dry place.
- b. Notify Facility Supervision/Management or Wasatch Safety.

Ammunition Container/Casing Material (Unstable/Poor, Questionable Shape)

- a. Don't disturb the material/substance and notify Facility Supervision/Management or Wasatch Safety: NOTE Do Not use Cellular Phone or Radio and No Smoking in the same area as the material/ substance.
- b. Evacuate area denying entry to transient personnel a distance of 100 yards in all directions.
- c. Cordon off immediate area with cones or tape.
- d. Record description of article/material substance, e.g., type of container, material color, any oily/dusty appearance on container, etc.

Military/Commercial Aircraft Crash

In the event a downed aircraft (crash) on facility property, take the following actions:

- a. Immediately take cover by/under objects that will give protection against falling glass or debris.
- b. After the effects of the crash have subsided, notify 911; give your name and describe the location and nature of the emergency.
- c. If required to evacuate facilities, assist the handicapped in exiting the building, do not use elevators in case of fire and/or potential for power loss, do not panic.
- d. Once outside, move to a clear area that is at least 1000 feet away from the affected area. Keep streets and walkways clear for emergency vehicles and crews. Know your assembly points.
- e. Do not touch any debris, e.g., cargo, armament, ammunition, fuselage parts, nuts, bolts, equipment, etc., that potentially was from the craft.
- f. Do not use water to control fire stemming from crash site, use ABC fire extinguishers, foam fog, or dirt/sand.
- g. If requested, assist Emergency crews as necessary.
- h. Keep clear of the disaster site unless your services have been requested.
- i. Do not return to an evacuated building or area unless told to do so by a by an authorized Wasatch Management or designate.

C. Trauma or Medical Emergency

In the event of a trauma/medical emergency, the first person to respond will:

- a. Take the appropriate measure to notify the proper contact point (DERF Control Room: Davis Landfill scale house).
- b. By declaring an emergency either by phone or Wasatch facility radio; if contact is by radio, "Emergency, Emergency, Emergency" will be stated; all other radio traffic will yield to declared emergency, name of person declaring, location of incident, what the problem is, type of assistance required will be transmitted.
- c. Wasatch Emergency Response will consist of:





- i. Shift Engineer/Site Foreman, Emergency Medical Technician (EMT) or First Responders will report to incident scene with trauma/medical kit and automated external defibrillator (if required).
- ii. Shift Engineer/Site Foreman, first person to respond, First Responder, or EMT will request rescue or ambulance upon assessment findings.
- iii. Basic first aid, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), or use of automated external defibrillator (AED) procedures will be initiated based on assessment findings.
- iv. Upon arrival of Municipal or County Emergency Response Personnel, patient care and all relevant patient information will be transferred to the responding agency.
- v. Any equipment or supplies will be restocked or replaced after the event.
- d. The appropriate facility supervision or Wasatch Safety/Training Coordinator will transmit an "All Clear/Return to Operational Status" message over the radio to indicate return to normal operations after the incident.
- e. Accurate documentation of the event to include, but not limited to, circumstances, scene location, probable cause, equipment involved, personnel involved, detailed documentation of injuries, associated deaths, dismemberments, estimation of damage with estimation of associated cost, witness statements, instrument logs, computer data history, digital imagery or equivalent technology.
- f. Site Foreman, Safety, Purchasing Manager, Landfill Management, and Wasatch Management will formulate a written salvage operation plan based on the documented findings of the initial investigation team.
- g. Immediate notification of local law enforcement if incident is suspected to be suspicious in nature.
- h. Immediate notification of State Medical Examiner Office if incident involves loss of life
- i. Immediate notification to OSHA if incident involves a fatality within 8 hours of the event.
 - 801-530-6901
- j. Notification of insurance courier will occur during the initial 8 hours of the event.

D. Hazardous Material Release

General Response

In the event of a hazardous material release, consideration to the following must be given priority:

- a. Consult product material safety data sheet information before proceeding to any type of remedial action.
- b. Safety of employee, i.e., employee shall be properly trained to handle hazardous material release, possess and use proper personal protective equipment, have adequate assistance manpower and material to remediate the situation.
- c. All efforts will be taken to contain the spill as soon as it occurs: the use of blue absorbent clothes, speedy dry, or other approved absorbent material; also, sand and dirt dams may be utilized.
- d. Consideration of additional hazardous material resources.
 - i. Davis County Health Department 1(801) 451-3296
 - ii. North Davis Sewer District -1(801) 825-0712



Part I-20 January 2017



 $(J.E.\ Macfarlane \quad -1(801)\ 430\text{-}3286)$ iii. Layton City Fire Department – HAZMAT Response - 911

Davis Landfill - Acid/Caustic Release

- a. Upon recognition of either acid or caustic release appearance of white cloud, stinging of the eyes, throat, burning sensation upon inhalation immediately notify other personnel in area and isolate section with safety cones or block entry with equipment.
- b. Notify scale house, site foreman, facility management, and/or Safety of situation by phone, radio, or verbally.
- c. Do Not re-enter area. Allow entry only to those properly trained and wearing appropriate level of personal protective equipment, and with adequate manpower and remediation material to handle the situation.
- d. Consult product material safety data sheet information before proceeding further if product is known.
- e. Take appropriate action to contain the spread of material by diking with dirt, sand, mulch or similar material.
- f. Determine if material is acidic or caustic by means of litmus indicator paper. Use appropriate neutralizing agent to bring material to a pH of ~7.0 (neutral). When material is neutralized, push material into canister.
- i. Wash down concrete surface with copious amount of water and then determine if surface requires further decontamination by means of litmus indicator paper.
- j. Properly decontaminate personnel, equipment, and tooling.
- k. If amount of material overwhelms Wasatch resources immediately contact Layton City Fire Department-HAZMAT Response and Davis County Health Department.

Davis Landfill - Diesel Fuel

- a. In the event of a fuel spill immediately notify other personnel in area of the hazard and extinguish all sources of ignition.
- b. Notify Site Foreman of situation by phone, radio, or verbally.
- c. Do Not re-enter and deny entry into area unless properly trained, have and donned appropriate level of personal protective equipment, have adequate manpower and remediation material.
- d. Consult product material safety data sheet information before proceeding further.
- e. All efforts will be taken to contain the spill as soon as it occurs: the use of blue absorbent clothes, speedy dry, or other approved absorbent material; also, sand and dirt dams may be utilized.
- f. After spilt fuel is contained and absorbed, cleanup will consist of shoveling fuel laden absorbent into containers for disposal in trash pit or landfill and an application of a layer of fresh absorbent to surface dry effected area to be cleaned up after reasonable amount of time.
- g. Request additional resources if not able to contain the spill due to lack of manpower or materials.





Davis Landfill - Lubricant/Hydrocarbon Base Material

- a. Upon recognition of lubricant release immediately notify other personnel in area and extinguish all sources of ignition.
- b. Notify Site Foreman of situation by phone, radio, or verbally.
- c. Do Not re-enter and deny entry into area unless properly trained, have and donned appropriate level of personal protective equipment, have adequate manpower and remediation material.
- d. Consult product material safety data sheet information before proceeding further.
- e. Every effort must be taken to contain the spill as soon as it occurs: the use of blue absorbent clothes, speedy dry, or other approved absorbent material; also, sand and dirt dams may be utilized.
- f. After spilt lubricant is contained and absorbed, cleanup will consist of shoveling fuel laden absorbent into containers for disposal in trash pit or landfill and an application of a layer of fresh absorbent to surface dry effected area to be cleaned up after reasonable amount of time.
- g. Consideration to request additional resources if not able to contain nor possessing adequate amount of manpower or materials.

E. Earthquake

During an earthquake, remain calm and quickly follow the steps outlined below:

- a. If INDOORS, seek refuge in a doorway or under a desk or table. Stay away from glass windows.
- b. If OUTDOORS, move quickly away from buildings, utility poles, electrical generators, bulk fuel storage tanks, and other structures. CAUTION: Always avoid power or utility lines as they may be energized.
- c. If in an automobile, heavy equipment, large trucks, stop in the safest place available, preferably away from power lines and trees. Stop as quickly as safety permits, but stay in your vehicle for it offers shelter.
- d. After the initial shock, evaluate the situation and if emergency help is needed, call Wasatch Administrative Office or Wasatch Safety. Protect yourself always and be prepared for aftershocks.
- e. Damaged facilities should be reported to Wasatch Administrative Office or Wasatch Safety. NOTE: Gas leaks and power failures create specific hazards.

If an emergency exists, activate the building alarm, and evacuate to assembly areas.

F. Severe Weather

The Wasatch Executive Director, applicable facility management, Wasatch Safety, or designate will monitor the National Weather Service radio and will initiate notifications when severe weather bulletins are issued for the immediate area:

- 1) Tornado/Thunderstorm/Wind Watch—indicates that atmospheric conditions are conducive for the development of the stated warning. Normal operations will continue. Employees should keep a close eye on changing weather conditions and be prepared to act if necessary.
- 2) Tornado/Thunderstorm/Wind Warning—indicates that the hazardous condition stated has been spotted or identified on radar. When these conditions immediately threaten





the Wasatch facilities, the Wasatch Executive Director, applicable facility management, Wasatch Safety, or designate will issue notification through e-mail messages and/or telephone communications to the respective Wasatch facility management/supervision.

- i) NOTE: Hazardous weather conditions can develop in seconds and will not allow for formal means of communication. In the event an employee feels that weather is immediately threatening, they will initiate the following actions:
 - a) TAKE COVER. Instruct employees and others nearby to a wall near the interior of the building away from windows and exterior doors. Individuals should curl up in a "ball" or fetal position, place their hands over their head and remain in that position until the severe weather passes.
 - b) Landfill Operations: if in an open area seek the lowest surface point possible, avoid berm ridges, high spots, touching metal, seek surface depressions, e.g., irrigation ditch, culvert, etc.
 - (1) DO NOT LEAVE THE BUILDING OR INITIATE A BUILDING EVACUATION DURING THESE CIRCUMSTANCES. WHEN SEVERE WEATHER STRIKES, POWER MAY BE INTERRUPTED CAUSING ALARMS TO SOUND. IF FIRE IS NOT IMMEDIATELY PRESENT AND A CLEAR EXIT IS MAINTAINED, EVERYONE SHOULD REMAIN UNTIL THE SEVERE WEATHER PASSES.

3) Snow, Ice, Or Flooding

Snow, ice and/or flood can make travel to and from Wasatch facilities hazardous. When the potential or conditions develop that would make travel to and from the facilities a hazard, the following steps will be followed:

- i. Wasatch facilities, the Wasatch Executive Director, applicable facility management, Wasatch Safety, or designate will monitor the National Weather Service broadcasts, local reports, and/or contact the Utah Highway Patrol or Utah Department of Transportation Website for road condition updates. Upon receipt of information that would make travel hazardous, the appropriate level of management will be contacted.
- ii. If weather conditions threaten Wasatch operations, the Executive Director will determine if Wasatch operations are to be suspended. If they are to be suspended, proper level of management will be notified.

4) Emergency Closing Information

In the event of weather conditions that might cause any interruption in work flow or events at Wasatch facilities, personnel and staff are advised to contact their respective Facility Manager, Wasatch Safety/Training Coordinator, Scale Manager, or Wasatch Executive for reports on operation status:

Wasatch Human Resource Office and Safety will serve as the primary source of information for Wasatch Operations status during inclement weather, and will be contacted first by the Wasatch Executive Director.





Among the actions that might be taken by Wasatch are:

- 1. Closure of Wasatch facilities.
- 2. Delay opening the Wasatch facility operations.
- 3. Remain open with no changes in schedule.

If inclement weather conditions occur overnight, the decision to close or remain open will be made as soon as possible, ideally prior to 5 a.m., and will be communicated to the Wasatch points of contact as soon as possible.

If weather conditions that may affect the operation occur during normal hours of operation (7 a.m. to 5/7 p.m., Monday through Saturday), the decision will be communicated on the effected facility via the points of contact as noted above.

G. Violent or Criminal Activity

Immediately contact Layton City Police or Davis County Sheriff Department by telephoning 911 if hostile or violent behavior, actual or potential, is witnessed.

- a. Initiate immediate contact with law enforcement to ensure that a timely response has begun before a situation becomes uncontrollable.
- b. Leave the immediate area whenever possible and direct others to do so.
- c. Should gunfire or an explosion occur on the facilities, seek protective cover immediately using all available concealments. Close and lock doors when possible to separate yourself and others from the armed suspect or perpetrator.
- d. Hostage Situation:

If taken hostage:

- i. Be patient, time is on your side, avoid drastic action.
- ii. The initial 45 minutes are the most dangerous, follow instructions, be alert, and stay alive; captors are emotionally unbalanced, don't make mistakes that could jeopardize your wellbeing.
- iii. Don't speak unless spoken to and then only when necessary; don't talk down or attempt to rationalize with the captor; avoid appearing hostile; maintain eye contact with the captor always, if possible, but do not stare.
- iv. Try to rest, avoid speculating; comply with instructions as best as you can, avoid arguments; expect the unexpected, severe mood swings, irrational actions, etc.; displaying a certain amount of fear can possibly work to your advantage.
- v. Do not make quick or sudden moves; if you must go to the bathroom, need medications, or first aid, ask your captors.
- vi. Be observant, when you are released, or if you escape, the personal safety of others may depend on what details you remember about the situation.

Civil Disturbance or Demonstration

Demonstrations will not be classified as disruptive unless one or more of the following conditions exist because of the demonstration.

a. Interference with normal operations of the Wasatch.





- b. Prevention of access to offices, buildings, or other Wasatch facilities.
- c. Threat of physical harm to persons or damage to Wasatch facilities.

If any of these conditions exist, immediately contact local law enforcement.

Peaceful, Non-Obstructive Demonstration

- a. Generally, demonstrations of this kind should not be interrupted, obstructed, or provoked and efforts should be made to conduct Wasatch business as normally as possible.
- b. If demonstrators are asked to leave, but refuse to leave by regular facility closing time, arrangements will be made by the Wasatch Executive Director or designate to monitor the situation during non- business hours.
- c. Determination will be made to treat the violation of regular closing hours as a disruptive demonstration.

Non-Violent, Disruptive Demonstrations

If a demonstration blocks access to the facility or interferes with the operation of the facilities:

- a. Demonstrators will be asked to terminate the disruptive activity by respective Facility Manager, Wasatch Safety/Training Coordinator, Wasatch Executive Director or designate.
- b. If deemed appropriate, digital images/photographs will be taken to document the proceedings.
- c. Efforts should be made to secure positive identification (including photographs if deemed advisable) of demonstrators who are in violation to facilitate later testimony.
- d. If court injunction is obtained, the demonstrators will be so informed. Those demonstrators who refuse to comply will be warned of the intention to arrest.
- e. If the demonstrators persist in the disruptive activity, they will be advised that failure to discontinue the specified action within a determined length of time may result in law enforcement action. Except in extreme emergencies, the Wasatch Executive Director will be consulted before such actions are taken.

Violent Disruptive Demonstrations

During regular office hours:

- a. Respective facility management, safety, Executive Director or designate, and law enforcement will be summoned to the scene.
- b. Respective facility management, safety, Executive Director or designate will call for digital images or photographs to report to an advantageous location to photograph the demonstrators.

After Regular Office Hours

Respective facility management and safety will investigate the disruption and report findings to the Executive Director or designate.

The Executive Director or designate will:

Notify key Board Administrators.





NOTE: The Facility Management, Wasatch Safety or the respective on-duty Facility Supervision reserves the right to order law enforcement intervention without counsel from others if it is deemed to be of paramount importance to the safety and security of persons and/or property.

H. Bomb Threat

Wasatch does not require employees to place themselves nor fellow employees in danger by searching for suspicious materials or reported placement of explosives; however, it is required for employees to perform the following functions prior to evacuating:

If you observe a suspicious object or potential bomb on campus, DO NOT HANDLE THE OBJECT! Clear the area immediately and call Public Safety. Any person receiving a bomb threat by telephone should attempt to ask the caller:

When is the bomb going to explode?

Where is the bomb located?

What kind of bomb is it?

What does it look like?

Why did you place the bomb?

What is your name?

Normally the caller will not answer these questions, but may make comments. During this time, the person answering the phone should attempt to listen to background noises, the accent of the caller, or gather any information from noises of sounds heard during the conversation.

Keep talking to the caller if possible and record the following:

Time of call

Age and sex of caller

Speech pattern, accent, possible nationality, etc.

Emotional state of caller, e.g., angry, frantic, calm, etc.

Background noise.

Report the incident immediately to law enforcement, on-duty supervisor, Wasatch Safety, respective Facility Management who will notify the Executive Director and Scale Manager.

A decision will be made between the person in charge of the area, Wasatch Safety, and respective Facility Management as to whether the building or area will be evacuated.

EVACUATION ORDERED - The responding law enforcement departments will conduct a search of the area. Wasatch employees in the affected area will be required to evacuate and re-group at the evacuation assembly points.

EVACUATION NOT ORDERED - The responding law enforcement departments, in concert with employees in the affected area, may be required to conduct a Covert Search. Employees will be asked to discreetly check their immediate work areas for any suspicious packages, devices, etc.





Bomb/Suspicious Device/Package Located

Wasatch Safety, respective Facility Management or designate will order the immediate evacuation of the area.

TWO-WAY RADIOS, CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATION DEVICES WILL NOT BE USED WITHIN 1500 FEET OF THE SUSPECTED DEVICE!

Law enforcement, Wasatch Executive Director immediately will be contacted as well as applicable members of the Emergency Action Board.

I.d.4 Groundwater Corrective Action Programs

Assessment Monitoring Program

This Assessment Monitoring Program (AMP) will continue to be utilized whenever a statistically significant contaminant concentration, with respect to background levels has been detected for one or more of the constituents listed in R315-308-4 that has an associated groundwater protection standard during detection monitoring. If Wasatch determines that there has been a statistically significant increase in a contaminant concentration with respect to background, Wasatch will:

• Notify DWMRC, in writing, within 14 days of the completion of the statistical analysis of the sample results and within 30 days of the receipt of the sample results within 14 days of obtaining laboratory results at:

UDEQ - Division of Waste Management and Radiation Control 195 North 1950 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-4880

- Identify the parameters that have shown statistically significant changes. This information will be included in the notification.
- Enter sampling analysis results into the operating record.
- Immediately re-sample the groundwater in all wells, or a subset of the wells as specified by the Director, for all constituents listed in R315-308 and determine whether a statistically significant change has occurred such that the groundwater protection level has been exceeded. If a statistically significant change has occurred, Wasatch will report the sample analysis results, in writing, within 7 days of their receipt to the above-noted address.

Wasatch may demonstrate that a source other than the solid waste disposal facility caused the contamination per R315-308. A demonstration report must be prepared by a qualified groundwater scientist and be approved by the Director. If approved, Wasatch may continue to monitor per the approved groundwater monitoring plan.





If, after 90 days, a demonstration has not been made that a source other than the facility caused the contamination, Wasatch will initiate the following:

- Within 14 days of the determination that a successful demonstration is not made, take one sample from each downgradient well and analyze for all constituents listed in Appendix II in 40 CFR Part 258, 20011991 edition.
- For any constituent from Appendix II, 40 CFR Part 258, detected in the downgradient wells, four samples from the up-gradient wells and four samples from the downgradient wells must be collected and statistically evaluated to establish background concentration levels for the constituents and analyzed to determine background levels.
- Within 14 days of the completion of the statistical analysis of the sample results and within 30 days of the receipt of the sample results, place a notice in the operation record and notify the Director in writing.
- Wasatch will then re-sample all wells on a quarterly basis for the constituents listed in R315-308 and the detected constituents from Appendix II of 40 CFR Part 258.
- Wasatch will also sample all downgradient wells on an annual basis for all 40 CFR Part 258 Appendix II constituents.

If, after two consecutive sampling events, the concentrations of all constituents are shown to be at or below established background levels, Wasatch must notify the Director, in writing, within 14 days. After which, upon approval by the Director, Wasatch may return to assessment monitoring under the approved groundwater monitoring plan.

If one or more of the constituents from R315-308-4 or Appendix II are detected at statistically significant levels above the groundwater protection standard in any sampling event, Wasatch must:

- Within 14 days of the receipt of this finding of this finding, notify the Director, the appropriate local governing agencies, and the local health department that groundwater quality standards have been exceeded.
- Place a notice in the operating record identifying the constituents that have exceeded the groundwater protection standard and their concentrations.
- Characterize the nature and extent of the release by installing additional monitoring wells, as necessary.
- Install at least one well on the downgradient property line and sample and analyze for constituents in R315-308 and the detected constituents from Appendix II.
- Notify all persons who own the land or reside on the land that directly overlies any part
 of the plume of contamination if contaminants have migrated off-site as indicated by
 sampling of wells.

If Wasatch can demonstrate that a source other than the solid waste disposal facility caused the contamination or that the statistically significant change resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation or groundwater quality, they may continue monitoring as specified in R315-308-2(12)(d) or Subsection R315-308-2(12)(e) when applicableR315-308. To demonstrate this, Wasatch must prepare a report that is certified by a qualified groundwater





scientist, must enter the report into the operating record, and must obtain approval of the report from the Director.

Corrective Action Program

If a successful demonstration per R315-308 has not been made within 90 days, indicating that a source other than the solid waste disposal facility may be the cause of contamination, a Corrective Action Program (CAP) (R315-308-3) will be required. The CAP requires Wasatch to:

- Continue to monitor as required in R315-308.
- Take any interim measures as required by the Director to ensure the protection of human health and the environment.
- Prepare a Corrective Action Plan to assess the current conditions and circumstances of the solid waste disposal facilities.
- Select a remedial action based on the Corrective Action Plan and public comments.
- Continue remedial action until Wasatch notifies the Director, in writing, that the
 contaminant concentrations have been reduced to levels below the established
 background concentrations for a period of 3 years or an approved alternative length of
 time. Wasatch and a qualified groundwater scientist must sign and certify the report
 demonstrating the successful completion of remedial action. Upon Director approval,
 Wasatch will terminate corrective action measures and continue to monitor per R315308.

The Corrective Action Plan will address the following specific items at a minimum:

- Description of selected remedy.
- Time required to begin and complete the remedy.
- Cost of remedial action.
- Public health and environmental requirements that may substantially affect the implementation of the remedy.
- Comments from a public meeting held to discuss the corrective action.
- Performance, reliability, ease of implementation, and potential impacts of appropriate potential remedies, including safety impacts, cross-media impacts, and control exposure to any residual contamination.

The Corrective Action Plan will be submitted within 14 days after the selection of a final remedy. Wasatch must:

- Amend the Corrective Action Plan, as necessary, and submit a report to the Director for approval describing the remedy and providing a schedule for implementation and estimated time of completion.
- Put into place the financial assurance mechanisms as required by R315-309 and notify the Director of the financial assurance mechanism and its effective date.





In selecting a remedy, Wasatch will consider:

- Nature and extent of contamination.
- Resource value of the groundwater.
- Long-term and short-term effectiveness and protectiveness of the remedy.
- Effectiveness of the remedy in controlling the source to reduce or eliminate further releases.
- Ease or difficulty of implementation.
- Practicable capability of owner or operator including technical or economic capability.
- Degree to which community concerns are addressed.
- Any other relevant factors.
- Attain the established ground water quality standard.

All possible remedies will be evaluated including the no-action alternatives. Evaluation of the technical and economic items listed above will be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Director.

I.d.5 Release of Explosive Gases and Run Off System Failure

Release of Explosive Gases

If a release of explosive gases should occur at the landfill or in any structure associated with the landfill site:

- All personnel in the area, including those in surrounding buildings, will be evacuated immediately. In addition, site equipment will be moved away from the scene, if possible.
- All landfill personnel will be accounted for.
- Local emergency personnel (fire, police) will be contacted and informed of the situation.
- The Landfill Manager will be informed of the situation.
- The release area and surrounding area will be monitored with a combustible gas indicator (CGI) by landfill personnel and readings documented for placement into the operating record.
- The release area will be restricted to both landfill personnel and residents until cleared for re-entry by local emergency personnel.
- Fire prevention precautions will be taken throughout the entire emergency response operation.
- Wasatch Executive Director will provide the necessary notices to the Director.
- Wasatch Executive Director or his designated representative will act as the Public Spokesman and will be the only employee authorized to make statements to the media.

Failure of Run-Off Containment

In the event of failure of the run-off containment system that has been designed to minimize the off-site release of surface water that contacts operational portions of the landfill:

• If containment failure is in an active fill area, landfill personnel will immediately suspend filling operations.





- Landfill personnel will use earth-moving equipment to construct temporary earthen berms to divert the flow of surface water away from the failure area and toward a holding area.
- The Landfill Manager will conduct damage assessment. If the damaged area cannot be reconstructed by on-site personnel, Wasatch will contact a contractor to initiate repairs.
- Wasatch Executive Director will provide the necessary notices to DWMRC.
- Wasatch Executive Director or his designated representative will act as the Public Spokesman and will be the only employee authorized to make statements to the media.

I.d.6 Fugitive Dust Plan

Wasatch's Fugitive Dust Control Plan (FDCP) was developed to minimize fugitive dust emissions at the Davis Landfill. This FDCP identifies control measures to be applied by Wasatch to minimize fugitive dust emissions resulting from operations at the Davis Landfill. This FDCP covers only those operations performed by Wasatch. The intent of this FDCP is to ensure compliance with the opacity standards specified in the Utah Air Quality Rules. Wasatch has identified control options for each operation, and these options have been ordered according to their ease of implementation and effectiveness. Level 1 describes the minimum level of control for each operation that will be used at a site. If Level 1 is not sufficient to meet the opacity standards, Level 2 controls will be applied. If Level 2 is not sufficient, Level 3 controls will be applied. Finally, if Level 3 is not sufficient, Level 4 controls will be applied (if they are available). For each operation, the final method of control is to stop operation until weather conditions allow the opacity standards to be maintained.

The requirements of an Approval Order (AO) take precedence over the control options of this FDCP.

Material Handling (front-end loaders, etc.)

Level I	No action is required due to material constitution and weather conditions.
Level 2	Minimize drop heights and reduce operating speeds as necessary.
Level 3	Water and dust suppression sprays will be applied to the material prior to
	handling and transfer, as required.
Level 4	Operations will cease until weather conditions improve to the point that
	the opacity standards can be maintained.

Unpaved Roads and Work Areas

Level 1 Road surfaces will be adequately maintained.

Level 2 Water suppression sprays will be applied to the unpaved roads and work areas, as required.

Exceptions:

Water will not be applied to roads or work areas on days when the rainfall exceeds 0.10 inches, when the road or work areas are muddy, when the roads or work areas are covered with snow or ice, or when the temperature drops below freezing.



Part I-31 January 2017



Level 3 Operators will reduce vehicle speeds, as required. Chemical dust suppression may be applied.

Level 4 Operations will cease until weather conditions improve to the point that the opacity standards can be maintained.

Paved Roads and Work Areas

Level 1 No action is required due to optimum weather and/or material conditions.

The roads and work areas will be swept or flushed with water to remove material, as required. If large amounts of material get on the surfaces, to the point where a water spray would be inefficient, the material will be manually removed or a sweeper will be used. Transition Zones may be established.

Exceptions:

Water will not be applied when the roads or work areas are covered with snow or ice or when the temperature drops below freezing.

Level 3 Operators will reduce vehicle speeds, as required.

Level 4 Operations will cease until weather conditions improve to the point that the opacity standards can be maintained.

Exposed Surfaces

Level 1	No	action	required,	due	to	material	moisture	content	or	weather
	cone	ditions.								

Level 2 Water suppression sprays will be applied to the surfaces, as required.

Level 3 Operations will cease until weather conditions improve to the point that the opacity standards can be maintained.

Earthmoving and Excavation

Level 1	No	action	required,	due	to	material	moisture	content	or	weather
	cond	ditions.								

Level 2 Water suppression sprays will be applied to the material, and work areas as required.

Level 3 Operations will cease until weather conditions improve to the point that the opacity standards can be maintained.

Grading

Level 1	No	action	required,	due	to	material	moisture	content	or	weather
conditions.										

Level 2 Water suppression sprays will be applied to the material, and work areas as required.

Level 3 Operations will cease until weather conditions improve to the point that the opacity standards can be maintained.



Part I-32 January 2017



I.d.7 Litter Control Plan

The Landfill Manager will continue the ongoing litter collection program to minimize the impacts of litter on and surrounding the site. This program consists of various activities designed to reduce windblown litter, as well as other site features and operations that help to reduce windblown litter. Activities specifically designed to reduce amounts of windblown litter include minimizing the size of the active face, thereby reducing the area of wastes exposed to wind, and erecting temporary litter fences downwind from the active face. The height and length of the fences can be adjusted to maximize their effectiveness in trapping windblown litter.

Other features and operating techniques that reduce windblown litter include perimeter fencing around the landfill site to back up the temporary litter fences; applying daily and intermediate soil or ash cover; and compacting refuse layers at a maximum thickness of 2 feet to hold freshly deposited refuse to underlying landfill layers. Site and surrounding area inspections will be conducted routinely and any windblown litter found will be collected.

I.d.8 Installed Equipment Maintenance Plan

The following subsections offer a description of the maintenance of installed equipment including groundwater monitoring systems and leachate and gas collection systems.

Groundwater Monitoring System

All groundwater monitoring wells will be inspected for signs of failure or deterioration during each sampling event. If damage is discovered, the nature and extent of the problem will be recorded. A decision will be made to replace or repair the well. Possible repairs include pump repair or replacement, redevelopment, chemical treatment, partial casing replacement or repair, sealing the annulus, or pumping and testing. If a well needs to be replaced, it will be properly decommissioned. Damaged wells will be scheduled for repair or replacement.

Leachate Collection and Recovery System

The LCRS, installed as part of the lined landfill design, must be maintained so that it operates during the operational life and closure and post-closure period. The system will be inspected no less than quarterly by Wasatch staff for signs of deterioration. Wasatch or a licensed contractor will make required repairs. Cleanouts can be used to internally inspect the main collection pipe using in-line camera equipment. If necessary, these cleanouts can also be used to jet the pipe clean to re-establish flow.

Gas Monitoring System

The landfill gas monitoring system will be inspected no less than quarterly. The system will be repaired and parts replaced as required to maintain system capabilities. The program





described below for inspecting and maintaining the gas monitoring system will be followed during the post-closure maintenance period.

Preventive maintenance will be performed on all mechanical equipment at manufacturer-recommended intervals. These tasks include cleaning, lubrication, and replacement of worn parts.

I.d.9 Hazardous Waste Exclusion Plan

A waste control program designed to detect and deter attempts to dispose of hazardous and other unacceptable wastes will continue to be implemented at the Davis Landfill. The program is designed to protect the health and safety of employees, customers, and the general public, as well as to protect against contamination of the environment.

The Davis Landfill is open for public and private disposal. Signs posted near the landfill entrance clearly indicate (1) name of facility; (2) hours of operation, (3) unacceptable waste; and (3) emergency phone number.

All vehicles delivering wastes to the site must stop at the scale house. Commercial waste haulers are required to comply with the rules established by Wasatch and can lose the right to use the facilities if they violate these rules. Scale house personnel will inquire as to the contents and source location of each incoming load to screen for unacceptable materials. Any vehicle suspected of carrying unacceptable materials (liquid waste, sludges, or hazardous waste) will be prevented from entering the disposal site unless the driver can provide evidence that the waste is acceptable for disposal at the site. Wasatch reserves the right to refuse service to any suspect load. Vehicles carrying unacceptable materials will be required to exit the site without discharging their loads. If a load is suspected of containing unacceptable materials, the following information will be recorded: date, time, name of the hauler, license plate, and source of waste. The scale house will then notify the tipping area attendants by radio that a load is suspect and that load will be further inspected at the landfill tipping area before final disposal is allowed.

After a vehicle leaves the scale house, site personnel will route the vehicle to the appropriate discharge location. Loads will be regularly surveyed at the tipping area. If a discharged load contains inappropriate or unacceptable material, the discharger will be required to reload the material and remove it from the landfill facility. If the discharger is not immediately identified, the area where the unacceptable material was discharged will be cordoned off if necessary. The unacceptable material will be moved to a designated area for identification and preparation for proper disposal. If landfill personnel discover regulated hazardous or PCB waste, Wasatch will ensure that the wastes are treated, stored, or disposed of in accordance with Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, Toxic Substances Control Act, and/or applicable State of Utah requirements.

Wasatch will also conduct detailed inspections of loads delivered to the Davis Landfill. The detailed inspections will be conducted on a random basis designed to detect illegal or inadvertent



January 2017



disposal of unacceptable wastes. Loads will be inspected at a frequency of no less than one load out of every 100 (1% of loads). The scale house attendant notifies the tipping face attendant and the driver of the selected load that an inspection of the load is required. The tipping face attendant will direct the driver to the proper location to dump the load and perform a detailed inspection of the contents.

The selected load will be spread to a maximum thickness of 1 foot. Wasatch personnel trained in waste screening will perform a detailed inspection of the load to determine if unacceptable materials are present in the waste.

If there are unacceptable wastes in a load, the inspector will determine whether the driver should have been aware of the unacceptable wastes. If the driver could or should have recognized the unacceptable wastes, the inspector (through the Executive Director) will issue a violation notice to the hauler; if the driver could not reasonably have been aware of the unacceptable wastes no violation notice will be prepared; however, the driver will be consulted and the source of the waste determined. For commercial haulers, the first violation for unacceptable wastes will result in a warning to the hauler; the second violation will result in the imposition of a fine; the third violation will result in suspension of hauler privileges. Wasatch may suspend all disposal privileges of companies that violate rules. A suspended company may not use the Davis Landfill or DERF during the period of the suspension.

If the identity of unacceptable wastes is unknown and is placed in the landfill, the area where the unacceptable material was discharged would be cordoned off. The unacceptable wastes would be moved to a designated area for identification and preparation for proper disposal by appropriate personnel.

The DWMRC will be notified if an unacceptable waste is discovered at the facility. The Landfill Manager will be responsible for notifying the Executive Director of Wasatch who will then notify the Director of the DWMRC, and the transporter of the waste within 24 hours of discovery. This notification will include the date of discovery, type of unacceptable waste, approximate volume, and depth and location within the landfill. A copy of notification will be retained in the landfill operating record. Within thirty days of the documentation of the event, the Permittee shall submit a written report to the Director of the DWMRC describing the nature and extent of the noncompliance or violation and the remedial measures taken or to be taken to protect human health and the environment.

If hazardous or PCB-containing waste is discovered, the Landfill Manager will take appropriate steps to protect the public and landfill personnel and will assure proper cleanup, transport, and disposal of the waste.

Examples of hazardous waste, except wastes that are normally and reasonably associated with households or household activity that are in household containers (5 gallons or less), include:

- Lead acid batteries (automobile, boat, RV).
- Paint thinner, degreasing solvents, used oil or kerosene, or unrinsed container thereof.
- Pesticides, herbicides, or unrinsed containers thereof.





- Fluorescent light ballasts, electrical transformers, or fluids from these.
- Radioactive materials or materials contaminated by radioactive substances.
- Acutely hazardous waste, per 40 CFR 261.33.
- Wastes containing PCBs.
- Friable asbestos containing materials.

Household Hazardous Waste

Davis Landfill will provide a secure site for the collection of Household Hazardous Waste (HHW). The operations of the HHW are as follows:

<u>Used Oil Facility</u> – Citizens drop off the containers of used oil. If the container is requested back they must wait until Wasatch personnel can properly empty the contents of the container. Each citizen is required to write their name and amount that is being dropped off. The sign-up sheet is picked up by the Davis County Health Department and delivered to the Utah Department of Environmental Quality for reimbursement to Wasatch.

Used oil is stored in holding tanks which have a volume of approximately 500 gallons. The oil is then picked up by an approved Used Oil Transporter and hauled off for processing.

Fuels and antifreeze are separated into holding tanks of approximately 500 gallons. These are also picked up by an approved Transporter for processing.

- <u>Hazardous Waste</u> Hazardous waste is accepted at household quantities only (in 5 gallon containers or less). Anything that can be reused and is in an acceptable quantity and quality is placed in the reuse shed.
- <u>E-Waste</u> Electronic Waste (E-Waste) is brought in and separated into wire, Monitors (Both TV and Computers), Household Electronics (Phones, Radios, etc.), computers. These items are then picked up for disposal by other qualified recycling companies.
- Reuse Shed Items placed here can be removed at no charge by citizens if they sign a sign out sheet for them. Items are kept that are of a certain quality and have enough product left that make it desirable for the citizens. No flammables or harmful products are to be available for reuse.

I.d.10 Disease Vector Control Plan

Landfill personnel will use appropriate technologies to prevent or control on-site populations of disease vectors (e.g., rodents, insects) to protect human health and the environment. Landfill





personnel will be responsible for maintaining control of vectors at the Davis Landfill through continued use of appropriate daily cover procedures. Professional extermination personnel and services may be used to control vectors if it is found that daily operations are being impacted. The primary method of vector control is to eliminate conditions favorable for vectors through proper compaction and daily covering. Should the Wasatch personnel notice the presence of vectors, cover material will be applied more frequently.

As with vector control, the preliminary method of controlling birds is to eliminate conditions favorable to their existence. This can be accomplished by minimizing the size of the fill face, which is the most effective control method. This, along with more frequent and heavier compaction and frequent covering of the waste, will reduce the area available for the birds to feed.

Very strict control of birds is required at the Davis Landfill due to the proximity of Hill Air Force Base and the real threat that birds pose to aircraft. During times when the previously mentioned control methods are not adequate, Davis Landfill employees will use destructive methods of control. Davis Landfill employees are authorized to use destructive methods under a permit issued by the United States Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The current permit states:

"Dead Birds, or any parts thereof (except Bald and Golden eagles, endangered and/or threatened species), shall be promptly destroyed by burial or incineration if they are unsuitable for donation. With approval from the issuing office, dead birds, or any parts thereof, may be deposited with a qualified public educational or scientific institution as defined in 50 CFR 10.12".

Employees of the Davis Landfill are trained on the proper protocols and procedures along with identifying conditions and requirements when to use destructive methods.

I.d.11 Alternative Waste Handling Plan

Based on historical operations, landfilling operations should not have to be suspended long term due to inclement weather conditions or interruption of service. The site soils, including those planned for daily cover, consist of silty fine sands; these soils are easily placed over a wide range of moisture and weather conditions. If the need does arise for alternate waste handling; Wasatch will redirect the waste from the Davis Landfill operations to the DERF. Wasatch believes that their past operating experience and cautious operating procedures will minimize the need for alternate waste handling plans. If DERF or Davis Landfill cannot meet the demand of incoming waste, then the landfill will send the incoming waste to another agreed upon landfill or transfer facility.

I.d.12 General Training Plan

Davis Landfill personnel will be trained on how to identify unacceptable waste including liquid wastes, sludge, potential regulated hazardous waste, and PCB wastes. A copy of an example





training roster is included in Appendix E. Personnel to be trained will include the Landfill Manager, equipment operators, spotters and scale house attendants. The training will emphasize methods of identifying containers and labels typical of hazardous and PCB waste. Training will also address the proper handling of unacceptable waste. All employees will receive on the job training in landfill operations and waste screening. This training will include operations and safety training. New employees will typically receive training during their first 3 months of employment. The Landfill Manager and at least one additional landfill employee will be trained and certified as a SWANA Manager of Landfill Operations. The Landfill Manager and all employees will be trained in waste screening using the Solid Waste Association of North America (SWANA) techniques or other equivalent training sources.

I.d.13 Recycling Programs

Davis Landfill maintains the E-Waste Recycling Center and actively segregates valuable recyclable materials from the waste stream. Wasatch currently maintains bins for segregation of steel, aluminum, tires, batteries and carpet pads. The bins are hauled off site for recycling.

The Green Waste Recycling Facility upcycles yard waste into compost and wood chips, creating a saleable product while saving valuable landfill space. Clean green waste is diverted to the Green Waste Recycling Facility and processed. The processed green waste is screened and either sold as a screened wood product or compost. A copy of the Green Waste Operation Plan is located in Appendix J.

PARC & Save Landfill Thrift Store efficiently process reusable materials removed from the waste stream. It is a Cooperative program provided by Wasatch and the Davis School District. PARC is an arm of the Davis School District that has been assisting people with disabilities to gain greater independence through employment for more than 40 years. The partnership between PARC and Wasatch Integrated benefits the community by providing jobs and increasing recycling through the reuse of items which might otherwise be landfilled.

I.d.14 Closure & Post - Closure Plan

This section describes the final cover construction, site capacity, schedule of closure implementation, estimated costs for closure, and final inspection procedures for the existing landfill operations and future closure stages of the Davis Landfill.

