

**Running Head:** Site-Specific Water Quality Standard for Selenium

**Author to whom copyright and page proofs should be sent:**

Kevin V. Brix  
EcoTox  
2001 NW Nye Street  
Newport, Oregon 97365  
Tel: (541) 574-9623  
Fax (541) 574-9490  
E-mail: Ecotox@aol.com

Word count: 5,335

1           **DERIVATION OF A SITE-SPECIFIC WATER QUALITY STANDARD FOR**  
2                           **SELENIUM IN THE GREAT SALT LAKE, UTAH**

3  
4  
5  
6           Kevin V. Brix,<sup>†</sup> David K. DeForest, <sup>‡</sup> Rick D. Cardwell, <sup>§</sup> William J. Adams, <sup>||</sup>

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13       <sup>†</sup>EcoTox, 2001 NW Nye Street, Newport, Oregon 97365

14       <sup>‡</sup>Parametrix, Inc., 5808 Lake Washington Blvd. NE, Suite 200, Kirkland, Washington  
15       98033

16       <sup>§</sup>Parametrix, Inc., 1600 SW Western Blvd., Suite 165, Corvallis, Oregon, 97333

17       <sup>||</sup> Kennecott Utah Copper, 8315 West 3595 South, P.O. Box 6001, Magna, Utah 84044

18  
19  
20  
21

22

Corresponding Author: [Ecotox@aol.com](mailto:Ecotox@aol.com)

23

24

25           **ABSTRACT**

26           The purpose of this study was to develop a site-specific water quality standard for  
27 selenium in the Great Salt Lake, Utah. The study examined the direct bioavailability and  
28 toxicity of selenium, as selenate, to biota resident to the Great Salt Lake and the potential  
29 for dietary selenium exposure to aquatic dependent birds that might consume resident  
30 biota. Because of its high salinity, the lake has limited biological diversity with bacteria,  
31 algae, diatoms, brine shrimp and brine flies being the only organisms present in the main  
32 (hypersaline) portions of the Lake. To evaluate their sensitivity to selenium, a series of  
33 acute and chronic toxicity studies were conducted on brine shrimp, *Artemia franciscana*,  
34 brine fly, *Ephydra cinerea*, and a hypersaline alga, *Dunaliella viridis*. The resulting  
35 acute and chronic toxicity values indicated that resident species are more selenium  
36 tolerant than many freshwater species. This is thought to result in part to the lake's high  
37 ambient sulfate concentrations (>5,800 mg/L), as sulfate is known to reduce selenate  
38 bioavailability. The acute and chronic test results were compared to selenium  
39 concentrations expected to occur in a mining effluent discharge located at the south end  
40 of the lake. Based on these comparisons, no appreciable risks to resident aquatic biota  
41 were projected. Field and laboratory data collected on selenium bioaccumulation in brine  
42 shrimp demonstrated a linear relationship between water and tissue selenium  
43 concentrations. Applying a dietary selenium threshold of 5 mg/kg dw for aquatic birds to  
44 this relationship resulted in an estimate of 27 µg/L Se in water as a safe concentration for  
45 this exposure pathway and an appropriate site-specific water quality standard.

46   **Key Words: Selenium   Site Specific Water Quality Standard   Great Salt Lake**

## INTRODUCTION

47

48

49       The Great Salt Lake (GSL) is the fourth largest terminal lake in the world [1] and  
50 the largest hypersaline lake in North America [2]. In 1957, the Southern Pacific Railroad  
51 Company constructed a rock-filled causeway across the lake, dividing it into two arms.  
52 Although culverts link the two arms, they are insufficient to maintain mixing between  
53 them. Consequently, the GSL essentially consists of two lakes, each with varying salinity  
54 and dominant organisms. Approximately 92 percent of freshwater inputs enter the  
55 southern arm [3], resulting in the northern arm being more saline (approximate salinity  
56 330 g/L) than the southern arm (approximate salinity 100 g/L).

57

58       The food web of the southern arm of the GSL is relatively simple because few  
59 organisms can tolerate its high salinity and low oxygen solubility [4, 5]. The aquatic food  
60 web consists of at least four species of bacteria (mainly *Halobacterium* and *Halococcus*), up  
61 to 20 species of algae (mainly *Dunaliella viridis* and *D. salina*), at least 17 diatom species,  
62 brine shrimp (*Artemia franciscana*), and seven species of brine flies (*Ephydra* spp.).  
63 Additionally, in areas near significant freshwater inputs where the salinity is less than <75  
64 g/L, corixids (*Trichocorixa verticalis*), rotifers (*Brachionus* sp.) and two species of  
65 copepods (*Cletocampus albuquerqueensis* and *Diaptomus connexus*) have been observed [2,  
66 5-8]. The abundance of these taxa fluctuates with season and salinity [1].

