

FEEDBACK FROM NUTRIENT CORE TEAM MEMBERS IN IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

>>> Craig Walker 6/11/2012 3:04 PM >>>
Leah Ann,

I will stick to the larger headings (or partial headings) in order of importance (my mind may work differently than others):

Assessment, WQ Standards, Nutrient Reduction Strategies, then Monitoring. My two cents.

-Craig

Craig A. Walker
Aquatic Habitat Coordinator
Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
1594 West North Temple
Suite #2110
Salt Lake City, Utah
84114-6301

Phone: 801-834-1970
Fax: 801-538-4745
email: craigwalker@utah.gov

Don't forget to get your 365-day fishing license AND A SECOND POLE PERMIT!!!

>>> "Erica Gaddis" <egaddis@swca.com> 6/26/2012 3:35 PM >>>

Leah Ann,

I would vote for *Water Quality Standards* as the first priority because this was the issue that we originally took on as a group. I also think we could take on aspects of the *Addressing Point Sources* topic because there is a regulatory framework in place under which we could make some real headway. I think the group would also have a lot to contribute to the *Collaborative Process Framework to develop and implement watershed nutrient reduction strategies*. I am not sure we are the right group to take on the *Monitoring and Assessment* questions.

Hope that helps. I look forward to seeing you on Thursday.

Erica