I.d.14.A Closure Strategy

The unlined landfill cell has been closed and was capped in the summer of 2000 except for the south-facing slope, which will be capped in conjunction with future closure stages of the lined landfill. Final cover will be placed over the lined landfill in a series of events. When sufficient area of the lined landfill cell has reached final elevation to allow for economical placement of



Attachment #3 Groundwater Monitoring Plan



Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

Prepared for:

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District 1997 East 3500 North Layton, UT 84040

Prepared by:

CH2MHILL 215 South State Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

Prepared for:

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District 1997 East 3500 North Layton, UT 84040

Prepared by:

CH2MHILL 215 South State Street, Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

SEPTEMBER 2011

Contents

Acror	nyms ai	nd Abbreviations	v
1.0	Intro	duction	1-1
	1.1	Site Background	
	1.2	Conceptual Site Model	1-1
		1.2.1 Regional Geology	1-1
		1.2.2 Regional Hydrogeology	
		1.2.3 Site Hydrogeology	1 - 2
2.0	Grou	ndwater Monitoring Network	2-1
	2.1	Lined Landfill Cell	
	2.2	Unlined Landfill Cell	2-1
	2.3	Well Construction and Completion	2-1
3.0	Samp	oling Operations and Procedure	3-1
	3.1	Groundwater Sampling Procedures	3-1
		3.1.1 General	3-1
		3.1.2 Water Level Measurements	3-1
		3.1.3 Well Micropurging	3-2
		3.1.4 Water Quality Measurements	
		3.1.5 Sample Collection and Preservation	3-3
		3.1.6 Decontamination	3-3
		3.1.7 Sample Handling	3-3
		3.1.8 Documentation	3-3
	3.2	Sample Identification	3-4
4.0	Samp	ole Analysis	4-1
	4.1	Detection Monitoring Analytes	4-1
5.0	Qual	ity Assurance/Quality Control	5-1
	5.1	Accuracy	5-1
	5.2	Precision	5-1
	5.3	QA/QC Samples	5-2
		5.3.1 Field Duplicates	5-2
		5.3.2 Laboratory QA/QC Samples	5-2
		5.3.3 Trip Blanks	5-2
	5.4	Detection Limits	5-2
	5.5	Laboratory Internal Quality Control	5- 3
		5.5.1 Calibration Procedures and Frequency	
		5.5.2 Internal Quality Control Checks	5 - 3
		5.5.3 Preventative Maintenance Procedures and Schedules	5 - 3
		5.5.4 Corrective Action for Laboratory Problems	5-3
6.0	Data	Analysis Plan	
	6.1	Data Validation	6-1
	6.2	Data Analysis	6-2

	6.3	Data Reporting6-	2
7.0		afety	1
	7.1 7.2	Well Installation	
8.0	Refere	ences8-	
Tables			
2-1	Lined	Cell Monitoring Well Network	
2-2		ed Cell Monitoring Well Network	
2-3		oring Well Construction Detail Summary	
3-1		red Sample Containers and Preservatives	
4-1	Groun	dwater Sampling Parameters	
Figures	;		
1-1	Site M	ap	
1-2	Cross	Section A-A'	
1-3	Cross	Section B-B'	
1-4	Cross	Section C-C'	
1-5	Cross	Section D-D'	
1-6	Cross	Section E-E'	
1-7	Upper	Perched Zone Potentiometric Surface	
1-8		nediate Perched Zone Potentiometric Surface	
1-9	Lower	Perched Zone Potentiometric Surface	
Append	lices		
A	Curre	nt Monitoring Network Well Logs	
В	Other	Well and Exploration Point Logs	
C	Sampl	e Documentation Forms	

Acronyms and Abbreviations

°C Degree(s) Celsius

amsl Above Mean Sea Level bgs Below Ground Surface

DSHW Utah Department of Environmental Quality – Division of Solid and

Hazardous Waste

EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

H₂SO₄ Sulfuric Acid

HCl Hydrochloric Acid

HDPE High-density Polyethylene

HNO₃ Nitric Acid
ID Identification
kV Kilovolt(s)

LDL Laboratory Detection Limit

mg/L Milligram(s) per Liter
mL/min Milliliter(s) per Minute

N/A Not Available

PVC Polyvinyl Chloride

SCH Schedule

QA Quality Assurance QC Quality Control

UAC Utah Administrative Code

GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN FOR THE DAVIS LANDFILL

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1.0 Introduction

This document presents an updated groundwater monitoring plan for the Davis Landfill, which is owned and operated by Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District (Wasatch) in Layton, Utah. The monitoring plan was developed in accordance with regulatory requirements established in Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R315–308. The previous groundwater monitoring plan was drafted in 1997 (Bingham Environmental Inc., 1997). The groundwater monitoring plan was updated to incorporate additional site information that has been gathered and site conditions that have changed since development of the original plan.

This updated monitoring plan provides (1) a site background and a conceptual site model of the hydrogeology underlying the facility; (2) the existing groundwater monitoring networks; (3) groundwater sampling processes and techniques; (4) groundwater sample analytical methods; (5) a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) plan; (6) data validation, analysis, and reporting procedures; and (7) a groundwater sampling health and safety plan.

1.1 Site Background

The Davis Landfill, located at 1997 East 3500 North in Layton, Utah, is a Class I Municipal Solid Waste Landfill. The facility consists of approximately 315 acres of land and contains two separate landfill cells, as shown in Figure 1-1. The unlined cell, which does not have a bottom liner other than the natural low-permeability clay layers below the cell, is approximately 25 acres in size and began accepting waste in the late 1940s or early 1950s. The unlined cell was capped in 2000 with a 40-mil low-linear density polyethylene geomembrane and no longer accepts waste. The lined cell, which has a bottom liner consisting of a geocomposite clay liner overlain with a 60-mil high-density polyethylene flexible membrane liner, was constructed in 1998 and is approximately 65 acres in size. Waste placement in the lined landfill cell began in August 1998. The lined cell currently accepts incinerator ash and municipal solid waste from the surrounding communities.

The Davis Landfill is located on a north-facing slope that overlooks the Weber Valley. The landfill is separated from the river valley below by a steep, north-to-northeast-facing escarpment with a relief of approximately 300 feet. The steep topography is an important factor in shallow groundwater movement at the site.

1.2 Conceptual Site Model

1.2.1 Regional Geology

The Davis Landfill is located on the Paleo-Weber River Delta, a major geologic feature that was formed as the Weber River deposited sediments into ancient Lake Bonneville during the late Pleistocene Epoch, approximately 11,000 to 26,000 years ago (Feth et al.,

1966). The sediments deposited during this period are divided into several components, including the Alpine Formation that underlies the site. The Alpine Formation was deposited in the deeper waters of Lake Bonneville when the lake was near maximum levels and consists of clays and silts interbedded with fine-grained sand layers that tend to be laterally discontinuous.

In the area, the relative depth to bedrock is controlled by the Basin and Range horst and graben structure, where bedrock lies buried beneath up to 5,000 feet of unconsolidated and semiconsolidated material (Lemons and Chan, 1999).

1.2.2 Regional Hydrogeology

Due to the interlayered nature of the Alpine Formation, groundwater within the Alpine Formation generally occurs in multiple hydraulically interconnected water-bearing zones and, depending on spatial location, is either semiconfined or unconfined.

Two regional aquifers are known to exist in the area of the Davis Landfill. The Sunset Aquifer is a secondary aquifer in the area but has not been identified beneath the site. The Sunset Aquifer is found just west of the Davis Landfill, extending from Hill Air Force Base to the Great Salt Lake (Anderson et al., 1994). Where present, the Sunset Aquifer is located between 250 and 400 feet below ground surface (bgs).

The Delta Aquifer has been identified beneath the site. In general, the Delta Aquifer is located between 500 and 700 feet bgs and underlies the Sunset Aquifer (where the Sunset is present). The Delta Aquifer extends from the Wasatch Range to the Great Salt Lake and is the primary source of drinking water in the region.

Regionally, groundwater moves from recharge zones located along the Wasatch Range to discharge areas west and southwest at topographic low points near the Great Salt Lake. Shallow groundwater in the Alpine Formation generally follows surface topography. The general direction of groundwater flow in the Sunset and Delta Aquifers is toward the west.

1.2.3 Site Hydrogeology

The following interpretations are based primarily on data collected from various exploration points performed at the site, including monitoring wells, soil borings, cone penetrometer tests, inclinometers, and gas probes. Available boring logs and construction details are included in Appendices A and B. Appendix A includes logs from the current compliance monitoring wells used to monitor the lined and unlined landfill cells (see Section 2.0), and Appendix B includes the logs from the other exploration points that have been performed at the site. Using data from these exploration points, five geologic cross sections have been created to develop the conceptual site model. Those cross sections are included in this document as Figures 1-2 through 1-6.

Two principal aquifers underlie the Davis Landfill. From the surface, the aquifers are (1) a shallow aquifer system within the Alpine Formation and (2) the Delta Aquifer. The shallow aquifer system is composed of multiple hydraulically interconnected

water-bearing zones and is not used as a source of drinking water in the region. The Delta Aquifer is the primary source of drinking water in the region.

The shallow aquifer system consists of three semi-independent water-bearing units, referred to as the Upper, Intermediate, and Lower Perched Zones. The zones are separated by aquitard units composed of silt and clay.

Upper Perched Zone (Zone A)

The uppermost water-bearing unit, located at approximately 4,800 feet above mean sea level (amsl) with a saturated thickness between 10 and 40 feet, is perched on a clay aquitard that dips slightly to the southwest (see Figures 1-2 through 1-6). West of the unlined landfill cell, the Upper Perched Zone is located 150 feet bgs, while east of the unlined landfill cell the zone is located near the ground surface due to erosion. Recharge to the perched zone is primarily through infiltration of precipitation and irrigation water used southwest of the site.

The Upper Perched Zone is monitored by wells DMW-2, MW-4, MW-11, and MW-16R. Water levels recorded in those wells between March 2001 and October 2008 have shown very little seasonal fluctuation. Figure 1-7 illustrates the potentiometric surface of groundwater in Zone A. The potentiometric surface shows that, beneath the facility, groundwater in the Upper Perched Zone flows from the southwest toward the northeast.

The Upper Perched Zone terminates in the northeast portion of the site as it is cut by the relatively steep slope of the Weber River valley (see Figures 1-4 through 1-6). Historically, some groundwater from the Upper Perched Zone discharged as seeps and springs on the slope face, but the slope has since been re-graded, and significant groundwater flow from seeps and springs has ceased. It is presumed that the majority of the groundwater from the Upper Perched Zone is now transported at shallow depths beneath the surface, parallel to the slope face, and ultimately migrates into the Lower Perched Zone.

Intermediate Perched Zone (Zone B)

The potentiometric surface of the Intermediate Perched Zone, Zone B, is located between 4,710 and 4,670 feet amsl (see Figure 1-8). The zone has a saturated thickness ranging between 10 and 50 feet and is perched on an interbedded clay, silt, and sand layer located between approximately 4,670 feet and 4,650 feet amsl (see Figures 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, and 1-8). The surface of the aquitard dips towards the east-northeast.

The Intermediate Perched Zone is currently monitored by seven wells: DMW-4, MW-7R, MW-8, MW-22, MW-23, MW-25, and MW-26 (see Figure 1-8). Water levels recorded in Zone B between March 2001 and October 2008 have shown little seasonal fluctuation. Groundwater elevation data from this zone indicate that the groundwater flow direction in Zone C is to the east-northeast. Based on the potentiometric surface map, monitoring well MW-22 is upgradient of the lined cell; DMW-4 is upgradient of the lined and unlined cells; monitoring wells MW-25 and MW-26 are downgradient of the lined cell; and monitoring wells MW-7R, MW-8, and MW-23 are downgradient of the unlined cell.

Similar to Zone A, the Intermediate Perched Zone terminates as it is cut by surface topography in the northeast portion of the site (see Figures 1-4 through 1-6). It is

presumed that groundwater from the Intermediate Perched Zone also migrates into the Lower Perched Zone (Zone C).

Lower Perched Zone (Zone C)

The Lower Perched Zone (Zone C) is a coarse gravel unit that is approximately 20 feet thick with a saturated thickness of between approximately 20 and 40 feet (see Figures 1-2 through 1-6). Underlying the gravel layer is a fine sand unit with some interbedded clays and silts. As observed in the boring log for MW-17 (see Figure 1-4 and Appendix A), the interbedded sand unit is unsaturated directly below the gravel layer, indicating that Zone D is perched above the regional Delta Aquifer. Given the composition of this unit, it is possible that Zone C is the upgradient portion of the Sunset Aquifer.

The groundwater flow direction in Zone C was estimated using a three-point solution (see Figure 1-9). The calculation indicates that the groundwater flow direction in the Lower Perched Zone is toward the east-northeast, similar to Intermediate Perched Zone.

Delta Aquifer (Zone D)

During drilling activities associated with the installation of monitoring well MW-17, a stiff clay unit was encountered beneath the interbedded sand unit that perches the Lower Perched Zone at an elevation of approximately 4,340 feet amsl (see Figures 1-4 through 1-6). This clay is assumed to be the unit that is confining the Delta Aquifer.

The Delta Aquifer regionally extends from the Wasatch Range to the Great Salt Lake and is the principal source of drinking water in the region. The top of the Delta Aquifer lies at an approximate elevation of 4,310 feet amsl below the site (see Figures 1-4 through 1-6). Regional groundwater flow in the Delta Aquifer is toward the west and discharges at topographic low points near the Great Salt Lake. The NDRD well (see Appendix B), which was abandoned during the construction of the lined cell, is believed to have been completed in the upper portion of the Delta Aquifer (see Figure 1-4).




FIGURE 1-1

SITE MAP

DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN
WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

NOTE: WATER LEVELS MARCH 2011

FIGURE 1-2

CROSS SECTION A-A'

DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN
WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

CH2MHILL

CROSS SECTION B-B'
DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN
WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

-CH2MHILL

CROSS SECTION C-C' DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

CH2MHILL

DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

CH2MHILL

FIGURE 1-6

CROSS SECTION E-E'

DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN

WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

CH2MHILL -




FIGURE 1-7
UPPER PERCHED ZONE POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE
DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN
WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT




FIGURE 1-8
INTERMEDIATE PERCHED ZONE POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE
DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN

DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT




FIGURE 1-9 LOWER PERCHED ZONE POTENTIOMETRIC SURFACE

DAVIS LANDFILL GROUNDWATER MONITORING PLAN WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

2.0 Groundwater Monitoring Network

The Davis Landfill consists of a lined cell and an unlined cell as discussed in Section 1.0. The groundwater monitoring network for the lined cell includes four monitoring wells; the network for the unlined cell includes eight monitoring wells.

2.1 Lined Landfill Cell

Performance of the lined cell is evaluated by monitoring the Intermediate Perched Zone, which extends upgradient and downgradient of the cell. profile of the lined cell has been projected onto Cross Sections B-B', D-D', and E-E' (Figures 1-3, 1-5, and 1-6) to illustrate the spatial relation between the cell and the Intermediate Perched Zone. Based on the groundwater flow direction in the Intermediate Perched Zone (see Figure 1-8), monitoring wells DMW-4 and MW-22 are upgradient and monitoring wells MW-25 and MW-26 are downgradient of the lined cell. The monitoring well network for the lined cell is summarized in Table 2-1.

2.2 Unlined Landfill Cell

The unlined cell is a canyon-fill that intersects both the Upper and Intermediate Perched Zones (see Figures 1-1 and 1-4). Therefore, those zones are monitored for the unlined cell. Direction of groundwater flow in both zones ranges from east through northeast (see Section 1.2.3).

The Upper Perched Zone is monitored with upgradient monitoring wells DMW-2 and MW-11; and with downgradient monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-16R (see Figure 1-7); and the Intermediate Perched Zone is monitored with upgradient monitoring well DMW-4 and with downgradient monitoring wells MW-7R, MW-8, and MW-23 (see Figure 1-6). The unlined cell monitoring well network is summarized in Table 2-2.

2.3 Well Construction and Completion

Construction details for the compliance monitoring wells are summarized in Table 2-3. Boring logs and monitoring well construction details for the monitoring wells in the current monitoring network are included in Appendix A. Boring logs and construction details for other monitoring wells installed and exploration points performed are included in Appendix B.

TABLE 2-1 Lined Cell Monitoring Well Network Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

			Screened Interval (Feet above Mean Sea Level)				
Well	Perched Zone						
Identification	Monitored	Location	Тор	Bottom			
DMW-4	Intermediate	Upgradient	4715.3	4675.3			
MW-22	Intermediate	Upgradient	4717.8	4687.8			
MW-25	Intermediate	Downgradient	4697.1	4677.1			
MW-26	Intermediate	Downgradient	4693.0	4673.0			

TABLE 2-2
Unlined Cell Monitoring Well Network
Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

	-		Screen	ed Interval
Well	Perched Zone		(Feet above	Mean Sea Level)
Identification	Monitored	Location	Тор	Bottom
DMW-2	Upper	Upgradient	4802.0	4772.0
MW-11	Upper	Upgradient	4827.0	4787.0
MW-4	Upper	Downgradient	4801.0	4786.0
MW-16R	Upper	Downgradient	4814.7	4784.7
DMW-4	Intermediate	Upgradient	4715.3	4675.3
MW-7R	Intermediate	Downgradient	4709.3	4699.3
MW-8	Intermediate	Downgradient	4715.6	4705.6
MW-23	Intermediate	Downgradient	4706.9	4686.9

TABLE 2-3
Monitoring Well Construction Detail Summary
Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

		Ground	Bore	hole	Casir	ng	Sci	een	Sand Pack		Development		
		Surface	Depth	Diameter		Diameter	Slot Size	Interval		Interval		Water Quality	Pump
Well ID	Date Installed	(feet amsl)	(feet bgs)	(inches)	Туре	(inches)	(inches)	(feet bgs)	Type	(feet bgs)	Method	Measured?	Intake
DMW-2	10/25/1988	4947.0	390.0	12.0	SCH 40 PVC	4.0	0.020	145–175	#10/20	135–175	N/A	N/A	4784
DMW-4	8/6/1996	4905.3	235.0	9.0	SCH 40 PVC	4.0	0.010	190-230	#16/40	187-236	Bail/Surge	No	4688
MW-4	11/9/1989	4831.0	45.0	12.0	SCH 40 PVC	2.0	0.020	30-45	#10/20	25-45	N/A	N/A	4790
MW-5	9/6/1989	4882.1	85.0	8.0	SCH 40 PVC	4.0	0.020	66-76	#10/20	61–83	N/A	N/A	4810
MW-7R	3/12/2008	4784.3	87.0	10.25	SCH 80 PVC	4.0	0.010	75–85	#20/40	73–87	Bail/Surge/Pump	Yes	4701
MW-8	9/15/1989	4792.3	90.0	10.0	SCH 40 PVC	4.0	0.020	77–87	#10/20	71–90	N/A	N/A	4706
MW-11	8/9/1996	4871.0	95.0	9.0	SCH 40 PVC	2.0	0.010	44-84	#16/40	42-96	Bail/Surge	No	4797
MW-16R	Unknown	4859.7	78.0	8.25	SCH 40 PVC	2.0	0.010	45-75	#16/41	43–78	Bail/Surge	No	4791
MW-22	12/14/2010	4887.8	205.0	8.0	SCH 80 PVC	4.0	0.010	170-200	#20/40	165-200	Bail/Surge/Pump	Yes	4690
MW-23	12/16/2010	4766.9	85.0	8.0	SCH 80 PVC	4.0	0.010	60-80	#20/40	55-80	Bail/Surge/Pump	Yes	4689
MW-25	2/17/2011	4807.1	140.0	8.0	SCH 80 PVC	4.0	0.010	110-130	#20/40	105-130	Bail/Surge/Pump	Yes	4679
MW-26	2/22/2011	4865.0	250.0	8.0	SCH 80 PVC	4.0	0.010	172-192	#20/40	167-192	Bail/Surge/Pump	Yes	4675

NOTES:

amsl = Above Mean Sea Level

bgs = Below Ground Surface

ID= Identification

N/A = Data Not Available

PVC = Polyvinyl Chloride

SCH = Schedule

ES092211082844SLC\Section2_v6.xls PAGE 1 OF 1

3.0 Sampling Operations and Procedure

The following subsections detail specific sampling techniques and methodology to be used during all groundwater monitoring to provide consistent quality groundwater data. Groundwater samples are collected semiannually during detection monitoring.

3.1 Groundwater Sampling Procedures

3.1.1 General

Sampling equipment is prepared and calibrated before each sampling event. Observations and measurements obtained in the field are recorded on a Groundwater Monitoring Data Sheet, similar to the one presented in Appendix C1.

Samples are collected using a dedicated bladder pump system and low-flow sampling techniques. Monitoring wells are equipped with dedicated bladder pumps suspended on Teflon-lined tubing for air supply and sample recovery. The pump intakes are positioned at a distance of approximately equal to one-third of the saturated screened length from the bottom of the screen. Monitoring wells are sampled when two criteria are met: (1) drawdown stabilization and (2) stabilization of water quality parameters. The following sections describe this process in detail.

The groundwater monitoring wells at the Davis Landfill are sampled in the order of upgradient wells first, then proceeding to the downgradient wells. Upon arrival at a well, the condition of each of the monitoring wells is observed and noted on the field data sheet (i.e., that the wells are secured with a lock, the apron is intact, and the outer casing is in good repair). Any required repairs are noted on the field sampling sheets.

Groundwater sampling is performed by personnel who are trained in proper sampling techniques and health and safety procedures. This includes training in techniques of well purging, sample collection and preservation, decontamination, and QA/QC. The sampler wears a new pair of latex gloves at each well for handling sampling equipment and containers.

3.1.2 Water Level Measurements

A special cap is installed on the protective casing of each well for installation of the dedicated bladder pump. Water levels are taken through the access hole in the cap and depth to groundwater measured from the top of the cap. The elevations of the caps have been determined by a licensed surveyor and reported to the nearest 0.01 foot. Before purging and sampling, water level readings are obtained using a conductivity-based water level indicator or equivalent instrument capable of obtaining measurements to the nearest 0.01 foot. The probe is decontaminated between use at each well by washing with a non-phosphate detergent and rinsing three times with deionized or distilled water. The probe is then lowered into the well casing until the level indicator alarm sounds or light goes on. The depth to water is read from the top of the cap to the nearest 0.01 foot. This measurement is repeated until two consecutive readings agree to the

nearest 0.01 foot. The depth to groundwater is recorded immediately on the Groundwater Monitoring Data Sheet (Appendix C1) to the nearest 0.01 foot. The water level is also taken during sampling to determine if pumping has created excessive drawdown. This process is detailed in Section 3.1.3.

3.1.3 Well Micropurging

The monitoring wells at the Davis Landfill are sampled using a low-flow sampling technique. During low-flow sampling, groundwater is slowly purged from the monitoring well using a bladder pump in order to collect a groundwater sample from the water-bearing zone adjacent to the pump intake. The pumps are located within the screened section of the wells for this technique.

Before sampling, the wells are purged using a micropurging technique. The minimum purge volume necessary to purge the monitoring well is two times the amount of water in the pump and tubing. Before purging, the pump controller is attached to the pump air supply line. The oil-less compressor is located downwind and away from the well, to minimize potential for sample contamination from exhaust gases. The pump discharge line is then connected to a flow-through water quality sensor (e.g., QED Purge Saver) for continuous monitoring of specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and pH. These data are recorded on the field data sheets and data logger at no less than 3-minute intervals. Collection of water quality parameters is detailed in Section 3.1.4.

Purge water is disposed of on the ground surface no closer than 20 feet from any well. If a well produces water with constituents exceeding primary drinking water quality standards (determined from most recent sampling event), the purge water from that well is containerized and disposed of appropriately.

3.1.4 Water Quality Measurements

Monitoring wells are ready for sampling when the required purge volumes (two times the tubing and pump volumes) have been removed and water quality measurements for temperature, pH, specific conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and oxidation-reduction potential meet the following criteria for the last three consecutive readings:

Specific conductivity ±10 percent
 pH ±0.2 units

Temperature ±1 degree Celsius
 Dissolved oxygen ±0.2 milligram per liter

If these conditions are not met, purging will continue until a maximum of two additional pump and tubing volumes are removed.

These water quality measurements are measured using a flow-through water quality sensor (e.g., QED Purge Saver). The readings are recorded no less than 3 minutes apart for low-flow sampling and at-time intervals equal to or greater than the required time to purge half of the borehole volume for standard sampling. After the parameters stabilize, the water quality sensor is disconnected and the groundwater sample collected. Groundwater samples are not to be collected after passing through the water quality sensor. Water quality readings, along with date, time, well identification, purge volume,

and pre- and post-sampling water levels, are recorded on the Groundwater Monitoring Data Sheet.

The instruments used to perform field measurements are calibrated in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations at the beginning of each day, at a minimum.

3.1.5 Sample Collection and Preservation

After the field parameters have stabilized, the water quality sensor is disconnected and samples are collected directly from the pump discharge line. The pump discharge rate is adjusted to a flow rate of either 100 milliliters per minute (mL/min) or the same flow rate at which the well was purged, whichever is slower, to minimize the potential for bottle overtopping. At a minimum, monitoring wells are sampled at a flow rate that generates enough volume to fill a 40-milliliter sample vial in a single cycle (approximately 50 mL/min).

The groundwater sampler wears a new pair of disposable gloves to handle sampling equipment and sample containers at each well. Samples are collected in laboratory-supplied bottles. Table 3-1 summarizes the types of containers and associated preservatives that are used for the sample storage and transport. Any required preservatives are added to the containers in advance by the laboratory.

Care is taken to maintain lids on the container until the time to fill the container with the sample. Once filled, the containers are immediately capped to minimize contact with dust and ambient air and to avoid volatilization of the sample. Samples are labeled and immediately stored on ice in a cooler until delivered to the laboratory for analysis under chain of custody.

Trip blank and duplicate samples are prepared as part of the QA/QC plan outlined in Section 5.0.

3.1.6 Decontamination

The water level indicator is decontaminated between wells with a non-phosphate detergent then triple rinsed with distilled (or deionized) water.

3.1.7 Sample Handling

Once collected, each sample is immediately labeled, recorded on the Groundwater Monitoring Data Sheet, and placed in a sample cooler with ice for transport to the laboratory. Samples are hand-delivered to the laboratory within 24 hours of collection. The laboratory is certified by the State of Utah for the analytical methods specified in Section 4.0. The samples are delivered to the laboratory within a sufficient timeframe to ensure that method-specific hold times are not exceeded by the laboratory for the specified analytes. Each sample is accompanied by a chain-of-custody form filled out at the time of sample collection (Appendix C2).

3.1.8 Documentation

An essential part of the sample collection activity is the documentation of the site measurements and ensuring the integrity of the sample from collection to data reporting. The following records and actions are taken:

- Sample labels. Samples are labeled with the sample identification, name of the sampler, date and time of collection, and type of preservative (if required). The sample label is filled out completely and attached to each sample bottle or container at the time of collection.
- Chain of custody. A chain-of-custody form accompanies the samples from the time of collection to completion of laboratory analysis (Appendix C2). The chain-of-custody record establishes the documentation necessary to trace sample possession from the time of collection through receipt by the analytical laboratory. The original form accompanies the samples to the laboratory, and copies go into the project file. Original forms are returned with the analytical results from the laboratory. If samples must be shipped to a laboratory by overnight air delivery, the air bill will serve as proof of custody by the courier service.
- Sampling record. Pertinent field measurements and observations noted during sampling are recorded by the field technician on the Groundwater Monitoring Data Sheet (one for each well) and in their field notes.

3.2 Sample Identification

Each sample is given a unique identification consisting of the monitoring well identification. For example, groundwater sampled from monitoring well MW-3 is labeled "MW-3." The field duplicate sample is labeled "MW-20," and field notes verify from which monitoring well it was obtained.

TABLE 3-1
Required Sample Containers and Preservatives
Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

Parameter	Sample Container	Preservative	Holding Time
Volatile organic compounds	Five 40-milliliter glass vials with Teflon-lined lid	HCI, 4°C	14 days
Total organic carbon and ammonia	One 16-ounce HDPE	H ₂ SO ₄ , 4°C	28 days
Inorganics	One 1/2-gallon HDPE	4°C	28 days
Metals	One 16-ounce HDPE	HNO ₃ , 4°C	6 months

NOTES:

 $^{\circ}$ C = Degree Celsius H_2SO_4 = Sulfuric Acid HCI = Hydrochloric Acid HDPE = High-density Polyethylene HNO_3 = Nitric Acid

ES092211082844SLC\Section3_v1.xls PAGE 1 OF 1

4.0 Sample Analysis

4.1 Detection Monitoring Analytes

Groundwater samples are analyzed by a State of Utah-certified laboratory for constituents listed in UAC R315-308-4 using the recommended U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method. The laboratory follows the procedures as described and identified and adjusts for potential interferences. Laboratory personnel provide information on the precision and accuracy of the testing and include results of QA/QC laboratory samples. Laboratory detection limits must be below maximum contaminant levels/drinking water quality standards. A list of current (as of September 2011) parameters, EPA methods, required detection limits, and holding times is provided in Table 4-1. The most current constituents listed in UAC R315-308-4 and maximum contaminant levels/drinking water quality standards will be used during each sampling round.

Utah Administrative Code R315-308-2(5)(d) states that analysis will be performed for the required constituents on unfiltered samples. Groundwater samples were collected and analyzed for both total and dissolved metals in 1996 and again 2008. Concentrations of total and dissolved metals were similar, and, therefore, it is not anticipated that the slightly turbid water produced by the monitoring wells at the Davis Landfill will adversely affect the analyzed metals concentrations. Samples for metal analysis are collected without filtering in the field, and the laboratory is instructed to analyze unfiltered samples.

TABLE 4-1 Groundwater Sampling Parameters Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

Groundwater Monitoring Plan for	IIIE Davis Lai	Detection Limit	
Constituent	Method	(mg/L)	Hold Time
Metals (total)	mounou	(9, =)	11010 111110
Antimony	7041	0.005	6 months
Arsenic	7060	0.005	6 months
Barium	6010	0.002	6 months
Beryllium	6010	0.001	6 months
Cadmium	6010	0.004	6 months
Chromium	6010	0.01	6 months
Cobalt	6010	0.01	6 months
Copper	6010	0.004	6 months
Lead	7421	0.004	6 months
Mercury	7421 7470	0.003	28 days
Nickel	6010	0.01	6 months
Selenium			6 months
Silver	7740	0.005	6 months
	6010	0.01	
Thallium	7841	0.001	6 months 6 months
Vanadium	6010	0.005	
Zinc	6010	0.01	6 months
Inorganic Constituents	0=0.4	0.05	20.1
Ammonia (as N)	350.1	0.05	28 days
Bicarbonate (as CaCO ₃)	310.1	10	28 days
Carbonate (as CaCO ₃)	310.1	10	28 days
Calcium	6010	0.05	6 months
Chloride	300	0.5	28 days
Iron	6010	0.01	6 months
Magnesium	6010	0.05	6 months
Manganese	6010	0.005	6 months
Nitrate (as N)	352.2	0.01	48 hours
pH	150.1	0.1	Immediately
Potassium	6010	0.1	6 months
Sodium	6010	0.1	6 months
Sulfate	375.4	5	28 days
Total dissolved solids	160.1	10	7 days
Total organic carbon	415.1	10	28 days
Organic Constituents			, -
Acetone	8260	0.01	14 days
Acrylonitrile	8260	0.005	14 days
Benzene	8260	0.002	14 days
Bromochloromethane	8260	0.002	14 days
Bromodichloromethane	8260	0.002	14 days
Bromoform	8260	0.002	14 days
Carbon disulfide	8260	0.002	14 days
Carbon tetrachloride	8260	0.002	14 days
Chlorobenzene	8260	0.002	14 days
Chloroethane	8260	0.005	14 days
Chloroform	8260	0.002	14 days
Dibromochloromethane	8260	0.002	14 days
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	504	0.0002	14 days
1,2-Dibromoethane	50 4 504	0.00003	14 days 14 days
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	8260 8260	0.002	14 days
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	8260 8260	0.002	14 days
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	8260 8260	0.01	14 days
1,1-Dichloroethane	8260	0.002	14 days
1,2-Dichloroethane	8260	0.002	14 days
1,1-Dichloroethylene	8260	0.002	14 days

TABLE 4-1
Groundwater Sampling Parameters
Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill

Groundwater Worldoning Flair to		Detection Limit	
Constituent	Method	(mg/L)	Hold Time
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	8260	0.002	14 days
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	8260	0.002	14 days
1,2-Dichloropropane	8260	0.002	14 days
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	8260	0.002	14 days
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	8260	0.002	14 days
Ethylbenzene	8260	0.002	14 days
2-Hexanone	8260	0.005	14 days
Methyl bromide	8260	0.005	14 days
Methyl chloride	8260	0.002	14 days
Methylene bromide	8260	0.002	14 days
Methylene chloride	8260	0.002	14 days
Methyl ethyl ketone	8260	0.010	14 days
Methyl iodide	8260	0.005	14 days
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	8260	0.005	14 days
Styrene	8260	0.002	14 days
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	8260	0.002	14 days
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	8260	0.002	14 days
Tetrachloroethylene	8260	0.002	14 days
Toluene	8260	0.002	14 days
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	8260	0.002	14 days
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	8260	0.002	14 days
Trichloroethylene	8260	0.002	14 days
Trichlorofluoromethane	8260	0.002	14 days
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	8260	0.002	14 days
Vinyl acetate	8260	0.005	14 days
Vinyl chloride	8260	0.002	14 days
Xylenes	8260	0.002	14 days

NOTE:

mg/L = Milligram(s) per Liter

Table prepared in accordance with UAC R315-308-4 as of September 2011. The most curriconstituents listed in UAC R315-308-4 and maximum contaminant levels/drinking water quality standards will be used during each sampling round.

5.0 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

A detailed QA/QC plan has been developed for sampling and analysis of the groundwater. The objective of the monitoring plan is to obtain high-quality, consistent data that may be used to establish background concentrations and track long-term variations and trends in the groundwater at the site. Specific QA/QC procedures have been developed to accomplish this objective and to identify sampling and laboratory analytical errors that may occur.

5.1 Accuracy

Accuracy is the nearness of the measurement or set of measurements to the true value. It is evaluated by means of a matrix spike sample analysis, where a known quantity of analyte is added to sample matrix. A sample identified as a field blank may not be used for the analysis. Spike recovery is calculated using the following equation.

$$\%R = \frac{(SSR - SR)}{SA} \times 100$$

where:

R = Spike Recovery SSR = Spike Sample Result SR = Sample Result SA = Spike Added

Target recoveries of 80 to 120 percent are acceptable for most analytes (70 to 130 percent for arsenic, lead, selenium, and thallium). Some organic constituents have acceptable ranges of 60 percent to about 140 percent. If the spike recovery falls outside the specified range, the data will be qualified as "acceptable," "estimated," or "rejected."

5.2 Precision

Precision is an assessment of the agreement between a set of replicate measurements without assumption or knowledge of the true value. Precision is evaluated by means of duplicate sample analysis.

Precision is determined using the following formula:

$$RPD = \frac{(S-D)}{(S-D)/2} \times 100$$

where:

RPD = Relative Percent Difference

S = Sample Result

D = Duplicate Sample Result

Duplicate samples will have a control limit of ± 20 percent for the relative percent difference for sample values greater than five times the laboratory detection limit (LDL). If the sample values are less than five times the LDL, a control limit of \pm the LDL will be used.

If the field duplicate analysis results for a particular analyte fall outside the control limit of ±20 percent or ±LDL, whichever is appropriate, the results for that analyte in all other samples associated with that laboratory set may be flagged as estimated.

5.3 QA/QC Samples

5.3.1 Field Duplicates

A field duplicate sample is collected and submitted for analysis from one monitoring well during each sampling round to assess data precision. It is labeled in such a way so its identity as a duplicate sample is not known by the analytical laboratory.

5.3.2 Laboratory QA/QC Samples

The laboratory is required to provide results for two types of QA/QC samples: method blanks and matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates. Method blank results are required for each analyte listed in Table 4-1. Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates are required for each metal and inorganic analyte and for a representative number of organic analytes.

Method blanks provide verification that an analyte has not been introduced into the sample during laboratory handling and analysis. Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates provide an indication of the laboratory accuracy and precision.

5.3.3 Trip Blanks

A trip blank is prepared and sealed by the analytical laboratory before the sampling event. Trip blanks are intended to be aqueous solutions that are as free of analytes as possible.

The trip blank is transported to the sampling site and back to the laboratory without being opened, accompanying the sample bottles the entire time. It serves as a check on sample contamination originating from sample transport, shipping, and site conditions.

The trip blank will be analyzed, if deemed necessary, to check for contamination originating from a source other than the site groundwater. If, for example, an unexpected contaminant is encountered in a groundwater sample from the site, the trip blank may be analyzed to rule out contamination originating from another source. The blank would be analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 4-1.

5.4 Detection Limits

The laboratory is required to meet the established detection limits given in Table 4-1 for each analyte. The detection limits are designed to be below the drinking water quality criteria. If the laboratory is unable to meet the required limit for an analyte or group of analytes due to characteristics of the sample, the laboratory is required to contact the

Davis Landfill or their sampling representative immediately. If changes in the sampling protocol or established reporting limit are necessary, the Utah Department of Environmental Quality – Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste (DSHW) will be immediately notified.

5.5 Laboratory Internal Quality Control

5.5.1 Calibration Procedures and Frequency

Laboratories subcontracted to perform chemical analyses are certified by the State of Utah for environmental analysis. As such, they follow the calibration procedures according to and at the minimum frequency required by the State.

5.5.2 Internal Quality Control Checks

The laboratory will conduct internal QC checks according to its own QA plan that is a part of State certification requirements. The laboratory will summarize the results of these QC checks and submit them with the analytical results. The QC checks and the laboratory performance and system audits will include the following:

- Method blanks
- Laboratory control samples
- Calibration check samples
- Replicate samples
- Matrix-spiked samples
- "Blind" QC samples
- Control charts
- Surrogate samples
- Zero and span gases
- Reagent QC checks

5.5.3 Preventative Maintenance Procedures and Schedules

Preventative maintenance procedures and schedules are followed according to specifications outlined in the requirements for laboratory certification by the State.

5.5.4 Corrective Action for Laboratory Problems

Corrective action will be initiated if analysis results are not within the precision, accuracy, and completeness specified in the groundwater monitoring plan. Sufficient quantities of samples are retained by the lab so that parameters could be reanalyzed if results are unacceptable and hold times have not been exceeded. In the event that hold times are exceeded, the QA Officer will decide whether re-sampling and re-analysis are required.

6.0 Data Analysis Plan

6.1 Data Validation

When the laboratory data is received, it is reviewed to assess data validity. The data package is checked to ensure the following:

- Sample identifications match chain of custody and field notes and can be matched to sample location, date, and time.
- Samples were analyzed by requested methods.
- Requested limits of detection were met.
- Samples were analyzed within holding times.
- Analysis reporting limits are acceptable.
- Laboratory method blank requests are included and acceptable.
- Laboratory matrix/matrix spike duplicate results for representative analytes are included and acceptable.
- Field duplicate sample results are included and acceptable.

If potential problems or discrepancies are encountered, the laboratory will be notified and requested to help resolve the question. If the cause of the problem cannot be located, the affected data will be qualified or the affected wells will be re-sampled, depending on the severity of the problem. The person who validates the data will use professional judgment along with the general guidelines established under the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (EPA, 2007) to assign qualifiers to data that do not meet the required data quality objectives. If the data appear usable and can be combined with the historical data with no reservations, then no qualifier will be attached.

If the data appear to accurately represent the presence or absence of an analyte, but the quantification of the analyte is in question, then a "J" will be assigned to the reported concentration to indicate it is an estimated quantity. An example of this might be a case where arsenic is reported in the sample, but arsenic recoveries in the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate are very low (such as 50 percent). The person validating the data may judge that the reported arsenic value is useful information even if the result is probably too low. In this case, a "J" would appear next to the reported result in subsequent tabulations of the data for that well.

If the data for an analyte appear compromised to the point where the reported result is not useful (such as the appearance of methylene chloride in the method blank and in a sample at similar concentrations), the data will receive an "R" qualifier, indicating it is rejected. The reported result will continue to be shown in subsequent tabulations, but

the "R" qualifier will alert the user not to include the result in statistical compilations, and so forth.

In all cases where data receive qualifiers, an explanation of the validator's judgment will be given in the report of the sampling round where the qualified data are first reported.

6.2 Data Analysis

The data are analyzed by the following:

- Looking for the presence of unnaturally occurring compounds in the sample (such as volatile organic compounds)
- Plotting the concentrations of naturally occurring constituents (metals and minerals) in each well on control charts for that well

If unnaturally occurring compounds are reported by the laboratory, the validity of the results will be assessed by reviewing method blank results, raw laboratory data, the compound's potential status as a common laboratory contaminant, and the reported concentration relative to the method detection limit. If the positive results appear potentially valid, the affected well will be re-sampled to verify the result.

The relative concentrations of naturally occurring constituents will be analyzed to assess whether the water is impacted. Inter-well comparisons of water quality data, between upgradient and downgradient wells, are at times complicated by natural variations within the wells. This may be the situation at the Davis Landfill. Intra-well comparisons may be more useful in determining groundwater quality at the site.

Background water quality are established by reviewing a minimum of eight independent sampling event results from each upgradient well and a minimum of four independent sampling event results from each downgradient well.

Once the background levels are established for the site wells, an appropriate statistical method will be selected to evaluate the sampling data from each succeeding sample event. The statistical method will satisfy the requirements of UAC R315-308-2(8) and will be reviewed and approved by the DSHW before implementation.

6.3 Data Reporting

Following each sampling event, a groundwater monitoring report is prepared, which includes the following information:

- Description of sampling activities
- Discussion of data validity
- Discussion of laboratory QA/QC

- Presentation of water elevation measurements, groundwater flow direction, and hydraulic gradient
- Presentation of field and laboratory data

7.0 Site Safety

In accordance with UAC R315-308-2(4)(g), the health and safety procedures presented in this section are to be followed to address employee health and safety during well installation and groundwater monitoring at the site.

7.1 Well Installation

The following practices and controls are to be implemented by the party in control of well installation operations:

- Only authorized or licensed personnel, based on State of Utah or other applicable requirements, are permitted to operate drill rigs. Drilling subcontractors will ensure that each drill rig operator is qualified to safely operate the specific equipment through appropriate training and experience.
- Workers should use at least Level D personal protective equipment consisting of the following:
 - Coveralls and long-sleeve shirt
 - Safety boots or shoes
 - Safety glasses or goggles
 - Hard hat
 - Work gloves
- Stay clear of areas surrounding drill rigs during every startup.
- Stay clear of the rotating augers and other rotating components of drill rigs.
- Stay as clear as possible of all hoisting operations. Loads will not be hoisted overhead of personnel.
- Do not wear loose-fitting clothing or other items such as rings or watches that could get caught in moving parts. Long hair should be restrained.
- If equipment becomes electrically energized, personnel will be instructed not to touch any part of the equipment or attempt to touch any person who may be in contact with the electrical current. The utility company or appropriate party will be contacted to have the line de-energized before approaching the equipment.
- Smoking around drilling operations is prohibited.
- A daily safety briefing/meeting should be conducted with all drilling personnel to discuss the work planned for the day and the health and safety requirements to be followed.
- The drill rig and associated equipment will be inspected each day before use to ensure safe operational condition. This inspection should include, at a minimum, the

"kill" switch, cathead, ropes, hoses, pressurized lines, operator controls, and drilling tools.

- The location of underground utilities, installations, and structures will be identified
 before drilling is permitted. Utility companies and installation owners will be
 contacted for exact locations of their equipment. When the exact location cannot be
 determined, detection equipment or other acceptable means of locating the
 underground installations will be used before drilling.
- Safe clearance distances will be maintained between overhead power lines and any part of the drill rig unless the power lines have been de-energized and grounded or where insulating barriers have been installed to prevent physical contact. To avoid physical contact and potential arcing from the power line to the drill rig, rigs will remain at least 10 feet from overhead power lines for voltage of 50 kilovolts (kV) or less and 10 feet plus ½ inch for every 1 kV over 50 kV in the U.S.
- When it is difficult for the drill rig operator to maintain the safe clearance distance, a person will be designated to observe the clearance and warn the operator.
- Drilling pad preparation is recommended, particularly on steep slopes or areas that
 are covered with dry, dead grass and weeds. Clean fill or gravel can be brought in to
 cover areas with surface contamination and to construct a relatively level work
 surface. Care should be taken in constructing pads if extensive cutting into existing
 slopes or surfaces is required to level the area. Areas in which extensive fill is
 required should be avoided. Compaction is recommended if significant amounts of
 fill are needed.
- The drill rig should be leveled and stabilized with jacks and adequate cribbing before raising the mast and during drilling operations. Cribbing materials should be made from materials that are capable of supporting the weight of the rig. Care should be taken in muddy, soggy soils, or partially frozen areas. In addition to cribbing, guy wires should be used to improve stability if the rig is located on wet, partially frozen ground, in areas with loose, caving soil, or in an area subject to frequent gusty winds.