66

67       Because of the high salinity, no fish occur in the lake except in freshwater  
68 estuaries near the Bear, Jordan and Weber Rivers. This lack of aquatic predators, in turn,  
69 can lead to extraordinarily high densities of brine shrimp and brine flies, which are an  
important food source for resident and migratory birds. The lake and its surrounding

70 wetlands is an important stop-over point for migratory shorebirds and waterfowl. Greater  
71 than 75% of the West's population of tundra swans (*Cygnus columbianus*), 50% of the  
72 continent's Wilson's phalaropes (*Phalaropus tricolor*), 25% of the continent's northern  
73 pintails (*Anas acuta*), the world's largest nesting population of California gulls (*Larus*  
74 *californicus*), and millions of other waterfowl use the lake during their annual migration  
75 periods.

76 The eastern and southeastern shorelines of the lake's southern arm are bordered by  
77 the Salt Lake City metropolitan area. Among the industries bordering the lake are the  
78 smelting and refining facilities for a copper mine. The major constituent of this facility's  
79 wastewater discharge is selenium, with concentrations as high as 300 µg/L Se having  
80 been measured historically. Current selenium discharge levels are approximately 20-50  
81 µg/L before dilution. The majority (>95%) of this Se is in the form of selenate and  
82 unless otherwise noted, all discussion of Se in this paper is referring to selenate. The  
83 effluent is considerably less saline (5 g/L) than the lake creating creating a small  
84 estuarine zone in the immediate area of the discharge.

85 The outfall discharge has cut a channel 2-4 feet deep in the lake sediments  
86 immediately offshore. Water depth surrounding the channeled area averages  
87 approximately 8-18 inches. Lake sediments consist of well-compacted silty, sandy clays.  
88 Sediments in the channeled area are less compacted and composed of finer material.  
89 Dense stands of *Phragmites* sp. have established along the banks of the channel,  
90 stabilizing it. Over time, deposition of fine sediments and organic material and continued  
91 colonization by *Phragmites* has effectively extended the channel approximately 1,500  
92 feet out into the lake (Figure 1). The water depth and velocity, along with the dense

93 *Phragmites*, effectively limits shorebird use in the channel proper, but they are routinely  
94 observed to feed along the shorelines on either side of the channel.

95 Because of its unique water quality characteristics and biota, generic water quality  
96 criteria do not apply to the GSL [9], and historically very little toxicity data has been  
97 generated for the lake's resident species. Hence, the appropriate water quality standard  
98 for Se in the GSL is unclear. Additionally, unlike most other metals and metalloids, the  
99 diet typically represents the most important exposure pathway for Se, with top trophic  
100 level consumers (e.g., fish and aquatic-dependent birds) being the most sensitive  
101 environmental receptors in an aquatic system [10, 11]. Consequently, any site-specific  
102 water quality standard for selenium must consider exposure via both water and dietary  
103 pathways. This study was designed to evaluate potential exposure and effects from Se  
104 discharges to the lake via both pathways through a series of laboratory and field studies  
105 on resident species. Study results were then used to develop an appropriate site-specific  
106 water quality discharge limit.

107

108

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

109

110 Given that Se may cause either direct effects on aquatic biota resident to the lake  
111 or the resident biota may accumulate Se to deleterious levels for organisms that consume  
112 them, both pathways needed evaluation in order to propose an appropriate site-specific  
113 water quality standard (Figure 2).

114

115 To evaluate the potential for direct effects on resident aquatic biota, we conducted  
116 toxicity tests on brine shrimp, larvae of the brine fly, *Ephydra cinerea*, and the most

116 common alga in the southern arm of the lake, *Dunaliella viridis*. This species is a  
117 principal food source for brine shrimp. Acute testing was conducted using brine shrimp  
118 and brine fly larvae and chronic testing was conducted using *Dunaliella viridis* and brine  
119 shrimp. The chronic sensitivity of brine flies was not investigated because of their  
120 extreme insensitivity when tested acutely.

121 To evaluate the potential for avian toxicity arising from the dietary pathway, Se  
122 concentrations in brine shrimp were measured in specimens collected within and adjacent  
123 to the mine discharge, as well as at background Se concentrations in the lake. These data  
124 were then compared to appropriate dietary thresholds for aquatic dependent birds.