7.2 Groundwater Monitoring

The following practices and controls are to be implemented by those who perform groundwater monitoring procedures:

- Groundwater sampling will be performed by personnel who have had 40-hour Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response training in accordance with U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration requirements set forth in 29 Code of Federal Regulations 1910.
- Become familiar with the site and potential hazards before the work is performed by talking with the Davis Landfill manager.


- Wear the appropriate personal protective equipment when sampling, including safety glasses, latex gloves, and steel-toed boots. It is recommended that workers use Level D personal protection consisting of the following:
 - Coveralls and long-sleeve shirt
 - Safety boots or shoes
 - Safety glasses or goggles
 - Latex gloves
- Use caution when opening well lids. Wells may contain venomous spiders and hornet or wasp nests.
- Use the appropriate lifting procedures when unloading equipment and sampling at each well.
- Avoid sharp edges on well casings.
- If dermal contact is made with the groundwater or acid used in sample preservation, wash exposed skin thoroughly with soap and water.
- Avoid eating and drinking onsite and during sampling.
- Use ear plugs during sampling if sampling involves a generator.
- As stated in Section 3.1.3, purge water containing constituents exceeding primary drinking water quality standards will be containerized and transported to the appropriate disposal area.
- Be aware of potential biological hazards including snakes, bees, ticks, other stinging insects, poison ivy, and poison oak.
- Monitor headspace of wells before sampling to minimize any vapor inhalation or flammability/explosion hazards. Be aware of the potential for flammable gasses to be present in the well casing and inside the aboveground or flush-mount protective casing. If such conditions are suspected or have been confirmed through testing with a flame ionization detector, ventilate the well for at least 20 minutes, and keep potential ignition sources a minimum of 50 feet away from the well during sampling. Tools or equipment lowered into the well casing (e.g., a water level meter or direct-reading instrument) or used near the wellhead must be intrinsically safe. Maintain site control to prevent the public or other nearby workers from inadvertently introducing an ignition source (e.g., a lit cigarette).

8.0 References

- Anderson, P.B., D.D. Susong, S.R. Wold, V.M. Heilweil, and R.L. Baskin. 1994.

 Hydrogeology of Recharge Areas and Water Quality of the Principal Aquifers along the Wasatch Front and Adjacent Areas, Utah. U.S. Geological Survey.

 Water-Resources Investigation Report. pp. 93–4,221.
- Bingham Environmental Inc. 1997. *Groundwater Monitoring Plan at Davis County Landfill*. Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District. Davis County, Utah. April.
- Feth, J.H., D.A. Barker, L.G. Moore, R.J. Brown, and C.E. Veirs. 1966. *Lake Bonneville: Geology and Hydrology of the Weber Delta District including Ogden, Utah.* U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 518.
- Lemons, D.R., and M.A. Chan. 1999. "Facies Architecture and Sequence Stratigraphy of Fine-Grained Lacustrine Deltas along the Eastern Margin of Late Pleistocene Lake Bonneville, Northern Utah and Southern Idaho." *AAPG Bulletin*. Vol. 83, No. 4. pp. 635–665.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2007. *Introduction to the Analytical Services Branch Contract Laboratory Program*. EPA-540-R-07-02. Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. January.



LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. DMW-2

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 1 OF 5

RY RCK

BY	RCK		DAT	E 1	0/	25/88	SURFACE ELEV. ~ 4947	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WEL
			<u>սովումիովումիուկումիումիումիումիումիումիումիումիումիումի</u>	5- 10- 15- 20- 25- 30- 35- 40- 45- 50- 55- 60- 70- 75-			SILTY SAND (SP-SM), yellowish brown (10YR, 6/4); moderately silty; fine grained, subangular to subrounded quartz; no cohesion; sparse dark minerals; damp to dry. SANDY CLAY (CL), yellowish brown (10YR, 6/4); silty; fine sand; moderate cohesion; damp to dry. CLAYEY SILT (ML), brown (10YR, 5/3); low to moderate plasticity; medium density; dry to damp. @21-22': sandy CLAY (CL), olive gray (5Y, 3/2); moderate plasticity; medium density; damp to moist. SAND (SP), dark brown (10YR, 3/3); minor silt; fine grained, subangular to subrounded quartz; no cohesion; sparse dark minerals; dry to damp. @55': yellowish brown (10YR, 6/4).	



REMARKS

Boring was drilled using air rotary equipment to 223 feet, then mud rotary equiment to 390 feet. Logged from drill cuttings. Converted to monitoring well. See well detail for construction information.

Printed on Recycled Paper

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. DMW-2

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 2 OF 5

BY	RCK		DATE 10/25/88	SURFACE ELEV. 494	17 ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS IN FT. SAMPLES	DESCRIPTION	WELL
			100 105 110 115 120 135 140 150 155 160	@135': moist. @140: brown (10YR, 5/3); moist to wet.	



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. DMW-2

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 3 OF 5

BY RCK DATE 10/25/88

SURFACE FLEV 4947 ft

ы	RCK		DAI	E 10	0/25/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4947	it
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WEL DETA
	115 (2000)		ումումումումումումումումումումումումումո	165 170 175 180 185 190 200 215 210	COLUMN	SILTY SAND (SM), dark yellowish brown (10YR, 4/4); slightly cohesive; wet. @178': begin drilling with water. CLAY (CH), dark gray (10YR, 4/1); wet. SILTY SAND (SM), silty; fine grained; dense; well compacted; hard drilling. CLAY (CH), dark grayish brown (10YR, 4/2); gravel layers toward bottom of unit; moist to wet.	CHENERACHER CHENERACH CHEN CHEN CHEN CHEN CHEN CHEN CHEN CH
			ևավասկավաղ	230		SAND (SP-SM), silty; fine grained.	#48#48#48#48#48# #46#48#4#4#############



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. DMW-2

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 4 OF 5

BY RCK

DATE 10/25/88

SURFACE FLEV 4947 ft

BY	RCK		DAT	E 1	0/	25/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4947	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
			որություրություն	245- 250- 255- 260- 265- 270- 275- 280- 285- 290- 305- 310- 315-			SILTY CLAY (CH), gray (10YR, 5/1); occasional sand lenses; moderate to high plasicity; moist to wet; very slow drilling. @278': silt and sand lenses absent. @288': high plasticity; wet.	ዸዄቒዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዸዄዼዄዸ



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. DMW-2

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 5 OF 5

RV PCK

BY	RCK	DATE 10/25/88			/25/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4947	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
			ոկումումուկումունունունունունունունունունունունունունո	325 330 335 340 350 355		CLAY (CH), silty, trace fine sand; moderate to high plasticity. SAND (SP), fine to medium grained.	ያች ይችን ይችን ይችን ይችን ይችን ይችን ይችን ይችን ይችን ይች
			ուհումումումիումումիումումիումումիումումումո	365 370 375 380 385		SANDY CLAY (CL), silty; maybe interbedded sand, silt, and clay. BORING TERMINATED AT 390 FEET.	ૡૡ૱ૡૺ૱ૡૺ૱ૡૺ૱ૡૺ૱ઌૺ૱ઌૺ૱ઌૺ૱ઌૺ૱ઌ૱ઌૺ૱ઌૺ૱ઌૺ ૱ઌૺૺૺૺૺૺૺૺૺૺ
			nd malana	395			




REMARKS

WELL DETAILS

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03 PROJECT NAME North Davis Landfill TOP OF CASING ELEV. 4948.99 LOCATION Davis County, Utah GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 4947' WELL PERMIT NO. _____ DATUM ___ Davis County Benchmark

BORING / WELL NO. DMW-2 INSTALLATION DATE ___ 10/25/88



EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth 390 ft. b. Diameter 12 in.

Drilling method ____ Mud Rotary

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length 177.5 ft. Material Schedule 40 PVC 4__ in.

d. Diameter

e. Depth to top perforations

f. Perforated length Perforated interval from 145 to 175 ft.

Perforation type <u>Machine slotted</u>

Perforation size 0.020 inches

g. Surface seal

Material Concrete

h. Backfill 124 ft.

Material ____ Concrete/Bentonite

i. Seal

Material Bentonite pellets

j. Gravel pack __ 40 ft. Gravel pack interval from 135 to 175 ft.

Material #10/20 Sand

k. Bottom seal/fill 215 ft. Material Bentonite/Concrete/Natural Materials

Casing stickup

2.5 ft.

___145 ft.

m. Protective casing diameter

NATURAL GAMMA/ NEUTRON LOG

FILE NO. 51.52B	COMPANY:	EMCON	ASSC	CIATES

WELL:

DMW-2

FIELD:

NORTH DAVIS REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE

COUNTY:

DAVIS

STATE: UTAH

LOCATION:

202967' N., 104388' E.

SEC:

TWP:

RGE:

NONE

OTHER LOGS:

PERMANENT DATUM:

TOP OF CASING

ELEVATION:

RUN NO.

4948.99'

ONE

LOG MEASURED FROM:

TOP OF CASING

DATE:	08/24/89		
DRILLER :			
DEPTH at BIT DIA.			
DEPTH at BIT DIA.			
CASING DPTH/SIZE	4" PVC		
CASING DPTH/SIZE			
LOGGER :			
DEPTH	161.2'		
CASING DEPTH	161.2'		
BOT LOG INTERVAL	161.2'		
TOP LOG INTERVAL	0'		
TYPE FLUID IN HOLE	WATER		
Rm at TEMP	N/A		
SAMPLE SOURCE	N/A		
FLUID LEVEL	N/A	-	
TIME SINCE CIRC.	N/A		
RECORDED BY:	McDONALD, WEIKUM		
WITNESSED RY-	MR SCHWYN		

4	
-	
A	
0	
_	
S	
8	
\subseteq	

007	RUN		EQUIPMENT	NT	LOC	SGING	LOGGING DETECTOR	SPA	SPACING		RCE	LOGG	LOGGED INTERVAL	SVAL
FUNCTION	O	MODEL	PROBE S.N.	UPHOLE S.N.	DIG INT FEET	S.N. FEET FT./MIN	TYPE	x-Rx EET	Rx-Rx FEET		TYPE SIZE FRO	FROM TO	10	INT. FEET
Gamma	One	One 9256	27A20	27A20 105 0.5	0.5	20	Scint.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A 161.2	161.2	0	161.2
Neutron	One	One 9250	27U5A3	27U5A3\$ 105 0.5	0.5	20	Prop.	12 ln	N/A AmBe 3.0	AmBe		161.2 0	0	161.2
	1	10/001												

CALIBRATION FACTOR(S): Shop API Calibration. DIGITAL FILE NAME(S): DMW-2.LOG

REMARKS:

The Neutron log was recorded

eparate run

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: West side of site

DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: AP-1000

DEPTH TO WATER: 192.67'

HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 8-6-96

TOC ELEV.: 4907.55' GS ELEV .: 4905.3' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO .: DMW-4




Figure No. 1

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: West side of site

DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: ÁP-1000

DEPTH TO WATER: 192.67' HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 8-6-96 TOC ELEV.: 4907.55' GS ELEV .: 4905.3' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO.: DMW-4

ELEVATION DEPTH	WELL DETAILS	SOIL SYMBOLS, SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	uscs	Description	Sample Number	Sample Depth (ft)	Recover (in/in)
830 —		20/6 23/6 25/6			B-7	69.5-71	18/18
-80		10/6 21/6 21/6			B-8	79.5-81	18/18
		20/6 20/6 21/6			B-9	89.5-91	18/18
100		⊿ 8/6 14/6 20/6		grades wet.	B-10	99.5- 101	18/18
110		12/6 12/6 14/6			B-11	109.5- 111	18/18
120		№ 8/6 14/6 16/6	SM	SILTY SAND: Gray, fine to medium, dense, wet.	B-12	119.5- 121	18/18
80 -		10/6 14/6 15/6	ML CL	SANDY SILT: Gray, fine, stiff, wet. SANDY CLAY: Gray, fine, interbeded with	B-13	124.5- 126	18/18
130		10/6 15/6 14/6		sandy silt and clay, stiff, moist to very moist.	B-14	129.5- 131	18/18
70 -		8/6 15/6 16/6		grades with occasional reddish brown lenses.	B-15	134.5- 136	18/18
140		10/6			B-16	139.5-	18/18

Figure No. 2

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill

CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD

HOLE LOCATION: West side of site DRILLER: Layne Environmental DRILL RIG: AP-1000

DEPTH TO WATER: 192.67'

HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO .: 2697-004

DATE: 8-6-96 TOC ELEV.: 4907.55' GS ELEV .: 4905.3' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO .: DMW-4




Figure No. 3

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill

CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD HOLE LOCATION: West side of site

DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: AP-1000

DEPTH TO WATER: 192.67'

HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO .: 2697-004

DATE: 8-6-96

TOC ELEV .: 4907.55' GS ELEV .: 4905.3' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO .: DMW-4




Figure No. 4

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-5 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 1 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/6/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4882.1 ft. PID RECOVERY | PENETRA-GROUND WATER LEVELS SAMPLES LITHO-WELL DEPTH IN FT. TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (% 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) CLAYEY SILT (ML), dark brown (7.5YR, 4/6) to strong brown (7.5YR, 5/8); 15-20% fines; stiff; ND 100 dry. **SAND** (SP), dark brown (7.5YR, 4/6); 5-7% silt; medium dense to dense; damp to moist. ND 100 32 @5': Clay, dark brown (7.5YR, 4/2); 1/4" to 1/2" thick bed. ND 80% 37 @ 10-11.5': Sand, as above; no clay beds; dense. @ 12.5': slight moisture increase. ND 80% 26 @ 15-16.5': moist.



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER

558-02.05

BORING NO.

MW-5

PROJECT NAME

NDRD

PAGE

3E 2 OF 5

BY W. A. Lambert

DATE 9/6/89

SURFACE ELEV.

4882.1 ft.

							SURFACE ELEV.	4882.1 ft.
PID (ppm)	RECOVERY	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	STANETS SWIFTS SWIFTS	RPT.	쁘	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WEL: DETA:
ND	87%	24			X			301
			- - - - -	-			CLAY (CL), brown (7.5YR, 5/4) to dark brwon (7.5YR, 4/8); red (2.5YR, 4/8) coating partings; closed partings; medium plasticity; very stiff; damp to moist. SAND (SP), as above; medium dense.	
ND	87%	39		25-			CLAY (CL), as above; moist to wet. SAND AND CLAY (SP/CL) INTERBEDDED, very thinly interbedded; damp to moist; Sand (SP), as above. Clay (CL), as above.	
ND	80%	49	-	30-			SAND (SP), as above; 5-7% fines; dense; damp. @ 31': Clay, as above; 1/4"-thick ded.	
ND	87%	39		35-			@35': faint thin bedding.	



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER

558-02.05

9/6/89

BORING NO.

MW-5

PROJECT NAME

NDRD

PAGE 3 OF 5

W. A. Lambert

DATE

SURFACE ELEV.

4882.1 ft.

PID	RECOVERY	PENETRA- TION	SOUR VELS	1.	MPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL
(ppm)		(blws/ft)	623	哈	SA			
ND	80%	35	- - - - -	_			@ 40': Silty Clay, 3/4'-thick bed; low to medium plasticity; dense; damp to moist.	
ND	80%	47	- - - - -	45-			@45': very dense; damp.@ 45.3': Clay, as above; 1"-thick bed; damp to moist.@ 47': 12-25% fines; moist.	
ND	100%	75	- - - - -	50-	_ X _		@ 50': trace fines; very dense; damp.	
ND	100%	100	-	55 -			@ 55': very dense, refusal to sampling.	



REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-5 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 4 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/6/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4882.1 ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-LITHO-SAMPLES WELL TION RPT. GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (ppm) 1.5') (blws/ft) ND 80% 69 SAND AND SILTY SAND (SP/SM), INTERBEDDED, very thinly bedded; very dense; damp to moist: Sand (SP), 7-12% fines; Silty Sand (SM); 20-30% fines. 9/6/89 ₩ 565 SILTY SAND (SM-ML), yellowish brown (10YR, 9/6/89 5/4) to dark brown (7.5YR, 4/2); 20-30% fines; 70-80% fine sand; slight to medium plasticity; very loose; wet. ND 0.00 37



REMARKS

Boring was drilled with 8-inch outside diameter (O.D.) holow-stem augers. Samples were collected with a 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch O.D. California modified split-spoon sampler. ND = Not Detected NR = No Recovery

Printed on Recycled Paner

PROJECT NUMBER

558-02.05

BORING NO.

MW-5

PROJECT NAME

NDRD

PAGE

5 OF 5

BY W. A. Lambert

DATE 9/6/89

SURFACE ELEV.

4882.1 ft.

BI W.A.L	BY W. A. Lambert DATE 9/6/89		9/6/89	SURFACE ELEV. 4882.1 ft.		
PID RECOVERY (ppm) (% 1.5')	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND	LITHO- SRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAIL	
			90	BORING TERMINATED AT 85 FEET.		



REMARKS


Boring was drilled with 8-inch outside diameter (O.D.) holow-stem augers. Samples were collected with a 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch O.D. California modified split-spoon sampler. ND = Not Detected NR = No Recovery

Printed on Recycled Paner

EMCON Associates

WELL DETAILS

PROJECT NUMBER	558-02.05	BORING / WELL NO. MW-5
PROJECT NAME	NDRD Landfill	TOP OF CASING ELEV. 4884.21
OCATION	Davis County, Utah	GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 4882.1
WELL PERMIT NO	NA	DATUM Mean Sea Level
		INSTALLATION DATE 9/12/89



EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth 85 ft
b. Diameter 8 in

Drilling method Hollow-Stem Auger


WELL CONSTRUCTION

m. Protective casing diameter

c. Total casing length 78 ft. Schedule 40, PVC Material d. Diameter in. e. Depth to top perforations 66 f. Perforated length 10 Perforated interval from 66 to 76 ft. Factory slot Perforation type____ Perforation size 0.020 in. g. Surface seal Material NA h. Backfill 55 Material 4% Bentonite/Cement i. Seal Material Bentonite j. Gravel pack 22 Gravel pack interval from 61 to 83 Material 10 x 20 Colorado Sand k. Bottom seal/fill Native Material 3 Casing stickup

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION LOG

WELL NO: MW-7R		PROJECT: WIWMD MW-7 Replacement	SITE: Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District
PROJECT NO: 370547.WI.01		CONSTRUCTED BY: Boart Longyear	CITY: Layton, UT
NORTHING: 286554.924	EASTING: 1881766.134	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 4784.32	MEASURING POINT ELEVATION: 4786.71



TOTAL DEPTH: 87.0' (FEET BELOW GROUND SURFACE)

A. SURFACE COMPLETION

COMPOSITION:

8-inch diameter protector pipe; 2.5-feet stick-up

SIZE: 4' x 4' concrete pad

B. SURFACE SEAL

MATERIAL: Concrete

INTERVAL: -1.0' - 3.0'

C. RISER PIPE

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC
INTERVAL: -2.5' – 75.0'

D. GROUT

COMPOSITION: Bentonite/Cement Grout

INTERVAL: 3' - 68'

E. SEAL

TYPE: Bentonite Chips

INTERVAL: 68' - 73'

F. FILTER PACK

TYPE: **#20/40 Silica Sand**INTERVAL: **73' - 87'**

G. SCREEN

DIAMETER: 4-inch

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC

SLOT SIZE: 10 slot (.010-inch)

INTERVAL: 75' - 85'

CONST LOG (1) A REV 1 03-2008



370547.WI.01

BORING ID: MW-7R

Sheet 1 of 8

SOIL BORING LOG PROJECT: WIWMD MW-7 Replacement LOCATION: NORTHING: 286554.924 ELEVATION: 4784.32 EASTING: 1881766.134 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Hollow Stem Auger DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: ~87.5' START: 3/10/2008 END: 3/12/2008 LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 0 - 0.0-4.0 SM - Silty Sand, SM, Grayish Brown 10YR 5/2, med dense, moist, Logged Cuttings 0'-70'. Split spoon sampling from trace clayey sand lenses. (0/70/30) 70' - 87'. (x/x/x) = %gravel/sand/fines 0.5 -1.5 -2 -2.5 -3 -3.5 -4 - 4.0-7.0 SC - Clayey sand, SC, very dark gray 10YR 3/1, moist, med dense. (0/60/40)4.5 -5 – 5.5 -6 -6.5 -7 - 7.0-10.0 CL - Silty sandy clay, CL, Grayish Brown 10YR 5/2, med stiff, moist. (0/20/80) 7.5 -8 -8.5 -9 -9.5 -10 - 10.0-16.0 CL - Silty sandy clay, CL, Grayish Brown 10YR 5/2, med stiff, moist, trace fine gravel. (0/20/80) 10.5 -11 -11.5 -12 -



370547.WI.01

BORING ID:

MW-7R

Sheet 2 of 8

SOIL BORING LOG PROJECT: WIWMD MW-7 Replacement LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4784.32 NORTHING: 286554.924 EASTING: 1881766.134 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Hollow Stem Auger DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: ~87.5' START: 3/10/2008 END: 3/12/2008 LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION TEST INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 12.5 -13 -13.5 -14 -14.5 -15 -15.5 -16 - 16.0-18.0 SM - Fine silty sand, SM, yellowish brown 10YR 5/4, med dense, moist to dry. (0/80/20) 16.5 -17 -17.5 -18 - 18.0-25.0 SM - Fine silty sand, SM, yellowish brown 10YR 5/4m ned dense, moist to dry. (0/90/10) 18.5 -19 -19.5 -20 -20.5 -21 -21.5 -22 -22.5 -23 -23.5 -24 -24.5 -



PROJECT NUMBER BORING ID:

MW-7R 370547.WI.01

SOIL BORING LOG

Sheet 3 of 8

PROJECT: WIWMD MW-7 Replacement LOCATION:

ELEVATION: 4784.32 NORTHING: 286554.924 EASTING: 1881766.134 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Hollow Stem Auger DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ

DEPTH	TO WAT	ER:~	87.5'	STAF	T: 3/10/2008	END: 3/12/2008	LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander
DEPTH BGS (ft)			STANDARD PENETRATION	CORE DESCRIF	PTION:	COMMENTS:	
	INTERV		VERY	TEST RESULTS	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE,		DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
			TYPE-# SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	6-6-6 (in) (N)	MINERALOGY.		
25 –	25.0-30.0				SM - Fine silty sand, SM, yellowish brown 1	0YR 5/4, med dense,	
25.5 –					moist to dry. (0/85/15)		
26 –						_	
26.5 -							
27 –						_	
27.5 –						_	
28 –						_	
28.5 -							
29 -							
29.5 -	30.0-35.0				SMML - Fine silty sand, SM, Yellowish Brow	un 10VP F/A mod donos	
30.5 -	30.0-33.0				moist, with silty sandy clay lenses. (0/75/25)		
31 -						_	
31.5 -						_	
32 –						_	
32.5 🗕						_	
33 –						_	
33.5 –						_	
34 –						_	
34.5 –						_	
35 –	35.0-40.0				MLSM - Interbedded sandy clayey silt/silty of MLSM, med dense to dense, moist to dry, b		
35.5 -					trace fine gravel. (0/30/70)	_	
36 –						_	
36.5 –						_	
37 –						_	



PROJECT NUMBER	

370547.WI.01

BORING ID:

Sheet 4 of 8

SOIL BORING LOG PROJECT: WIWMD MW-7 Replacement LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4784.32 NORTHING: 286554.924 EASTING: 1881766.134 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Hollow Stem Auger DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: ~87.5' START: 3/10/2008 END: 3/12/2008 LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION TEST INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RESULTS RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 37.5 -38 -38.5 -39 -39.5 -40 - 40.0-45.0 MLSM - As Above with percent change (0/40/60) 40.5 -41 -41.5 -42 -42.5 -43 -43.5 -44 -44.5 -45 - 45.0-50.0 MLSM - As above 45.5 -46 -46.5 -47 -47.5 -48 -48.5 -49 -49.5 -



370547.WI.01

BORING ID: MW-7R

Sheet 5 of 8

	CH2	:MH	ILL		SOIL	BORING	LOG
PROJEC	CT: WIN	NMD N	/IW-7 Repla	acement		OCATION:	
ELEVAT	ION: 478	84.32	NORTH	ING: 286554.924	EASTING: 1881766.134 COOR	DINATE SYSTEM: N	IAD27 State Plane
DRILLIN	IG METH	OD/EQ	UIPMENT	USED: Hollow St	em Auger	DRILLING CON	TRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH	TO WATE	R: ~	87.5'	STAF	RT: 3/10/2008 END): 3/12/2008	LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander
DEPTH B				STANDARD	CORE DESCRIPTION	N:	COMMENTS:
	INTERVA	AL (ft) RECO	VERY	PENETRATION TEST RESULTS	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DEN	COLOR, SITY,	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS,
			TYPE-# SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	6-6-6 (in) (N)	OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE MINERALOGY.		TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
50 – 5	50.0-55.0				SM - Fine silty sand, SM, Yellowish Brown 10YR	5/6, moist to	-
50.5 -					dry, dense. (0/90/10)	_	
51 –							
51.5 _							
52 -						_	
52.5 -						_	
53 –						_	
53.5 -						_	
54 –						_	
54.5 -							
55 – 5	55.0-60.0				SM - As above	_	
55.5 -						_	
56 -						_	
56.5 -						_	
57 —							
57.5 -							
58 –						<u></u>	
58.5 -							
59 –							
59.5 -							
	60.0-65.0				SM - As above	_	
60.5 -							
61 –						_	
61.5 -						_	
62 -							
02 -						_	1



370547.WI.01

BORING ID:

Sheet 6 of 8

SOIL BORING LOG PROJECT: WIWMD MW-7 Replacement LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4784.32 NORTHING: 286554.924 EASTING: 1881766.134 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Hollow Stem Auger DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander DEPTH TO WATER: ~87.5' START: 3/10/2008 END: 3/12/2008 DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 62.5 -63 -63.5 -64 -64.5 -65 - 65.0-70.0 SM - Fine silty sand, SM, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/6, dense to very dense, with thin trace clay lenses. (0/80/20) 65.5 -66 -66.5 -67 -67.5 -68 -68.5 -69 -69.5 -70 - 70.0-70.5 SMCL - Fine silty sand, SM, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/6, med dense, Split spoon sample dry, trace thin reddish brown 5YR 4/4 silty clay lenses (CL). (0/80/20) 12 70.5 - 70.5-71.0 SM - Fine silty sand, SM, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/6, med dense, dry, Split spoon sample with common oxide staining on bedding planes. (0/85/15) 26 71 - 71.0-71.5 SW - Fine sand, SW, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/6, dense, moist to Split spoon sample 36 dry, trace mineral staining. (0/98/2) 71.5 - 71.5-72.0 SW - As Above Split spoon sample 40 72 -72.5 -73 -73.5 -74.5 -



370547.WI.01

BORING ID:

MW-7R

Sheet 7 of 8

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT:	WIWMD M	W-7 Replacement		LOCATION:		
ELEVATION:	4784.32	NORTHING: 286554.924	EASTING: 1881766.134	COORDINATE SYSTEM:	NAD27 State Plane	

DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Hollow Stem Auger DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ

LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander DEPTH TO WATER: ~87.5' START: 3/10/2008 END: 3/12/2008 DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 75 - 75.0-75.5 SW - Fine sand, SW, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/6, med dense, wet, Split spoon sample 10 trace mineral staining. (0/95/5) 75.5 - 75.5-76.0 SW - As Above Split spoon sample 18 76 - 76.0-76.5 SWML - 76' - 76.3': As above; 76.3'-76.5': Sandy silt, ML, med Split spoon sample 20 stiff, common oxide staining, trace clay lenses, moist. (0/35/65) 76.5 -77 -77.5 -78 -78.5 -79 -79.5 -80 - 80.0-80.5 SM - Very fine silty sand, SM, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/6, med Split spoon sample 9 dense, wet. (0/75/25) 80.5 - 80.5-81.0 SM - As above Split spoon sample 19 81 - 81.0-81.5 SM - As above Split spoon sample 20 81.5 -82 -82.5 -83 -83.5 -84 -84.5 -85 - 85.0-85.5 SM - Very fine, very silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR Split spoon sample 10 4/2, med dense, moist, trace clay lenses. (0/70/30) 85.5 - 85.5-86.0 SM - As above Split spoon sample 15 86 - 86.0-86.5 SMCL - 86'-86.2': As above; 86.2'-86.5': Silty clay, CL, Reddish Split spoon sample 15 Gray 5YR 4/2, med stiff, moist 86.5 -87 - 87.0-End of Boring at 87'



370547.WI.01

BORING ID:

Sheet 8 of 8

	CH2	MIH	ILL		S	OIL BORING	LOG
PROJE	CT: WI\	VMD N	лW-7 Repla	acement		LOCATION:	
ELEVA	TION: 47	34.32	NORTH	ING: 286554.924	EASTING: 1881766.134	COORDINATE SYSTEM: N	IAD27 State Plane
DRILLII	NG METH	OD/EQ	UIPMENT	USED: Hollow S	tem Auger	DRILLING CON	TRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH	TO WATE	R: ~	87.5'	STAI	RT: 3/10/2008	END: 3/12/2008	LOGGER: Corey Schwabenlander
DEPTH I	BGS (ft)			STANDARD	CORE DESC	CRIPTION:	COMMENTS:
	INTERVA	L (ft)		PENETRATION TEST	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SY	MBOL), COLOR,	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE,
		RECO	VERY	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, RELAT	IVE DENSITY,	DRILLING FLUID LOSS,
			TYPE-#	6-6-6 (in)	 OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRI MINERALOGY. 	UCTURE,	TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
			SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	(N)			
87.5 –							
07.5						_	-

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-8 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 1 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/15/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4792.1 ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-GROUND WATER LEVELS SAMPLES DEPTH IN FT. TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) SILTY SAND (SM), grayish brown (10YR, 5/2) to brown (10YR, 5/3); 25-35% non-plastic fines; common refuse, plastic; loose; damp. CLAY (CL), mottled light yellowish brown (5YR, ND 50% 20 6/4) to reddish brown (5YR, 4/4), high plasticity. SILT (ML), dark yellowish brown (10YR, 4/4); abundant roots; very stiff; dry. SILTY CLAY (CL), brown (10YR, 5/3); medium plasticity; abundant roots; very stiff; dry. ND 50% 43 CLAY (CL), mottled light reddish brown (5YR, 6/4) to reddish brown (5YR, 4/4); high plasticity; hard; damp. ND 75% 20 SILTY SAND, SILT, and CLAY (SM/ML/CL) INTERBEDDED, 2-5" thick beds; abundant rootlets; moist to wet. Silty Sand (SM), reddish brown (5YR, 5/3); medium Silt (ML), reddish brown (5YR, 5/3); non-plastic; Clay (CL), mottled brown (10YR, 5/3), with common pinkish gray (5YR, 7/2); high plasticity; very stiff. ND 90% 21



REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-8 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 2 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/15/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4792.1 ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-GROUND WATER LEVELS SAMPLES LITHO-DEPTH IN FT. WELL TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (ppm) (% 1.5') (blws/ft) CLAY (CL), reddish brown (5YR, 5/4) with very dark gray (5YR, 3/1) coating bedding plains; high plasticity; abundant rootlets and carbon; very stiff; moist. ND 60% 24 SAND, SILT, AND CLAYEY SILT (SM/ML/ML) INTERBEDDED, damp. Sand (SM), brown (7.5YR, 5/4); medium dense. Silt (ML), brown (7.5YR, 5/4); non- to slight plasticity; stiff. Clayey Silt (ML), reddish brown (5YR, 5/4); slight plasticity; very stiff. ND 65% 22 @ 29'-30': Clay, as above. SAND (SM), dark gray (10YR, 4/1); medium dense; wet. ND 75% 44 @ 34': Sand (SM), as above; brown (7.5YR, 5/4); dense; damp to moist. ND 30% 40



REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-8 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 3 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/15/89 4792.1 ft. SURFACE ELEV. RECOVERY PENETRA-PID LITHO-GROUND WATER LEVELS DEPTH IN FT. WELL TION GRAPHIC SAMPL DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (ppm) (% 1.5') (blws/ft) SAND AND CLAY (SM/CL) INTERBEDDED, as above; dense/hard; moist. ND 36 ND 60% 40 SAND, SILTY SAND, CLAYEY SILT, SILT, AND CLAY (SM/ML/ML/CL) INTERBEDDED, as above. dense/hard; damp. ND 80% 41 @ 54': interbedded as above; 45% clay; 30% clayey silt; 25% silt; moderately oxide stained very thin silt beds. ND 75% 45 SAND (SM), as above; dense; damp.




REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-8 PROJECT NAME NDRD **PAGE** 4 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/15/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4792.1 ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-GROUND WATER LEVELS LITHO-DEPTH IN FT. WELL TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (% 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) ND 80% 40 ND 75% 45 SAND, SLTY SAND, AND CLAY (SM/ML/CL), INTERBEDDED, Sand, brown (7.5YR, 5/2) to light brown (7.5YR, 6/4); 2-6" thick beds; dense; Silty Sand, as above; dense; moist. Clay; as above, hard; moist. ND 80% 68 SAND (SM), reddish brown (5YR, 5/3) to (5YR, 5/4); very dense; damp to moist.



REMARKS






REMARKS



WELL DETAILS

PROJECT NUMBER	558-02.05	BORING / WELL NO. MW-8
PROJECT NAME	NDRD Landfill	TOP OF CASING ELEV. 4794.02
LOCATION	Davis County, Utah	GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 4792.1
WELL PERMIT NO	NA	DATUM Mean Sea Level
		INSTALLATION DATE 9/15/89



EXPLORATORY BORING

a.	Total depth	90	_ ft
b.	Diameter	10	in
	Drilling method	Hollow-Stem Auger	

WELL CONSTRUCTION

	EL CONCINCION		
C.	Total casing length	87	ft
	Material Schedule 40, PV	С.	
d.	Diameter (inside)	4	in
e.	Depth to top perforations	76.5	ft
f.	Perforated length	10	ft
	Perforated interval from 76.5 to	86.5	ft
	Perforation type Factory s	lot	
	Perforation size 0.020 in		
g.	Surface seal	69	f
	Material Cement Grout		
h.	Backfill		ft
	MaterialNA		_
i.	Seal	2	ft
	Material Bentonite		
j.	Gravel pack	19	f
	Gravel pack interval from 71 to	90	f
	Material 10 x 20 Colorado S	and	_
k.	Bottom seal/fill		f
	MaterialNA		_
1.	Casing stickup	3	f
m.	Protective casing diameter	8	ir

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill

CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD HOLE LOCATION: South west side of landfill

DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: AP-1000

DEPTH TO WATER: 53.57'

HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 8-9-96

TOC ELEV.: 4873.10' GS ELEV.: 4871.0' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO.: MW-11




Figure No. 5

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: South west side of landfill
DRILLER: Layne Environmental
DRILL RIG: AP-1000
DEPTH TO WATER: 53.57' HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

DATE: 8-9-96 TOC ELEV.: 4873.10' GS ELEV .: 4871.0' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO .: MW-11

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DEPTH TO WATER: 33.37	HU	LE DIAMETER: 9" WEL	L NO.:	M W - J	l I
DEPTH WELL SOIL SYMBOLS, SAMPLER SYMBOL AND FIELD TEST DA	S USCS	Description	Sample Number	Sample Depth (ft)	Recovery (in/in)
4800 9/6 9/6 11/6			B-5	69.5-71	18/18
4790 — 80 10/6 12/6 15/6			B-6	79.5-81	18/18
4780 -90 12/6	SM	SILTY SAND: Gray, fine, medium dense, wet. SANDY CLAY: Gray, fine, very stiff, moist to very moist.	B-7	89.5-91	18/18
4770 - 100					
4760 — 110					
-120					
4750 —					
4740 - 130					
140					

Figure No. 6

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: South side of landfill
DRILLER: Layne Environmental
DRILL RIG: AP-1000

DEPTH TO WATER: 72.53' HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 8-8-96 TOC ELEV.: 4887.98' GS ELEV.: 4885.7' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO .: MW-12

	7 77771213: 72		VIET DIAMETERS VIET			
DEPTH DE	WELL SOILS SAMPLE AND FIELD	SYMBOLS, ER SYMBOLS D TEST DATA	Description	Sample Number	Sample Depth (ft)	Recovery (in/in)
4880 — 		SP	SAND: Brown to yellowsih brown, fine to medium, occasional reddish brown clay lenses, moist to wet.	B-1	20	
4840				B-2	40	
4830				B-3	60	

Figure No. 7

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD HOLE LOCATION: South side of landfill

DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: AP-1000

DEPTH TO WATER: 72.53'

HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 8-8-96

TOC ELEV .: 4887.98' GS ELEV.: 4885.7' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO .: MW-12




Figure No. 8

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: North side of landfill
DRILLER: Layne Environmental
DRILL RIG: Moble B-61
DEPTH TO WATER: 57'
HOLE DIAN

HOLE DIAMETER: 8.25"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 4-8-97
TOC ELEV.: 4860.90'
GS ELEV.: 4859.7'
LOGGED BY: DCH
WELL NO.: MW-16

DEPTH TO WAT		HUI	E DIAMETER: 8.23	Sample	Sample Depth (ft)	Recovery (in/in)
ELEVATION WELL DETAILS	SOIL SYMBOLS, SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	uscs	Description	Sample Number	(ft)	(in/in)
		SP	SAND: Brown to yellowish brown, fine to medium, occasional reddish brown clay lenses, medium dense, moist to wet.			
850 — 10 	27/6 10/6 10/6			B-1	10-11.5	14/18
4840 — 20	7/6 8/6 7/6			B-2	20-21.5	14/18
483030	13/6 13/6 12/6			B-3	30-31.5	13/18
4820 40	7/6 2 15/6 16/6			B-4	40-41.5	10/18
				B-5	50-51.5	12/18
	6/6 8/6 12/6			B-6		
4790 - 70	11/6					

Figure No. 1

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: North side of landfill
DRILLER: Layne Environmental
DRILL RIG: Moble B-61
DEPTH TO WATER: 57'
HOLE DIAM


HOLE DIAMETER: 8.25"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004 DATE: 4-8-97 TOC ELEV.: 4860.90' GS ELEV.: 4859.7' LOGGED BY: DCH

WELL NO.: MW-16

DEPTH TO WATER: 57' HOLE DIAMETER: 8.25" WELL NO.: MW-10					
ELEVATION WELL SOIL SYMBOLS, DEPTH DETAILS AND FIELD TEST DATA	uscs	Description	Sample Number	Sample Depth (ft)	Recovery (in/in)
12/6	SM	SILTY SAND: Gray, fine, dense, wet.	B-8	70-71.5	18/18
8/6 16/6 17/6	CL	SANDY CLAY: Gray, fine, very stiff, moist to very moist.	В-9	75-76.5	18/18
4780 - 80					
21					
4770 — 90					
4760 100					
4750 110		·			
4740 120			:		
4730 130					
1.00					
 					
4720 140	L				


Figure No. 2



▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011


Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC



▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011


Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC



▼ - MEASURED ∑- ESTIMATED

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011


Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC



▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011


Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC





▼ - MEASURED ∑- ESTIMATED

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011

Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC








DATE	STARTED: 12/16/10 COMPLETED: 12/16/10					Wasatch Intigrated Drilling Co.: Boart Longyear								DRING NO: MW-23 Sheet 3 of 1						
	BACKFILLED: 12/17/10 IG						tan Number: 00169-065		Hammer Type:	N/A	1e/81n					01 3				
ELEVATION		LES	RECOVERY (in.)	GRAPHICAL LOG	UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION	WELL LOG AND WATER LEVEL	NORTHING 203,416.42 EA	LOCATION STING 102,933.4	40 ELEVATION	4,766.9 (feet)			Percent minus 200	Dry Density(pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index			
ELEV	FEET	SAMPLES	RECO	GRAP	UNIFI	WELL	MATERIA	L DESCRI	PTION		N	(N1)60	Percen	Dry D	Moistu	Liquid	Flastic			
4685 4690 4695 1	75				CL		Sandy Lean CLAY - stiff, moist, plasticity, with thick iron stain Silty SAND - medium dense, we non-plastic, with thick iron sta Sandy SILT - stiff, moist, brown Silty SAND - medium dense, ver silt is non-plastic, with thick ir Sandy Lean CLAY - stiff, moist, plasticity Silty SAND - dense, very moist non-plastic, with frequent 2 incomplete the state of	ing throughout t, brown, sand it ining throughou, with thick iror ry moist to wet, on staining thro red-brown, san	is fine-grained, sattaning through brown, sand is fughout	ilt is hout hout ine-grained,		$\overline{\mathcal{A}}$								
7-500-60																				
	DI	NT4		[A		AMPLE TYP	N - OBSERVED BLOW			10.20	0	Da44 -					=			
BO Copyright			1	LO E	5° Z	- 2" O.D./1.38 - 3.25" O.D./2 - 3" O.D. Thii - Grab Sampl - Modified Ca	8" I.D. Split Spoon Sampler 2.42" I.D. 'U' Sampler 11-Walled Shelby Sampler 2.42" I.D. 'W' Sampler 2.42" I.D. 'W' Sampler 3.1 I.D. 'Dipe 4.2 Cap 4.3 Cap 5.1 Cap 6.2 Cap 7.3 Cap 8.4 Cap 8.5 Cap 8.6 Cap 9.7 Cap 1.6 Cap 1.7 C	CuttingsBentor (around (belowater) pipe) pipe pipe pipe pipe pipe pipe pipe pipe	W Grout Bentoni Notes: Top of	te Silica S	lot reen	Botto Pipe Car	<u>e</u>	-	Pla 2		,			


WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011 00169-065-DAP.GPJ IGES.GDT 4/12/11



▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011

Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC



WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011


00169-065-DAP.GPJ IGES.GDT 4/12/1


3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sampler

Modified California Sampler Sample from Auger Cuttings Notes: Top of Casing: 4809.450

4b

WATER LEVEL ▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED





▼ - MEASURED ∑- ESTIMATED

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011

Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC

STARTED: 2/16/11 COMPLETED: 2/17/11 BACKFILLED: 2/17/11						Geotechn Wasatch Highway Layton U	ical Investigation Intigrated 193 tah Number: 00169-065	IGES Rep: JSS Drilling Co.: Boart Longyear Drill Rig: Sonic PC 300 Boring Type/Dia.: Sonic Borehole/8in Hammer Type: N/A			BORING NO: MW-25 Sheet 5 of						
ELEVATION	EPTH	SAMPLES	RECOVERY (in.)	GRAPHICAL LOG	UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION	WELL LOG AND WATER LEVEL	LOCATION NORTHING 202,730.95 EASTING 103,787.		4,807.1 (feet)	-	0	Percent minus 200	Dry Density(pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit Plasticity Index	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
ELE	FEET	SAM	REC	GRAI	CLA	WEL	MATERIAL DESCRI			N	(N1)60	Perce	Dry I	Moist	Liqui Plasti		
							Sandy SILT - medium stiff to stiff, moist to ver fine-grained, silt is non-plastic, thinly lamina	ry moist, brown, s ted, with some iro	and is on staining							1	
							fine-grained, silt is non-plastic, thinly lamina Bottom of Boring @ 140 Feet	ted, with some iro	on staining								
						1	N - OBSERVED BLOW COUNT PER	R 6-INCHES								_	



WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011 00169-065-DAP.GPJ IGES.GDT 4/12/11

SAMPLE TYPE

| 3.25" O.D./1.38" I.D. Split Spoon Sampler | 3.25" O.D./2.42" I.D. 'U' Sampler | 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sampler | Grab Sample | Modified California Sampler | Sample from Auger Cuttings

<u>Top</u> CuttingsBentonite Pipe Cap (around (below pipe) pipe)

Silica Sand Grout Bentonite


10 Slot Screen

Bottom Pipe Cap

Plate

Notes: Top of Casing: 4809.450'

4e





00169-065-DAP.GPJ IGES.GDT 4/12/1

A-3.25" O.D./2.42" I.D. 'U' Sampler - 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sample - Grab Sample 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sampler

Modified California Sampler Sample from Auger Cuttings Pipe (around (below Cap pipe)

Silica Sand

Notes: Top of Casing: 4867.661


Screen Cap

WATER LEVEL

▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

5a

DATE		/IPL	Geotechnical Investigation Wasatch Intigrated Highway 193 Layton Utah IGES Project Number: 00169-065				Intigrated 193 tah	IGES Rep: Drilling Co.: Drill Rig: Boring Type/Dia. Hammer Type:	JSS Boart Longye Sonic PC 300 : Sonic Boreho N/A				3 NO:		26 2 of 8	
ELEVATION					UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION	WELL LOGAND WATER LEVEL	LOCATION NORTHING 202,558.10 EASTING 104,069	•	4,865.0 (feet)			Percent minus 200	Dry Density(pcf)	Moisture Content (%)	Liquid Limit	Plasticity Index
ELE	FEET	SAMPLES	RECO	GRAP	UNIE	WELI	MATERIAL DESCR	IPTION		N	(N1)60	Percer	Dry D	Moistu	Liquid	Plastic
-					- <u>SM</u> -		Poorly Graded SAND - medium dense, moist, uniform, with thick iron staining throughout Silty SAND - very dense, slightly moist, brown silt is non-plastic, silt conatins frequent black cemented	n to grev, sand is	fine-grained.	-						
4825	40						- @ 40 feet - alternating moderately cemented	to non-cemented	seams							
4820	45				SP		Poorly Graded SAND - medium dense, moist, fine-grained, uniform, with some light iron s - @ 44 feet - 3 inch seam of moderately cement	taining	is	-						
	-	Ħ					- Sandy Lean CLAY - stiff, moist, red-brown,	-	C 1 2							
_	_				SP-SM		Poorly Graded SAND with silt - medium dense sand is fine-grained, silt is non-plastic, with ½-inch seams of Silty SAND (SM) througho	thick iron staining out	, occasional							
4815	50-				SP -	-	Poorly Graded SAND - medium dense, moist, fine-grained, uniform, with some light iron s	taining								
	_				SM		Silty SAND - dense, slightly moist, brown, san non-plastic	nd is fine-grained,	silt is							
	-				SP	-	Poorly Graded SAND - medium dense, miost,	•		_						
-	-				SM		Silty SAND - medium dense, moist, brown, sil	t has low plasticit	y — — — —							
4810	55-	-		_	<u>-</u> SP -	-	Poorly Graded SAND - medium dense, moist, staining, uniform	brown, with some	light iron	-						
-	-				SP-SM	-	Poorly Graded SAND with silt - medium dense	e, moist, uniform		-						
4805	60				- <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>	-	Silty SAND - dense, slightly moist, light brown	n, moderately cen	nented — — —	_						
-	- - -	-			SP-SM		Poorly Graded SAND with silt - medium dense brown, wiht some light iron staining	-		-						
4800	65-				- <u>SM</u> -	-	Silty SAND - medium dense, very moist to we silt is non-plastic, thinly laminated, with thic	t, brown, sand is the light state of the light stat	ine-grained,	-						
-	-				SC-SM		Silty Clayey SAND - medium dense, very moi fine-grained, silt has low to no plasticity, this	st to wet, brown, s	sand is	-						
						AMPLE TYP	N - OBSERVED BLOW COUNT PE	R 6-INCHES								_



SAMPLE TYPE

Top Pipe Cap CuttingsBentonite (around (below pipe)

Silica Sand Grout Bentonite


10 Slot Screen **Bottom** Pipe Cap

Plate

WATER LEVEL

▼ - MEASURED

✓ - ESTIMATED Notes: Top of Casing: 4867.661' **5**b



▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

WATER LEVEL

Notes: Top of Casing: 4867.661


Modified California Sampler

Sample from Auger Cuttings

5c

WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011

Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC





IGES.GDT 4/12/1

00169-065-DAP.GPJ

∑- 2" O.D./1.38" I.D. Split Spoon Samples

A-3.25" O.D./2.42" I.D. 'U' Sampler - 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sample - Grab Sample 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sampler

Modified California Sampler Sample from Auger Cuttings Pipe (around (below Cap pipe) pipe)

Silica


Notes: Top of Casing: 4867.661


Slot Pipe Screen Cap

WATER LEVEL

▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

5d







00169-065-DAP.GPJ IGES.GDT 4/12/1

∑- 2" O.D./1.38" I.D. Split Spoon Samples

- 3.25" O.D./2.42" I.D. Split Spoon Sa

- 3.25" O.D./2.42" I.D. 'U' Sampler

- 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sample

- Grab Sample 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sampler

Modified California Sampler Sample from Auger Cuttings

CuttingsBentonite Pipe (around (below Cap pipe) pipe)

Grout Bentonite Silica

Slot Screen


Bottom Pipe Cap

Plate

WATER LEVEL ▼- MEASURED ▽- ESTIMATED

Notes: Top of Casing: 4867.661

5f





00169-065-DAP.GPJ IGES.GDT 4/12/1

N-2" O.D./1.38" I.D. Split Spoon Samples

1.08" I.D. Split Spoon Sa 3.25" O.D./2.42" I.D. 'U' Sampler - 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sam 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sampler

Modified California Sampler

CuttingsBentonite Pipe (around (below Cap pipe) pipe)

Grout Bentonite

Notes: Top of Casing: 4867.661

Silica Slot Screen

Bottom Pipe Cap

Plate

WATER LEVEL Sample from Auger Cuttings

▼ - MEASURED ∑- ESTIMATED


SP-SM SP-S	COMPLETED: 2/24/11 Wasatch Highway						4/11	Wasatch Highway	nical Investigation Intigrated 193 Itah Number: 00169-065	IGES Rep: Drilling Co.: Drill Rig: Boring Type/Dia. Hammer Type:	JSS Boart Longyear Sonic PC 300 a.: Sonic Borehole/8in N/A			IVI VV -20								
CC-M. SM Silfy SAND medium dense, most, brown, sand is fine-grained, silf is non-plastic, with thick iron staining throughout silfy SAND medium dense, most, brown, sand is fine-grained, silf is non-plastic, with thick iron staining throughout shown plastic, with thick iron staining throughout profile of the profile of	I -			PLES	OVERY (in.)	HICAL LOG			LOCATION			-		nt minus 200	Density(pcf)	are Content (%)	1 Limit	city Index				
CT-MI. SM — Silty CLAY - stiff, moist, brown, clay has low plasticity, with thick iron staining throughout SM — Silty CLAY - stiff, moist, brown, sand is fine-grained, sfift is non-plastic, with thick iron staining throughout Poorly Graded SAND with sfit - medium dense, moist, brown, sand is fine-grained Bottom of Boring @ 250 Feet	ELE		FEE	SAME	RECC	GRAP	UNIE	WELI				N	(N1)60	Percer	Dry D	Moistu	Liquid	Plastic				
N - OBSERVED BLOW COUNT PER 0-INCHES		-	-				CL-ML SM		non-plastic, with thick iron staining Silty CLAY - stiff, moist, brown, clay has low staining throughout Silty SAND - medium dense, moist, brown, sa non-plastic, with thick iron staining through Poorly Graded SAND with silt - medium dens fine-grained	plasticity, with the and is fine-grained, out e, moist, brown, sa	ick iron		D			Z						



WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011 00169-065-DAP.GPJ IGES.GDT 4/12/11

SAMPLE TYPE

| 3.25" O.D./1.38" I.D. Split Spoon Sampler | 3.25" O.D./2.42" I.D. 'U' Sampler | 3" O.D. Thin-Walled Shelby Sampler | Grab Sample | Modified California Sampler | Sample from Auger Cuttings







Notes: Top of Casing: 4867.661'

10 Slot Screen **Bottom**

Pipe Cap


Plate

5h






Hole No.:CPT-0 1 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 14:06






Hole No.:CPT-0 1 A Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 14:39






Hole No.:CPT-05 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 12:26






Hole No.:CPT-05 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 12:26






Hole No.:CPT-06 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 11:04






Hole No.:CPT-06 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 11:04






Hole No.:CPT-07 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 09:36






Hole No.:CPT-07 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 09:36






Hole No.:CPT-07 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 09:36




Hole:CPT-07 Location:WIWM Cone: 20 TON 122 Date: 04: 18: 07 09: 36






Hole No.:CPT-08 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 07:58






Hole No.:CPT-08 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:18:07 07:58




Hole:CPT-08 Location:WIWM Cone: 20 TON 122 Date: 04: 18: 07 07: 58






Hole No.:CPT-09 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:17:07 14:12






Hole No.:CPT-09 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:17:07 14:12






Hole No.:CPT-09 Location:WWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:17:07 14:12




Hole:CPT-09 Location:WIWM Cone: 20 TON 122 Date: 04: 17: 07 14: 12






Hole No.:CPT-10 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:20:07 09:02






Hole No.:CPT-10 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:20:07 09:02




Hole:CPT-10 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:20:07 09:02




File: 352CP10.PPD Depth (m): 21.25 (ft): 69.72 Duration: 600.0s U-min: 7.09 235.0s U-max: 13.66 0.0s

Hole:CPT-10 Location:WIWM Cone: 20 TON 122 Date: 04: 20: 07 09: 02






Hole No.:CPT-12 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 09:32






Hole No.:CPT-12 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 09:32






Hole No.:CPT-12 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 09:32




Hole:CPT-12 Location:WIWM Cone: 20 TON 122 Date: 04: 16:07 09: 32






Hole No.:CPT-13 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 15:34






Hole No.:CPT-13 Location:WIWM

Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 15:34






Hole No.:CPT-13 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 15:34




Hole:CPT-13 Location:WIWM Cone: 20 TON 122 Date: 04: 16: 07 15: 34






Hole No.:CPT-14 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:17:07 08:21






Hole No.:CPT-14 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:17:07 08:21






Hole No.:CPT-15 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 13:43






Hole No.:CPT-15 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:16:07 13:43






Hole No.:CPT-16 Location:WIWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:20:07 13:20






Hole No.:CPT-16 Location:WWM Cone:20 TON 122 Date:04:20:07 13:20



Well #2 (DMW-1)

North Davis Refuse District

Graphic Log and Well Construction (Based on data provided by Davis County Health Department)



(based on data provided by Davis County Heath Dept.)

SOIL LOG FOR WELL #2 (DMW-1)

0-10'	overburden from site prep
12'	sand color change
13-45'	sand (with intermittent clay lenses too thin to detect with drilling method)
50'	Sand slightly larger grain size. The hole seemed to be taking in water.
60-70'	sand
72'	sandy clay, grey (formation change)
75'	clay
85-98'	<pre>clay (water accumulation in hole after sitting overnight)</pre>
98-105'	sand, change from clay
107-120'	claying sand
125'	sand with small bits of clay
127-155'	sand
160'	sandy clay, brown
165-180'	clay, grey
180-182'	sandy clay, red, water producing
185'	clay, grey
190-250'	sandy clay
255-267'	sand

(based on data provided by Davis County Health Dept.)

WELL #2 CONSTRUCTION - Southwest corner of property (DMW-1)

	Slot Size	Gravel Pack
3' Surface		
20' Solid PVC		
20' Solid PVC		
20' Solid PVC	-	
1' Solid PVC		
3' Screen	.030	12/40 Silica Sand
9' Solid PVC		
20' Solid PVC		9
20' Solid PVC		
1' Solid PVC		
3' Screen	.030	12/40 Silica Sand
1' Solid PVC		
20' Solid PVC		
20' Solid PVC		
20' Solid PVC		
10' Screen	.030	12/40 Silica Sand
13' Blank to bottom with Bentonit water loss.	e seal to protect lower	

SII	RATA
15 88 8888888 B	\$ 800000/09/3 R=000007/05/8
P)/A	TA INC.

NATURAL GAMMA/ NEUTRON LOG

FILE NO. 5152A COMPANY: E	EMCON ASSOCIATES
---------------------------	------------------

WELL:

DMW-1

FIELD:

NORTH DAVIS REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE

COUNTY:

DAVIS

STATE: UTAH

LOCATION:

OTHER LOGS:

SEC:

TWP:

RGE:

NONE

PERMANENT DATUM:

TOP OF CASING

ELEVATION:

N/A

LOG MEASURED FROM	M: TOP OF CASING		
RUN NO.	ONE		
DATE:	08/24/89		
DRILLER :			
DEPTH at BIT DIA.			
DEPTH at BIT DIA.		1	
CASING DPTH/SIZE	5" PVC		
CASING DPTH/SIZE			
LOGGER :			
DEPTH	267'		
CASING DEPTH	267'		
BOT LOG INTERVAL	267'		
TOP LOG INTERVAL	0'		
TYPE FLUID IN HOLE	WATER		
Rm at TEMP	N/A		
SAMPLE SOURCE	N/A		
FLUID LEVEL	N/A		
TIME SINCE CIRC.	N/A		
RECORDED BY:	McDONALD, WEIKUM		

	_
<	τ
۲	-
<	ζ
	2
,	-
=	ź
-	-
ī	5
Č	5
C	5
Ι	J

50-	RUN	RUN	EQUIPMENT	N	LOC	SGING	LOGGING DETECTOR		SPACING	Sou	SCE	LOGG	ED INTER	3VA
UNCTION	Ö	MODEL	PROBE S.N.	UPHOLE S.N.	UPHOLE DIG INT SPEED S.N. FEET FT./MII	SPEED FT./MIN	TYPE	FEE	Rx-Rx FEET	TYPE	SIZE	FROM TO INT	10	PEE.
Samma	One	One 9256	27A20	105 0.5	0.5	20	Scint.	N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	267	0	267
Jeutron	One	One 9250	27U5A3	27U5A3\$ 105 0.5	0.5	20	Prop.	12 In.	N/A AmBe 3.0	AmBe	3.0	267	0	267
CALIBBATION FACTOR(S): SEC. AND SEC.	NEAC	TOP/C/	Char	1170										

	٠,
	4
	5
	=
	2
	×
	ь,
	0
	2
	-
	٦
	7
	7
1	2
	2
	S,
	Q
	Č
	Ξ
	٦
	n

SII	R/A	ΓA
	1	
1		7
DA	TΑ	INC.
FILE NO.	51 52A	COM
		WEL

NATURAL GAMMA/

		1 1 1 7 1	1 01	171	0 / 11	* 11 * 17	'/	
	$\frac{1}{2}$	NE	JTF	RON	LO	G		
DATA	INC							
FILE NO. 5152A	CON	MPANY:	EMC	ON AS	SOCIA	ATES		
	WEI	L:	DMV	V-1				
	FIE	LD:	NOR	TH DA	VIS RE	EFUSE	DISPO	SAL SITE
	COL	JNTY:	DAVI	S	S	TATE:	UTAH	
	LOC	CATION:					OTHER L	OGS:
	SEC:	TW	P:		RGE:		NONE	
PERMANENT DA	TUM:	TOP OF	CASING					
ELEVATION:		N/A						
LOG MEASURED	FROM:		CASING					
RUN NO.		ONE						
DATE:		08/24/8	9					
DRILLEI								
DEPTH ot BIT DI								
DEPTH at BIT DI	Α.				ii .			
CASING DPTH/S	-	5" PVC						
CASING DPTH/S								
LOGGEF	₹ :							
DEPTH		267'						
CASING DEPTH		267'						
BOT LOG INTER		267'						
TOP LOG INTER		0'						
TYPE FLUID IN H	OLE	WATER						
Rm at TEMP		N/A						
SAMPLE SOURCE	-	N/A						
FLUID LEVEL		N/A						
TIME SINCE CIRC). 	N/A						
RECORDED BY:		McDONALD,	WEIKUM					

						LOGG	LOGGING DATA							
500	RUN		EQUIPME	IN	LO	GGING	DETECTOR	E	SPACING	Sou	RCE	LOGG	ED INTER	VA
UNCTION	Ö	MODEL	PROBE S.N.	UPHOLE S.N.	DIG INT FEET	SPEED FT./MIN	PROBE UPHOLE DIG INT SPEED TYPE S.N. S.N. FEET FT./MIN F	EET	RX-RX FEET	TYPE	SIZE	FROM	FROM TO INT	FEET
Samma	One	9256	27A20	105	0.5	20	Scint.	N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	267	0	267
Jeutron	One	One 9250	27U5A3\$ 105		0.5	20	Prop.	12 In	N/A AmBe 3.0	AmBe	3.0	267	0	267
CALIBRATION FACTOR(S)	ON FA	CTOR(S		Shop API Calibration	rotion									

The Neutron log was recorded on a

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. DMW-3

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 1 OF 5

BY	RCK		DATI	E 10,	29/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4872	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	IN FT.	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
			E	5 10 15 10 15 10 15 10 15 10 15 10 15 10 15 10 10		 SAND (SP), reddish brown (5YR, 4/3); fine grained; quartzose; sparse dark minerals; little to no cohesion; dry to damp. @66': increase in moisture content. @72': dark grayish brown (10YR, 3/2); slightly cohesive. CLAYEY SAND (SC), dark grayish brown (10YR, 4/2); fine grained; moderate cohesion; moderate plasticity. 	



REMARKS

Boring drilled to 141 feet with air rotary, then mud rotary to 400 feet. Logged from drill cuttings. Converted to monitoring well. See well detail for construction information.

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03


BORING NO. DMW-3

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 2 OF 5

BY RCK DATE 10/29/88





REMARKS

160

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. DMW-3

PAGE 3 OF 5

BY	RCK		DAT	E 1	0/	29/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4872	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
			ակումարիավումարիավումարիավումավումայիավումայիանականումարիականումայիավումայիավումայիավումայիանայիա	165- 170- 175- 180- 185- 190- 205- 210- 215- 220- 225- 230- 235- 235- 240-			@220'-235': thin layers of brownish clay.	



REMARKS

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. DMW-3

PAGE 4 OF 5

BY RCK DATE 10/29/88

SURFACE ELEV. . 4872 ft

POCKET POCKET PENETRA-GROUND WATER LEVELS LITHO-SAMPLES WELL DEPTH IN FT. TORR PENETRO-TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL VANE METER COLUMN (tsf) (tsf) (blws/ft) 250 255 260 265 SAND (SP), very few fines; medium to coarse grained; dominantly quartzose with feldspar, 270 abundant dark minerals, and sparse muscovite chips. 275 280 SILTY SAND (SP-SM), fine grained; no cohesion; abundant dark mineral grains. 285 290 295 300-305 310-



REMARKS

315

320

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. DMW-3

PAGE 5 OF 5

BY	RCK		DAT	E 1	0/	29/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4872	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
			<u>սիստիոսիոսիոսիստիոսիստիոսիստիոսիստիոսիս</u>	325- 330- 335- 340- 345- 350- 355- 360- 375- 375- 380- 385- 380-			BORING TERMINATED AT 400 FEET.	
				400-			TAR TAR A MALA	




REMARKS

WELL DETAILS

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03 PROJECT NAME North Davis Landfill TOP OF CASING ELEV. 4874.03' LOCATION Davis County, Utah GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 4872' WELL PERMIT NO. _____ DATUM ___ Davis County Benchmark

BORING / WELL NO. _____ DMW-3 INSTALLATION DATE ____10/29/88



EXPLORATORY BORING

a. Total depth 400 ft. b. Diameter 12 in.

Drilling method Mud Rotary

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length 392.5 ft. Material Schedule 40 PVC d. Diameter e. Depth to top perforations ___370 ft.

f. Perforated length Perforated interval from 370 to 390 ft.

Perforation type <u>Machine slotted</u> Perforation size 0.020 inches

g. Surface seal Material Concrete

h. Backfill 319 ft.

Material Concrete/Bentonite i. Seal 10 ft.

Material Bentonite pellets

j. Gravel pack __ 60 ft. Gravel pack interval from 330 to 390 ft.

Material #10/20 Sand

k. Bottom seal/fill _______ft.

Material Natural materials

 Casing stickup 2.5 ft.

m. Protective casing diameter

Printed on Recycled Paper



NATURAL GAMMA/ **NEUTRON LOG**

FILE NO. 51520 COMPANY: EMCON ASSOCIATES

WELL:

DMW-3

FIELD: NORTH DAVIS REFUSE DISPOSAL SITE

COUNTY: DAVIS

STATE: UTAH

LOCATION:

202352' N., 104388' E.

SEC:

TWP:

RGE:

NONE

OTHER LOGS:

PERMANENT DATUM:

TOP OF CASING

ELEVATION:

4874.03

LOG MEASURED FROM:

TOP OF CASING

RUN NO.	ONE		
DATE:	08/24/89		
DRILLER :			
DEPTH at BIT DIA.			
DEPTH at BIT DIA.		(*)	
CASING DPTH/SIZE	5" PVC		
CASING DPTH/SIZE			
LOGGER :			
DEPTH	388'		
CASING DEPTH	388'		
BOT LOG INTERVAL	388'		
TOP LOG INTERVAL	0'		
TYPE FLUID IN HOLE	WATER		
Rm at TEMP	N/A		
SAMPLE SOURCE	N/A		
FLUID LEVEL	N/A		
TIME SINCE CIRC.	N/A		
RECORDED BY:	McDONALD, WEIKUM		
WITHECCED DV	UD COLIMINAL		

LOGGING DATA

700	RUN		EQUIPMENT	LN	LOC	SGING	DETECTOR	SPACING	ING	Sou	RCF	1 000	FD INTER	IAV
FUNCTION	NO.	MODEL	PROBE S.N.	PROBE UPHOLE DIG INT SPEED S.N. S.N. FEET FT./MIN	DIG INT FEET	SPEED FT./MIN	INT SPEED TYPE T FT./MIN	EY	RX-RX FEET		SIZE	FROM	FROM TO IN	INT
Gamma	One	One 9256	27A20	105	0.5	20	Scint.	N/A	N/A N/A N/A	N/A	A/N	388	0	388
Neutron	One	One 9250	27U5A3\$ 105		0.5	20	Prop.	12 In.	N/A	AmBe 3.0	3.0	388	0	388
CALIBRATION FACTOR(S):	N FAC	TOR(S):	1	Shop API Calibration	ation									

NOT TO SCALE

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

FRENCH DRAIN CONSTRUCTION DETAIL



FIGURE :

- DXE E → - (80° 531-2230)

.9/v.C

PROJECT NUMBER

558-02.03

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL


BORING NO. G-1

PAGE 1 OF 3

BY DRF

DATE 11/8/88

SURFACE ELEV. ~4826.00 ft





REMARKS

Boring drilled with 6-inch-diameter hollow stem-augers. Converted to gas probe. See probe detail for construction information.

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL


BORING NO. G-1

PAGE 2 OF 3

BY DRF

DATE 11/8/88

SURFACE ELEV. ~4826.00 ft





PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. G-1

PAGE 3 OF 3

BY DRF

DATE 11/8/88

SURFACE FLEV -4826 00 ft

		DATE			SURFACE ELEV. ~4	1826.00 It
POCKET POCKET TORR PENETRO VANE METER (tsf) (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS DEPTH	IN FT.	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
		- - - - - - - - - - - - - -	5		SANDY CLAY (continued) BORING TERMINATED AT 40.5 FEET.	




PROBE DETAIL SHEET



PROJECT NUMBER __558-02.03 LOCATION __Davis County Landfill DATE __11/09/88

PROBE NUMBER ____G-1 INSTALLER ___DRF SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE ____



PROBE CONSTRUCTION

√3°min.

.5
i
<u> </u>
j j
<u> </u>
5

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. G-2

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 1 OF 1

BY DRF

DATE 11/12/88

SURFACE ELEV. ~4665 ft.

	DKF		DAI	E 11/12/8	SURFAC	E ELEV. ~4665	ft.
POCKET TORR VANE (tfs)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (bls/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	SAMPLES COLO	DESCRIPTION		WELL
		(BIS/TE)		5	©11': dark yellowish brown (10Y) CLAYEY SAND (SC), yellowish bro 5/8); 30-35% plastic fines; fine gr	R, 3/6).	
					@19': 10-15% plastic fines; mediu BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FE	m dense.	



REMARKS


Boring drilled with 6-inch-diameter hollow-steam augers. Converted to gas probe. See probe detail for constuction information.

PROBE DETAIL SHEET



PROJECT NUMBER __558-02.03 LOCATION __ Davis County Landfill DATE __11/12/88

PROBE NUMBER ___ G-2 INSTALLER ___ DRF SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE __



PROBE CONSTRUCTION

√3°min.

a,	Total depth	20	ft.
b.	Diameter	6	_ in.
c.	Gravel pack	4	_ ft.
d.	Bentonite seal	12	_ in.
e.	Backfill	14	_ ft.
	Probe Screen Length	16	in
	Bottom of Probe at	18	ft

Printed on Recycled Paper

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. G-3

PAGE 1 OF 1

BY DRF

DATE 11/12/88

BY	DRF		DATE	11/	/12/88	SURFACE ELEV. ~4662.0)0 ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEUELS	IN FT.	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAI
				5		ORGANIC SANDY SILT (OL), dark brown (7.5 YR, 3/2); 15-20% fine sand; non-plastic; damp. SAND (SP), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); <10% fines; fine grained quartz; trace gravel; damp. ORGANIC SANDY SILT (OL), dark brown (7.5YR, 3/2); 15-20% fine sand; non-plastic; damp. SAND (SP), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); <10% fines; fine grained subangular to subrounded quartz; medium dense; damp.	
			20	0		BORING TERMINATED AT 20 FEET	



REMARKS


Boring drilled with 12-inch-diameter hollow-stem sugers. Converted to gas probe. See probe detail for construction information.

PROBE DETAIL SHEET



PROJECT NUMBER __558-02.03 LOCATION __Davis County Landfill DATE __11/12/88

PROBE NUMBER ____G-3 INSTALLER _____DRF SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE ____



PROBE CONSTRUCTION

_ 3"min.

a,	Total depth	20
b.	Diameter '	6
C.	Gravel pack	4
d.	Bentonite seal	12
e.	Backfill	14
	Probe Screen Length	16
	Bottom of probe at	18

Printed on Recycled Paper

PROJECT NUMBER

558-02.03

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL


BORING NO. G-4

PAGE 1 OF 5

BY DRF

DATE 10/31/88

SURFACE ELEV. ~4930.00 ft





REMARKS

Boring drilled with 6-inch-diameter hollow-stem augers. Converted to gas probe. See probe detail for construction information.

Printed on Recycled Paper

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. G-4

PROJECT NAME


DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 2 OF 5

BYDRF

DATE 10/31/88

SURFACE ELEV. ~4930.00 ft





PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. G-4

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 3 OF 5

BY DRF DATE 10/31/88

BY	DRF		DAT	E	10/	31/88	SURFACE ELEV. ~4	930.00	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	D	WELL
		43		50-			SAND (continued) @ 50': yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6); moist.		



PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL


BORING NO. G-4

PAGE 4 OF 5

BY DRF

DATE 10/31/88

SURFACE ELEV. ~4930.00 ft





PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. G-4

PAGE 5 OF 5

BY DRF DATE 10/31/88

SURFACE ELEV. ~4930.00 ft

				,	71/60	SURFACE ELEV. ~4930.00) II
POCKET POCKET TORR PENETRO- VANE METER (tsf) (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELI DETA
			95-			BOTTOM OF BORING AT 100 FEET.	




PROBE DETAIL SHEET



PROJECT NUMBER __ 558-02.03 LOCATION __ Davis County Landfill DATE ___ 10/31/88

PROBE NUMBER __G-4 INSTALLER __DRF SUPERVISOR SIGNATURE __



PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. G-5

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 1 OF 1

BY	DRF		DATE 10/31/88	SURFACE ELEV. ~4930	.00 ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS DEPTH IN FT.	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETA
			10	SILTY SAND (SM), brown (7.5YR, 4/4); 15-20% non plastic fines; fine grained, subangular to subrounded quartz; damp. @ 10': light brown (7.5YR, 6/4).	



REMARKS


Boring drilled with 6-inch-diameter hollow-stem augers. Converted to gas probe. See probe detail for construction information.

PROBE DETAIL SHEET



PROJECT NUMBER ____558-02.03 LOCATION __Davis County Landfill DATE _____ 10/31/88

PROBE NUMBER _____ G-5
INSTALLER ____ DRF
SUPERVISOR
SIGNATURE ____



PROBE CONSTRUCTION

-3"min.

a,	Total depth	ft.
b.	Diameter	6in
C.	Gravel pack	ft.
d.	Bentonite seal	in.
e.	Backfill	ft.
	Probe Screen Length	<u>16</u> in.

DATE DRIVED 5/18/94								i i	::504H3N
LOGGED BY FG							0	WATER CONTENT	
PREFERENCE EVENTION Not Determin	50			<u> </u>		_		E	
DRILL RIG Hand Augen				差		00.	=	Ö.	WELL
BORING DIAMETER 3 'nobes		· ·		=	Ĕ.	× ×	<u> </u>	~	
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER Not Determin	ed			регти (евет)	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	OVM (ppm)	Ξ.	CONSTRUCTION
	살이 그 아이라는 것이 없는 얼마를 하면 없는 것이 하셨다.		ISOIL.	36	SA	Ξ	0	*	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	ISOIL TYPE						
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, moist	irown	loose '	SP	-	1				ETHOTICE
(wet @ 1.5 feet)				- !					18/40
(* et @ 1.0 (eet)			1 [_				1	SILICY SYND
			ΙT	- 4	1				
									2 - in PVC
(flowing sand @ 4 feet)				- 3 -					SU:
				_ 4					
BOTTOM OF WELL @ 4 FEET									
30 Gas. 300 Com. Camera 40 C				_					
				_					
			1						
			1 1						
			L	_					
			L						
			-	_					1
			-						
			-	_					1
			-				1		i
			-	4					1
			-						į
			-	4	1	i	1		1
			-			-			1
			F	_					
			-	- 4					
		1	1	-					
			 						1
1			-	4					
	1		-		İ				
			F	4					1
			-						
			-	4		- 1			1
			-						1
			-	-					
				-					
			-	-					
NAME - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -			-						
NOTE THE STRATEFICATION LINES REPPESSENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY			-	-					
REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE SOUNDARY BETWEEN WATERLA TYPES, THE TRANS- TION MAY SE GRADUAL			-						
					WEL)G		
WASATCH									
Wasatch Environmental, I	r.			DAVIS	(3)	J.NT	A.	A D F II	
PINVIRONMENTAL.	NC.	220120	NO.		1110	\m	07.	v=1 ·	
		PHUSEU.	.YU.: 1	100-4	M.	WI.	U.S. f	12	HB-NORTH

DATE DRIVED 5/18:04						i i		:	1:504HBS
LOGGED BY TG			*		1	1		WATER CONTENT	
REFERENCE FLEVATION Not Determin	ed			Ê		=		I.Z	1
ORILL RIG Hand Sugar				Ξ	~	FOC	1	3	WELL
BORING DIAMETER 3 inches			_	=	1 =====================================	18/	Ē	~	CONSTRUCTION
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATTR NOT Determin	.83 -831710-1710	v		оерти (бет)	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	(mdd) MAO	I.V.	5011071105.10.1
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	ISOL		s	=	0	5	
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, moist	brown	loose	SP		1				SENTONITE
(wet @ 1.5 feet)				-					16/40 COLORADO SILICA SANO
	staining			2 3					32-12 AC
(flowing Sand @ 4 feet)				- - 4 -	_				
BOTTOM OF WELL @ 4 FEET				-	-				
\$ 100 to 200 to					1				5
				-	-				
								ĺ	
		*			-				,
×					-				
					1				
	1				-				
					1				
]				
				_	-				
				-	-				88
				-	-				
					1				
					1				
					_				
				-	-				
					-				
NOTE. THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN MATERIAL TIPES, THE TRANS— TION WAY BE GRADUAL					-				
					W.E		JG.		
Wasatch Environmental, i	T1:~			SIVAC	COL	NT:	(LA)	ITCP	_
L'NVIRONMENTAL, I	NC.	PROJECT	NO.:	::50-	4)	(ON	TOR.	WEL	L: HB SOUTH

.1 x v v v v v v	5 12 04 5	5.7 - 03		i						.::504:110
Indata av	TG			i					Z	
PEFFRENCE ELEVATION	Not Determi	ned	it.		Ē		l <u>. </u>		CONTENT	
קבון י בוק	4P 1000				овети (есет)		BLOWS/FOOT	=	S.	WELL
PORING DILMETER	10 Inches				=	SAMPLER	1. E	(шаа) мло	~	
	Not Determi	ned			Ξ	=)W.	=	WATER	CONSTRUCTION
				15011	Ξ	SA	Ξ	3	××	
DESCRIPTION AND	REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	LSOIL		L	<u> </u>			
Garbage, damp		gray. black				i				CEVENT .
7 2	la auttings)	Diack	1							
(easy drilling, very litt	e cuttings)									
					_ • _					
					_ = _					
					- ŝ -					
drilling more difficult	3 11 (eet)								1	5/12 10/38400
id. iiiiig iiidid diiiiddid										SILIA SAN
					_	į				2
					4 _					
					_					
					_ · f _					E HONE
			1		_					N. 3041
			Í		= _					
					-					
				1	_ 22 _					a (i
					-					3)
					– 22 –					
					_					
3			Į.	-	- 24 -					
		1		-	-					
				1	- 25 -	1				, s
				-	-					
				-	_ 25 _	-				
				1 -	-					
				1 +	— 30 —					
				H	-					
					- 32 -					6 * · ·
				1	-		3			
				-	— 34 —					B 9
					-	1				
					_ 35 _					
continued)				1 1	-					\$6 ((₹))
				1	_ ::					i :
COTE THE STRUTTERCATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE SCUNDARY				1		1				
ETHER MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS- TON MAY BE CRUDUAL					<u> </u>					B (
Shith and the second second property of the second second property of the second secon	1 MOIT					WE_	Lic	CG		
Wasa Environm	AICH	T			DAVIS	cou	NT:	LAN	DELL	Ĺ
HAVIJIRONIA	ENTAL	NO					920,0000		<u> </u>	
TITA ATLA OTAM	LIVIAL, I	110.	PROJECT	1: ::50	-4 YE	_ i:	NC:	_NON	ETER-	- CENTRAL : : : :

ALTE DERIVED 5 10 444 5	0.21		4						11.804.02
redese By TG								Z	
PREPRINCE FURNATION Not Determin	ec		i	<u></u>				=======================================	4
DRILL RIG 49 1000	<u> </u>			Ξ		100	-	5	WELL
BORING DIAMPTER 10 Inches		20 Hd		окети (кист)	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	OVM (ppm)	WATER CONTENT	
DEPTH TO DECUNDWATER Not Determin	ed			Ξ	125	×.) H	1	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND CL	ASSIFICAT:		15011	=	N.	Ξ.	0	××	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	TRIBNOS	LSOIL					_	
Garbage - minimal cuttings - dry	gray			- 42 - - 44 - - 48 -					
				48		A constituent of the contract			3/12 COLORADO SILCA SARO
(very difficult drilling @ 54 (eet)				- 54 - - 55 - - 51 -		And the state of t		AND THE RESERVE AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON	3 07 1-34G1 284 284 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 287
(a little easier drilling @ 60-70 feet)				- 50 51 52 55					
(very difficult drilling @ 75-76 (eet)				- 74 - · - 76 -					
(continued)				 _ ~3 _					
NOTE THE STRATIFICATION LINES REFFESENT THE AFFROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETMEEN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS- TION WAY BE GRADUAL				80					
7// ~					N =		,G		
WASATCH	1:0			CAVIS	COU	NT:	_47.	::: <u>-</u>	•
Environmental, I	NC.	PROJECT	# : ::50	-4 YE	#:	INC.	THOM	2722	- JENTRAL 8 of 5

DATE DRUSED 5/10 04-8	on 94								11504100
LOGGED BY TO						!		CONTENT	
PREFERENCE FLEVATION NOT Determin	1.61			Ē		10		N.	
<u> </u>		77 39		(F.	~	F.00	=	15	WELL
BORING DIAMETER 10 inches				Ξ	1.5	1:3/	Ē	E	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND S	ned "Lassif"dati	GN		оерти (рест)	SAMPLER	31.0WS/FOOT	0VM (ppm)	WATER	Concinction.
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST	SOL		S:		0	-	
Garbage, dry (difficult drilling)	gray			82 84 86 88 88		The state of the s			
									8/:2 COLORADO SUCA SANO 2 3/4-74CH LAS 24C-24CH
(a little less difficult drilling from 100-110 feet)									
(continued) NOTE THE STRUTFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE UPPROXIMATE SOUNDARY SETTEM NATERILL TIPES: THE TRANS- TION NAY SE GRADUAL				:::5 ::5 :-5 :20					
Windian					ME_	1 10)G		
Wasatch Environmental,i	-			DAVIS	001	UNT	Y 14	NDF.	ii.
PARTITIONITATION	17.00								
PINVIRUNMENIAL.I	IVC.	PROJECT	i: 115	C-4 VE.	1. 4:	NO	NON	ETER.	-CENTRAL C of Sa

7377 000177 = 12 02_5 04	0 32								1::504:54
10050 av 70								WATER CONTENT	
SERRORNOR RIFYLMON NOT Determin	ed			Ê		_			İ
DRIFF BIG FE FORE				(тата) птазо		H.OWS/FOOT	Ê	5	WELL
RORING DIAMETER 10 inches				=	F.E.	://	=	~	
DEPTH TO SPOUNDWATTE Not Determin.	ed			Ξ	=) W.:	ОУМ (ррш)	=	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND GL	ASSIFICAT!		1801	3	SAMPLER	Ξ	0.0	WA	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	SGLOR	CONSIST.	SOL						
Garbage, dry	gray								
(difficult drilling)				-, 11-	1				
			. !		1				
glass, rati road ties.			1 1		1				
very difficult drilling @ 120-130 (eet)			1 1						
very aimedic arming a real root rear				_ 12_					
			1 1						
				—: 3C —					3/12 COLORADO
									SILICA SUICI
				—i 32 —					
									.
				34					
Silty fine SAND, damp	השכתכ	iense	SW	- :36-					2 3/4-MCH
only hint canto, damp	5.54.1	20.100	2.4	25					жина
		1							
				—· 38 —	İ				
			l í						
				- 4,		32			1 5 5 5
		1							
								2	
3.00				_ 11_					1 1 1
little garbage in outtings, may be									
residuai)			1 1	45					
									1 1 1
					1				
			İ		i				
		medium		- 50 -		:8			
(a lat took Coming conde)		dense					S.		
(wet @ 151 feet. flowing sands)				-134-					
									:
			1	_ 55_					
(continued)									1 4
100-050-060-06-06-06-06-06-06-06-06-06-06-06-0			i	55					
NOTE THE STRUTTCATION WINES REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE SOUNCARY SETTEM AUTERNAL TIPES, THE TRANS-					1				
SETTEN AUTERUL TIPES, THE TRANS- TION ANY SE GRACUAL	72			—; 5C —	1				: : :
TIT					4=-	1 10	G_		
WASATCH		-		DAVIS (~~!"	··	. 11	- :	1
Wasatch Environmental, I.	1-~			201.2		6-188			
PNVIRONMENTAL.I.	NC.	PROJECT	i: ::5	C~4 WF	_ ;	NC	NON	2.23	-CENTRAL 4 of 5
					- 1				water parties of the same

ngma nawian a kakadala	30.01		_		1				1.1504105
100000 PV TG				1				E	
REFERENCE ENEVERIEN NOT Seterate	n a d			=	1			CONTENT	
25.11 5.0 75.1000		91				100	=	NO	.,
acaing of Marab	127				E	FI	<u>-</u>	2	WELL
TERM TO TROUVERATE Not Determ	ned	a.		(таал) птаао	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT		ATER	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND	CLASSIFICATI	CM	Tanu	골	SAL	BE	ОУМ (ррпп)	W.	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL						
Hity fine SAND, wet	mwond .	medium	E.M		_	2!			
trace Clay @ 161 feet	gray	dense		-:52-					
nterlayered with Slayey Silt @ 165 fee	E)			Γ					2 2/1- 903
				164-					182 182
					1				
				<u> </u>		1			
				· ·	1				
				-:68-					
7 feet of slough flowed into drill roo					1				
) [70 feet)				-: 70 -					38/:2
									COLDRADG SILICA SAND
				-172 -	1				
				T					
				174					
		1	ì						
BOTTOM OF INCLINOMETER @ 170 FE				_ /5_					
BOTTOM OF BORING # 175 FEET	-1								
205% 0. 2010 9 1.0 . 22.	i							i	
				Ī -					
— - Indicates Standard Penetration									
— Onve Sampler									
	i				1				
				-			İ		
								İ	
					1				
	-			Γ -					
	Ì			-	1				
			1	-					
				_					
			1		1				
TEL THE STRUTTFICATION LINES PRESENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY				-	1				
THEM WITERL TYPES THE TRANS-		İ		<u> </u>					
arast PV	1			N IS	WEL	L 10	OG .	250	
717									
WASATCH				74V75 (NTY	LAN	DEL	L
Wasatch Environmental, 1	Ta:0			DAVIS (YTK	LAN	בוזס	L

UTE UDULE					-	i			11504188
Longer ex TG	10				İ			WATER CONTENT	
PREPARAGE PLANATION NOT Determin	ec			E		1_		Ė	
Spirit Pig spirings				(таяя) птчая	İ	HOWS/FOOT	Ē	0.	WELL
BODING TILMETED 10 Inches				=	SAMPLER	1/s	ом (ррш)	~	
OFFITH TO GROUNDWATER NOT Determin	ed			Ε	=) W.) =	Ε.	CONSTRUCTION
			1300	DE	SA	Ξ	0	××	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST	SCIL						2024
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp	משפתמ	medium dense	S.P.	- - : -					TOAKT)
				_ 4 _					
				— 5 —					
			S.W.	- a -	×				
Silty fine SAND. little Clay, damp	brown	medium dense	J.M	- :3	×				3/12
		t.		_ 2 _					CCLORADO SUCA SANDI
(no Clay 9 i5 feet)				- :					2 3/4-94CH
				_ 15 _	×				NC.NCMCTER!
				- :					
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp	brown/ gray	medium dense	S.P.						
	5. 7.				×				
Garbage, damp	gray			— 32 —					
				- 24 -					
					×				
				— 25 — - -					
(wet @ 28.5 feet)				25 - -					
				— 30 —		20			
				— 32 —					
				 34					
				_					
(continued)				 - 18					HOLEPLUG HOLEPLUG
NOTE. THE STRUTTECATION LINES REPRESENT THE LIPPOSIMATE BOUNDARY BETTIEST MATERIAL TYPES: THE TRANS—	9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			30 40					
TICH WAY EE TRADUAL		i	1		WEL	1 10	C.		
Wasatch Environmental, I				DAVIS C				FILL	
ENVIRONMENTAL, I	NC.	PROJECT /	: ::5	0-4 ¥E		NC	TNOM	ETER	- YORTH 1. of I

רי ייפי דרי	A.: 17/31									1.15041N2
100010 BA	7g								Z	
PETTREVER PLEVATION	Not Determine	ed			<u></u>				Ξ.	
ישוון שות	1P 1000				E		001	<u>=</u>	CONTENT	WELL]
ECRING DIAMETER	10 Inches				DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	(пифф) мло) 2	
DEPTH TO COCKNOWASTED	Not Determine	ed				=	OW.S) H	WATER	CONSTRUCTION
DES	SCRIPTION AND GL	ASSIFICATI		TSCIL	33	SA	E)	0	WA	
DESCRIPTION AND R	REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SCIL		1				SEVENITE
Garbage - mostly wood.	some plastic.	prown			- 42 44 46 50 54 55 - 55 55 - 55 55 - 55	X	100 -	0		BENTONITE HOLEPLIG 8/12 COLORADO SELCA SARO 2 5/4-34C4 125 INCLNORETES
(newspaper:					- 58					
					- 68 70 72 71					
Poorty graded SAND, litt. damp dry (continued) Note the stranscation lines expensed the upproximate soundary servery arread the trans- tion way se standard.	le Siit.	ргожа	dense	S.	— 74 — — 76 — — 78 — — 78 — — 30 —					
Control of Lands of Article (1997) and the Control of C			1			WE'_	LLC)G		
14/101	TCH							-		
Wasa Environm	1011	-	l		SIVAC	COU	NTY.	LA!	DF!	