125

## 126 **Toxicity Testing**

127

### 128 *Acute Testing*

129

130 The methods used for conducting the acute tests were consistent with those  
131 described in U.S. EPA [12], although parameters such as dilution water and test volume  
132 were modified to meet species-specific requirements. Tests were static non-renewal  
133 studies conducted at  $25 \pm 1$  °C with five test concentrations and a control. Dilution water  
134 for the acute tests was GSL water collected from the shoreline on the north side of  
135 Antelope Island, a location well removed from anthropogenic inputs to the lake.  
136 Conventional water quality parameters were measured in the dilution water prior to  
137 testing (Table 1).



138 Reagent grade sodium selenate (CAS #13410-01-0) obtained from Sigma  
139 Chemical Company, St. Louis, Missouri was used to create stock solutions. For the brine  
140 shrimp study, a 10 g/L stock solution was prepared by adding 23.9 g of sodium selenate  
141 to 1 L of deionized water. The extreme insensitivity of brine fly larvae prevented  
142 preparation of a single stock solution. Instead, the sodium selenate was added directly to  
143 5 L batches of dilution water in order to achieve the desired nominal test concentrations.

144 The brine shrimp test was initiated with nauplii <24 hours old that were hatched  
145 overnight at 25 °C in 25 g/L artificial seawater. Nauplii were not acclimated to the  
146 dilution water salinity (82 g/L) prior to testing. This treatment reflects natural conditions  
147 where cysts hatch in the relatively low salinity lens of water on the lake surface and then  
148 drop down in the more saline water column. Nauplii were randomly introduced to  
149 exposure chambers (600 mL beakers with 400 mL of test solution) for each of the five  
150 treatments and control. Four replicates were conducted with each treatment. Preliminary  
151 testing indicated brine shrimp required daily feeding to achieve acceptable control  
152 survival and so were fed daily 2 mL of a 500,000 cells/mL stock of the marine algae  
153 *Platymonas* sp.

154 Brine fly larvae were collected for testing from White Rock Bay on the north  
155 shore of Antelope Island. Larvae were identified to species by Chadwick and Associates  
156 in Littleton, Colorado. Test organisms were held in GSL water in 40 L aquaria at 12 °C  
157 for eight weeks prior to testing. During holding 40 mL of  $3.5 \times 10^6$  cells/mL solution of  
158 *Dunaliella viridis* were added weekly to the aquaria. Forty-eight hours prior to testing,  
159 larvae were acclimated to the test temperature of 25 °C. Four replicate 1 L beakers with

160 800 mL of test solution were tested at each Se concentration. Test organisms were not  
161 fed during testing.

162

### 163 *Chronic Testing*

164

165 The methods for conducting the chronic brine shrimp life-cycle test were  
166 previously described in Brix et al. [13]. Briefly, this 28-day test measured survival,  
167 growth and reproduction of the parental generation, and survival and growth of the F<sub>1</sub>  
168 generation. The test was conducted under intermittent flow-through conditions beginning  
169 with brine shrimp nauplii <24 hours old. After 11 days, brine shrimp matured sexually  
170 and began pairing for mating. At this time, they were thinned by collecting and weighing  
171 (dry) a random subsample from each test concentration. Six adult pairs for each test  
172 concentration were then monitored for reproduction until day 28 when surviving shrimp  
173 were measured for dry weight. For each test concentration, randomly selected nauplii (F<sub>1</sub>  
174 generation) from the pairs were subjected to the same conditions as the parental  
175 generation for 11 days, with survival and dry weight being monitored for comparison  
176 with the parental generation.

177 The experimental design for the algae toxicity test followed U.S. EPA [14] and  
178 EU [15], except for the dilution media, which was GSL water passed through a 1 µm  
179 filter. In this test, 1 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells of *D. viridis* from a culture in log-phase growth were  
180 inoculated into 125 mL test flasks with 50 mL of test solution. Test flasks were placed  
181 on a shaker table rotated at 100 cpm. Each test concentration consisted of 16 replicates  
182 and every 24 hours, four of the replicates were terminated, whereupon water quality was

183 monitored and cell densities measured using a Hach 300 spectrophotometer. The  
184 spectrophotometer was calibrated against known cell density stocks of *D. viridis*.

185

#### 186 *Analytical Chemistry*

187

188 For all tests, water quality parameters (temperature, salinity, pH and dissolved  
189 oxygen) were measured daily in one replicate of each treatment and control. Samples  
190 from each concentration were collected for Se analysis at test initiation and termination  
191 using the hydride generation method of Cutter [16]. The exception to this sampling  
192 regime was the chronic brine shrimp study where samples were collected on a weekly  
193 basis.