047F 0988 FD 50 470 G4									1::504030
longer ay TG	2							WATER CONTENT	
gerroence everyation - Not Determin	ec			Æ		-		Ė	
28/17 5/6 75 13CO	<u>u</u>			Ξ	~	00.	Ē	9.3	WELL
BORING SLAWETER 10 Inches				=	Ξ	1/5	<u>(i.</u>	~	
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER Not Determing DESCRIPTION AND DE	ed 155(5)0(5)	28		оетті (ғест)	SAMPLER	HOWS/FOOT	OVM (ppin)	1	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL	ā	57.	Ξ	0	*	
Poorly graded SAND, damp interlayered Clayey Silt	brown	medium dense	SP &	 82	X	47			
(varved, roots)		4050	ML	 84					
				 - 86 -					
				 - 38 -					
(very moist/wet @ 90 (eet)				 - 90					
				- 33 - - 92 -					5/:2 colorado Slica sano
				— 94 —					2 :/4-9kG
Silty CLAY, little Sand, moist	brown	stiff	CL	 96	×				ENCLINGUETER
				 98					
									_
Sandy SilT/Silty Sand, wet	brown	medium dense	ML/ SM	- :52-					
(Mowing sands)				- :::4					
				 :06					
BOTTOM OF BORING @ 105 FEET				- 					
BOTTOM OF INCLINOMETER @ 100 FEET									
- Indicates California Drive Sampler (2 1/2-Inch I.D.)									
× - indicates Grab Samples		21						ì	
NOTE. THE STRATFICATION LINES REFRESENT THE APPROXIMATE SOUNDARY RETNESS ARESULT THESE THE TRANS- TION ANY SE STROUGH.									
Main and the management				DAVIS (L LO NTY		DF:L	<u>.</u>
Wasatch Environmental, I	NC.	200.2cm 3		2055			122,00.21		-NCRTH (3 of 3)

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. MW-1

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 1 OF 4

BY	DRF		DAT	E 1	10/	28/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4867	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
		11		10-			SAND (SP), strong brown (7.5YR, 5/8); <10% non-plastic fines; fine to very fine, rounded quartz grains; iron oxide staining; medium dense; damp.	
		17	-		1			



REMARKS

Boring was drilled with 12-inch-diameter hollow-stem augers. Converted to monitoring well. See well detail for construction information.

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. MW-1

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL


PAGE 2 OF 4

BY DRF

DATE 10/28/88

SURFACE ELEV. 4867

ft





PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. MW-1

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 3 OF 4

BY DRF

DATE 10/28/88

SURFACE ELEV. 4867 ft

БІ	DKF		DAI	E 10	0/28/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4867	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	OEPTH IN FT.	S LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
		57		45		SAND (SP), reddish yellow (7.5YR, 6/6); <10% non-plasic fines; fine grained, quartz; iron oxide stained; 1-2 mm-thick laminations; very dense; moist.	
		43	- - - - - 10/30, - - - - 10/31,	55		@55': dense; wet.	



PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. MW-1

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 4 OF 4

BY DRF

DATE 10/28/88


SURFACE ELEV. 4867 ft

					.0/20/00	SURFACE ELEY. 4007	11
TORR PEN	OCKET NETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPL COLUMN COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
			- - -		7	SAND (continued)	
				65-		@64': flowing sands. BOTTOM OF BORING AT 65.5 FEET.	
			-	=			
			-	70-			
				75-			
			- - -	3			
6			- - -	- 80-			



WELL DETAILS

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03	BORING / WELL NOMW-1
PROJECT NAME North Davis Landfill	TOP OF CASING ELEV. 4869.44'
LOCATION Davis County, Utah	GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 4867"
WELL PERMIT NO	DATUM Davis County Benchmark
	INSTALLATION DATE 10/29/99



EXPLORATORY BORING

a.	Total depth	_ (35.5	ft.
b.	Diameter	<u></u>	12	in.
	Drilling method	Hollow-stem auge	r	

WELL CONSTRUCTION

C.	Total casing length	67.5	ft.
	Material Schedule 40 PVC		
d.	Diameter	4	in.
e.	Depth to top perforations	50	ft.
f.	Perforated length	15	ft.
	Perforated interval from 50 to	65	ft.
	Perforation type <u>Machine slotted</u>		
	Perforation size 0.020 inches		
g.	Surface seal	1	ft.
	Material Concrete		
h.	Backfill	40	ft.
	Material Concrete/Bentonite		
i.	Seal	4	ft.
	Material Bentonite pellets		
j.	Gravel pack	20	ft.
	Gravel pack interval from 45 to	65	ft.
	Material #10/20 Sand		
k.	Bottom seal/fill	0.5	ft.
	Material Natural materials		
I.	Casing stickup	2.5	ft.
m.	Protective casing diameter	8	in.

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. MW-2

PAGE 1 OF 2

SURFACE ELEV. 4665.00 ft

BY DRF

DATE 11/13/88

POCKET TORR VANE	POCKET PENETRO- METER	PENETRA- TION	JUND TER JELS	DEPTH IN FT.	PLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC	 WELL	-
(tsf)	(tsf)	(blws/ft)	MA LEC	믬곱	SAMP	COLUMN		ı

SILTY SAND (SM), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); 25-30% non-plastic fines; fine grained; medium dense; dry. 10 @11': dark yellowish brown (10YR, 3/6). CLAYEY SAND (SC), yellowish brown (10YR. 5/8); 30-35% plastic fines; fine grained; damp. @19': 10-15% plastic fines; medium dense. 13 SAND (SP), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); <10% 22 fines; fine grained, subrounded quartz; thin laminations; medium dense; moist. CLAYEY SAND (SC), yellowish brown (10YR, 39 5/8); 25-30% fines; fine grained; moist. SAND (SP), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); <10% fines; fine grained, subrounded quartz; 1 to 2 mm-thick laminations; dense; moist. 25 SANDY SILT (ML), pinkish gray (7.5YR, 7/2); 60 15-20% fine sand; dry to damp. SAND (SP), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6); <10% fines; fine to medium grained, subrounded 40 quartz; thin laminations; very dense; moist. 78 82 72 @75': 10-15% non-plastic fines; 1/2"-thick layers of medium plasticity clay.



REMARKS

Boring drilled with 12-inch-diameter hollow-stem augers 0-65 feet and 10-inch-diameter augers 65-105.5 feet. Ground water not encountered. Boring backfilled with soil cuttings and capped with surface grout plug.

Printed on Recycled Paper

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. MW-2

PROJECT NAME DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 2 OF 2

BY DRF DATE 11/13/88

SURFACE ELEV. 4665 00 ft

ы	DKF		DAI	E 11,	/13/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4665.00	ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAI
		77		85		SAND (continued)	
		72	ահասկասկասկա	90 95 95 100			
		76	ահավորվորվորվորվորվորվորվորվորվորվորվորվորվ	105		BORING TERMINATED AT 105.5 FEET.	
			ավասկավայիա	120			
		-	ավոտիավոսկուն	130			
			սիոսիոսիոսիոսիու	145			
8				155			



PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03 PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

BORING NO. MW-3

PAGE 1 OF 3

BY DRF

DATE 11/11/88

BY	DRF		DAT	E 1	1/11	1/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4662.0	0 ft
POCKET TORR VANE (tsf)	POCKET PENETRO- METER (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	GF GF	ITHO- RAPHIC OLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
(tsf)	(tsf)	(blws/ft)	37	10-	AS S		ORGANIC SANDY SILT (OL), dark brown (7.5 YR, 3/2); 15-20% fine sand; non-plastic; damp. SAND (SP), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); <10% fines; fine grained quartz; trace gravel; damp. ORGANIC SANDY SILT (OL), dark brown (7.5YR, 3/2); 15-20% fine sand; non-plastic; damp. SAND (SP), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); <10% fines; fine grained subangular to subrounded quartz; medium dense; damp.	
*		21	- - - -		7			



REMARKS

Boring drilled with 12-inch-diameter hollow-stem augers. Converted to monitoring well. See well detail for construction information.

PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. MW-3

DPOIECT N	ANGE	DATE	TC C	^-		BORING NO. MW-3	
PROJECT N	AME	DAV	IS C	ΟL	NTYI	ANDFILL PAGE 2 OF 3	
BY DRF		DAT	E 1	1/	11/88	SURFACE ELEV. 4662.00	ft
POCKET POCKET TORR PENETRO- VANE METER (tsf) (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	SAMPLES	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
	17	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	30-			CLAYEY SAND (SC), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8); 30-35% medium plasticity fines; fine grained; medium dense; wet. SAND (SP), olive brown (2.5Y, 4/4); <10% fines; fine grained, subrounded quartz; loose; wet.	



PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

BORING NO. MW-3

PROJECT NAME

DAVIS COUNTY LANDFILL

PAGE 3 OF 3

BY DRF

DATE 11/11/88

SURFACE ELEV. 4662.00 ft

				11/00	SORFACE ELEY. 4002.0	UIL
POCKET TORR PENETRO- VANE METER (tsf) (tsf)	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND WATER LEVELS	DEPTH IN FT.	LITHO- GRAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELL
0.6	18		50-60-60-		@42': dark grayish brown (10YR, 4/2); fine to medium grained. SANDY CLAY (CL), light yellowish brown (10YR, 6/4); 15-20% fine sand; low to moderate plasticity; very stiff; moist to wet. BORING TERMINATED AT 45.5 FEET.	



WELL DETAILS


PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.03

PROJECT NAME North Davis Landfill

LOCATION Davis County, Utah

WELL PERMIT NO. DATUM Davis County Benchmark

INSTALLATION DATE 11/11/88



Form prepared by _

EXPLORATORY BORING

 a. Total depth
 45.5 ft.

 b. Diameter
 12 in.

Drilling method _____ Hollow-stem auger

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length 47.5 ft. Material Schedule 40 PVC 4___ in. d. Diameter e. Depth to top perforations ___30___ft. f. Perforated length Perforated interval from 30 to 45 ft. Perforation type <u>Machine slotted</u> Perforation size 0.020 inches g. Surface seal Material Concrete h. Backfill Material Concrete/Bentonite i. Seal Material ____ Bentonite pellets j. Gravel pack ___ 20 ft. Gravel pack interval from 25 to 45 ft. Material #10/20 Sand k. Bottom seal/fill 0.5 ft. Material Natural materials 2.5 _ ft. Casing stickup m. Protective casing diameter

Printed on Recycled Paper

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-6 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 1 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/13/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4796. ft. RECOVERY PENETRA-PID LITHO-SAMPLES 品品 WELL TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (ppm) % 1.5') (blws/ft) SAND (SP), light brown (7.5YR, 6/4); loose; dry. CLAYEY SILT (ML-SM), brown (7.5YR, 5/2); non- to slighlty plastic; dry to damp. ND 90% 20 CLAYEY SILT (ML), brown (7.5YR, 5/2) to dark brown (7.5YR, 4/2); 5-7% fine sand; slight to medium plasticity; hard; damp. @ 10': abundant roots and rootlets to 1-2" thick. ND 30% 19 SILT (ML), brown (7.5YR, 5/2); non-plastic; very stiff; wet. ND 85% 37 @ 19': yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4).



REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-6 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 2 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/13/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4796. ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-LITHO-SAMPLES WELL RPH. TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN % 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) CLAY (CL), grayish brown (10YR, 5/2); fair bedding; hard; moist. SILT (ML), as above. ND 75% 24 @ 24': dark yellowish brown (10YR, 4/6); very stiff; wet. CLAY (CL), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/2); common oxide staining in zones; . ND 65% 26 CLAYEY SILT TO SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), grayish brown (10YR, 5/2) to dark gray (10YR, 4/1); medium to high plasticity; very stiff; wet. ND 90% 30 CLAY (CL), brown (10YR, 5/3) to dark brown (10YR, 4/3); very stiff; wet. CLAYEY SILT (ML), light brown (7.5YR, 4/6); moderate plasticity; very stiff; wet. ND 95% 36



REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-6 PROJECT NAME NDRD **PAGE** 3 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/13/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4796. ft. RECOVERY PENETRA-PID SAMPLES LITHO-WELL HEPT. TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) SILT AND CLAY (ML/CL) INTERBEDDED, brown (7.5YR, 5/4) to strong brown (7.5YR, 5/8); thin beds to 1" thick; medium to high plasticity; oxide stained throughout silty beds; very stiff to hard; damp to moist. ND 70% 76 SILTY SAND, (SM), reddish brown (5YR, 5/4); 30-40% fines; 60-70% fine sand; non-plastic; very dense; damp. ND 70% 68 SILTY SAND, SILT, AND CLAYEY SILT (SM/ML/ML), INTERBEDDED, thinly bedded; moist to wet. Silty Sand (SM), yellowish brown (10YR, 4/4); 4" thick bed; 30-40% fines; very dense; wet. Silt (ML), reddish brown (5YR, 4/4); 30-40% fines; hard; moist. Clayey Silt (ML), reddish brown (5YR, 4/4); slight plasticity; hard; moist. ND 80% 68 @ 59': wet.



REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING

PROJECT NUMBER

558-02.05

BORING NO.

MW-6

PROJECT NAME

NDRD

PAGE 4 OF 5

BY W. A. Lambert

110

DATE 9/13/89

SURFACE ELEV.

4796. ft.

122/18	*******	Jumber t		2 7/13/69	SURFACE ELEV. 4/90	b. II.
PID (ppm)	RECOVERY (% 1.5')	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	GROUND	SHAPLES COLUMN	C DESCRIPTION	WELL DETAII
ND	70%	41		65—	Silty Sand and Clayey Silt (SM/ML) Interbedded, as above. @ 69': strong oxide staining.	
ND	65%	56		75—	@ 74': as above; prodominantly Silty Sand (SM).	



REMARKS

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-6 PROJECT NAME **NDRD** PAGE 5 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/13/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4796. ft. RECOVERY PENETRA-PID LITHO-SAMPLES WELL RFT. TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (mpm) 1.5') (blws/ft) SIlty Sand and Clayey Silt (SM/ML) Interbedded, as above. ND 93 SILTY SAND (SM), reddish brown (5YR, 5/4); 30-40% fines; 60-70% fine sand; very dense; moist. ND 100 SILTY SAND, and SILT (SM/ML) INTERBEDDED, as at 54'; no clayey silt. ND 70% 100



REMARKS

100

Boring drilled with 8-inch outside diameter (O.D.) hollow-stem augers. Soil samples were collected with 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch (O.D.) California modified split-spoon sampler. Boring was grouted to the surface with neat cement ND = Not Detected

BORING TERMINATED AT 99 FEET.

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING 558-02.05

BORING NO.

MW-7

PROJECT NAME

NDRD

PAGE

1 OF 5

PROJECT NUMBER

BY	W. A. 1	Lambert	DATI	E 9/	/14/89	SURFACE ELEV. 4782	.6 ft.
PID (ppm)	RECOVERY	PENETRA- TION (blws/ft)	STROUGH LEVELS	RFP.	SHAPHIC COLUMN	DESCRIPTION	WELI DETAI
ND	50%	9	-	5—		SILTY SAND (SM), grayish brown (10YR, 5/2) to brown (10YR, 5/3); 25-35% non- plastic fines; abundant rootlets and roots; loose; damp. @ 9.5' TO 10.5': very dark gray (10YR, 3/1).	
ND ND	75%	11	-	15—		CLAY (CL), mottled light gray (10YR, 7/2) to grayish brown (10YR, 5/2); high plasticity; abundant rootlets and roots; stiff; damp.	
TID	75%	19	-	- 20-			



Boring was drilled with 10-inch outside (O.D.) diameter hollow-stem augers. Samples were collected with 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch (O.D.), California modified split-spoon samper. ND = Not Detected

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-7 PROJECT NAME NDRD 2 OF 5 PAGE BY W. A. Lambert DATE 9/14/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4782.6 ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-SAMPLES LITHO-WELL "" TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN % 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) SILTY SAND (SM), brown (7.5YR, 5/2); 10-15% non-plastic fines; minor roots; medium dense; damp. ND 75% 29 @ 24'-24.5': 5-7% fines. ND 55% 26 CLAYEY SILTS AND SILTS (ML), INTERBEDDED, mottled yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) to (10YR, 5/6); non- to slighlty plastic fines; 10-20% fine sand; thinly bedded; very stiff; damp. ND 75% 36 SILTY SAND (SM), mottled yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4); with minor grayish brown (10YR, 5/2); 15-25% non- to slighlty plastic fines; 75-85% fine sand; thinly bedded; common oxide



REMARKS

Boring was drilled with 10-inch outside (O.D.) diameter hollow-stem augers. Samples were collected with 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch (O.D.), California modified split-spoon samper. ND = Not Detected

staining on bedding planes; dense; moist to wet.

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-7 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 3 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/14/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4782.6 ft. RECOVERY PENETRA-PID SAMPLES LITHO-WELL TION GRAPHIC * DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) Silty Sand (SM), as above ND 52 CLAY AND SILTY SAND (CL,SM), very dense to hard; moist to wet. Silty Sand: brownish yellow (10YR, 6/8); common oxide staining. Clay: reddish brown (5YR, 4/4) to brown (10YR, 5/3), with minor black (7.5YR, N2/0). ND 70% 76 SAND to SILTY SAND (ML-SM), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6); trace black (micaceous or ferric) very thin beds; thinly bedded; 5-10% non-plastic fines; 90-95% fine sand; very dense; common oxide staining on bedding plains; ND 70% 62



REMARKS

Boring was drilled with 10-inch outside (O.D.) diameter hollow-stem augers. Samples were collected with 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch (O.D.), California modified split-spoon samper. ND = Not Detected

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-7 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 4 OF 5 W. A. Lambert DATE 9/14/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4782.6 ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-SAMPLES LITHO-WELL 飛光 TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN (ppm) (% 1.5') (blws/ft) Sand and Silty Sand (SM-ML), as above. ND 70% 91 @ 69': Clayey Silt (ML), reddish brown (5YR, 5/4); 3" thick bed; non- to slightly plastic; moist. SAND TO SILTY SAND (SM-ML), as above. -<u>₩</u> -9/14/89 @ 72.8': wet. ND 90% 42 ND 90% 52



REMARKS

Boring was drilled with 10-inch outside (O.D.) diameter hollow-stem augers. Samples were collected with 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch (O.D.), California modified split-spoon samper. ND = Not Detected

LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORING PROJECT NUMBER 558-02.05 BORING NO. MW-7 PROJECT NAME NDRD PAGE 5 OF 5 BY W. A. Lambert DATE 9/14/89 SURFACE ELEV. 4782.6 ft. PID RECOVERY PENETRA-SAMPLES LITHO-WELL RPH. TION GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION DETAIL COLUMN % 1.5') (blws/ft) (ppm) CLAY (CL), yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) to (10YR, 5/8); medium plastic; common oxide staining in thin bedding plains. ND 60% 40 SAND to Silty Sand (SM-ML), as above; pinkish gray (5YR, 5/6) to gray (5YR, 5/8); CLAYEY SILT (ML), reddish gray (5YR, 5/2) to dark reddish gray (5YR, 4/2); medium to highly plastic; hard; wet. BORING TERMINATED AT 85.5 FEET. 100




REMARKS

Boring was drilled with 10-inch outside (O.D.) diameter hollow-stem augers. Samples were collected with 2-inch inside diameter, 3-inch (O.D.), California modified split-spoon samper. ND = Not Detected

Associates

WELL DETAILS

PROJECT NUMBER_	558-02.05	BORING / WELL NO. MW-7
PROJECT NAME	NDRD Landfill	TOP OF CASING ELEV. 4784.55
LOCATION	Davis County, Utah	GROUND SURFACE ELEV. 4782.6
WELL PERMIT NO	NA	DATUM Mean Sea Level



EXPLORATORY BORING

 a. Total depth 84 b. Diameter 10 in. Drilling method Hollow-Stem Auger

INSTALLATION DATE

9/15/89

WELL CONSTRUCTION

c. Total casing length 84 ft. Schedule 40, PVC Material d. Diameter (inside) in. e. Depth to top perforations 73.5 f. Perforated length 10 Perforated interval from 73.5 to 83.5 ft. Perforation type ____ Factory slot Perforation size _____ 0.020 in. g. Surface seal 60 Material Cement Grout h. Backfill Material NA i. Seal Material Bentonite j. Gravel pack 18 Gravel pack interval from 66 to 84 Material 10 x 20 Colorado Sand k. Bottom seal/fill ft. NA Material Casing stickup 3

(65' - 66': Native material, caved)

m. Protective casing diameter

8

STREAM OF THE PROPERTY STREAM STREAM STREAM STREAM OF THE PROPERTY STREAM STREAM OF THE PROPERTY STREAM STREAM OF THE PROPERTY STREAM OF THE PROPERT	מותר הבווידה בי פתיים ב							12.00		11504MW9
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp brown medium SP 2 4		13							z	
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp brown medium SP 2 4	- 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	d			F:		-		=	
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp brown medium SP 2 4					Ξ		00,	(E	5	HE!
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp brown medium SP 2 4	BORING DIAMETER 10 inches				_	Ĩ.	1/5	â	~	
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp brown medium SP 2 4	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER Not Encounter	ed			E	M	O.W.	=		CONSTRUCTION
Poorly graded SAND, little Siit, damp brown medium dense SP _ 2 _ 4 _ **			ON Laguaran	150II	DE	SA	Ξ	0	*	
Soorly graded SAND, little Sht, damp dense	DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST							
Contunued) Vote the stratification unes REFERENT THE AFFRONMATE BOUNDARY BETTEEN MATERIAL TOTAL THE TRANS- TON ANY SET TRADUAL WENT LIGO	Poorly graded SAND, little Siit, damp	brown		(A) (C)	- 13 - 13 - 14 - 1 - 15 - 1 - 15 - 1 - 15 - 1 - 15 - 1 - 1	×	 60			BENTONITE 2-10
FINVIRONMENTAL, INC. PROJECT #: 1150-4 WELL #: MW9 (1 of 2	NOTE THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE AFFROMMATE BOUNDARY RETYEEN MATERIAL TIPES THE TRANS— TON MAY BE TRADUAL	NC.				*EL		LANI		

7,77 700 177 5.07 34					i				115	04M92
COGGES BY TG					1			WATER CONTENT		
PERFORMER STRUCTION NOT Determine	ed			Ê	İ	_		Ė		
DRUL 210 42 1000				3		.00	<u>=</u>	5.	W	ELL
adelyd citheres 10 Johes				_	E	; Y	<u>=</u>	~		STORY - LINGSON
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER Not Encounter	red			оерти (рект)	SAMPLER	H.OWS/FOOT	OVM (ppm)		CONST	RUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND CL			isali	35	S.A.	Ξ	0	×		
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST	SOIL			_				
Poorly graded SAND, fine, damp (thin (1/8-inch) lenses of Clayey Silt observed in drive sample @ 40-41.5 feet)	brown	medium dense	SP.	- 42 - 42 - 44		22				SEVICENTE 2-in
(little Clay/Silt. damp/moist)				- 45	×					SCHEDULE 40 PHC BLANK
(moist @ 55 (eet)				 54 55	×					16/40 COLORADO SELCA SANO
(Clayey Slit in tip of sample, very moist/wet)				58 50 52 64		10				SCHEDUL 40 PMC 3.01" SCHEDUL SCHEDUL
Sandy GRAVEL, moist	השפתכ	dense	GP	 - 55 -	×					BENTONITE
grades to coarse Gravel, little Sand				— 68 — -						SCHEDULE 40 PMC FLUX
BOTTOM OF WELL @ 70 FEET				_						
- indicates Standard Penetration - Drive Sampler - Indicates Grab Sample										
🔀 - Indicates California Sampler										
NOTE THE STRATSFICATION CINES REPRESENT THE AFFROMMET BOUNDARY BETTMEN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS— TON MAY BE GRADUAL					(7)	. 500				
Wasatch Environmental, 1	Twa			DAVIS C				DF!L		
ENVIRONMENTAL, I	NC.	PROJECT	#:	1:50-4			WE:	ŧ.	MW9 1	2 of 2

העודה הפוויבה בי הפוויבה	11 3:			-				1	:5047	V 9,4
LOGGED BY TG	120 1797000 80									
SURFACE FLEVATION NOT Deter	mined			_						1 (1)
DBITT SIG 75 LUÓU						100	-	(%)	<u>}</u>	3
BORING DIAMETER 10 inches				=	Ξ	F.	1 =	≥ = Z	ž.	~ II
DESCRIPTION AND DESCRIPTION AN	intered D ijussificati	ON		DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLER	HOWS/FOOT	(mdd) (Ha	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY DENSITY (PCT)	SHEAR STRENGTH (DSF)
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL	32	SAI	E	Ξ	¥ O.	OK	15
Poorly graded SAND, fine	brown	medium	SP -	+						
grained, little Silt, damp		dense	-	2 -						
			. †	-	×					*
	20			4 -						
		1	L	5 🗐						
			L	_						
			_	8 -						
			-	-						
			-	10-						
			-	4	\times					
			-	12 -						
			-	1						
]	×			ĺ		
				ت ت		j e				
				: Ξ —			1.5			
				-						
			_							
		1 1	_	-	.]	:6				
			_	22-						
			-	7						
			-	24-						
			-	4	\times					
				25				İ		
				28_						
				45						
				30-7				33		
		1			X					
				32-						
			L							
			-	34-						
			-	-	\times	,				
			_	36-	E.					
continued)			-	+						
			-	38-						
ATE. THE STRUTTFICATION LINES DIRECTOR THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY TOTAL ANTERIOL TOPES: THE TRANS-			-	-						
TYPEN NATERAL TYPES: THE TRANS- TH NAY BE GRADUAL				÷0-	-		2.5			
TIT			X.3	PLOR:	ATO:	₹Y 3	ORIN	<u> </u>	_	
Wasatch Environmental			n	AVIS (COU	YTY	LAND	FILL		
	Tara		2							
CAVIRONMENTAL	, 11VC.	PROJECT	NC : 1	150-3	30	RING	7 NO	MWS	2 1	o/ 5:

אַ בַּעַפַרָבָּאַ בּיִישְׁמִינְתְּאַנְעָ	1 24		1						50494	.3
1300E2 BY TO						1				
SUPPACE FLEVATION Not Determin	ec	-					ĺ	WATER CONTENT (%)	(PCF)	SHEAR STREWGTH (KST)
ORILL RIG AP 1000	-	-		ļ.		0.1	_	IÊ	<u>~</u>	੯
BORING DIAMETER 10 Inches	-			Ξ	~	F0	Ē	10.0	1 S	SHEAR
DEPTH TO DROUNDWATTER NOT Encounted DESCRIPTION AND CL	issiricimo	N		оети (кест)	MANIER	HOWS/FOOT	ОУМ (ррпп)	E	DRY DENSITY	15 Z
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	201F	13.0	SAM	0.3	OVA	LV.M	DRY	Ĭ ž
Poorly graded SAND, fine	aword	medium	SP			22		Ī		
grained. little Silt, damp		dense		- 43-		İ		1		
grained, little Silt, damp Clayey Silt lenses										
				- 11-						
					×					
				— ±6 —						
									2	
				48						
										i
				— 50 —	1					
(moist @ 51 (eet)			1							
				— 52 —						
4			ĺĺ	<u> — 54 —</u>		1				
				- 55	×					
				_ 55 _						
				— 58 —		É				
			1	— āo —						
()						:0				
(moist/very moist @ 61 feet)				- 62 -						
				— 64 —						
	*				×					
				— 66 —						
Sandy Gravel grades to coarse	brown	dense	GP.					İ		
Sandy Gravel grades to coarse GRAVEL, little Sand, moist	8			— 88 —						
										0
				— 70 —	×					
Poorly graded SAND, little Silt, damp	nword	medium	S.M							
		dense		- 72 -						
3										
				 74						
				_	×					
				— 76 —						
(continued)		8		-						
				— 75 —						
NOTE: THE STRATIFICATION LINES REFERENT THE UPPROXIMATE BOUNDARY REFERENT THE UPPROXIMATE HOWEVERY										
SETTOREN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS-				<u> </u>	×				ř	
				EXPLOR	ATO:	E YS	ORIN	g joc		
Wasatch				DAVIS	201	.N.T.Y	LAN	2711		
ENVIRONMENTAL,	Tara			23,10						
P.NVIRONMENTAL.	INC.	PROJECT	NO.		, 2	2017	G VC	W.W.	21.0	a/ = .
		15.70020				J		Cen of k	* · · ·	2

רסוווסה פקנה	5.9.34_5.1	- at						i	1 :	:5049	AC.
LOGGED BY	TG					1		i			1
SUPFACE PLEVATION	Not Determin	ned					1	ĺ	1 (5.1)	<u> </u>
JEIT SIG	15 1000				Ħ		1.0	-	T.	LΧ	= E
ECRING DIAMETER	10 Inches		-		Ξ	~	150	E C	NO	SS	35
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER	Not Encount	ered			Ξ	1.1.	43/	Ē) 	DE	E S
DESCRIPTION AND		COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL	DEPTH (PEET)	SAMPLER	H.OWS/FOOT	(mdd) Mvo	MATER CONTENT (2)	DRY DENSITY (PCF.)	SHEAR STRENGTH (KRF)
			1			57.	-	0	=	=	, n
Pooriy graded SAND, fir grained, little Silt, dam	ne n	nword	medium dense	3.5	_ 82 <u>_</u>						
gramed, nittle sitt, dan	. 9	ĺ	40.120								
				-	L 84-						
						×					
				8	— 56 —			1			
				8 8	-	-					
					— 88 —						
					— ac —	1					
						X					
					→ 35 —						
					-						
					- 94 -						
				1		×					
					<u> </u>						
		¥1			— 98 —						
					30						
					_:^						
					-: 52-	2					
					:04	}	i i				
*		8				×					
					-:06-	,					
					—: J8—				2		
					—i : c—	×				l i	
					—i i 2—						
				li	-						
				1	-114-						
201.000		brown	medium	Su	· c						
Silty fine SAND, moist (continued)		210.41	dense	J.11							
(CONTINUED) NOTE THE STRATIFICATION LINES			201130							Ĭ	
REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY SETTEEN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS-					. 20	×					
TICH MAY BE GRADUAL					2721.22		77.7	0500	0 :00		<u> </u>
TATA	IMAIT			-	EXPLOR	AIU	K1 =	URLN	الاستان	_	
Wasi Environm	AICH	_			DAVIS	COU	YTY	LAND	7:1		
FAITTONIA	TAITIAT	INC			**************************************						
LINVIRUNIN	LIVIAL,	IIVC.	PROJECT	T NO.	.: ::50-	1 E	ORIN	G NO	K.M ::	SA 3	ci 5

OATE OF CELL	2 3 31-2 1	1 21				1				15043	44	
1000E0 EV	7.2										i	
SUBBTUS SISMTON	Not Determin	n.e.c	21		_	į		1	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	177	
COULT SIC	7= . J.C.V	_			Ħ		1.5	-	TE	7.1	2 ±	
BORING DILWETTER	ic inches				оегти (геет)	=	HOWS/FOOT	OVM (ppm)	CON	S	× : : :	
DESTRUTO DECLINOWATER	Not Encount	ered ASSIFICATION	· ·		₽	=	¥.5.	Ĝ	E	=	M N	
DESCRIPTION AND R		COLOR		SOIL	DEL	SAMPLER	0.11	OVM	.LVM	DRY	SHEAR STRENGTH (KSF)	
Silty fine SAND, moist		brown	medium dense		_:??_	×						
						-				1		
Poorly graded SAND, fin grained, little Silt, dam;	e	пжеле	medium dense	SP	—; 2 4 —							
grained, nittle ont. dam,	-				.02	×						
					— 126—			Ī				
					:25							
										1		
					— : 30—	i				i		
					:34_							
					:34							
				1		×			1		ļ Ī	
					—:36—	-					1	
						-			i			
					-:35-	1						
											İ	
								1		İ	į	
					—: 42 —							
						-					1	
					-: 44-	1						
				1		×						
					—i 45—						ľ	
					148							
						-						
					—i 50—							
					-	1						
					—i 5 4—							
							Ö		Ì			
						×						
					—; 55—	1		3	İ		1	
ilty fine SAND, moist		brown	medium	EM								
continued)			dense		-158-						1	
THE STRATIFICATION LINES PRESENT THE APPROXIMATE SOUNCARY			1						1			
CH YAY BE TRADUAL					—, €C—	×			1	1		
	1 M CTT			0	EXPLOR	OTAS	RY 3	ORIN	G 100	3		
WASA Environm	ATCH	T110			DAVIS	COU	NTY	LAN	ELL			
/	TTATITAT	1 4//1	1							100		

רו יובר מפוני בי	4 0 02-4 /	24				1				:5043	46
MOGGED BY	79						ĺ		(3)	<u> </u>	
SUPPLOS FORVACION	Not Determin	ned	-		_					(PCF)	- E
DRUIT RIG	ib .ucu			_	喜		5	_		7	_≈ ±
BORING DIAMETER	10 inches				Ξ)	~	5	1 1	NO.	25	E.Y.
קבדנ לה מסטונים אנדבת	Not Encount	ered	Ni		Ξ	=======================================	HOWS/FOOT)VM (ppm)	MATER CONTENT	MY DENSITY	ENG
DESCRIPTION AND RE		COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL	реути (рест)	SAMPLER	0.11	OVM	WAT	DRY	SHEAR STRENGTH (EST)
Silty fine SAND, damp	_	пжоче	medium dense	K.S	- : 62	×					
Poorly graded SAND, fine grained, damp		brown	medium dense	.52	: 64 : -	×		3			
				() (/ 30	:55- :58-						
				Nu /	- : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :						
SILT and fine SAND, little	Clay, moist	brown	medium dense	SM	- -:72-						
					:74 	×					
Poorly graded SAND, fine grained, damp		brown	medium dense	SP							
					- : 80 -	×					
					: 82 : 84						
					 :86-	×					
Silty CLAY, moist		nword	stiff	CL		×					
BOTTOM OF BORING @	190 FEET										
x - Indicates Grab Samp	ole					1					
- Indicates Standard Drive Sampler											
NOTE: THE STRATTECATION LINES REPOSSENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS- TION MAY BE SEADOUAL											
7.7	mar.	<u> </u>			EKPLOR	O.T.A.	RY 3	ORIN	G 100		
Wasa Environmi	TCH	Two			DAVIS	COU	NTY	LANT)FILL	<u> </u>	
LINVIRUNMI	INTAL.	1116.	PROJEC	T NO	.::50-	4 3	CRIN	ig No	C.: WW	9A 5	of 5.

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD HOLE LOCATION: East side of landfill

DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: AP-1000 DEPTH TO WATER: 62'

HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 8-12-96

TOC ELEV .: 4865.12' GS ELEV .: 4862.8' LOGGED BY: DCH

WELL NO .: MW-13




Figure No. 9

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: East side of landfill
DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: ÁP-1000 DEPTH TO WATER: 62' HOLE DIAMETER: 9"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004

DATE: 8-12-96

TOC ELEV.: 4865.12' GS ELEV .: 4862.8' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO.: MW-13

ELEVATION DEPTH	WELL	SOIL SYMBOLS, SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	USCS	Description	Sample Number	Sample Depth (ft)	Recovery (in/in)
4790 —		4/6 4/6 7/6	SM	SILTY SAND: Gray, fine, medium dense, wet.	B-5	69.5-71	8/18
-80		11/6 — 14/6 15/6	CL	SANDY CLAY: Gray, fine, very stiff, moist to very moist.	B-6	79.5-81	10/18
4780 —]					
4770 -							
100							
- 110							
4750 -							
4740 -							
4730							
140							

Figure No. 10

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: East side of stormwater detention pond

DRILLER: Layne Environmental

DRILL RIG: B-57

DEPTH TO WATER: 11.65' HOLE DIAMETER: 8.25"

PROJECT NO .: 2697-004

DATE: 7-31-96 TOC ELEV.: 4815.44' GS ELEV .: 4813.3' LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO.: MW-14

DEI III TO WATER. 11.05	110	LL DIAIVILIEIT. 0.23	L NO	141 44 - 7	LTT.
DEPTH WELL SOIL SYMBOLS, SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	uscs	Description	Sample Number	Sample Depth (ft)	Recovery (in/in)
4810 —	SM	SILTY SAND: Brown, fine to medium, moist (reworked soil).	B-1	3-5	24/23
19/12	SP	SAND: Yellowish brown, fine to medium dense, moist to wet.	B-2	10-12	24/24
4800 - 21/6			B-3	12.5- 14.5	24/24
4800 – 21/6 18/12 14/6 15/6 –	xcrosco.		B-4	15-17	24/24
14/12	ML CL	SANDY SILT: Gray, fine, very stiff, very moist.	B-5	17.5- 19.5	19/24
11/12		SANDY CLAY: Gray brown, fine, very stiff, moist.	B-6	20-22	20/24
4790 – 19/12 19/12			B-7	22.5- 24.5	24/24
17/6 16/12 17/6					
16/6					
4780 —					
-					
40					
4770 —					
4770]					
}					
-50					
4760					
-					
-60					
4750 –					
}					
70					

MW-14 was drilled and installed five feet to the east of this drill hole log.