194

#### 195 *Data Analysis*

196

197 For the acute brine fly and brine shrimp tests, statistical analyses were conducted  
198 using the statistical computer package Toxis<sup>®</sup> [17] to estimate the LC50 and its 95%  
199 confidence interval, as well as the no observed effect concentration (NOEC) and lowest  
200 observed effect concentration (LOEC). The NOEC and LOEC were determined by  
201 Steel's many-one rank test and the LC50 was estimated by probit analysis.

202 The statistical evaluation of the chronic brine shrimp results included testing for  
203 differences between the treatments and controls at reproductive pairing (Day 11), Day 21  
204 and Day 28 by parametric or non-parametric methods depending on whether data met  
205 normality and homogeneity assumptions. If the data met the assumptions of normality

206 and homogeneity, an ANOVA was computed to determine whether any differences  
207 existed among levels (concentrations or generations). If either of the assumptions could  
208 not be met, the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to test for differences. The  
209 statistics were calculated using Statgraphics [18].

210 For the chronic algae tests, statistical analyses for the NOEC and LOEC were  
211 conducted using SPSS [19] in accordance with procedures described in EU [15]. Specific  
212 growth rate and cumulative area under the curve were calculated for each replicate, as were  
213 summary statistics for each time period. The statistical computer package Toxis<sup>®</sup> [17] was  
214 used to estimate the EC50 value and the 95% confidence interval based on results from  
215 specific growth rate and cumulative area under the growth curve calculations.

216

### 217 **Field Bioaccumulation Study**

218

219 In order to evaluate the potential for Se in the mining effluent to bioaccumulate in  
220 aquatic organisms that might be fed upon by migratory shorebirds, a field program was  
221 implemented to sample water and co-located brine shrimp at various locations relative to  
222 the mining effluent discharge (Figure 1). Two sampling events (June and August) were  
223 undertaken to characterize Se concentrations in water and biota. However, because brine  
224 shrimp were not found at most stations in the discharge channel during the August  
225 sampling event, only results for the June sampling event and a single sampling station  
226 (station 7) with brine shrimp present during the August sampling event are presented.

227 Surface samples were collected because preliminary sampling efforts indicated  
228 the majority of brine shrimp occurred in the upper water column. Water depth along the

229 sampling transect varied from 0.5 to 1.5 meters. Water samples were collected using a  
230 battery-powered peristaltic pump using methods consistent with U.S. EPA [20]. Samples  
231 were collected within the channel midway between the banks wherever possible.

232 When present, brine shrimp were collected at the same time and place as water  
233 samples to evaluate the relationship between water and tissue Se concentrations. Brine  
234 shrimp were collected using a dip net with a 15 x 30 cm basket constructed of 500  $\mu\text{m}$   
235 Nitex™ screen. The dip net was slowly trawled through the water column approximately  
236 15 cm below the water surface until the net contained sufficient specimens (5 g wet  
237 weight) for analysis.

238 Total recoverable and dissolved Se were measured in water samples at the  
239 Kennecott Environmental Laboratory using the hydride generation method of Cutter [16]  
240 with an analytical detection limit of 2  $\mu\text{g/L}$  Se. Total selenium was determined on the  
241 tissue digestate by hydride generation – atomic fluorescence spectrometry. A total  
242 reduction/oxidation digestion, converting all forms to selenium (IV) was accomplished  
243 by boiling the digested sample in 4M HCl with potassium persulfate. The analytical  
244 detection limit in tissues was 0.5 mg/kg dw.

245

246

## RESULTS

247

### 248 Toxicity Testing

249

250 Well defined concentration-response relationships were observed for all of the  
251 studies. For the acute brine fly study, an LC50 of 495 mg/L Se was estimated. The brine

252 shrimp LC50 of 78 mg/L indicated it was substantially more sensitive than the brine fly  
253 (Table 3). In the chronic *D. viridis* study, EC50s of 45 and 32 mg/L were observed for  
254 the specific growth and area under the curve endpoints (Table 4). The NOEC was 11  
255 mg/L for both endpoints. A number of different endpoints were evaluated in the chronic  
256 brine shrimp study. Day 11 growth of the parental generation and Day 21 reproduction  
257 were comparable and the most sensitive endpoints evaluated. For both, the NOEC was 3  
258 mg/L Se and the LOEC 8 mg/L Se (Table 5). Overall, these two endpoints for the brine  
259 shrimp were also the most sensitive of any endpoint and species evaluated.