Figure No. 11

PROJECT: Davis County Landfill
CLIENT/OWNER: Davis County SWM & ERSSD
HOLE LOCATION: West side of stormwater detention pond
DRILLER: Layne Environmental
DRILL RIG: B-57
DEPTH TO WATER: 9.57' HOLE DIAMETER: 8.25"

PROJECT NO.: 2697-004


DATE: 8-1-96 TOC ELEV.: 4816.27 GS ELEV.: 4813.8 LOGGED BY: DCH WELL NO .: MW-15

DEPTH WELL SOIL SYMBOLS, SAMPLER SYMBOLS AND FIELD TEST DATA	uscs	Description	Sample Number	Sample Depth (ft)	Recovery (in/in)
4810 —	SM	SILTY SAND: Brown, fine to medium, medium dense to dence, moist to wet (reworked soil).			
13/12 14/6 14/6			B-1	10-12	5/24
5/6 16/6 23/6			B-2	15-16.5	18/18
-20 33/12		grades with occasional large gravel	B-3	20-22	24/24
4790 - 20 33/12 40/6 19/6 10/12 12/6 22/6	CL	and pieces of clay. SANDY CLAY: Gray, fine, silty, very stiff, moist.	B-4	22.5- 24.5	20/24
-30					
4780 —					
4770 —					
50					
4760 —					
4750 —					
——————————————————————————————————————					

Figure No. 12

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION LOG

WELL NO: MW-17		PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation	SITE: Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District
PROJECT NO: 370585.DW.0	2	CONSTRUCTED BY: Boart Longyear	CITY: Layton, UT
NORTHING: 287398.738	EASTING: 1881646.181	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 4623.73	MEASURING POINT ELEVATION: 4625.70



TOTAL DEPTH: 297.0' (FEET BELOW GROUND SURFACE)

A. SURFACE COMPLETION

COMPOSITION:

8-inch diameter protector pipe; 2.5-feet stick-up

SIZE: 4' x 4' concrete pad

B. SURFACE SEAL

MATERIAL: Concrete

INTERVAL: -1.0' - 3.0'

C. RISER PIPE

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC

INTERVAL: -2.0' - 180.0'

D. GROUT

COMPOSITION: Bentonite/Cement Grout

INTERVAL: 3' - 165'

E. SEAL

TYPE: Bentonite Chips

INTERVAL: 165' - 175'

F. FILTER PACK

TYPE: **#20/40 Silica Sand**INTERVAL: **175' - 205'**

G. SCREEN

DIAMETER: 4-inch

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC

SLOT SIZE: 10 slot (.010-inch)

INTERVAL: 180' - 200'

H. BACKFILL

TYPE: Bentonite Chips
INTERVAL: 205' - 297'

CONST LOG (1) A REV 1 03-2008



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 1 of 12

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Monit	oring Well Installation	on LOCATION:	
ELEVATION: 4623.73 NORTH	IING: 287398.738	EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDINATE SYSTEM:	NAD27 State Plane
DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT			NTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH TO WATER: ~185'	START	T: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH BGS (ft)	STANDARD PENETRATION	CORE DESCRIPTION:	COMMENTS:
INTERVAL (ft) RECOVERY TYPE-# SS-Split Spoon	TEST RESULTS 6-6-6 (in)	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, MINERALOGY.	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
	6-6-6 (in) (N)		(x/x/x) = % gravel/sand/fines
24			
24 –			



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 2 of 12

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Monitoring Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4623.73 NORTHING: 287398.738 EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~185' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 25 -26 -27 - 27.0-41.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, dry/moist, loose. (0/80/20) 28 -29 -30 -31 -32 -33 -34 -35 -36 -37 -38 -39 -40 _ 41 - 41.0-49.0 SMCL - Interbedded sequence of: (1) Fine grain silty sand, SM, Bedded in ~6" alternating lenses with trace Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist/wet, loose; and (2) orange (Fe) staining. 42 -Silty Clay, CL, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist/very moist, med stiff. (0/65/35) 43 -44 -45 -46 -47 -48 -49 - 49.0-52.0 SM - Interbedded sequence of: (1) Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, wet, med dense; and (2)



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 3 of 12

PROJECT	T: WIV	VMD I	Deep Monito	oring Well Installati	ion LOCATION:	
ELEVATION				ING: 287398.738		NAD27 State Plane
DRILLING	3 METH	OD/EC	UIPMENT	USED: Rotosonio		ONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH T	O WATE	R:	~185'	STAR	T: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH BG	SS (ft)			STANDARD PENETRATION	CORE DESCRIPTION:	COMMENTS:
	INTERVA		TYPE-# SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	TEST RESULTS 6-6-6 (in) (N)	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, MINERALOGY.	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
50 – 51 –			31-Shelly fube		Silty Clay, CL, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, med stiff. (0/65/35)	_
52 – 52 53 –	2.0-54.0				SM - Fine grain silty sand with fine to coarse gravel, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose. (5/80/15)	Trace orange (Fe) staining and black organic stains
54 – 54					SW - Fine grain sand, SW, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose. (0/90/10) SM - Fine grain silty sand, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, wet,	
56 – 56	6.0-61.0				trace clay lenses. (0/80/20) SM - Fine grain silty sand with little fine to coarse gravel, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6. (5/80/15)	Orange (Fe) staining. Some gravel stuck together with clay like a conglomerate.
57 – 58 –						_
59 – 60 –						_
61 – 61	1.0-77.0				SM - Fien grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, with trace ~2" clay lenses. (0/85/15)	_
63 –						
64 – 65 –						_
66 – 67 –						_
68 –						
69 – 70 –						_
71 –						
72 – 73 –						
74 –						_



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 4 of 12

PROJE	CT: WI	WMD I	Deep Monit	oring Well Installati	on LOCATION:		
ELEVA	TION: 46	23.73	NORTH	IING: 287398.738	EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDINATE SYST	EM: NA	AD27 State Plane
DRILLI	NG METH	OD/EC	QUIPMENT	USED: Rotosonio	DRILLIN	IG CONTI	RACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH	TO WAT	ER:	~185'	STAR	T: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008		LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH	BGS (ft)			STANDARD	CORE DESCRIPTION:		COMMENTS:
	INTERVAL (ft) RECOVERY TYPE-#		PENETRATION TEST RESULTS	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, MINERALOGY.		DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.	
			SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	(N)			
75 – 76 – 77 –					SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6,	_	Organic odor. Trace fine gravel at 87'
78 – 79 – 80 –					moist, loose. (0/80/20)	_	
81 – 82 –						_	
83 – 84 –						_	
85 – 86 –						_	
87 – 88 –	87.0-97.0				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, trace organic silt. (0/80/20)	_	Trace fine gravel to 88'. Trace orange (Fe) staining.
89 – 90 –						_	
91 –						_	
93 – 94 –						_	
95 – 96 –						_	
97 – 98 – 99 –	97.0-107.0				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose. (0/80/20)	_	



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 5 of 12

							LOG	
PROJE	ECT: WI	WMD I	Deep Monit	oring Well Installati	on	LOCATION:		
	ATION: 46			IING: 287398.738			IAD27 State F	
				USED: Rotosonio				BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
—	H TO WATE	ER:	~185'		T: 3/4/2008	END: 3/11/2008	LC	GGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH	BGS (ft)	A.1 /£1)		STANDARD PENETRATION	CORE DESCR			COMMENTS:
	INTERVA		OVERY	TEST RESULTS	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYME MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE			CASING, DRILLING RATE, FLUID LOSS,
		INLOC	TYPE-#		OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUC			ID INSTRUMENTATION.
			SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	6-6-6 (in) (N)	MINERALOGY.			
100 -						_		
101 -	-					_		
102 -	-					_	-	
103 -	-					_	•	
104 -	-					_		
105 -	-					<u> </u>		
106 -	-						•	
107 -	107.0-111.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Yellowish loose. (0/80/20)	Brown 10YR 5/6, moist,		
108 -	-				10000. (0/00/20)	_		
109 -	-					_		
110 -	-					_		
111 -	111.0-117.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yello moist, loose. (0/80/20)	owish Brown 10YR 4/4,		
112 -	-				, ,			
113 -	-							
114 -	-					_		
115 -	-					_		
116 -						_		
	117.0-131.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Yellowish loose. (0/80/20)	Brown 10YR 5/6, moist,	•	
118 -							•	
119 -						_	•	
120 -							•	
121 -						_	•	
122 -						_	•	
123 -						_	•	
124 -						_	•	



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 6 of 12

PROJE	:CT· WI	WMD	Deen Monit	oring Well Installati	on LOCATION:	
-	TION: 46			IING: 287398.738		M: NAD27 State Plane
-				USED: Rotosonio		CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
_	TO WATE		~185'		T: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH	BGS (ft)			STANDARD	CORE DESCRIPTION:	COMMENTS:
	INTERV	AL (ft)		PENETRATION TEST	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR,	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE,
		RECO	OVERY	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE,	DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
			TYPE-#	6-6-6 (in)	MINERALOGY.	TEGIO, AND INGINGINIENTATION.
105			SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	(N)		
125 —						
126 -						_
127 -						_
128 -						_
129 -						_
400						
130 -						_
131 —	131.0-133.				ML - Sandy Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, med dense,	Trace orange (Fe) staining
132 -					moist. (0/45/55)	
102 =						
133 —	133.0-134.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6,	_
134 —	134.0-136.				moist, loose. (0/80/20) SMML - Silty Sand/Sandy Silt, SM/ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR	<u>_</u>
					4/6, med dense, moist. (0/55/45)	
135 —						-
136 -	136.0-138.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4,	_
407					moist, loose. (0/80/20)	
137 -						7
138 —						_
139 _	139.0-140.				ML - Sandy Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, med dense,	
					moist. (0/45/55)	
140 —	140.0-143.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, loose. (0/80/20)	-
141 -					moist, 100se. (0/00/20)	_
142 -						7
143 -	143.0-146.				ML - Sandy Silt, ML, Brown 7.5YR 5/3, med dense, moist. (0/45/55)	— Orange (Fe) staining.
144 -						
'**						
145 —						-
146 —	146.0-155.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4,	Orange (Fe) staining
					moist, loose, with trace thin silt lenses. (0/80/20)	
147 —						-
148 -						_
440						
149 —						\neg



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 7 of 12

							200
PROJE	CT: WIN	WMD I	Deep Monito	oring Well Installation	on LOC	CATION:	
ELEVA	TION: 46	23.73	NORTH	ING: 287398.738	EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDIN	NATE SYSTEM: N	AD27 State Plane
DRILLI	NG METH	OD/EC	UIPMENT	USED: Rotosonio		DRILLING CONT	RACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH	TO WATE	ER:	~185'	STAR	: 3/4/2008 END: 3	3/11/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH	BGS (ft)			STANDARD	CORE DESCRIPTION:		COMMENTS:
	INTERVA	AL (ft)		PENETRATION TEST	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), CO	LOR.	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE,
		RECC	VERY	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSIT		DRILLING FLUID LOSS,
			TYPE-#	6-6-6 (in)	OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, MINERALOGY.		TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
			SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	(N)	WINCE VALCOUT.		
150 -							
151 🗕						_	
152 -							
153 🗕							
154 🗕							
155 🗕	155.0-156.				ML - Sandy Silt, ML, Brown 7.5YR 5/4, med dense, n	noist. (0/45/55)	
156 –	156.0-168.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown		
157 🗕					moist, loose to med dense, with trace orange (Fe) and (Mn?) staining. (0/80/20)	d diack	
158 –						_	
159 🗕						_	
160 –						_	
161 –						_	
162 -							
163 –						_	
164 🗕						_	
165 🗕						_	
166 –						_	
167 🗕							
	168.0-171.				ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10 moist, med dense/stiff, with laminated orange/black	OYR 4/4,	
169 -					staining. (0/35/65)	_	
170 -	171 0 170				SM Fina grain silly agod SM Dady Vallantish Davis		
	171.0-172. 172.0-175.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown moist, loose, with trace orange (Fe) staining. (0/80/20 ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10))	
172 -	112.0-113.				dense, moist/wet, with trace thin sand lenses, and wit laminated orange/black staining. (0/45/55)		
174 -						_	



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 8 of 12

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Monitoring Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4623.73 NORTHING: 287398.738 EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 175 - 175.0-177. GM - Fine to coarse gravelly silty sand, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, loose. (30/60/10) 176 -177 - 177.0-179. ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, med dense, moist.(0/45/55) 178 -179 - 179.0-185. GM - Silty sandy fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, loose, moist. (30/60/10) 180 -181 -182 -183 -184 -185 - 185.0-194. GM - Silty sandy fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, loose, wet, trace cobbles. (55/35/10) 186 -187 -188 -189 -190 -191 -192 -193 -194 - 194.0-195. SW - Fine to medium grain sand, SW, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, wet, loose 195 - 195.0-208. GM - Sandy fine to coarse gravel with cobbles, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, wet, loose 196 -197 -198 -199 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 9 of 12

PROJE				oring Well Installati		LOCATION:	
	TION: 46			IING: 287398.738		COORDINATE SYSTEM: N	
				USED: Rotosonio			TRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
-	TO WATI	ER:	~185'		Г: 3/4/2008	END: 3/11/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH I				STANDARD PENETRATION	CORE DES		COMMENTS:
	INTERV	_		TEST	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SY		DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE,
		RECC	VERY	RESULTS	MOISTURE CONTENT, RELAT OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STR		DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
			TYPE-# SS=Split Spoon	6-6-6 (in)	MINERALOGY.		
200 -			ST=ShelbyTube	(N)			
200 -						_	
201 –						_	-
202 -							
202 -						_	
203 -						-	
204 -							
204							•
205 -						-	
206 -							
200 -							•
207 -						-	
200	208.0-217.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark G	Provinh Provin 10VP 4/2	- Organic odor
200 -	200.0-217.				moist, loose to med dense, with trace p		- Organic odor
209 -					layers of laminated organics. (0/70/30)	_	
210 -							
210-						_	
211 –						-	
212 -							
212						_	
213 –						_	-
214 -							
						_	
215 –						_	•
216 -							
217 -	217.0-223.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark G	•	
218 -					moist, loose to med dense, with trace t lenses, trace plant detritus, and lamina		
					banding. (0/70/30)	·	
219 -						_	
220 -							
221 –						_	•
222 -							
						_	
223 –	223.0-225.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark G	Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2,	•
224 –					moist to wet, loose. (0/70/30)		
						_	



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 10 of 12

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Monitoring Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4623.73 NORTHING: 287398.738 EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~185' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 225 - 225.0-227. CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, stiff. (0/30/70) 226 -227 - 227.0-230. SM - Medium grain silty sand, SM, Very Dark Gray 10YR 3/1, moist, loose. (0/80/20) 228 -229 -230 - 230.0-237. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist to wet, loose to med dense. (0/80/20) 231 -232 -233 -234 -235 -236 -SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med 237 - 237.0-243. dense, moist to wet, trace black organic silt, and trace 238 clay lenses. (0/80/20) 239 -240 -241 -242 -243 - 243.0-245. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, loose (0/80/20) 244 -245 - 245.0-251. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist to wet, med dense, trace black organic silt (0/80/20) 246 -247 -248 -249 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17

Sheet 11 of 12

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Monitoring Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4623.73 NORTHING: 287398.738 EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~185' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 250 -251 - 251.0-255. CL - Sandy Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, stiff, trace organic silt laminations (0/25/75) 252 -253 -254 -255 - 255.0-257. ML - Sandy clayey silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med Organic odor dense, moist, trace organic silt, and trace thin clay lenses. (0/40/60) 256 -257 - 257.0-260. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, med dense, trace organic silt. (0/80/20) 258 -259 -260 - 260.0-261. CL - Sandy Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff to stiff, moist. (0/20/80) SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, 261 - 261.0-264. moist, loose (0/80/20) 262 -263 -264 - 264.0-273. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, loose, trace organic silt, and trace thin clay 265 lenses. (0/80/20) 266 -267 -268 -269 -270 -271 -272 -273 - 273.0-275. CL - Silty Sandy Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff to stiff, moist. (0/20/80) 274 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-17


Sheet 12 of 12

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Monitoring Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4623.73 NORTHING: 287398.738 EASTING: 1881646.181 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/4/2008 END: 3/11/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 275 - 275.0-276. SM - Fine to medium Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, loose. (0/80/20) 276 - 276.0-277. CL - Silty Sandy Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff to stiff, moist, trace organic silt laminations. (0/20/80) 277 - 277.0-278. SM - Fine Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist to wet, med dense. (0/80/20) 278 - 278.0-279. CL - Silty Sandy Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff, moist. (0/35/65) 279 - 279.0-287. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, Organic odor moist/wet, loose/med dense, trace organic silt, trace clay 280 lenses. (0/80/20) 281 -282 -283 -284 285 286 287 - 287.0-297. CL - Silty Sandy Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, Organic odor med stiff to stiff, few organic silt laminations, trace thin sand lenses. (0/15/85) 288 -289 -290 -291 -292 -293 -294 -295 -296 -297 - 297.0-End of Boring @ 297' bgs 298

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION LOG

WELL NO: MW-18		PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation	SITE: Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District
PROJECT NO: 370585.DW.02	2	CONSTRUCTED BY: Boart Longyear	CITY: Layton, UT
NORTHING: 285233.953	EASTING: 1880473.687	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 4914.63	MEASURING POINT ELEVATION: 4917.75



A. SURFACE COMPLETION

COMPOSITION:

8-inch diameter protector pipe; 2.5-feet stick-up

SIZE: 4' x 4' concrete pad

B. SURFACE SEAL

MATERIAL: Concrete

INTERVAL: -1.0' - 3.0'

C. RISER PIPE

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC

INTERVAL: -3.0' - 180.0'

D. GROUT

COMPOSITION: Bentonite/Cement Grout

INTERVAL: 3' - 478'

E. SEAL

TYPE: Bentonite Chips

INTERVAL: 478' - 491'

F. FILTER PACK

DIAMETER: 4-inch

INTERVAL: 496' - 506'

TYPE: #20/40 Silica Sand

INTERVAL: 491' - 511'

G. SCREEN

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC
SLOT SIZE: 10 slot (.010-inch)

H. BACKFILL

TYPE: Bentonite Chips
INTERVAL: 511' - 517'

TOTAL DEPTH: 517.0' (FEET BELOW GROUND SURFACE)

CONST LOG (1) A REV 1 03-2008



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 1 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJE	CT: WI	WMD I	Deep Well I	nstallation	LOCATION	l:			
ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953					B EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane				
DRILLI	NG METH	OD/EC	QUIPMENT	USED: Rotosonio		LLING CONT	TRACTOR: BO	ART LONGYEAR CO	., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH TO WATER: ~473' STAR					T: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008		LOGG	ER: Aaron Cantrell	
DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD				STANDARD PENETRATION	CORE DESCRIPTION:		COMMENTS:		
	INTERVAL (ft) RECOVERY		TEST RESULTS	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE,	DRILLING FLU	SING, DRILLING RA' IID LOSS, NSTRUMENTATION.			
			TYPE-# SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	6-6-6 (in) (N)	MINERALOGY.				
0 -	0.0-1.5				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/moist, loose. (0/80/20)		(x/x/x) = %gravel/	sand/fines	
2 -	1.5-7.0				ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, med dense, with trace organics. (0/35/65)	_			
3 –						_	•		
4 –						_			
5 - 6 -						_			
	7.0-13.0				ML - Fine grain sandy silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4//	/6,			
8 –					soft/loose, trace thin clay lenses and trace organic matter. (0/45/55)	_	•		
9 –							•		
10 -						_			
12 -						_			
13 –	13.0-23.0				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/ dry, loose, with trace silt/clay lenses. (0/80/20)	/6,	•		
14 –					uly, 1003e, with trace shouldy lenses. (0/00/20)	_			
15 – 16 –									
17 -									
18 –						_	•		
19 –						_			
20 -						_	•		
21 –						_	•		
	23.0-28.0				ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4,	_			
24 -					moist, med dense. (0/40/60)	_			



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 2 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RESULTS RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 25 -26 -27 -28 - 28.0-37.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4,loose to med dense, moist, with trace thin silt/clay lenses (0/80/20) 29 -30 -31 -32 -33 -34 -35 -36 -SM - Fine grain sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist 37 - 37.0-43.0 to dry, loose, with trace 1/2" clay lenses. (0/80/20) 38 -39 -40 -41 -42 -43 - 43.0-60.0 SW - Fine grain sand, SW, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, loose, with trace thin clay lenses (0/90/10) 44 -45 -46 -47 -48 -49 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 3 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 50 -51 -52 -53 -54 -55 -56 -57 -58 -59 -60 - 60.0-61.0 SM - Fine to medium grain silty sand, SM, 10YR 4/3, moist, loost. (0/80/20) ML - Sandy Clayey Silty, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, med 61 - 61.0-64.0 stiff, moist, trace clay lenses. (0/35/65) 62 -63 -64 - 64.0-67.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, loose, moist, trace thin clay lenses. (0/80/20) 65 -66 -67 - 67.0-69.0 CL - Sandy Silty Clay, CL, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, med stiff, trace organic silt. (0/20/80) 68 -69 - 69.0-77.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, loose, moist. (0/80/20) 70 -71 -72 -73 -74 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 4 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 75 – 76 -77 - 77.0-87.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, loose, moist, with trace thin clay lenses and trace orange 78 -(Fe) staining on clay lenses. (0/80/20) 79 -80 -81 -82 -83 -84 -85 -86 -SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, 87 - 87.0-98.0 moist, loose, with trace thin silt lenses. (0/80/20) 88 -89 -90 -91 -92 -93 -94 -95 -96 -97 -98 - 98.0-100.0 CLML - Silty Clay/Clayey Silt, CL/ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, med stiff to stiff. (0/15/85) 99 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 5 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# 6-6-6 (in) MINERALOGY. (N) 100 - 100.0-101. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM,Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4-4/6, moist, loose. (0/90/10) 101 -101.5-103. CLSM - Interbedded sequence of: (1) Silty Clay, CL, Dark Yellowish 102 -Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, med stiff; and (2) Silty Sand, SM, 10YR 4/4, moist, med dense. (0/25/75) SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, 103 - 103.0-112. Perched Water Table at ~105 wet, med dense, trace thin clay lenses. (0/80/20) 104 -105 -106 -107 -108 -109 -110 -111 -SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 112 - 112.0-122. 4/2-4/6, med dense, wet, trace thin clay lenses and trace 113 orange (Fe) staining. (0/80/20) 114 -115 -116 -117 -118 -119 -120 -121 -122 - 122.0-127. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, med dense, wet. (0/80/20) 123 -124 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 6 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 125 -126 -127 - 127.0-131. SM - Fine Grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med Organic odor dense, wet, trace black organic silt. (0/80/20) 128 -129 -130 -131 131.5-133. CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff, 132 -133 - 133.0-138. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, Organic odor dense, wet, trace black organic silt. (0/75/25) 134 -135 -136 -137 -CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, stiff, moist, 138 - 138.0-141. thin ribbons of blue to pink colored sediment, trace black organic silt. (0/5/95) 139 -140 -141 - 141.0-143. ML - Very fine grain sandy silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, trace organic silt. (0/25/75) 142 -143 - 143.0-153. CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff, moist, trace organic silt, trace ribbons of blue and pink seds. (0/5/95) 144 -145 -146 -147 -148 -149 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 7 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJE			Deep Well II		LOCATION:	4 NADOT 01 4 DI
	TION: 49			USED: Rotosonio		i: NAD27 State Plane CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
	TO WATE		~473'		T: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH		-11.	473	STANDARD	CORE DESCRIPTION:	COMMENTS:
DEI III	INTERVA		TYPE-# SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	PENETRATION TEST RESULTS 6-6-6 (in) (N)	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, MINERALOGY.	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
150 -						_
151 – 152 –						_
153 – 154 –	153.0-155.				ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, moist. (0/30/70)	_
155 – 156 – 157 –	155.5-161.				CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, med stiff, lean, thin laminations of Dark Reddish Brown 5YR 4/2 clay, trace organic silt. (0/95/5)	Organic odor
158 – 159 – 160 – 161 – 162 –	161.0-167.				SW - Fine grain Sand, SW, well graded, clean, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, wet, loose, trace organic silt	Perched water table at ~161'
163 – 164 – 165 –						_ _ _
166 – 167 – 168 –	167.0-176.				SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense to loose, wet to very wet, trace organic silt	Very wet
169 – 170 –						
171 – 172 –						_
173 – 174 –						



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 8 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 175 -176 - 176.0-178. CLSM - Interbedded: (1) Silty Sandy Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, stiff; and (2) Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, 177 -10YR 4/2, wet, loose. (0/25/75) 178 - 178.0-181. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, med dense, trace organic silt and trace thin clay lenses. (0/70/30) 179 -180 -181 - 181.0-191. CLML - Silty Sandy Clay/Clayey Sandy Silt, CL/ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, med stiff. (0/30/70) 182 -183 -184 -185 -186 -187 -188 -189 -190 -191 - 191.0-195. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, Organic odor moise, loose, trace thin clay lenses. (0/75/25) 192 -193 -194 -195 - 195.0-217. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, Strong organic odor moist, loose, trace organic silt, trace thin clay lenses. (0/80/20) 196 -197 -198 -199 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 9 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJE	:CT· WI	WMD I	Deep Well I	nstallation		LOCATION:	
	TION: 49			IING: 285233.953	EASTING: 1880473.687 CO	OORDINATE SYSTEM: N	AD27 State Plane
				USED: Rotosonio			RACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
	TO WATE		~473'			ND: 4/2/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH	DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD		STANDARD	CORE DESCRIPTION:		COMMENTS:	
INTERVAL (ft) RECOVERY TYPE-#		PENETRATION TEST RESULTS	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE,	DENSITY,	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.		
			SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	6-6-6 (in) (N)	MINERALOGY.		
200 -						_	
201 —						_	
202 -							
203 -						_	
204 –						_	
205 –						_	
206 –						_	
207 —						_	
208 -						_	
209 –						_	
210 -						_	
211 -						_	
212 -						_	
213 —							
214 —						_	
215 -						_	
216 -						_	
217 -	217.0-225.				SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish	h Brown 10YR 4/2, med	Strong organic odor
218 —					dense, loose, trace organic silt. (0/75/25)	_	
219 -						_	
220 -						_	
221 –							
222 -						_	
223 —						_	
224 –						_	
			1				



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 10 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 225 - 225.0-237. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR Organic odor 4/2, moist, loose to med dense, trace organic silt. 226 -(0/70/30) 227 -228 -229 -230 -231 -232 -233 -234 -235 -236 -SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med 237 - 237.0-247. Perched water level @ ~237 dense to loose, wet 238 -239 -240 -241 -242 -243 -244 -245 -246 -247 - 247.0-257. CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, stiff, trace silt lenses, trace laminations of 5YR 4/2 clay. 248 -(0/10/90) 249 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 11 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJE	ECT: \A/II	A/MD	Deep Well I	notallation		LOCATION:	19 19 9	
	TION: 49				EACTING: 1000472 607		M: NAD27 State Plane	
				IING: 285233.953 USED: Rotosonio			M. NADZ7 State Plane CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ	
	TO WAT		~473'		r: 3/13/2008	END: 4/2/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell	
-	BGS (ft)	-1 \.		STANDARD	CORE DESC		COMMENTS:	
	INTERV	AL (ft)		PENETRATION TEST	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY,		DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE,	
		RECO	OVERY	RESULTS		VE DENSITY,	DRILLING FLUID LOSS,	
		TYPE-# 6-6-6 (in)		6-6-6 (in)	OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, MINERALOGY.		TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.	
			SS=Split Spoon ST=ShelbyTube	(N)				
250 -							\dashv	
251 -							_	
252 -							_	
253 _							_	
254 -								
255 -							-	
256 –							_	
257 -	257.0-267.				CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brow	n 10YR 4/2, moist, stiff,		
258 -					trace sand lenses, trace black organic	silt (0/5/95)		
259 -								
260 -							_	
261 -							_	
262 -							_	
263 –							_	
264 -							_	
265 _							_	
266 -								
	267.0-271.				CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Brown 7.5YR	3/3 moist stiff trace		
	207.0-271.				silt lenses and trace organic silt. (0/5/95			
268 -	•							
269 -							_	
270 -							_	
271 -	271.0-277.				ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Gray dense, wet, trace clay lenses. (0/40/60)		_	
272 -					uense, wei, ilace day lenses. (0/40/60)	1	_	
273 -							_	
274 -								



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 12 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 275 -276 -277 - 277.0-281. CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff, moist, trace organic silt. (0/10/90) 278 -279 -280 -281 - 281.0-289. ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, med dense, trace organic silt. (0/40/60) 282 -283 -284 -285 -286 -287 -288 -289 - 289.0-303. CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, stiff, moist, trace thin sandy silt lenses, trace plant detritus/organic 290 silt. (0/10/90) 291 -292 -293 -294 -295 -296 -297 -298 -299 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 13 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 300 -301 -302 -303 - 303.0-313. ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med No sample 307-313 dense, moist, with trace clay lenses. (0/25/75) 304 -305 -306 -307 -308 -309 -310 -311 -312 -313 - 313.0-315. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, loose to med dense. (0/70/30) 314 -315 - 315.0-317. CLML - Silty Clay/Clayey Silt, CL/ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff, moist. (0/25/75) 316 -317 - 317.0-322. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR Strong "smokey" odor. Begin drilling with water 4/2, med dense, moist to wet. (0/50/50) at 317' 318 -319 -320 -321 -322 - 322.0-330. No Sample. Likely an SM. 323 -324 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 14 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) TEST SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 325 -326 -327 -328 -329 -330 - 330.0-339. SM - Fine grain to very fine grain Silty Clayey Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist to wet, med dense. (0/75/25) 331 -332 -333 -334 -335 -336 -337 -338 -339 - 339.0-347. ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML sightly plastic, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense to dense, trace thin sand lenses, trace 340 organic silt. (0/40/60) 341 -342 -343 -344 -345 -346 -347 - 347.0-367. No Sample 348 -349 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 15 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 350 -351 -352 -353 -354 -355 -356 -357 -358 -359 -360 -361 -362 -363 -364 -365 -366 -367 -SM - Fine to medium grain very silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, dense, trace organic silt. (0/55/45) 368 -369 - 369.0-371. ML - Very fine silt with very low plasticity, ML, Dark Grayish Hard drilling indicates that this layer is very Brown 10YR 4/2, very dry, dense? (0/5/95) dense 370 -371 - 371.0-372. SM - Fine grain very silty sand, SM, 10YR 4/2, moist, dense, trace organic silt. (0/50/50) 372 - 372.0-375. No sample 373 -374 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 16 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 EASTING: 1880473.687 NORTHING: 285233.953 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ END: 4/2/2008 DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, **RESULTS** DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 375 - 375.0-376. CL - Silty Sandy Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, moist, med stiff. (0/20/80) 376 - 376.0-377. ML - Very fine silt with very low plasticity, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, very dry, dense? (0/10/90) 377 - 377.0-378. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, moist, trace thin clay lenses. (0/70/30) 378 - 378.0-384. No sample 379 -380 -381 -382 -383 -384 - 384.0-388. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Very Dark Gray to Dark Grayish "Smokey" odor Brown 10YR 3/1-4/2, med dense, moist, trace of organic 385 silt, trace of the dry ML seen above. (0/60/40) 386 -387 -388 - 388.0-390. No Sample 389 -390 - 390.0-392. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, moist, trace plant detritus. (0/75/25) 391 -392 - 392.0-394. No Sample 393 -394 - 394.0-396. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense. (0/60/40) 395 -396 - 396.0-397. ML - Fine silt, ML, 10YR 4/2, very dry, dense? 397 397.5-399. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med 398 dense. (0/60/40) 399 - 399.0-405. No Sample



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 17 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 400 -401 -402 -403 -404 -405 - 405.0-409. SM - Fine grain Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med No Sample from 407-408.5 dense. (0/70/30) 406 -407 -408 -409 - 409.0-411. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, very dark gray 10YR 3/1, "smokey" odor moist, med dense to dense 410 -411 **4**11.0-422. No Sample 412 -413 -414 -415 -416 -417 -418 -419 -420 -421 -422 - 422.0-426. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, moist, trace thin clay lenses, trace plant 423 detritus (organics), trace organic silt. (0/65/35) 424 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 18 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWM ELEVATION: 4914.	D Deep Well I	IING: 285233.953	LOCATION: B EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM:	NAD27 State Plane
DRILLING METHOD				NTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ
DEPTH TO WATER:			T: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008	LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell
DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD			CORE DESCRIPTION:	COMMENTS:
INTERVAL (ft) RECOVERY TYPE-# 6-6-		PENETRATION TEST RESULTS 6-6-6 (in) (N)	SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, MINERALOGY.	DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION.
425 –				_
426 – 426.0-437			No Sample	_
428 -				_
429 – 430 –				_
431 –				_
432 –				
434 –				_
435 – 436 –				
437 – 437.0-447.			SM - Very fine to fine Silty Sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, moist, trace thin clay lenses, trace medium	_
438 –			sand, trace cemented sand and silt. (0/70/30)	_
440 –				_
442 –				
443 –				_
444 –				_
446 -			No armete	_
447 – 447.0-453			No sample	-
449 –				_



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 19 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ 3/13/2008 DEPTH TO WATER: START: END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, **TEST** RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS. AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 450 -451 -452 -453 - 453.0-456. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, med dense to loose, trace thin clay lenses. (0/80/20) 454 -455 -456 -456.5-458. ML - Very fine sandy silt, ML, 10YR 4/4, 10YR 4/4 to 10YR 8/1, 457 dry, sandier than previous silt layers. (0/20/80) 458 - 458.0-460. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, med dense to loose, trace organics and organic silt, trace 459 fine gravel, trace cemented lenses. (0/80/20) 460 - 460.0-462. ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Very Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 3/2, moist, dense, trace organics and organic silt, trace 461 cemented sandy silt 462 - 462.0-464. No Sample 463 -464 - 464.0-469. SMML - Semi-Interbedded sequence of: (1) Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, loose; and (2) Sandy clayey silt, ML, very Dark Gray 10YR 3/2, moist, med 465 dense, trace organic silt. (0/60/40) 466 -467 -468 -469 - 469.0-470. No sample 470 - 470.0-472. ML - Sandy clayey silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, dry/moist, trace very dark gray lenses, trace cemented silt. (0/50/50) 471 -472 - 472.0-476. No Sample 473 -474 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18

Sheet 20 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 EASTING: 1880473.687 NORTHING: 285233.953 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~473' DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# 6-6-6 (in) MINERALOGY. (N) 475 -476 - 476.0-477. SW - Fine to medium sand, SW, 10YR 4/4 dark yellowish brown, moist/wet, loose, organic odor. (0/90/10) 477 - 477.0-480. MLSM - Interbedded layer of silt sand and clay, ML/SM/CL, Very Dark Gray 10YR 3/1, med stiff/dense, moist to wet. (0/30/70) 478 -479 -480 - 480.0-486. No Sample 481 -482 -483 -484 -485 -486 - 486.0-490. SMML - Interbedded sequence of: (1) Fine to medium grain silty sand, SM, 10YR 4/2, med dense, moist/wet; and (2) Sandy 487 clayey Silt, ML, Dark Yellowish/Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2-4/4, med dense, moist, trace organic silt. (0/70/30) 488 -489 -490 - 490.0-496. No Sample 491 -492 -493 -494 -495 -GM - Poorly graded sandy silty gravel, GM, fine sand to small 496 - 496.0-505. Water level at 496'. No Sample from 498'-501' cobbles, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, wet, loose. (60/30/10) 497 -498 -499 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-18


Sheet 21 of 21

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4914.63 NORTHING: 285233.953 EASTING: 1880473.687 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 3/13/2008 END: 4/2/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION TEST INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 500 -501 -502 -503 -504 -505 - 505.0-506. SW - Fine to medium grain sand, SW, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, wet, loose. (0/90/10) 506 - 506.0-507. CL - Silty Clay, CL, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff, moist. (0/5/95) 507 - 507.0-517. No sample 508 -509 -510 -511 -512 -513 -514 -515 -516 -517 - 517.0-517. End of Boring @ 517' 518 -

MONITORING WELL / PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION LOG

WELL NO: MW-19		PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation	SITE: Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District
PROJECT NO: 370585.DW.02	2	CONSTRUCTED BY: Boart Longyear	CITY: Layton, UT
NORTHING: 286310.920	EASTING: 1882232.660	GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION: 4768.40	MEASURING POINT ELEVATION: 4770.88



TOTAL DEPTH: 357.0' (FEET BELOW GROUND SURFACE)

A. SURFACE COMPLETION

COMPOSITION:

8-inch diameter protector pipe; 2.5-feet stick-up

SIZE: 4' x 4' concrete pad

B. SURFACE SEAL

MATERIAL: Concrete

INTERVAL: -1.0' - 3.0'

C. RISER PIPE

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC

INTERVAL: -2.5' – 180.0'

D. GROUT

COMPOSITION: Bentonite/Cement Grout

INTERVAL: 3' - 317'

E. SEAL

TYPE: Bentonite Chips

INTERVAL: 317' - 328'

F. FILTER PACK

TYPE: **#20/40 Silica Sand**INTERVAL: **328' - 357'**

G. SCREEN

DIAMETER: 4-inch

TYPE: Schedule 80 PVC

SLOT SIZE: 10 slot (.010-inch)

INTERVAL: 333' - 353'

CONST LOG (1) A REV 1 03-2008



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 1 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~329 DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS. AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 0 - 0.0-5.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, (x/x/x) = %gravel/sand/fines moist, loose, trace plant detritus/roots. (0/70/30) 2 -3 -5 - 5.0-7.0 ML - Sandy Silt, ML, Dark Brown to Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/2-4/6, moist, med dense, some organics detritus/wood/roots. (0/50/50) 7 - 7.0-10.0 ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Brown 7.5YR 4/4, moist, med dense, some organics (detritus, roots, intact shell). (0/40/60) 9 -10 - 10.0-14.0 ML - Sandy Clayey Silt, ML, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/8, moist, med dense, some organic detritus/roots/pine needles. (0/40/60) 11 -12 -13 -14 - 14.0-16.5 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, trace silty clay lenses, trace organic detritus. (0/70/30) 15 -16 16.5-24.0 SMCL - Interbedded layers of: (1) Silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Wet at ~ 23' 17 -10YR 4/6, moist, med dense; and (2) Silty sandy clay, CL, Brown 7.5YR 4/4, moist, med stiff, trace organics. (0/50/50) 18 -19 -20 -21 -22 -23 -24 - 24.0-28.5 SM - Fine silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, wet, dense. (0/70/30)



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 2 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 25 -26 -27 -28 -SMCL - Interbedded sequence of: (1) Fine silty sand, SM, Dark 28.5-30.5 Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist/wet, dense; and (2) Silty 29 Clay, CL, Brown 7.5YR 4/4. moist, stiff, trace orange staining. (0/65/35) 30 -30.5-37.0 SM - Very fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist/dry, loose, trace cemented lenses. (0/80/20) 31 32 -33 -34 -35 -36 -SM - Fine to medium grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 37 - 37.0-45.0 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, with trace thin gray, dry, silt lenses. (0/75/25) 38 -39 -40 -41 -42 -43 -44 -45 - 45.0-59.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, loose to med dense, moist, trace thin silt/clay lenses, trace cemented sand lenses. (0/75/25) 46 -47 -48 -49 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 3 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION TEST INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 50 -51 -52 -53 -54 -55 -56 -57 -58 -59 - 59.0-77.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown, wet, med Wet at 59' dense, trace orange (Fe) staining, trace rganic silt, some 60 thin clay lenses. (0/70/30) 61 -62 -63 -64 -65 -66 -67 -68 -69 -70 -71 -72 -73 -74 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 4 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 75 -76 -77 - 77.0-79.0 CLSM - Interbedded sequence of: (1) Silty Clay, CL, Brown 7.5YR 4/3, moist, stiff; and (2) Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark 78 -Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, med dense, wet. (0/35/65) 79 - 79.0-88.5 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, wet, trace thin clay lenses, trace organic silt. (0/70/30) 80 -81 -82 -83 -84 -85 -86 -87 -88 -88.5-92.0 CL - Silty Clay, CL, Brown 7.5YR 4/3 and Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med stiff to stiff, moist. (0/10/90) 89 -90 -91 -92 - 92.0-94.0 SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med dense, wet, trace thin clay lenses, trace organic silt. 93 -CL - Silty Clay, CL, Brown 7.5YR 4/3 and Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 94 -4/2, med stiff to stiff, moist. (0/10/90) 95 -SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med 95.5-97.5 dense, wet, trace thin clay lenses, trace organic silt. 96 -(0/65/35) 97 97.5-105.5 SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Brown 7.5YR 5/4, med 98 dense, wet, trace orange staining, trace thin clay lenses. (0/65/35) 99 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 5 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~329 DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 100 -101 -102 -103 -104 -105 -105.5-111. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, 106 moist, loose. (0/85/15) 107 -108 -109 -110 -111 - 111.0-112. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Brown 7.5YR 5/4, med dense, moist, trace orange staining, trace organic silt. (0/65/35) SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, 112 - 112.0-116. loose, trace orange staining. (0/85/15) 113 -114 -115 -116 - 116.0-119. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Brown 7.5YR 5/4, med dense, moist to wet, trace thin clay lenses. (0/65/35) 117 -118 -119 - 119.0-121. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose. (0/85/15) 120 -121 - 121.0-125. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Brown 7.5YR 5/4, med dense, moist to wet, some iron-like platelettes (~1/16" thick). (0/65/35) 122 -123 -124 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 6 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 125 - 125.0-131. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/4, med dense, moist to wet, trace thin clay lenses. (0/70/30) 126 -127 -128 -129 -130 -131 - 131.0-135. CL - Silty Sandy Clay, CL, Yellowish Brown 10YR 5/4, moist, med stiff, trace organic silt, trace orange staining. (0/85/15) 132 -133 -134 -135 - 135.0-141. SM - Fine grain silty clayey sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, trace thin clay lenses 136 -137 -138 -139 -140 -141 - 141.0-143. ML - Very fine sandy clayey silt, ML, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR "smokey" smell 4/4, dry, loose, with a large organic silt lense. (0/25/75) 142 -143 - 143.0-151. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, wet, med dense, trace medium sand, trace cemented silt lenses, trace organic silt, trace orange staining. (0/80/20) 144 -145 -146 -147 -148 -149 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 7 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 150 -151 - 151.0-156. ML - Sandy clayey silt, Reddish Brown 5YR 5/4, moist, med dense, thin clay laminations, trace orange staining, trace 152 iron-like platelettes. (0/25/75) 153 -154 -155 -156 - 156.0-165. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, trace cemented lenses, trace orange staining, 157 trace organic silt, trace thin lenses of sandy clayey silt. (0/75/25)158 -159 -160 -161 -162 -163 -164 -165 - 165.0-177. SM - Fine to medium grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, moist, loose, trace coarse sand to fine gravel, trace thin silt/clay lenses. (0/85/15) 166 -167 -168 -169 -170 -171 -172 -173 -174 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 8 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST RESULTS MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 175 -176 -177 - 177.0-193. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4-4/6, trace cemented sand lenses, trace thin silt/clay 178 lenses. (0/75/25) 179 -180 -181 -182 -183 -184 -185 -186 -187 -188 -189 -190 -191 -192 -SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, 193 - 193.0-204. loose, moist, with some sandy clayey silt lenses, trace cemented sand lenses. (0/70/30) 194 -195 -196 -197 -198 -199 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 9 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RESULTS RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 200 -201 -202 -203 -204 204.5-213. SM - Fine to medium sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6-4/4, 205 moist, loose, trace coarse sand to fine gravel, trace organic silt. (0/85/15) 206 -207 -208 -209 -210 -211 -212 -213 - 213.0-227. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR Cemented lenses are oxidized (orange in color) 4/4-4/6, moist, loose, trace thin silt/clay lenses, trace cemented sand lenses. (0/70/30) 214 -215 -216 -217 -218 -219 -220 -221 -222 -223 -224



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 10 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 225 -226 -227 - 227.0-238. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, trace coarse sand/fine gravel, trace thin 228 silt lenses, trace clay ooids from 237-238. (0/75/25) 229 -230 -231 -232 -233 -234 -235 -236 -237 -238 -ML - Very fine grain sandy clayey silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 238.5-241. 10YR 4/2, dry, very dense, trace cemented silt lenses. 239 -(0/30/70) 240 -241 - 241.0-242. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose. (0/75/25) 242 - 242.0-244. ML - Very fine grain sandy clayey silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, dry, very dense, trace cemented silt lenses. 243 -(0/30/70) 244 - 244.0-247. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, trace cemented sand and silt lenses. (0/70/30) 245 -246 -247 - 247.0-257. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, with trace silt, ML, (10YR 4/2) lenses. 248 -(0/70/30) 249 -



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 11 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) 250 -251 -252 -253 -254 -255 -256 -257 - 257.0-271. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4-4/6, moist to dry, loose, trace cemented sand/silt 258 lenses, trace thin silt/clay lenses, trace orange (Fe) staining. (0/65/35) 259 -260 -261 -262 -263 -264 -265 -266 -267 -268 -269 -270 -271 - 271.0-274. ML - Sandy clayey silt, ML, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, dry/moist, loose, with trace thin cemented sand lenses. 272 -(0/50/50) 273 -274 - 274.0-279. SM - Very fine grain sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6,



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 12 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) SS=Split Spoon (N) moist, loose, trace orange staining, trace thin clay 275 lenses, trace cemented lenses. (0/75/25) 276 -277 -278 -279 - 279.0-285. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, loose, trace cemented sand lenses with laminated 280 orange staining, trace thin silt lenses. (0/65/35) 281 -282 -283 -284 -285 SM - Very fine sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, moist, 285.5-291. 286 loose, trace thin clay/silt lenses, trace thin cemented lenses. (0/70/30) 287 -288 -289 -290 -291 - 291.0-305. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/6, Beginning to become wet at 294' med dense, moist to wet, trace organic silt, trace thin 292 cemented lenses. (0/75/25) 293 -294 -295 -296 -297 -298 -299



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 13 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell ~329 DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# 6-6-6 (in) MINERALOGY. SS=Split Spoon (N) 300 -301 -302 -303 -304 -305 - 305.0-318. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med Wet (Water Table) at 308.5'; becoming less wet dense, wet, trace to heavy orange and black (oxidized) (moist) at 316' 306 staining, trace thin clay lenses, trace plant detritus/organic silt. (0/65/35) 307 -308 -309 -310 -311 -312 -313 -314 -315 -316 -317 -318 318.5-322. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR Wet again at ~322' 4/6-4/4, loose to med dense, moist, trace organic silt 319 lenses, trace thin clay lenses. (0/75/25) 320 -321 -322 322.5-327. GM - Sandy fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR Moist from 322.5-324. Dry to 327. 323 -4/4, moist to dry, loose, with some small cobbles. (35/45/20) 324



BORING ID:

370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 14 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG

PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, TEST DRILLING FLUID LOSS. **RESULTS** MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 325 -326 -327 - 327.0-335. SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med Wet at 327' dense, wet, trace thin clay lenses. (0/75/25) 328 -329 -330 -331 -332 -333 -334 -335 - 335.0-342. GM - Sandy fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, wet, loose, trace small cobbles. (50/35/15) 336 -337 -338 -339 -340 -341 -342 -342.5-344. GM - Sandy fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 343 -4/4, wet, loose, trace small cobbles. (20/60/20) 344 344.5-347. GM - Sandy fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 345 -4/4, wet, loose, trace small cobbles. (50/40/10) 346 -347 - 347.0-350. GM - Silty fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, wet, loose, trace small cobbles, no sand. (90/0/10) 348 -349 -



PROJECT NUMBER

BORING ID:


370585.DW.02

MW-19

Sheet 15 of 15

SOIL BORING LOG


PROJECT: WIWMD Deep Well Installation LOCATION: ELEVATION: 4768.40 NORTHING: 286310.920 EASTING: 1882232.660 COORDINATE SYSTEM: NAD27 State Plane DRILLING METHOD/EQUIPMENT USED: Rotosonic DRILLING CONTRACTOR: BOART LONGYEAR CO., PEORIA, AZ DEPTH TO WATER: START: 4/9/2008 END: 4/14/2008 LOGGER: Aaron Cantrell DEPTH BGS (ft) STANDARD CORE DESCRIPTION: COMMENTS: PENETRATION TEST INTERVAL (ft) SOIL NAME (USCS GROUP SYMBOL), COLOR, DEPTH OF CASING, DRILLING RATE, MOISTURE CONTENT, RELATIVE DENSITY, RESULTS DRILLING FLUID LOSS. RECOVERY TESTS, AND INSTRUMENTATION. OR CONSISTENCY, SOIL STRUCTURE, TYPE-# MINERALOGY. 6-6-6 (in) (N) 350 - 350.0-353. GM - Sandy fine to coarse gravel, GM, Dark Yellowish Brown 10YR 4/4, wet, loose, trace small cobbles. (60/30/10) 351 -352 -SM - Fine grain silty sand, SM, Dark Grayish Brown 10YR 4/2, med 353 - 353.0-357. dense/loose, wet, trace clay lenses. (0/70/30) 354 -355 -356 -357 - 357.0-End of boring @ 357' 358 -




WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011


Copyright (c) 2011, IGES, INC

Sample from Auger Cuttings





WELL - XLE - 2.1.2011



Examined 12: 22:45 V. 62

Recorded: 3: 315-62-65 V. 62 7: 3: V.60

Inspection Sheet 12: 22: 45: 47 V.60

Inspection 16: 27: 27: 47 V.60

REPORT OF WELL DRILLER STATE OF UTAH

Application No. 97297.