260 Water quality parameters were within expected ranges for all studies (Table1).  
261 Measured dissolved oxygen concentrations (1.8-6.0) mg/L require a brief discussion, as  
262 the values are lower than what is typically considered acceptable in toxicity tests. The  
263 low dissolved oxygen values measured during testing are a result of the hypersalinity of  
264 the test solutions, which limits oxygen solubility. Dissolved oxygen saturation at these  
265 salinities ranges from 3.6 to 5.0 mg/L depending on salinity and test temperature  
266 (supersaturated values were measured in the study with *D. viridis* as will typically occur  
267 in algal assays). Hence, the measured values in the tests were typically >60% saturation,  
268 as is customary for toxicity tests. For comparison, the southern arm of the GSL has a  
269 dissolved oxygen saturation of 2.0 mg/L, which is lower than noted above because the  
270 lake is at an elevation of 4,200 feet [8], whereas the tests were performed in a laboratory  
271 at sea level. Hence, the dissolved oxygen concentrations in these tests are characteristic  
272 of what these organisms normally encounter in the environment. Selenium test  
273 concentrations stayed relatively constant for all tests with coefficients of variation in test  
274 concentrations  $\leq 20\%$  for all treatments in all studies.

275

**276 Field Bioaccumulation Study**

277

278 In the June sampling event, surface water Se concentrations generally decreased  
279 with distance from the outfall. Near the mouth of the outfall (Station 1) concentrations  
280 were as high as 120  $\mu\text{g/L}$  Se, but declined relatively rapidly to background concentrations  
281 (2  $\mu\text{g/L}$  Se) at Station 5 and beyond. Total recoverable and dissolved Se were essentially  
282 equivalent at all stations. This is expected for Se discharges in the form of selenate, as it  
283 does not readily adsorb to suspended solids [21, 22].

284 Consistent with water concentrations, Se in brine shrimp from the June sampling  
285 event was highest near the outfall mouth, with concentrations as high as 15 mg/kg dw  
286 (Table 6). Also consistent with waterborne Se data, brine shrimp tissue concentrations  
287 dropped relatively rapidly to background (2-3 mg/kg dw) beginning at Station 4. The  
288 single station (station 7) sampled in August also resulted in background Se concentrations  
289 in brine shrimp tissue.

290

291

**DISCUSSION**

292

**293 Toxicity Studies**

294

295 The current U.S. EPA acute and chronic water quality criteria for Se in freshwater  
296 systems are 20 and 5  $\mu\text{g/L}$  [23]. However, U.S. EPA has recently proposed a revised  
297 criterion in which the acute criterion for selenate is 185  $\mu\text{g/L}$  and the chronic criterion is

298 based on a tissue residue concentration in fish [24]. In comparison, the lowest acute and  
299 chronic toxicity values measured for biota resident to the GSL were one to two orders of  
300 magnitude higher than the proposed acute criterion. However, as discussed below, a  
301 close examination of the data indicates resident biota are actually average in sensitivity  
302 relative to other freshwater species that have been tested. We make these comparisons  
303 not as an argument that the freshwater water quality criteria is appropriate for the GSL,  
304 but simply to understand why biota resident to the GSL may appear to be relatively  
305 insensitive to Se.

306         The primary factor causing GSL biota to appear relatively insensitive is the effect  
307 of ambient sulfate concentrations on selenate bioavailability. It is well recognized that  
308 sulfate reduces selenate bioavailability to a variety of organisms, including algae,  
309 bacteria, midges, daphnids and brine shrimp [25-30]. Brix et al. [31] quantified this  
310 relationship by summarizing available data and conducting additional studies with  
311 amphipods, daphnids and fish. They then developed a log-linear relationship similar to  
312 that derived for hardness and divalent cationic metals to normalize for selenate  
313 bioavailability as a function of ambient sulfate concentrations. This relationship is  
314 important when evaluating the toxicity data in this study because the ambient sulfate  
315 concentration in the GSL is 5,800 mg/L, high enough to significantly reduce selenate  
316 bioavailability.

317         When the high ambient sulfate concentration of the GSL is considered, the  
318 relative acute sensitivity of brine shrimp and brine flies is comparable to many freshwater  
319 species. When the acute data from this study are plotted with available acute data and all  
320 data normalized for ambient sulfate concentrations, brine shrimp and brine flies rank at



321 the 29th and 63rd percentiles of the species sensitivity distribution (Figure 3). The acute  
322 brine shrimp data derived in this study are largely consistent with a previous study by  
323 Forsythe et al. [25], who estimated 96hour LC50s of 1.4 and 82 mg/L Se at ambient  
324 sulfate concentrations of 50 and 14,000 mg/L, respectively.

325         Similar to results for the acute studies, the effect levels from the chronic *D. viridis*  
326 study are considerably higher than observed for other algal species that have been tested  
327 with selenate, although the amount of data available are relatively limited. For example,  
328 selenate chronic values for the freshwater green algae *Selenastrum capricornutum* and  
329 *Scenedesmus obliquus* are in the range of 0.1-0.3 mg/L Se [32], compared with 14 mg/L  
330 Se obtained for *D. viridis* in this study. While selenate toxicity to algae is also sulfate  
331 dependent [30], the *D. viridis* study was conducted in an artificial media with a sulfate  
332 concentration of only 195 mg/L. Normalizing this value to 50 mg/L sulfate (generally  
333 comparable to standard freshwater algal test media) only lowers the estimated chronic  
334 value for *D. viridis* to 6.3 mg/L. Hence, *D. viridis* appears to be substantially less  
335 sensitive than freshwater green algae that have been previously tested.

336         In the chronic brine shrimp study, growth of the parental generation on Day 11  
337 and reproduction on Day 21 were the two most sensitive endpoints, with both endpoints  
338 having a NOEC of 3 mg/L and LOEC of 8 mg/L Se. Hence, the chronic value for this  
339 study is the geometric mean of the NOEC and LOEC, 5 mg/L. Published data on the  
340 chronic sensitivity of other invertebrate species to selenate are limited to an LOEC of  
341 >0.7 mg/L for the amphipod *Hyaella azteca* [33].

342         Overall, the sensitivity of resident biota was relatively well characterized by the  
343 studies performed. One shortcoming was the lack of testing of the corixid, *Trichocorixa*

344 *verticalis*, which has sporadically been observed in the discharge channel perimeter.  
345 Although no standard toxicity testing with this species has been conducted, Thomas et al.  
346 [34] did assess the short-term (48 hours) bioaccumulation of Se in *T. verticalis* by  
347 exposing organisms to Se concentrations as high as 1 mg/L with no effect on survival.  
348 Hence, the 48-hour LC50 for this species is >1 mg/L Se.

349 An overall assessment of the selenium toxicity data generated in this study  
350 indicates brine shrimp is the GSL's most sensitive species resident, with a chronic value  
351 of 5 mg/L Se. In comparison, selenium concentrations in the mine effluent typically  
352 range from 20-50 µg/L Se, approximately two orders of magnitude lower than those  
353 predicted to cause chronic effects. Accordingly, the direct effects of Se on resident biota  
354 are not the critical exposure pathway in deriving a site-specific water quality discharge  
355 limit for the GSL.

356

### 357 **Bioaccumulation Study**

358

359 When tissue Se in brine shrimp is plotted as a function of co-located waterborne  
360 Se concentrations, a relatively strong relationship is observed ( $r^2 = 0.92$ ) (Figure 4).  
361 These data demonstrate an inverse relationship between waterborne exposure  
362 concentration and corresponding bioaccumulation factor that is frequently observed for  
363 metals [35, 36]. Using this relationship, the site-specific waterborne Se concentration  
364 that results in the dietary threshold for aquatic dependent birds can be estimated. For this  
365 assessment, we used a conservative avian dietary Se threshold of 5 mg/kg dw [37, 38]. A  
366 dietary threshold for birds was used because they are considered to be more sensitive than

367 other wildlife species. Using the equation for the linear regression model in Figure 3, the  
368 water Se concentration resulting in a brine shrimp selenium concentration of 5 mg/kg dw  
369 can be back-calculated using the following equation:

$$370 \quad \text{Site - Specific Water Quality Standard} = \frac{\text{Dietary Threshold} - \text{Intercept}}{\text{Slope}} \quad (3)$$

371 Where: Dietary Threshold = 5 mg/kg dw

372 Intercept = 2.2802

373 Slope = 0.1002

374 Using this equation, a waterborne Se concentration of 27  $\mu\text{g/L}$  is the maximum  
375 concentration that will not result in brine shrimp Se concentrations equal to or greater  
376 than the avian dietary threshold of 5 mg/kg dw. Given that this value is more than two  
377 orders of magnitude lower than the lowest effect level observed for direct Se toxicity to  
378 resident aquatic biota, Se bioaccumulation in brine shrimp and subsequent dietary  
379 toxicity to aquatic birds clearly represents the most critical exposure pathway.  
380 Consequently, a site-specific water quality discharge limit of 27  $\mu\text{g/L}$  Se appears  
381 protective for aquatic species and sensitive wildlife for this site.

382

383

## 383 CONCLUSION

384

385 The GSL is a unique ecosystem in the United States for which there are no water  
386 quality criteria and existing freshwater or marine national water quality criteria are  
387 inappropriate due to the unique water quality characteristics and biota of the Lake. Using  
388 a risk-based approach, we evaluated critical exposure pathways for Se released into this  
389 environment with the objective of setting a site-specific water quality discharge limit.