Claim No. 134-13333

GENERAL STATEMENT: Report of well iriller is hereby made and files with the State Engineer, in accordance with the laws of Utah. This report shall be filed with the State Engineer within 30 days after the completion or abandonment of the well. Failure to file such reports constitutes a misdemeanor.)

					4 41		* ***
(1) WELL OW	NER: To Pedense Enimonel.	(12) WE	LL TESTS:	Orswaaws is	the ilstance in feet	the water leve	11 3 00
Name 2 43	The Francisco	Was a pump	test made! I'es !				11.5
Address	27 7 10 1 - Local " To	Teid:	rei. min. 1	r:c5	_feet fravious aft	ter	20071
(2) LOCATION	OF WELL!				_ *	3	_ *
	Ground Water Basin	Bailer test	4 A	. vith	7 "		
	:leave blank)	Bailer test				Car	2001
South 5 4 9 1	eet Nest 150 feet from 21 & Corner	No. of the last of	of water		o.m. Date emical analysis made	Yo Z	Yes T
,	/ N / -> 3L3M				100770 0000	/	
of Section 3	. T. R. W. Watar etrike	The Section Section	ILL LOG:	Diameter		9/11	:ncies
out words not needed)		Depth irilled	5 + 65		of completed well	117	
	OF WORK (check): New Well 🖫	NGTE: Plac	e an "I" in the spa	ce or combination	on of spaces needed to depth interval. Under the color, size, nature sheet if needed.	designate the	material
Replacement Well	Decoming C Repair C Abandon C	touncered in	sach tepth neerval.	Car adultional	sheet if needed.		arias vo-
If abandonment, ieser	be meterfal and procedure:	PTSEC	MATE	RIAL	200	2020 80	
							1
N. MITTER	T TIES ()				as:	EXELL	
A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE	OF USE (check):		7 7 3	desired by the state of the sta		·12 -	72-0
	matrial C Municipal C Stockwater C	15. Tr	Silk	Manda Manda Congla Bedroch			
irrigation D Min	ing C Other C Test Well C	9 11			·		
(5) TYPE OF	CONSTRUCTION (check):	1 2 15			Jun 2	(2)	
Rotary 5	Dug 🗆 Jected 🗆	1	1		/	2	
Cable 🗆	Driven C Burnd C	11/1/	121	2 5 -	- dim		592
(6) CASING S	CHEDULE: Threaden T Weided T		7			214.	
Olam. Iro		19 30	¥	-		15	
Diam. (ro		31 11			···line	10 1000	2
Diam. from		102/120	14'		/ 1	1 2	14.
New 2	Raject C Used C	12: /32		54		7	*
		122 00	X			2, 6414	
(7) PERFORA	TIONS: Performed: Yes & No 3	110 94	3		70.00		
Tros of perforator ass		101 4:		Υ'	Su. 100		
Size of perforations	tron 5 2 tert to 5 de tert	25:313	1 Xiton	4	DP	55 8/ is	7 7 4
		2037		-	sandy	1/10	1 2
perforations		330 119		×		W.	
perforations		1	100		14	117 A 1277	
perforations		427 410	101	1	10 min		40 0 0
		420 118				متدان المتواد	
(8) SCREENS:	Weil screen installed! You S No S	305 (3)	X X			a delign see	
Manufacturer's Name.		1627 142	24 · 24		546	92 mg	
Typ4	Model No.	21-14-	2.11				
DiamSlot a	lze			- 1	120 -		
Diam3lot e	ise Set from 12 to	-				11.00	
(9) CONSTRUC	CTION:			- 1	7,32143 .24		
	d: Yes _ No & Size of gravel:	1	1 2 1 2				·
Gravel placed from	feet to feet			1 1 2	236		
Was a surface seal pro	vided : Yes 🗆 No 发			17 1 10	i kija – i	······································	
To what depth !	feet		10 TO 10 VIV				
Material used in seal:				1 4 1	a Santago e a con-	77~	
Old any strata contain	unussale water! Yes _ No A			1 00 0	Maria de		2
Type of water:	Depth of streat			1.00	457		
Method of sealing strat	ta off:	Work started	26 41/	4-119-	ompieted 185	200	:9/45
			1 1/				
		(14) PU	. 180	12 1			
Was surface casing user		Manufacturer	1 Name	NI)			
Was it remented in plan	e4;	Troe:	contrary.	000	ALL STATES	The state of	
(10) WATER L	EVELS:	Depth to yum	p or bowles	-C-()-123	Seet Comments and	-	75.7
Statte level 4/8		Weil Drille	r's Statement:	-	(Jan	3500	
Artenian pressure	feet above land surface Date			inder my sup	ervision, and this	s report is	: 1e to
and pressure		the best of	my knowledge a	nd beller.		1.	. 2
LOG RECEIVED:	(11) FLOWING WELL:	Name -	Zyraon, drm, at sor	poration	wars	(True or or	(1)
	Controlled by (check) Yaiva ZI	1 Midrosa	277	Quil!	01371	ימוזכ זו בכנדו	15
2-22-65	Cap E Ping E No Control E	Address	10000	1,	Maine	2417	-
V.F.C.	Does well leak around maing! Yes I	(Signed)	Colonial de	He	(Poriller)		
	No I	License No.	47	_ Date	2000	4 100 100	. 3

STRA	MA-	FURA I	GAI	MMA		
) NEU	JTROI	V LO	G		
DATA	INC.					
FILE NO. 51520	COMPANY:	EMCON A	NSSOCI/	MES		
	WELL:	NDRD				
	FIELD:	NORTH (AVIS RI	EFUSE	DISPO	SAL SITE
	COUNTY:	DAVIS	S	TATE:	HATU	
	LOCATION:				OTHER L	DGS:
	SEC: TW	p _:	RGE:		NONE	
PERMANENT DA	TUM: TOP OF	CASING				
	FROM: TOP OF	CASING				
RUN NO.	ONE					
DATE:	08/24/89					
DRILLE	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR					
DEPHI of DLI D						
DEPTH of BLI DI				**		
CASING UP TH/S						
CASING DP1H/S	The same of the sa					
LOGGE!	A 100 111 4 11 A 100 A 1	I		ı — — — — —		
CASING DEPTH	532					
BOT LOGINTER						
TOP LOG INTER						
TYPE FLUIO IN H	A COMPANY OF THE PERSON AND PROPERTY AND					
Rm at 1EM?	N/A					
SAMPLE SOURCE	N/A					**************************************
FLUID LEYEL	N/A	**************************************				
TIME SI' CIRC						
OCCODE.	H-DOMALD I	MEININ				

	•
1	-
-	5
1	,
3	Ž.
Ī	5
1	2
(1

O	3		3 A 503	17	د	ONIDOO	LOCGING IDETECTOR! SPACING	2	ACING	SOL	SUE	LOCOSO INTERVAL	TAL CRO	ERVA:	Ŧ,
₹ F F	Į.	9	OEL PROBE (UPHOLE DIG NY SPEED TANKE)	S.Y.S.	N 010 1	SPEED FT./E	11 12 12 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	¥ 1.334	FEET	<i>y</i> .	22.0	Ort	55	Z 1.	
P	8	One 9258	27 A20	3	27,420 1:05 las	50	Sant.	N/A	1 H/A H/A H/A 532	Y/H	HIA		0	223	-
8113	8	One 92.50	127USA	50: 6	סבן בסן פס: פניאצעיקב		P. B	4.21	112 In 1 474 Are 3.0 532	Arre.	0.1	272	0	512	-
			_			_	_				-			554	- 3
	_	-				25		***					**	214	
k 8 3						-	_					-		100.00	٢.
	=													-	-
ALIBRATION FACTOR(S):	ON FAC	STOR(S)	ı	4	Shop API Calibration	•									-

GTAL FILE NAME(S): MORCLOG ENAMES:

הַיִּדָּר הַפְּיִייִיהָ	A 1 24			- 1						115040WE
16371 PV	70								ż	
SEFFERNAS PLEVITION	No: Determin	ec			₽				CONTENT	
new erg	10				=		100	$\widehat{\Xi}$	į.	MELL
BORING DIAMETER	id inches				=	E	:/k	=		1
TESTH TO TECHNOMITALS	Not Determin	ed			(133.1) (133.1)	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	OVM (ppm)	WATER	CONSTRUCTION
	CRIPTION AND CL	ASSIFICATION COLOR	CONSIST.	SCIL	35	SA	Ξ	0	W	
DESCRIPTION AND R	LMARKS			-		_				451.00
Silty fine SAND, damp Clayey Silt lenses		reddish brown	medium dense	SM	- <u>-</u> -					CENETI I
	24				- 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	×				2 - In SCR EDUCE 40 PYC 3 Lev X
Siley CLAY, camp		brown	stiff	CL	- · 4 · 8 · 3 · - · - · - · - · · · ·	×				CAST HETTOTI GREAT
Clayey Stat. Hittle Sand.	damp	ргожп	stiff	MI	- 28 30 34 38 38 38	×	The second secon			
NOTE. THE STRATTECATION LINES REFRESENT THE AFFECTIVATE BOUNDARY BETT ETM MATERIAL TOPES: THE TRANS- TION MAY BE EXACUAL.					- 40 —					
THE	TOIT					1		C:		
Wasa Environwe	ICH .	-			DAVIS C	our	TY	LAN	OFIL	2
- 1	/									
A TUDONIA		11/	PROJECT			No.			OE SALE	ST 1: OF 5.

1475 187150 8 2 22					1					-0 E 2
Ligger By TO						61 8		WATER CONTENT		
pergarking graysming Not Determ	iined			DEPTH (FEET)		Ξ	_	Z		
20 100				Ξ		BLOWS/F00T	(пида) мло	Ξ	WE:	
BORING DISMETER 10 Inches TRANS TO SPORT TO SPOR		-		Ξ	SAMPLER	W.5.	Ĵ	E	CONSTR	JETION
TERRY TO SPOUNDWATER NOT Determ SESCRIPTION AND	C1188181617	QN		<u>=</u>	NV:	0	× ×	[. V M		
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST	3012							
Silty CLAY, little Sand, damp	olive	firm	CL.	- 42 -	×			1		2- m SCHEDULE 40 PVC SLAYK
				- - 44 -						TENENT :
				45 - 48						SENTONITE SECUT
Silvy fine SANE, damp	rword	medium dense	SM	- 50 -	×					
				- -51 -						
				— 54 — - — 56 —						
				- -53 -						
				_ 60 _ _	- - - -					
				62 64						
Roomy graded fine SAND, little Silt. Liamp	brown	medium dense	SP	- — 55 —					1///	
				— 53 —	1					
				— 70 — - — 72 —	×					
				- 74						
66505046				- ⁻ 6 -	1					
NOTE THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE AFFROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETTMENT AATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS- TION KAY BE GRADUAL.				78 - 80	- - - - - -					
	<u> </u>			7	¥ E	100	Ĵ			
Wasatch Environmental,	INC			CAVIS	ccu			ideil -		
LITY YIM OLVINDIYIAL,	1110.	PROJECT	#	150-4	H.	Ξ <u>΄</u>	ž .	C'4 - 3	1487 2	OF 5

name harrien									5040E0
1200000 PY TO								ξ.	
PERSPENSE STRUCTION Not Determine	ed.			<u> </u>				=	
aput ain 12 tana						10(~	S	
BORING DIAMETER 10 inches				DEPTH (FEET)	¥.	BLOWS/FOOT	ОУМ (ррпп)	WATER CONTENT	WELL
TRATE TO TRAINING MATER NOT Determin	:ed	-		Ē	SAMPLER	¥.		<u> </u>	DMSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND C			1230		SAA	E	0 ()	×××	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL						
Poorly graded fine SAND, little Slit. damp	brown	medium dense	S? -	- 82 -					2 - 6 50-100-12 10-740 11-44X
				- 54 - 86					4 100
(damp/dry)				- 88 - - - 90 - -	×				CEMENT. BESTICKLTE STROUT
				-92 — - -94 —					
				-95 <u>-</u> -98 -					
		2		- 00 - - 00 -	×				
				-:04-					
				-108 <u>-</u> _108					
				-110 -110 -	×				
				- · : 2 — - · : 4 —					
(continued)				-1:5 -1:5					
NOTE. THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE UPPROXIMATE BOUNDARY SETTEEN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS- TION MAY BE TRACUAL				-: 18 -: 20	×				
Wasatch Environmental, i	7,10			AVIS	CO.	. <u></u>	LAY	175:::	
E AVIRONMENTAL, I	NC.	PROJECT	# : ;;	50-4	WE.	· · · · · · · · ·	į	M - EYE.	T C OF 5

1475 TOTAL 5 4 44									::53438+
1000F1 RY 75								= -	
PREFERENCE PLEVETION NOT Determine	ec		10	Œ				Ė	
John 510 75 1,100						00	=	CONTENT	WELL
RORING DIAMETER 10 inches	= 1			DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLER	HOWS/FOOT	оми (рри)		4
ngame to takingwarea Not Determine	ed			Ξ	E .	O.W.) =	WATER	CNSTRUCTION
OFSCRIPTION AND TH	122.51471		1501	 :::	VS.	Ξ	3	M.	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL					1	:564
Poorly graded fine SAND, damp	эгэжл	medium dense	SP						CIMENT. SENTONITE SROUT
Ú+				134 				144	984
				—; 25— : 28—					
				 130 	 ×			3000	SENTONITE -
				133 14					2-'n SCHEDULE 44 PVC BLAKK
				—:35— 					1:8, 40 CELIRADO
				35- -	į				SILC. SAFE
								,	CELLAGO CELLAGO SILCA SAGO SOFILUE 40 PAG DOI: SOFIL
dry density @ 146 (eet = 103.5 pounds per cubic (oot)				— 144 — - 145 —	X	43		2:45 2:35	
we:				—: 43 — -					
(five-gallon bucket sample collected 9 150 (eet depth)				- 152 —					
				-:54- -:54-					
(continued)				- :55-					
NOTE, THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE SCUNDARY BETWEEN MATERIAL TITLES, THE TRANS— TION MAY BE GRADUAL				- 160-	1	. 100			
WASATCH	T 1		-	AVIS C			LAND	F:11	
ENVIRONMENTAL, I	NC.	PROJECT	# : :	150-4	W.	=_	<u> </u>	CW - E.A.	57 4 3F 5

TATE TRIVET	- 1 21									115	14188
LOGGES BY	" G					1	1		Ξ		
REFERENCE ELEVATION	Not Determin	ec .			Ξ		1		Ė		
284 i 5.0	10 . 100				#		.00	=	É	147	a
מרפואני הוג מרפוס	in inches				٥	E.E.	Ξ	=	~	7	ELL
מבבתת בע מפטורוטאלבבם	Not Determin	ed			(таза) пъта	SAMPLER	HOWS/FOOT	ОУМ (ррш)	WATER CONTENT	CONST	RUCTION
028	CEIBLION FAS C.	4551710471		2011	Ξ	N.S.	E	5	× ×		
DESCRIPTION AND RE	MARKS	COLOR	CONSIST	SOLF		_					
Poorly graded fine SAND,	wet	reddish brown	medium dense	S.							16, 49 COLUMBO SILCA SARO 20-10 SCHEDULE 40, PKC 20-11 SUPERIOR
SOTTOM OF WELL @ 165				- Aryon	—;65 — 						
- Indicates Standard - Drive Sampler	remetration								1		
🗴 - indicates Grab Sami	ole										
— indicates California Sampler	a Drive					to the state of th					
						and have the confidence of the					
NOTE THE STRATTFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE SOUNDARY RETAIN VALUE ALL TOPES, THE TRANS- TON WAY BE SPAIL IN											
T17	T CTT				4		100		_		
WASA. Environme	ICH NTAL /	NC		-	AVIS C	200.0000	196.1/180			4. XXXX	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1111111111	-,	PROJECT	= :	20-4	4 -		Ξ.	4	AST 5	

						;			
7475 050157 5 15 15 94								=	::5040WS
1000ET BY TO Not Determin				_	1			WATER CONTENT	
3841 BIG 45 1000				окетп (еект)	1	J.O.	~	. <u>N</u>	
POPING CHARTER 12 Traces	_	-		Ξ	\simeq	F	=	0	WELL
CERTY TO SECUNDATE NO. Determin	ed			=	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	ОУМ (ррип)	Ē	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND C	LASSIF'CATI	,	1500	\equiv	SAA	E	0 \	X X	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL					1	
Stity fine SAND, damp	reddish brown	medium dense	SM	- : -					
		501,50887845	1 -						
			1 1	- · -					
	1			_ = _					
	1								SCHEULE 10 PVC
	 			- s -					2-la SCHEDUE G PYC BLANX
Clayey SiLT, damp	משכתם	firm	ML						SCHEDUE TO PYC PLANK
		i I	1	-:::-	×				
				-					15.734m2 17.00
			1 1	- · 5 -					
	! 								
Shity GLAY, damp	brown.	stiff	i CL L	_ · f					3 GCC-
	black			-				30	
	mouling		-	- ' 🗄 —					
								2	
			-		×				
								200	
			. !			1			
				- 14 -					
		S		_					
				- js		i		200	
Slayey SILT. Hitle Sand, damp	השפתם	. firm	M						
				_ 28	2			00000	
				7			9	88	
				– 30 –	×				
				- 32 -				3833	
				-				9	
			-	- 34 -				8000	
				-				200	
Stity CLAY, damp	brown/	stiff		_ 35				2000	
(continued	yellowish brown		1	7.0				200	
NET THE EDITECTOR WHE	0.0		1	- 35				2000	
REFRESENT THE UPPROXIMATE BOUNDARY RETURN MATERIAL TIPES. THE TRANS-			[_ 40 _				3	
TON TAY SE TRACUAL					· ·		<u></u>	- 1	WA SHA
MACATCU					Y =				
Wasatch Environmental, I	<i>r</i>			CAV.S	:00:	YTY	77.	DFILL	
HAVIRONUENTAL /	NO	220.20	4	50 .	·		2.71	201	
LI2171110-111111111111,1	. / 0.	PROJECT	7	35-+	4 = 7	- 7	, ੂਜ	-50	JTH : JF 61

רבייני או או מיני									1.504088
hagger ley TG	56							WATER CONTENT	
PREEDENING FIRMATION NOT Determin	e <u> </u>			fi	*	=		2	
7511 513 TB 1100				Ξ	~	00.	Ti.	9.	WELL
energy statement to inches				=	ΙΞ	~	<u>a</u>	\cong	
promung Seleming Not Determing	1551516.51	- ·		оерти (рест)	SAMPLER	HOWS:/FOOT	OVM (ppm)	V.I.	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOIL	$\stackrel{=}{=}$	S.	Ξ	6	*	
			-	- 1 - 8					**** ****1
Shity CLAY, damp	onown.	stiff	CL -					×	
								33	
			. [
								20	2 - n
			[::					2-in SCHEULE 40 PVC
Poorly graded SAND, little Slit, damp	brown	medium.	SML						SCHOOL SCHOOL SCHOOL STATE AND SCHOOL STATE AND SCHOOL SCH
corry ground amily mind and admy		dense		_48 _					
				-50 -					
					×			8	T21217.
				-52 -				88.00	gacut.
								**	
				-54 -					
		2						3	
				_56 _					
		1		- 58 -		1		9	
		i	1					3	
Slity Slay observed in outlings			1	— £1 —	×			**	
			1 -						
		1	-	— 63 —					
			1	-					
			-	— 54 —					
			-					% %	
			-	— 55 —					
			-						
			-	_ 53 _				1	
			-	— 70 —	×		- - - -	200	
			1 -						
			1 +	- 72 -					
			1 -						
				<i>- 74 −</i>				*	
		1	-	1				2	
				— 75 —				1	
sontinued.			-					3000	
200 A CONTROL OF THE ACT OF THE A				— 3° —					
TTE, THE STRATIFICATION LINES PRESENT THE UPPROXIMATE BOUNDARY			-					200	
PRESENT THE UPPROXIMATE BOUNCARY THEM WATERLY TREES, THE TRANS- ON ANY BE TRADUCAL		Ì		— 80 —	×				
				H	Ξ11	100	Ĵ		
WACATCH				DAVIS	10::	YT?	·_4.\i	DELL	
MADAICH	~								
Wasatch Environwental, 1	TAM			J. 11.5					<u></u>

ngre new ren	4 4 01	<u>~</u>				į	į	_		1.604081
110022 84	70					:	-		z	
מבבבבמו על בי בי הידבוליו	Not Determin	ed			£	1	_		WATER CONTENT	i
קפין ביק	75 TUCU				DEPTH (FEET)		HOWS/FOOT	Ê	10.0	WELL
BOSING CITMELES	10 inches			i	=	SAMPLER	1/5	ОУМ (ррпп)	~:	
DEPTH TO DROUNDWATER	Not Determine	1881 5 1017.	27.		=	=	MO	W.	Y.	CONSTRUCTION
DESCRIPTION AND RE		CCLOR	CONSIST	ISOIL	ā	S	Ξ	6	*	
25-20-20 Call 64 W 1-1	NICHTER CONTROL		1			-	H	_		lesid kod
Poorly graded fine SAND damp	, little SHt.	brown	medium dense	S.P	52					2-n
			S-STONE DAMAGE			-				
	9				— B4 —					2-1
	(9				- - 85 -	j				2 - n 57473014 40 700
						-				SHEDU He ANC BLAX
					—88 —	1				
(dry)										
di Pi					— 90 — -	×				TEMENT/ SENTONITE GROUT
					-32 -		1			GROUT
				} }						
					— 94 —					
					- 95					
				-	- 3E -					
idamp				-						
					—: 00 — _	×				
					:ca	1				
				-						
					—; ≎ : —					
					- _::::::					
					_:::a-					
				-						
		-			—1:3—	×				
					*:4					
				-	-			1		
				1	_::s_					
(continued)										
NOTE THE STRATIFICATION LINES										
REPRESENT THE UPPROXIMATE SCUNDARY BETTERN NATURAL TOTES. THE TRANS-	100 mm				 120	×				
TICH WAY SE TRACUAL				1	W	7!	LOC			WA WA
WASA	TCH									
Wasa. Environme		7110			DAVIS	ccu	NT:	-7.7	DFIL	
LIVIRONME	NIAL, I	IVC.	PROJECT	3:	150-4	HE.		- TH	- 50	UTH 3 OF 51
				7.5				1	~ ~	

בי יפר זפיינים בי	å.									11.504084
aggen ay TG									Ξ	
	Determine	rć			<u>-</u>				CONTENT	1
	. 200				DEPTH (PEET)		BLOW::/FOOT	=	200	.,,
	nones	5-			=	E	-	(mdd) MAO		WELL
אסנ פקדבאקאייספה מד עדפה Not	Setermine	d			Ē	SAMPLER).W.	·	WATER	CONSTRUCTION
C ESCRIPT		SSIFICATI		1501	===	S.A.	Ξ	20	W	
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	S	COLOR	CONSIST.	30(L						
Poorly graded fine SAND, little damp	e Siit.	brown	medium dense	SM		tomorand, common filterand fractions for the design of the same of				TENT SECTION TO SECUTION TO SE
Slity Clay observed in outtin	3 5;				-130- - :32- - :34-	×				SECOND :
moist) -Stity Clay © 140 feet to 140, wet © 140,5 feet)	3 feet.						137			2+la scientula 46 mg 33 m schent schent couracio sciuracio sciuracio
Silty Sand and Silty Clay obs	erved in					×				
COUNTINUES. HOTE, THE STRUMFFICHTION UNDER REPRESENT THE UPPROXIMATE BOUNDARY RETURN ANTERUL TIPES, THE TRANS- TON ANY BE TRADUAL.						×	100			
WASATC. ENVIRONMENT	H TAI 1	W.C.		5	AVIS CO	ELL V.V	1 -5 -61		FIL	
DIVVIRONABIVI	AL, I	176.	PROJECT	≟ : ;	150-+	WEL	_ =:	€.	-50	178 4 25 B

numer nemmer a a eu								7 2	11504088	
11007F1 BY 73								=		_
REFERENCE FLEVATION Not Determin	e i			\subseteq				Ë	1	
1971 P.O. 20 1000				並		HOWS/POOT	<u>=</u>	WATER CONTENT		
BOBING DILWITTED IO Inches				$\dot{\Xi}$	\simeq	7.	Ě	~	WELL	
הצפרא הה הפתומת Not Determine	ed			Ē	Ξ	W.:		Ξ	CONSTRUCTIO	\i
DESCRIPTION END OF	ASSIFICATI			OEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLER	0.1	OVM (ppm)	.VM		
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	CCLOR	CONSIST.	SOL							
Poorly graded SAND, little Slit, wet	הייכיום	medium dense	SP	 - 162 - 164 -		A			1- 0 500 EU 1- 0 700 1- 0 700 1- 0 700 10 700 10 700 10 700 10 700	:
BOTTOM OF WELL @ 165 FEET				—: 66 —						
- Indicates Standard Penetration - Orive Sampler										
🗸 - Indicates Grāb Sample				- 1	1					
Indicates California Orive Sampler						The second secon				
NOTE. THE STRATE CATION UNES REPUBLING THE SPECIAL SOUNDARY SETTION AND SELECTION OF TRANS- TION BAY SE TRADUAL WASATCH ENVIRONMENTAL, 1	7			OAVIS C	ELL CUX		J			
ENVIRONMENTAL, I	NC.	PROJECT	<i>‡</i> : ::	50-4	WE.	=	07	(-50	UTH 6 0F <u>6</u>	

14TF 1001FT 5 1 94							di o s	5048	Ξ,:
SUPPLIE FIRM TO YOU Determ								DRY DENSITY (PCT)	
JB 514 73 + JUG				$\widehat{\Xi}$	=	1	(%)	=	1 2
ECRING CHARTER 'C 'nohes		- 4		DEPTH (FEET)	11.0W:5/F00T	1	1 0	=	SHEAR STRENGTH (DET)
TERMY TO GROUNDWATER Not Determ DESCRIPTION AND	inea			DELTH (F	1 2	(mdd) (Hd	WATER	N.	SHEAR
		Ni I	5011		O.	=	YA'T	≿	125 E
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SCIL	= 10	: ! =	1 =	1-0	Ξ	in.
Silly fine SAND, damp	reddish	dense/	SM =	i		İ			
	brown	medium	-	2 -			İ		
		dense		7		İ			
2				4 —		1	İ		
			-	>	<				
	i			2 -1		1			
			Ī.	a _ 1	į				Ì
				ء ٦					
	האיכום				_[
			_		48	1	:8.9	96.9	ĺ
	and the same of th			· / _			1		
			L	- 1		İ			
	light brown		-	·					i i
	3.5	1	1	4 >			İ		
				· 6 🛁	1				
			-	-	ì	The Lands	1		ļ
				· 3 —	İ		[1
Sandy SLLT, damp	brown	very suif	MLL	-	1				
		3		29 			-		
(61.7% passing No. 200)					33		4.5		İ
.o., i s deducing the door									
				~, ⁻					
		1			1				
			Γ	7 7 7	<				
				26-					
				28—					
	'aword	1		30					
	reddish		L	1>	(40		4.5	93.:	Ì
	prown	1	-	32-T					
		i i	-	-					
	brown		-	34-					
	010411		-	>	<	Ÿ.			
4 (000000000 pp. pp. 00000000000000000000				36-					
'conunced)									
בינ בינים ובינים ואונים				35-					
NOTE THE STRATIFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE REFERRIMATE BOUNDARY RETINESH WATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS-			Γ			1	-		
TON HAY BE GRACUAL					7	6511	7 : 00		
717			5.3	PLORAT	UK! 5	U.T	<u>G 100</u>		
Wasatch Environmental,			-	AVIS C	UNT	LAN	OFILL		
There are the state of the stat	TAIC			eronindistrii 1780					
				- 1 Stowner		and on the set of	and the same of the same of		

nite common de de de									5048.	1.3
100000 BY TO	987 (2012)				Ē.	1		. ;?	(F.	
SURFACE FUEVATION Not Seterm:	nec			_		i		1	<u>:</u>	
OBILITARE AR LOCK		_	_	Ξ		10(=	Ę	7	= ± ±
SCRING DIAMETER 10 Inches	T 3 C			=	\simeq).i	<u> </u>	0.5	2	SHEAR WOTH (
วสวาน 10 เลดเพลพมหาว Not Determ: วสวกสเตาเวล มหา	1.122:5:0:1.0:	i		оегти (гест)	SAMPLER	RLOWS/FOOT	оуы (ррш)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY DENSITY (PCF)	STRUBACTH (KSF)
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOL	= ==	1VS).E	0.0	. ∨ ×	=	
Sandy SiLT. damp	השפחם	very	ML			34		ŝ.:		
(55.35 passing No. 200)		stiff	1 [
156.25 passing No. 200)			ļ. į	_ ::-						1
					×					
		İ		- 45 -	1					
					4					
Silty CLAY, moist	reddish	stiff	C1	- 4.8 -	-					
one, can, more	awcac				-					
(L = 597, P! = 29%)				— 50 —	1	63		30.9	-, -	
Poorly graded fine SAND, little Silt.	brown	dense	S.P.		1	00		30.3	34	
damp	5.5	450		— 52 —						
				-5:-						
					×					
		İ		— 55 —	^					
		ļ			-			1		
				— 58 —	7					
			1	-	1					
			1 1	- 50 -						
						04		20.5		
			1	— <u>61</u> —	-					
			[+					
				— o+ —	1.,					
				- 66	×					
		i								
				_ == _						
					1		8			
					X	51		€.0	94.6	
			1	<u> </u>	í					
					İ					
				-74-						
	İ				×					
				— s —						
(continued)		1								
NOTE. THE STRATTFICATION LINES		1	I	_ 5 _						
REPOSEDT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY BETWEEN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS-				_ =^ _						
TION MAY BE DRADUAL	li de la companya de		1	572172 - 33	, ~ ~	2V 2	0011	g Loc		
Wasatch Environmental,			-	2.1.7 201.7	A. U	5	·	بأنوانيو أثيا	et.	
_ WADAICH	<i>T</i>			DAVIS	cou	NT?	_4.V.T	7:11		
FAILIDONINENITAI	NO				33,52,436	510 00000	1 Trans 1/2 to 1 II	26 72 0890	V 321344	
LITY VIII OIVINIDIVIAL,	1110.	PROJEC	T.NC.	: ::50	-4	ECR	NG	YC33	- 1000	1270

1470 126 F 1 126				5					: 5 2 4 5	: VC
LOGGED BY TG					ři.			23	(PCF)	
SUBFACE FIGUATION NOT Determin	:=:			_				=	Ξ	: E
udit, sid 75				Ē		5	_	Ê	<u>`</u>	≃ č
BORING TILMETER 10 Inches	errorate Vi			Ė	~	E0.	Ē	20	25	SHILAR
DESCRIPTION AND THE DESCRIPTION AND THE	1881F1017105	i		Ę	SAMPLER	W.S.	OVM (ppm)	WATER CONTENT (2)	DRY DENSITY	in ž
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SCIL	регти (кест)	SAM	HOWS/FOOT	0 4 1	WAT	DEY	SHEAR STRENGTH (KRT)
Poorty graded SANO, little Silt. damp	brown	dense	SP			38		1.0.3		
(thin interlayered Silty Clay lenses)	reddish brown			- 82- - 84-						
	3.3.,	*		_	×					I I I I
(wet 9 88 (eet)				 - 88 -						
				90 _ 90	i X	40		22.:	100.0	
				- 32 -						
	reddish			— 94 — -	×					
	arowa	E L		— 9 f — -			1			
				-98- 			i : :	¥		
		medium dense			4	124		27 3	1	1
BOTTOM OF BORING @101.5 FEET				- 						
🛫 - Indicates Grab Sample										
— - Indicates Standard Penetration — Drive Sampier										
Sampler (2-1/2 Inch LD.)										
NOTE. THE STRATFICATION LINES SEPRESSENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY SECTION AND ADDRESS, THE TRANS-										H
TION WAY BE TRADUAL				EXPLO:	CTAF	RY B	CEIN	G 100	3	7.
WASATCH	Τ			DAVIS				5.F.L.		
ENVIRONMENTAL,	INC.	PROJEC	m NC	. 1150	- 4	30F	enco	NO : 55	-4007	: 15

nung namian A 1 24					75				÷048	ΞΞ.
_000E0 BY									()	_
SUPPLIE FLEVENCY Not Determin	===			-		_			Ξ	
ROPING GLAWFTER 10 Inches				Ξ	~	.00.	Ξ		31	-=
TOTAL TO TRAINING WATER NOT Determin	ed			=	=	.:	=	¥ Z	 N.	SHEAR
DESCRIPTION AND D	_488.F104711) N	SOIL	DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLER	HOWS/FOOT	mdd) gja	WATER CONTENT (Z)	DRY DENSITY (PCT)	SHEAR STREETH (D.ST)
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLCR	CONSIST.	SGIL		: 57. :	=	-		=	1 10
Silty fine SANO, damp	ргожр	cense	SM					1		
(Sity Clay observed in cuttings © 5 (eet)	light brown			- 4 — - 6 —	×		, III			
				- 3 - - · 3 - - · 2 -	X.	52	100 mm m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	6.3	94.2	
				- · ē —	×		The state of the s			
		medium dense		- 13 — - 23 — - 23 —		24		.5.2		
				- 24— - 25— - 75—	×					
Poority graded fine SAND: little Silt. damp Silty Clay lenses	brown	medium dense	SP 1	- 30 - - 30 - - 32 -	<u> </u>	27		22.4	35 4	
Shity fine SAND, damp and Silty CLAY	brown	medium dense	SM. L	- - 34 - - 36 -	×	A December 2 of the Control of the C				
(CORUMNIED MOTE THE STRATFICATION LINES REPRESENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY SETMEN MATERIAL TYPES THE TRANS- TION MAY SE STADUAL	y			- 88 - - 24 -		DV 2	CRIN			
Wasatch Environmental, 1	7			(PLUS DAVIS	300	<u> </u>	<u>ury</u> [43]	9 300 Fil		
ENVIRONMENTAL, 1	NC.	PROJECT	. No	1:53	-4	ECF	ING	Y10 33	-3007] ў :

1,10 00 00 4 0 3 <u>4</u>									.504	553
MODEL BY 73								<u> </u>		
stiesans stavation Was Desermin	ed			_				-	(E)	=
John 510 75 - JUL						ΞO	_	N.E	≟	123
BORING SLAMETER 10 Inches				Ξ	≃	<u>-</u> .c	1	NO.	N N	SHIAR
<u> </u>	100:000	i	\rightarrow	Ξ !	Ξ	× ::	Ĵ	~	Ξ.	E Z
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SOL	DEPTH (FEET)	SAMPLER	H.OWS/FOOT	OVM (ppm)	WATER CONTENT (2)	DRY DENSITY (PCT)	SHEAR STREMENT (EST)
Sandy SiLT. damp	nword	stiff	TMI -	-		:ŝ		3.3		
***			-	- 42-						
			-	7						
				- 44						
-			Ī	- 45-	×					
				0					i	
			1	- 32					ĺ	
The state of the s			-	-						
with the same of the same of			_	- 50 					1	
isiliy Clay observed in tip of			-	-	, K	30		5.1	90.7	1
split-spoon)		i I		· 52 -						
			-	4						i
little Silty Clay observed)				54-						1
2007, 2000. 127			-	1	,x'					
				22 -						1
					- 1					
İ			1	-3		į				
			-			25		:0.3		
			<u> </u>	£1.—		1				
1			-	4						
			-	- ÷ ÷ -						
			-			į				
				· 55 —		1				
			Г							
				- 35 —						
					_					
				-]	×					
				72						
			-	_						
				74-						
			-	_						
			_	7 ē —						
continued)			-							
				= =					1	
ICTE THE STRUTTCATION LINES EPPENENT THE APPROXIMATE BOUNDARY ETWEN VATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS-			_	3.7					i i	
TON HAY BE TRACOAL				34 -	Χ.	-1/ -	0.011			F:
Wigner				KPLOR.	4	1 =	J.T	3 <u>1</u> 00		
WASAICH	_			DAVIS	00	UNT	1.1	ofill.		
Wasatch Environmental, 1	1110									4
	/ / V /	PROJECT	1 NO.:	::50-					****	2 17 1

SMERGER FORWATTON NOT Determine TRUE DIG AD FORE BORING CHARTER 10 Inches DEPTH TO DECEMBER DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS SELLY fine SANOL damp		v CONSIST medium dense	25 C 25 C 25 C 25 C 25 C 25 C 25 C 25 C	- 88 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	ОУМ (грип)	WATER CORTENT (2)	DRY DEWSTLY (PCF)	зилак знанати (ват)
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	e SSIFTOLTIG COLOR	consist medium	-	32- 32- 34- 36- 38-	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FOOT	ОУМ (рри)	WATER CONTENT		STRUMENT (USB.)
BORING CHAMETER 10 Inches DEPTH TO DROWN WATER NOT Determine DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	consist medium	-	32- 32- 34- 36- 38-	SAMPLER	HLOWS/FOOT	ОУМ (рри)	WATER CORTE	DRY DENSITY	SHEAR STREBETH (1
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	consist medium	-	32- 32- 34- 36- 38-	SAMPLER	BLOWS/FO	лын) МАО	WATER COA	DRY DENS	ETHS CTONEDETS
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	consist medium	-	32- 32- 34- 36- 38-	SAMPL	BLOWS.	OVM (I)	WATI:R	на хиа	BS .
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	consist medium	-	32- 32- 34- 36- 38-	NVS:	010	OVE	TAW	DICK	He
Silty fine SANO. samp	Бложп	Manager and Street and	SM	= 22 - = 24 - = 26 - = 28 - = 28 - = 28 -				0.00		
		Manager and Street and		= 22 - = 24 - = 26 - = 28 - = 28 - = 28 -						
				54 — - 84 — - 88 — - 88 —						
				- 36 - - 38 - - 88 -						
				- 38 -			-			
				- 38 -						
				-						
				-				10		
				_ an _					j	
			1		1 10 20 0					
				11	×			1	1	
				_ 27 _			1			
		-		— 94 —					ĵ	
		1						1		
				- 96 -				ļ	1	
		1					1	1		
1				— 3 <i>3</i> —						
								- 1		
very moist				: cc	`X.		1		i	
-							i	3		
1		1								
wet G 105 feet							1			
				: - =						
							i	i		
		-		_:^=_				}		
								1		
		1			×		į			
			1	_			-			
			i	<u>: -: 5</u>				1		
			1	—; · <u>·</u> -						
1				-					1	
				—: : € —				1		
(continued)										
NOTE, THE STRATIFICATION LINES				- :				i		
ICTE - THE STRAIGHTANTON LINE EXPERIENT THE STRAIGHTE SHUNDARY EXPERIEN AATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS-										
TON WAY BE SPACEAL		-			. 7.		. =i			
Migunari		-		<u> 18710R</u>	A.T.C.	<u> </u>	OR.H	نابر :		
WASAICH	-	1		DAVIS	22	NTY	LAND	F:_:		
Wasatch Environmental, I	170				2766	101 21 12	SEERE PE	97 - 18-17		
LINVINOIVMENTAL, I	140.	FROJEC	7.90	: ::5:		308	:NO >	: 5 55	-::U::	0.0%

ngrangman 4 7 Qui - 5	1 91				1				15048	5÷
LOGGED BY TG		-		4		1		33	(1.c.t.)	
SUBFACE ENERGY NOT Determin	ned		-	-				WATER CONTENT (%)) <u></u>	
25(1) 5(0) 75 .CCC				Ξ	1	TO	-	1 1	LΙ.Χ	===
BORING DIAMETER 10 Inches			-	Ξ	E	F.0	110	93	2	4 E
TEPTH TO TROUNDWATER NOT Determine TERREPORTION AND DE	18817101710	Υ.		DEPTH (PRET)	SAMPLER	HOWS/FOOT	(hppm)	Ħ	DRY DENSITY	55 Z
DESCRIPTION AND REMARKS	COLOR	CONSIST.	SCIL	E	SAL	0.11	100	I.V.M	DICA	SHEAR STRENGTH (KSIT)
Sitty fine SAND, wet	aword	medium dense	EM		1					
				-124-						
	dark brown/			_ -:25-	×					
	gray									
				: 30 	×					
Stiky CLAY, Clayey SILT, moist	dark		[<u>6</u> 2]	32 -						
low plasticity	משכתכ	 -	М.	: 34						
Sandy SILT, trace Clay, moist	dark	very	ML.	 : 36	X	4:		:9.5	:07.9	
BOTTOM OF BORING © 136 FEET - Indicates Standard Penetration Drive Sampler - Indicates Grab Sample - Indicates California Drive Sampler 12 1/2 Inch 0.3.)										
HOTEL THE STRATTFICATION LINES REFPENENT THE REPRODUKATE BOUNDARY RETIVEN MATERIAL TYPES, THE TRANS-										
TON MAY BE DRADUAL		1	+	EXPLOR	ATC	:Y =	ORIN	g Log		
Wasatch Environmental, .	T			DAVIS						
ENVIRONMENTAL.	INC.	PROJECT	D NO	: ::50	- +	BCE	NG N	¥0 : 38	-30178	4]£ 1

WELL #3 CONSTRUCTION

	Slot Size	Gravel Pack
0-49' Solid PVC		
19-64' PVC	.030	
64-70' Solid PVC w/end cap no water encountered		12/40

SOIL LOG WELL #3

5'	sand with gravel to 17.5, slight color change
19'	less gravel noted, slight color change to
23'	thin clay lens, sand with gravel to
35'	thin clay lens, sand with gravel to
43'	6" clay layer; samples taken at 5' intervals, but not logged after 43' to 74'

WELL #4 CONSTRUCTION

	Slot Size	Gravel Pack
O-35' Solid PVC		
35-50' Slotted PVC Screen	.030	
50-60' Solid PVC w/end cap no water encountered		12/40

SOIL LOG WELL #4

Collected at 5' intervals, but were not logged.

APPENDIX C Sample Documentation Forms

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management Groundwater Monitoring Data Sheet

Date: _			Well ID/Sampling Location:	
Project Number:			Time of Arrival at Well:	
Owner:			_ Air Temperature:	
Site Description:				
Weather Conditions:				
Depth to Well Bottom (ft):			Time Pump On:	
Depth to Groundwater (ft):				
	Postsampling:		-	
	r cotoampung.		Purge Flow Rate (L/min):	
			Sample Flow Rate (L/min):	
Well in good condition?	Υ	N		
Was box secured upon arrival?	Υ	N		
s well operating correctly?	Υ	N		
Explain any problems that may	exist.			
	Time	рН	Spec. Conductivity/Corrected	Temp
			<u> </u>	
			-	
Receiving Laboratory:			Date Received:	
Comments:				

ClientAddressCity PhoneF	State Zi	p						(801 (888 (x (801)	HAIN UST () 263-8) 263-8) 263-8 (val@aw	OD 3 686 686 687	Y	n	Page Tur	n Arou	ınd '	Time (of _	le One) y 5 day	
Contact														QC LE	VE	L		BORATORY	LISE ONLY
E-mail														4		_	11-	IPLES WER	
Project Name					Containers (Total)								1	2	2	2+	1 3	Shipped or ha Votes:	nd delivere
Project Number/P.O.#		 	Date/Time		ıtainers								3	2т	,	1		2 Ambient or Chil	nilled
Sampler Name		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Collected	×	of Cor								٦	3+	4	•	Notes:		
Sampl	e ID			Matrix	Number								C	COMME	ENT	S	4 (Femperature Received Brol Improperly So	ken/Leaking
																	6 1	Notes: Properly President Votes: Received With Holding Times (Votes:	N nin
																	2 1	Present on Ou Package N Unbroken on Package	N/
Relinquished By: Signature	Date	Received By	Received By: Signature				Special Instructions:							11	Present on Sa				
PRINT NAME	Time	PRINT NAME				- -											/ N	NA	
Relinquished By: Signature	Date	Received By: Signature				<u> </u>										11 '	Jnbroken on S f N Votes:	Sample N	
PRINT NAME	Time	PRINT NAME														╢			
Relinquished By: Signature	Date	Received By: Signature																	
PRINT NAME	Time	PRINT NAME														San Rec	repancies Be pple Labels ar ord?	nd COC	
Relinquished By: Signature	Date	Received By: Signature														1 1	Y Notes:	N	
PRINT NAME	Time	PRINT NAME					\exists												

Attachment #4 Landfill Gas Monitoring Plan

EXPLOSIVE LANDFILL GAS MONITORING PLAN FOR THE DAVIS LANDFILL

Prepared For:

WASATCH INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

P.O. Box 900 1997 East 3500 North Layton, UT 84041-0900



Prepared By:

INTERMOUNTAIN GEOENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.

4153 South Commerce Drive Salt Lake City, Utah 84107

April 16, 2015

INTRODUCTION

This document presents a revised Explosive Landfill Gas Monitoring Plan (Plan) for the Davis Landfill (Landfill), currently owned and operated by Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District (WIWMD). Since the preparation and submittal of the previous plan in August 1997 additional facility construction and well installation have taken place at the Landfill. The intent of this revised plan is to formalize the required monitoring of new structures and include the new wells/well locations into quarterly monitoring efforts. This plan was written to satisfy the requirements of R315-303-2(2) and R315-303-3(5) of the Utah Division of Solid and Hazardous Waste (DSHW) Permitting and Management Rules which sets maximum allowable methane concentrations as summarized in the following table, and requires quarterly sampling.

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE METHANE CONCENTRATIONS							
Location	Media	Allowable Concentration					
Property Boundary	Soil Gas	Lower Explosive Limit					
Within On-site Structures	Ambient Air	25% of Lower Explosive Limit					
Off-site Structures	Ambient Air	Lower Explosive Limit					

Below the explosive or flammable range the mixture is too lean to burn and above the upper explosive or flammable limit the mixture is too rich (oxygen deficient) to burn. The limits are commonly called the "Lower Explosive or Flammable Limit" (LEL/LFL) and the "Upper Explosive or Flammable Limit" (UEL/UFL). The LEL for methane is recognized as 5% (50,000 ppm).

METHODOLOGY

This Plan addressed two types of methane gas monitoring for determination of compliance status: (1) sampling at permanent gas monitor probes located along the property boundaries, and (2) ambient air sampling within onsite structures. Bothe types of monitoring will provide data for determination of compliance with the Rules. Methods to be used in each type for sample collection are described below.

Sampling Equipment

A Landtec model Gem-2000® gas analyzer (or equivalent technology) will be used for collection and analysis of gas samples from landfill gas monitor probes and onsite structures. The instrument shall be properly maintained and calibrated before each sampling event, and a record of instrument calibration(s) and maintenance shall be available upon request.

Gas Monitor Probe Sampling

Gas monitor probes will be sampled to determine methane concentrations in the soils along the west, south and northeast boundaries of the Landfill. Each probe will be sampled during every quarterly sampling event. If for some reason a probe cannot be sampled, the sampler will document the reason on the field form, and appropriate action will be taken to rectify the problem prior to the next sampling event. The following procedure will be followed by the sampler:

- The locking cap will be removed and the condition of the probe and surface completion will be noted on an Explosive Landfill Gas Sampling Form (Exhibit 1).
- Soil gas will be withdrawn from the probe and analyzed directly using the Landtec Gem-2000[®] gas analyzer. Data to be recorded on the field sampling form includes:
 - 1) Methane content, expressed in percentage by volume, and as a percentage of the LEL
 - 2) Oxygen content expressed as percentage by volume, and
 - 3) Carbon dioxide content, expressed as percentage by volume.

Instrument readings will be allowed to stabilize over a period of 1 to 5 minutes; maximum and stabilized readings will be recorded.

Structure Sampling

Methane concentration in ambient air within structures will be sampled to determine compliance with the Rules, as follows:

- Ambient air within the structures will be collected and analyzed directly using the Landtec Gem-2000® model gas analyzer. Ambient air will be sampled by moving slowly throughout the structure over a 1-5 minute period, carefully sampling all spaces within the structure. Because the office/maintenance facility is large, it will be broken into smaller sampling regions which will each be sampled over a 1-5 minute period.
- Instrument readings will be continuously monitored. Methane, oxygen and carbon dioxide content will be recorded on an Explosive Landfill Gas Sampling Form. Stabilized readings will be recorded on the Form.

SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Gas Monitor Wells

Monitoring wells have been installed at 12 different locations around the site; the names and installed depths of each probe are shown in the following table:

Sample Location	Installed Depth (ft)
MW-1	150
MW-4	150
G-2	20
G-7	40
G-7	60
G-7	100
G-6	30
G-6	60
G-6	90
G-8	80
G-9	80
G-10	80

The locations of these probes are shown on Figure 1 – Explosive Landfill Gas Monitoring Locations. A diagram of the typical gas monitor probe completions are included in Figures 2a-2c.

Structure Sampling

Currently there are three structures located on the site: the scale house, the Recycling Center, and the office/maintenance facility. The scale house facility is approximately 4,800 ft² in size and ambient air sampling will occur over a single 1-5 minute period.

The Recycling Center is a single story structure that is approximately 6,500 ft². Complete sampling of the structure can be completed in a single 1-5 minute sampling period.

The office/shop facility is a single story structure with interior walls which divide the building into hallways, restrooms, locker rooms and the equipment maintenance shop. The sampling of this facility will be split up in two areas; office and shop. Both areas will be sampled at different locations in-order to make a complete assessment. The total building footprint occupies ~14,700 ft² and complete sampling of the structure can be completed in a single 1-5 minute sampling period.

There are currently no off-site structures considered appropriate for ambient air sampling. If methane is detected in the perimeter samples, adjacent off-site structures may be monitored as deemed necessary.

SAMPLING SCHEDULE

Explosive landfill gas monitoring will be conducted quarterly as set forth in R315-303-3-(5)(a) of the Rules. A report of the explosive landfill gas monitoring results will be kept onsite in the operating record and submitted to the DSHW in the annual report.

In the event that the concentration of gases exceeds the standards set in subsection R315-303-2(2)(a) Wasatch will first take all necessary steps to ensure protection of human health and, within 24 hours or the next business day, notify the Executive Secretary.

In the event that explosive landfill gas is detected above the allowable levels Wasatch will proceed in accordance to R315-303-3(5)(b). Wasatch will also enter a period of accelerated sampling to determine the daily variation in methane concentration. The accelerated sampling period will consist of two sampling events each day for a period of 5 days, with samples collected in the morning and in the later afternoon. Subsequent sampling events will be performed during the time of day identified with generation of maximum methane concentrations.

QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL


Prior to each sampling event the gas analyzer will be calibrated and a clean particulate filter will be installed. A record of instrument calibration and maintenance will be kept and made available upon request.

Exhibit 1

Davis Landfill

Explosive Landfill Gas Sampling Report (Example)

LdflShop	6/8/2010 5:39	0	0	19.9	80.1	25.17	-0.02	0	25.17
LdflOffce	6/8/2010 5:47	0	0	19.9	80.1	25.16	-0.04	0	25.16
Scalehse	6/8/2010 6:19	0	0	19.3	80.69	25.08	31.29	0	25.08
LdflRcycl	6/8/2010 6:35	0	0	19.5	80.5	25.09	1.61	0	25.09
MONWELL1	6/8/2010 7:22	0	10.3	9.7	80	25.1	8.53	0	25.1
MONWELL4	6/8/2010 7:25	0	1	18.6	80.4	25.11	-2.13	0	25.11
G2000000	6/8/2010 8:12	0	0.5	19.8	79.69	25.13	5.28	0	25.13
G7000040	6/8/2010 8:25	0	0.5	19.9	79.6	25.13	2.04	0	25.13
G7000060	6/8/2010 8:41	0	0.7	19.5	79.8	25.12	3.48	0	25.12
G7000100	6/8/2010 9:06	0	0.8	19.4	79.79	25.08	2	0	25.08
G6000030	6/8/2010 9:22	0	0.6	19.5	79.9	25.09	1.55	0	25.09
G6000060	6/8/2010 9:40	0	0.6	18.8	80.6	25.08	5.31	0	25.08
G6000090	6/8/2010 11:33	0	4.7	6.7	88.6	25.03	0.59	0	25.03
G8	6/8/2010 11:55	0	9.8	6.7	83.5	25.05	3.86	0	25.05
G9	6/8/2010 12:24	0	2	16.2	81.8	25.05	1.32	0	25.05
G10	6/8/2010 12:43	0	0.7	18.5	80.8	25.06	4.09	0	25.06





Davis Landfill 1997 East 3500 North Layton, Utah


Project Number 00169-087

Explosive Landfill Gas Monitoring Locations


FIGURE

1

06/21/10



METHANE PROBE INSTALLATION BY DIRECT PUSH PREFABRICATED STEEL LOCKING · COVERED BOX SET IN CAP CONCRETE RISER PIPE -1.0" DIA. PVC SCH 40 W/ THREADED FLUSH **JOINTS VARIES** 1.0" DIA. SLOTTED PVC SCREEN Wasatch Integrated Waste Managment District Davis Landfill-Explosive Gas Monitoring Plan FIGURE Layton, Utah 2b Methane Probe Installation Project Number 00169-087 Copyright IGES Inc. 2015



Attachment #5 Inspection Forms



Training Roster

Location:

Subject :	Location: Date:
Instructor(s):	☐ Monthly Safety ☐ Specialized ☐ Certification ☐ Re-Certification
(Sign) NAME (Print)	(Sign) NAME (Print)
1	11
2	12
3	13
4	14
5	15
6	16
7	17
8	18
9	19
10	20
Instructor/Safety Signature:	Start Time:
Comments:	



Inspector Information Name: Date: Time:
Title.
Area Inspection Conducted: ☐ LF-Face ☐ LF-Citizen Pad ☐ PLT-Tipping Floor ☐ Other (Specify)
Commercial
Company Name:
Vehicle Type: ☐ Semi-Truck ☐ Panel Truck ☐ Pick-up ☐ Front Loader ☐ Side Loader ☐ Roll-Off ☐ Other
Vehicle ID No.: Route No.: City:
License Plate No.:
Residential Vehicle Type: Car/Van/Truck Truck (w/trailer) Panel Truck Car (w/trailer) Other
Ticket No.: City:
License Plate No.:
Signature
(Signature hereon denotes that operator of the vehicle being inspected was present during routine waste screening inspection and does not admit confirm or identify liability)
(PRINT) Operator's Signature Inspector's Signature
(SIGN) Operator's Signature

CONTAINERS		Yes	No		Vac	N1-
Full	If YES #	165	INO	DOMDEDOMDUCT	Yes	No
Partial	If YES #			POWDERS/DUST		
	If YES #			Known		
Empty Crushed	If YES #			Unknown		
End Removed	If YES#			BioMedical Waste		
1	11 1 2 3 #			Radioactive Waste		
FREE LIQUID				Asbestos		
MEAT				Ash		
HAZ MTLS				Labeled Hazardous		
PCB	(A) \$12.5 (E) \$15.5 (E)			Unusual Odors		
				Strong		
				Faint		
	QUANTITY			This load is:		
Lead Acid Batts				Non-Hazardous		
Tires				Suspect		
Oil				Probable		
Metal				Confirmed		
Appliances						1. "Yorke
CFC Applncs						
Paints						
HseHld Cleaners						
Fuels						
Sod/Soil/Grass						
Bldg. Matls.						
Cement/Rocks						
Animal						
Other:						

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District P.O. Box 900 650 East Highway 193 Layton, UT 8401-0900

7.Seeps/leaks on downstream face

Stormwater Pond Operation, Maintenance, and Management Inspection Checklist

Project:Location:				
Site Status:				
Date:				
Time:				
Inspector:				
	T			
Maintenance Item	Satisfactory / Unsatisfactory	Comments		
1. Embarkment and emergency spillway (Annual, Af	fter Major Storms)			
Vegetation and ground cover adequate				
2. Embarkment erosion				
3. Animal burrows				
4. Unauthorized planting				
5. Cracking, bulging, or sliding of dam				
a. Upstream face				
b. Downstream face				
c. At or beyond toe				
* downstream				
upstream				
d. Emergency spillway				
6.Pond, toe & chimney drains clear and functioning				

Maintenance Item	Satisfactory / Unsatisfactory	Comments
8.Slope protection or riprap failure		
9. Vertical/horizontal alignment of top of dam "As-Built	t ¹¹	
10. Emergency spillway clear of obstructions and debris	3	
11. Other (specify)		
2. Riser and principal spillway (Annual)		
Type: Reinforced concrete Corrugated pipe Masonry 1. Low flow orifice obstructed	_	
Low flow trash rack. a. Debris removal necessary		
b. Corrosion control		
Weir trash rack maintenance a. Debris removal necessary		
b. corrosion control		
4. Excessive sediment accumulation insider riser		
Concrete/masonry condition riser and barrels a. cracks or displacement		
b. Minor spalling (<1")		
c. Major spalling (rebars exposed)		
d. Joint failures		
e. Water tightness		
6. Metal pipe condition		

Maintenance Item	Satisfactory / Unsatisfactory	Comments
7. Control valve a. Operational/exercised		
b. Chained and locked		
Pond drain valve a. Operational/exercised		
b. Chained and locked		
9. Outfall channels functioning		
10. Other (specify)		
3. Permanent Pool (Wet Ponds) (mont	hly)	
Undesirable vegetative growth		
2. Floating or floatable debris removal required		
3. Visible pollution		
4. Shoreline problem		
5. Other (specify)		
4. Sediment Forebays		
1.Sedimentation noted		
2. Sediment cleanout when depth < 50% design depth		
5. Dry Pond Areas		
1. Vegetation adequate		
2. Undesirable vegetative growth		
3. Undesirable woody vegetation		
4. Low flow channels clear of obstructions		
5.Standing water or wet spots		

Maintenance Item	Satisfactory / Unsatisfactory	Comments
6. Sediment and / or trash accumulation		
7. Other (specify)		
6. Condition of Outfall into Ponds (Annua	l , After Major Storms)	
1. Reprap failures		
2. Slope erosion		
3. Storm drain pipes		
4.Endwalls / Headwalls		
5. Other (specify)		
7. Other (Monthly)	•	
Encroachment on pond or easement area		
2. Complaints from residents		
3.Aesthetics a. Grass growing required		
b. Graffiti removal needed		
c. Other (specify)		
4. Any public hazards (specify)		
8. Constructed Wetland area (Annual)		-
Vegetation healthy and growing		
2.Evidence of invasive species		
3. Excessive sedimentation in Wetland area		

Operation, Maintenance & Management	Pond
Comments:	
Actions to be Taken:	



DAVIS LANDFILL Monthly Operations Checklist

1997 East 3500 North Layton, Utah 84040 801.614.5600

Date:		√ = Adequate
Inspe	ctor:	X = Action Necessary (detail action proposed and taken on weekly log)
	Entrance	Salvage Practices
	Signs Posted	No Scavenging Policy Enforced
	Acceptable Appearance/Cleanliness	Non-Process Area Free of Litter and Vermin
	Entrance Secured When Facility Closed	Water Quality
	Personnel	Working and Filled Areas Graded to Prevent Pooling
	Attendant Present When Facility Open	Run-Off From Adjoining Areas Diverted From Site
	Safety Equipment Available and In Use	Leachate Collection Performing as Intended
	Disposal Area	Leachate Discharge Performing as Intended
	Unloading Area Clearly Marked	<u>Vector Controls</u>
	Public and Commercial Operation Separated	Rodent Problem
	Working Face As Small As Possible	Bird Problem
	Litter Fences in Use	Insect Problem
	Odor Problems	Gas Collection
	Dust or Litter Blowing	Condensate System Performing as Intended
	Daily Cover Applied	Air-Supply Performing as Intended
	Final Cover and Vegetation In Place	No Odors or Leaks Detected
	Fire Protection	Flare Station Operating
	No Smoking Rules in Force	<u>Documents</u>
	Water Available at Working Face	Permit or License on Display
	Stockpile Soil Available	Development Plans Available
	Fire Extinguishers on All Equipment	Operational Plans Available
	Radio or Telephone On-Site	Citizen Drop Off
	Green Waste	Acceptable Appearance/Cleanliness
	Acceptable Appearance/Cleanliness	Tipping Floor Cleared
	Products/Processing Acceptable	Non-Process Bins Emptied Daily
	Re-Sale Products Available	Recycle Bins Accessible
	Odor Problems	Odor Problems
	Dust or litter blowing	Dust or Blowing Litter

	HHW	<u>Other</u>
	Acceptable Appearance/Cleanliness	Gas Extraction Records On File
	Products Labeled and Stored	Gas Migration Records On File
	Processing tables clean/accessible	Compost Readings On File
	Storage Area Clean/Accessible	On-Site Training Available
	Problems/Actions	Waste Screening Records on File
	Slide	Safety Meeting Records on File
	Storm Drainage	Area Landfilling
		GPS
Ge	eneral Comments:	
_		
()		
_		

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District Davis Landfill

Dust Control Record - Paved Roads

The paved road shall be periodically swept or sprayed clean as dry conditions warrant or as determined necessary by the executive secretary.

Date	Time	Description of Cleaning
	-	
	-	
	-	

This document has been designed to meet recordkeeping requirements for fugitive dust control - UDAQ

No evidence of erosion

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District

Quarterly Inspection Checklist

Location:		
Site Status:		
Date:		
Time:		
Inspector:		
MAINTENANCE ITEM	SATISFACTORY / UNSATISFACTORY	COMMENTS
1. Debris Cleanout Inlet in Detention Basin		
Basin Bottom Clear of Debris		
Inlet Clear of Debris		
Outlet Clear of Debris		
2. Sediment Traps or Forebays In the	Citizen Drop Off A	rea
Obviously trapping sediment		
Greater than 50% of storage volume remaining		
3. Vegetation		
Methane Stress		

Operation, Maintenance & Management Checklist Infiltration Basin

MAINTENANCE ITEM	SATISFACTORY / UNSATISFACTORY	COMMENTS
4. Inlets		
Good condition		
No evidence of erosion		
5. Outlet/Overflow Spillway		
Good condition, no need for repair		
No evidence of erosion		
6. Structural Repairs (If Not Any Skip to 7)		
Embankment in good repair		
Side slopes are stable		
No evidence of erosion		
7. Fences/Access Repairs (Take Particular Concern of Leachate Area)		
Fences in good condition		
No damage which would allow undesirable entry		
Lock and gate function adequate		
Access point in good condition		

Wasatch Integrated Waste Management District Davis Landfill

Dust Control Record - Water

All unpaved roads and other unpaved operational areas that are used by mobile equipment shall be water sprayed to control fugitive dust. Treatment shall be of sufficient frequency any quantity to maintain the surface material in a damp/moist condition unless it is below freezing. The opacity shall not exceed 20% during all times the areas are in use. Records of water treatment shall be kept for all periods when the plant is in operation.

Date	Time	Loads	Area Treated

This document has been designed to meet recordkeeping requirements for fugitive dust control - UDAQ

DAVIS LANDFILL

&

GREEN WASTE RECYCLING FACILITY

Weekly Operations Checklist

Date	N	$\sqrt{\ }$ = Adequate
Inspecto	or	X = Action Necessary
<u>E</u>	Entrance	Salvage Practices
Si	igns Posted?	Scavenging Prohibited?
A	Appearance and Cleanliness Acceptable	Non-Process Area Free of Litter and Vermin?
E	Entrance Secured When Site Not Operating?	Water Quality
<u>P</u>	Personnel	Working and Filled Areas Graded to Prevent Ponding?
A	Attendant Present When Site Is In Use?	Run-Off From Adjoining Areas Diverted From Site?
S	afety Equipment Available and In Use?	Leachate Collection Performing as Designed?
$\underline{\mathbf{D}}$	Disposal Area	Leachate Discharge Performing as Designed?
U	Jnloading Area Clearly Marked?	Vector Controls
P	Public and Commercial Operation Separated?	Any Rodent Problem?
Is	s the Working Face As Small As Possible?	Any Bird Problem?
L	Litter Fences in Use?	Any Insect Problem?
C	Odor Problems?	Gas Collection
Γ	Dust or Litter Blowing?	Condensate System Performing as Designed?
Γ	Daily Cover Applied?	Air-Supply Performing as Designed?
F	Final Cover and Vegetation In Place?	No Odors or Leaks Detected?

Fire Protection	<u>Documents</u>
No Smoking Rules in Force?	Permit or License on Display?
Water Available at Working Face?	Development Plans Available?
Stockpile Soil Available?	Operational Plans Available?
Fire Extinguishers on All Equipment?	Other
Radio or Telephone On-Site?	Gas Extraction Records On File?
Green Waste	Gas Migration Records On File?
Site Pleasing to the Eye?	Compost Readings On File?
Products Processing Acceptable?	On-Site Training Available?
Re-Sale Products Available?	Waste Screening Records on File?
	Safety Meeting Records on File?
General Comments	

Attachment #6 Closure and Post Closure Care Plan



Ld.14 Closure & Post - Closure Plan

This section describes the final cover construction, site capacity, schedule of closure implementation, estimated costs for closure, and final inspection procedures for the existing landfill operations and future closure stages of the Davis Landfill.

I.d.14.A Closure Strategy

The unlined landfill cell has been closed and was capped in the summer of 2000 except for the south-facing slope, which will be capped in conjunction with future closure stages of the lined landfill. Final cover will be placed over the lined landfill in a series of events. When sufficient area of the lined landfill cell has reached final elevation to allow for economical placement of





final cover, that portion of the cell will be closed. Sufficient intermediate cover will be placed over the areas that reach final design elevation prior to closure. The landfill cover construction Stage A has been completed. The projected date of final closure of the entire landfill, based on current waste streams, is 2024-2025. It is projected that approximately 8.9 million cubic yards of airspace capacity remains above the existing MSW surface.

The Director will be notified in writing at least 60 days prior to the anticipated last receipt of waste in accordance with R315-302. Implementation of the closure plan will begin within 30 days after last receipt of waste. Closure will be completed within 180 days of implementation of closure activities, unless an extension has been granted by the Director.

I.d.14.B Final Cover Design and Installation

The conceptual design of the final cover system of the lined landfill cell has been completed as part of a previous landfill permit renewal. A final design package consisting of specifications, QA/QC plan and drawings for construction of the cover system for each of the closure Stages will be prepared and submitted to the State of Utah DWMRC for review and approval prior to each cover placement event. A final design package will be issued for construction prior to closure of the facility to ensure compliance with federal and state regulations effective at the time of closure.

The conceptual final cover design described herein is in accordance with current State of Utah regulations and RCRA Subtitle D criteria. The final cover system is designed to control the emission of landfill gas, promote the establishment of vegetative cover, minimize infiltration and percolation of water into the waste, and prevent erosion of the waste throughout the post-closure care period and beyond. Drawings showing the conceptual final cover contours are provided in Appendix K.

I.d.14.C Unlined Landfill

The unlined landfill cell is located immediately north of Phase III of the lined landfill cell. The unlined landfill cell has been closed and most the landfill was capped in the summer of 2000. The unlined landfill extends to an approximate elevation of 4900 feet. Due to the date of waste placed in the old landfill, the landfill cap was only required to extend down to approximately the 4900-foot contour line. However, the cap was extended down to an approximate elevation of 4865 feet on the north side of the old landfill to ensure full compliance with regulations. The south face of the old landfill was inspected will be covered as part of the final cover associated with future closures.

The final cover for the old portion of the landfill consisted of the following constituents beginning from bottom to top:

- At least 12 inches of native soil cover.
- A 40 mil textured polyethylene liner (LLDPE).
- A geocomposite drainage layer (geonet sandwiched between two geotextile filter fabrics).





- A 30-inch soil protective cover layer.
- A 6-inch vegetation layer of native soils suitable for plant growth.

I.d.14.D Lined Landfill

The final cover construction for the remainder of the landfill, will involve the south face of the unlined landfill and areas that has not received final cover defined by the liner Phases I, II, III, IV, and V. Final Cover of the eastern end of the lined landfill and was completed in 2006. The general arrangement of the final landfill closure is indicated in the drawings contained in Appendix K.

The following final cover constituents are conceptually planned, beginning from bottom to top:

- A minimum of 12 inches of intermediate native soil cover
- A reinforced GCL
- A 60 mil textured HDPE membrane
- A geocomposite drainage layer (geonet sandwiched between two geotextile fabrics)
- A 30-inch soil protective cover layer.
- A 6-inch vegetation layer of native soils suitable for plant growth.

I.d.14.E Seed, Fertilizer and Mulch

The 6-inch vegetative layer of the cover will be seeded with a mixture of grasses suitable for fast growth in the region, fertilized, and mulched. A local, experienced agronomist was retained to develop an appropriate seed mixture for the final cover at the Davis Landfill. The seed mix maybe changed in the future should the need arise. The current seeding, fertilizing and mulching requirements are outlined below:

CURRENT SEED MIXTURE		
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	PLANTING RATE (pls)
Grasses		
Slender Wheatgrass	Agropyron Trachucaulum	5.0
Crested Wheatgrass	Agropyron Cristatum	5.0
Western Wheatgrass	Agropyron Smithii	5.0
Thickspike Wheatgrass	Agropyron Dasystachyum	2.0
Streambank Wheatgrass	Agropyron Riparium	2.0
Sand Dropseed	Sporobolus Cryptandrus	2.0
Kentucky Bluegrass	Poa Pratensis	3.0
Sheep Fescue	Festuca Ovina	3.0
Mountain Brome	Bromus Marginatus	3.0
Forbs/Wildflowers		
Blue Flax	Linum Perenne Lewisii	2.0
Rocky Mountain Penstemon	Penstemon Strictus	1.0





Western Yarrow	Achillea Millefoium	2.0
Sterile Cover Crop		
	Triticum Elongatum	25.0
Total		60

The grass seed would be planted at a minimum rate of 60 pure live seed pounds (pls) per acre. These grass species were selected based on their capability of surviving in a low nutrient soil with little or no requirement for nutrient addition. These species also require little maintenance (mowing), provide protection for storm water runoff, and are hardy, fast growing species that are tolerant of poor site conditions such as steep slopes.

Fertilizing requirements based on the recommended seed mixture and an analysis of our onsite soils should consist of 60 pounds of Phosphorus (P), 200 pounds of Potassium (K) and 50 pounds of Nitrogen (N) per acre. The fertilizer should have fifty percent of the elements derived from organic sources.

Mulch will be manufactured or purchased by Wasatch. Where applicable, the side slopes will be initially covered with turf reinforcement mats to prevent erosion and allow complete growth of the vegetative cover. Turf reinforcement mats may be used in areas of concentrated runoff and/or drainage channels.

Early establishment of vegetation on the landfill's final slope surface will impede soil erosion and promote evapotranspiration. Wasatch personnel will periodically evaluate vegetative growth, vigor, and color so that the integrity of the final cover system is maintained. If signs of vegetative stress are observed as a result of landfill gas or leachate seeps, they will be noted and the problem will be corrected. Corrective procedures will be conducted based on current design recommendations and will be built consistent with construction specifications.

Wasatch personnel will inspect the vegetative cover during cover inspection. Wasatch staff or a licensed landscape contractor will make repairs, as necessary.

I.d.14.F Landscaping

The landfill facility, including all surrounding grounds, will be maintained in conjunction with scheduled maintenance activities (i.e., grass cutting, road improvements, etc.). The landscape of the landfill will be designed to be both functional and aesthetically pleasing.

I.d.14.G Contouring

The landfill's final grades will be inspected and maintained to ensure its integrity and conformity with the conceptual final cover contours that are included in Appendix K.





Areas where water has collected (ponded) will be regraded. Erosion damage resulting from extremely heavy rainfall will be repaired. Wasatch personnel will inspect the final grading no less than quarterly.

I.d.14.H Quality Assurance/Quality Control (Qa/Qc) Procedures

Prior to the actual final landfill cover construction, activities associated with each of the closure stages: drawings, specifications and QA/QC procedures will be developed and submitted to the DWMRC for review and approval. Drawings, specifications and QA/QC procedures will be similar to those completed and previously approved by the DWMRC.

I.d.14.I Closure Cost Estimates

Detailed cost estimates for closure is provided in Appendix L.

I.d.14.J Certification of Closure and Record Keeping

A civil engineer registered in the State of Utah will design and observe the closure of the lined landfill. The registered civil engineer will be employed by Wasatch, or will be a hired contractor and will certify the landfill was closed per the closure plan. Any amendment or deviation to the closure plan will be approved by the Director and any associated permit modifications will be made. As part of the certification process, the civil engineer shall also provide closure as-built drawings to the Director within 90 days following completion of closure activities.

Additionally, the final plats and the amount and location of waste will be recorded on the site title. Wasatch will file the notarized plat with the county recorder within 60 days following certification of closure.

I.d.14.K Post-Closure Plan

Post closure activities will begin when closure has been approved by the Director. The following presents the post-closure plan for the Davis Landfill.

I.d.14.L Monitoring Program

The following subsections offer a description of the monitoring program, which includes groundwater monitoring systems and leachate and gas collection systems.





I.d.14.M Groundwater Unlined and Lined Landfill

Groundwater is currently monitored as detailed in the approved Groundwater Monitoring Plan. Wasatch will continue a groundwater monitoring program in conformance with Section R315-308, Ground Water Monitoring Requirements of the Utah Administrative Code.

I.d.14.N Surface Water

Although no surface water sampling activities are scheduled for the Davis Landfill, Wasatch personnel will inspect the drainage system no less than quarterly. Wasatch or a licensed general contractor will repair or replace drainage facilities, if necessary.

I.d.14.0 Leachate Collection and Treatment

I.d.14.O.1 Unlined Landfill

A leachate collection system was neither required nor installed during construction of the unlined landfill.

I.d.14.O.2 Lined Landfill

The leachate collection system has been approved by DWMRC and completed in phases. The final phase was completed in 2012. Once leachate is routed to the leachate collection; leachate is piped to the publicly owned treatment works. The lined landfill is equipped with a composite liner and leachate collection system that is designed and constructed to maintain less than 30 centimeters (12 inches) of leachate over the liner.

Leachate and gas condensate collected as part of any recovery operations at the Davis Landfill has historically been applied to the surface of the lined landfill cell to accelerate evaporation and augment free surface evaporation of leachate. Since the construction of a leachate disposal line to public owned treatment works; the need to surface apply the leachate over the lined landfill is minimal.

Leachate can be surface applied for dust control within the boundaries of the lined landfill cell, evaporated, or disposed of at a publicly owned treatment works.





I.d.14.P Landfill Gas

Soil gas monitoring probes have been installed around the entire landfill site to monitor explosive landfill gas emissions from both the unlined and lined landfill. The gas monitoring probes, as well as all structures at the site, are monitored quarterly to ensure compliance with State regulations regarding explosive landfill gas at landfills (R315-303-3). Wasatch has also developed an Explosive Landfill Gas Monitoring Plan for the Davis Landfill (Intermountain Geoenvironmental Services, April 2015) which describes the monitoring network and sampling procedures in detail. A copy of the Explosive Landfill Gas Monitoring Plan is included in Appendix H.

During post-closure, Wasatch landfill personnel will be responsible for the inspection and sampling of all methane gas monitoring stations, facility structures, and facility landmarks as described in accordance with the current Explosive Landfill Gas Monitoring Plan. Monitoring will occur no less often than quarterly and will be conducted more often if the need arises. If a sample exceeds the regulatory level, Wasatch will notify the DWMRC immediately and undertake appropriate corrective actions.

As outlined in R315-303, Wasatch will take all the necessary steps to protect human health and will immediately notify DWMRC of explosive gas levels detected above allowable levels and actions to be taken. Also, within 7 days of incident, Wasatch will place in the operating record documentation of the explosive gas levels detected and a description of the interim steps taken to protect human health. Within 60 days of detection, Wasatch personnel will implement a remediation plan for the explosive gas releases, place a copy of the plan in the operating record, and notify DWMRC that the plan has been implemented. The remediation plan will describe the nature and extent of the problem and the proposed remedy.

I.d.14.Q Maintenance Program

The following subsections offer a description of the maintenance of installed equipment, including groundwater monitoring systems and leachate and gas collection systems.

*I.d.*14.Q.1 Groundwater

All current and future groundwater monitoring wells will be inspected for signs of failure or deterioration during each sampling event. If damage is discovered, the nature and extent of the problem will be recorded. A decision will be made to replace or repair the well. Possible repairs include redevelopment, chemical treatment, partial casing replacement or repair, sealing the annulus, or pumping and testing. If a well needs to be replaced, the old one will be properly abandoned.





I.d.14.Q.2 Surface Water

Drainage control problems can result in accelerated erosion of a particular area. Differential settlement of drainage control structures can limit their usefulness and may result in a failure to properly direct storm water.

Implementation of a post-closure maintenance program will maintain the integrity of the final drainage system throughout the post-closure maintenance period. The final surface water drainage system will be evaluated and inspected for ponded water and blockage of and damage to drainage structures and swales. Where erosion problems are noted or drainage control structures need repair, proper maintenance procedures will be implemented as soon as site conditions permit so that further damage is prevented. Damaged drainage pipes and broken ditch linings will be removed and replaced.

Wasatch personnel will inspect the drainage system no less than quarterly. Temporary repairs will be made until permanent repairs can be scheduled. Wasatch or a licensed general contractor will replace drainage facilities.

I.d.14.Q.3 Leachate Collection and Treatment

The leachate control and recovery system must be maintained so that it operates during the postclosure maintenance period. The system will be inspected no less than quarterly by Wasatch personnel for signs of deterioration. Wasatch or a licensed contractor will make required repairs.

I.d.14.T Landfill Gas

The landfill gas monitoring system will be regularly inspected in conjunction with the scheduled monitoring tasks. The system will be repaired and parts replaced as required to maintain system capabilities. The landfill gas monitoring system will be inspected no less than quarterly throughout the post-closure period.

I.d.14.U Facility and Structures

Required support facilities and structures for post-closure care will be maintained.

I.d.14.V Cover and Run-On/Run-Off Systems

The final grades and capping system will incorporate features to manage storm water, minimize erosion, and provide for efficient removal of storm water collected in the drainage layer. The





Drawings in Appendix K and Appendix F show proposed final grades and illustrate the extent of storm water collection and surface water and erosion control systems on the surface of the final cover.

The final cover will convey collected water via earthen drainage channels and piping to the storm water retention basins.

Placement of all permanent drainage facilities will be completed in conjunction with the construction of the final cover.

I.d.14.W Schedule of Post-Closure Activities

Post-closure activities, consisting of monitoring and maintaining the final cover and permanent drainage facilities, will be implemented periodically as areas of the landfill are filled to final grade.

I.d.14.X Changes to Record of Title, Land Use, and Zoning

Wasatch will notify the Davis County Recorder's Office at any such time when there is a change to the Record of Title, land use plan, or zoning restrictions. In addition, Wasatch will notify the Recorder at that time when the post-closure care period has expired and when a final site use has been accepted by the DWMRC.

I.d.14.Y Recordkeeping

Wasatch will record and retain in the operating record all documentation made with respect to the closure and post-closure care plans as allowed by State of Utah Administrative Rule R315-302-3.

I.d.14.Z Cost Estimates and Financial Assurance Documentation

Wasatch will record and retain in the operating record all documentation made with respect to the cost estimates and financial assurance documentation as allowed by State of Utah Administrative Rule R315-309.