390 Resident aquatic biota were found to be comparable in sensitivity to other species that  
391 have been tested, but naturally high ambient sulfate concentrations significantly reduce  
392 Se bioavailability in this environment. Field bioaccumulation data collected from the  
393 study site indicate that waterborne Se concentrations as high as 27 µg/L will not result in  
394 an exceedance of the Se dietary threshold for aquatic birds that feed on resident biota.  
395 Therefore, 27 µg/L Se appears to be an appropriate site-specific water quality discharge  
396 limit for the protection of all exposure pathways at this site.

397

398

## REFERENCES

399

- 400 1. Stephens DE. 1990. Changes in lake levels, salinity and the biological community  
401 of Great Salt Lake (Utah, USA), 1847-1987. *Hydrobiol.*, 197:139-146.  
402
- 403 2. Felix EA ,Rushforth SR. 1979. The algal flora of the Great Salt Lake, Utah,  
404 U.S.A. *Nova Hedwigia*, 31:163-195.  
405
- 406 3. Arnow T.1980. *Water budget and water-surface fluctuations in the Great Salt*  
407 *Lake*, In Gwynn JW, Editor *Great Salt Lake: A Scientific, Historical, and*  
408 *Economic Overview*. Utah Geological and Mineral Survey: Salt Lake City, Utah.  
409 p. 255-264.  
410
- 411 4. Post FJ. 1980. Biology of the North Arm. *Utah Geo. Min. Sur. Bull.*, 116:313-  
412 321.  
413
- 414 5. Wurtsbaugh WA ,Berry TS. 1990. Cascading effects of decreased salinity on the  
415 plankton, chemistry, and physics of the Great Salt Lake (Utah). *Can. J. Fish.*  
416 *Aquat. Sci.*, 47:100-109.  
417
- 418 6. Felix EA ,Rushforth SR.1980. *Biology of the south arm of the Great Salt Lake*, In  
419 Gwynn JW, Editor *Great Salt Lake: A Scientific, Historical, and Economic*  
420 *Overview*. Utah Geological and Mineral Survey: Salt Lake City, Utah. p. pp. 305-  
421 312.  
422
- 423 7. Jorgenson EC. 1956. Ephydra of Utah. 1956, University of Utah: Salt Lake City,  
424 Utah.  
425

- 426 8. Post FJ. 1977. The microbial ecology of the Great Salt Lake. *Microb. Ecol.*,  
427 3:143-165.  
428
- 429 9. Stephan CE, Mount DI, Hansen DJ, Gentile JH, Chapman GA, Brungs WA. 1985.  
430 Guidelines for deriving numerical national water quality criteria for the protection  
431 of aquatic organisms and their uses. 1985, U.S. Environmental Protection  
432 Agency, Environmental Research Laboratory: Duluth. p. 98 pp.  
433
- 434 10. DeForest DK, Brix KV, Adams WJ. 1999. Critical review of proposed residue-  
435 based selenium toxicity thresholds for freshwater fish. *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess.*,  
436 5:1187-1228.  
437
- 438 11. Fairbrother A, Brix KV, Toll JE, McKay S, Adams WJ. 1999. Egg selenium  
439 concentrations as predictors of avian toxicity. *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess.*, 5:1229-  
440 1253.  
441
- 442 12. USEPA. 1993. Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and  
443 receiving waters to freshwater organisms. 1993, U.S. Environmental Protection  
444 Agency: Cincinnati, Ohio.  
445
- 446 13. Brix KV, Cardwell RD, Adams WJ. 2002. Chronic toxicity of arsenic to the Great  
447 Salt Lake brine shrimp, *Artemia franciscana*. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*:In  
448 Review.  
449
- 450 14. USEPA. 1994. Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents  
451 and receiving waters to freshwater organisms. 1994, U.S. Environmental  
452 Protection Agency: Cincinnati, Ohio.  
453
- 454 15. EU. 1992. European Union Protocol C.3. *Official Journal of the European*  
455 *Communities*, L383A:179-186.  
456
- 457 16. Cutter GA. 1986. Speciation of selenium and arsenic in natural waters and  
458 sediments. Vol. 1: selenium speciation. 1986, Electric Power Research Institute:  
459 Palo Alto, California.  
460
- 461 17. EcoAnalysis. 1994. TOXIS. 1994: Ojai, California.  
462
- 463 18. STSC I. 1991. Statgraphics. 1991: Rockville, Maryland.  
464
- 465 19. Norusis MJ. 1994. SPSS for Windows. 1994: Chicago, Illinois.  
466
- 467 20. USEPA. 1995. Method 1669: Sampling ambient water for trace metals at EPA  
468 water quality criteria levels. 1995, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office  
469 of Water: Washington, D.C.  
470

- 471 21. Measures CI ,Burton JD. 1978. Behaviour and speciation of dissolved selenium in  
472 estuarine waters. *Nature*, 273:293-295.  
473
- 474 22. Neal RH ,Sposito G. 1989. Selenate adsorption on alluvial soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am.*  
475 *J.*, 53:70-74.  
476
- 477 23. USEPA. 1987. Ambient water quality criteria for selenium - 1987. 1987, U.S.  
478 Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water: Washington, D.C. p. 121 pp.  
479
- 480 24. USEPA. 2002. Draft aquatic life water quality criteria for selenium. 2002, U.S.  
481 Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, D.C. p. 159 pp. + appendices.  
482
- 483 25. Forsythe BL ,Klaine SJ. 1994. The interaction of sulfate and selenate (Se<sup>6+</sup>)  
484 effects on brine shrimp, *Artemia* spp. *Chemosphere*, 29:789-800.  
485
- 486 26. Hansen LD, Maier KJ, Knight AW. 1993. The effect of sulfate on the  
487 bioconcentration of selenate by *Chironomus decorus* and *Daphnia magna*. *Arch.*  
488 *Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 25:72-78.  
489
- 490 27. Maier KJ ,Knight AW. 1993. Comparative acute toxicity and bioconcentration of  
491 selenium by the midge *Chironomus decorus* exposed to selenate, selenite, and  
492 seleno-DL-methionine. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 25:365-370.  
493
- 494 28. Kumar HD ,Prakash G. 1971. Toxicity of selenium to the blue-green algae,  
495 *Anacystis nidulans* and *Anabaena variabilis*. *Ann. Bot.*, 35:697-705.  
496
- 497 29. Ogle RS ,Knight AW. 1996. Selenium bioaccumulation in aquatic systems: 1.  
498 Effects of sulfate on the uptake and toxicity of selenate in *Daphnia magna*. *Arch.*  
499 *Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 30:274-279.  
500
- 501 30. Williams MJ, Ogle RS, Knight AW, Bureau RG. 1994. Effects of sulfate on  
502 selenate uptake and toxicity in the green alga *Selenastrum capricornutum*. *Arch.*  
503 *Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 27:449-453.  
504
- 505 31. Brix KV, Volosin JS, Adams WJ, Reash RJ, Carlton RG, McIntyre DO. 2001.  
506 Effects of sulfate on the acute toxicity of selenate to freshwater organisms.  
507 *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 20:1037-1045.  
508
- 509 32. Vocke RW, Sears KL, O'Toole JJ, Wildman RB. 1980. Growth response of  
510 selected freshwater algae to trace elements and scrubber ash slurry generated by  
511 coal-fired power plants. *Wat. Res.*, 14:141-150.  
512
- 513 33. Brasher AM ,Ogle RS. 1993. Comparative toxicity of selenite and selenate to the  
514 amphipod *Hyaella azteca*. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.*, 24:182-186.  
515

- 516 34. Thomas BV, Knight AW, Maier KJ. 1999. Selenium bioaccumulation by the  
517 water boatman *Trichocorixa reticulata* (Guerin-Meneville). *Arch. Environ.*  
518 *Contam. Toxicol.*, 36:295-300.  
519
- 520 35. Adams WJ, Conard B, Ethier G, Brix KV, Paquin PR, DiToro DM. 2000. The  
521 challenges of hazard identification and classification of insoluble metals and  
522 metal substances for the aquatic environment. *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess.*, 6:1019-  
523 1038.  
524
- 525 36. McGeer JC, Brix KV, Skeaff JM, DeForest DK, Brigham SI, Adams WJ, Green  
526 AS. 2002. The inverse relationship between bioconcentration factor and exposure  
527 concentration for metals: implications for hazard assessment of metals in the  
528 aquatic environment. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, *Submitted*.  
529
- 530 37. Heinz GH, Hoffman DJ, Gold LG. 1989. Impaired reproduction of mallards fed  
531 an organic form of selenium. *J. Wildl. Manage.*, 53:418-428.  
532
- 533 38. Skorupa JP, Morman SP, Sefchick-Edwards JS. 1996. Guidelines for interpreting  
534 selenium exposure of biota associated with nonmarine aquatic habitats. 1996, U.S.  
535 Fish and Wildlife Service, National Irrigation Water Quality Program:  
536 Sacramento, California. p. 74 pp.  
537

538 **Table 1. Dilution water quality during the acute and chronic toxicity tests.**

Parameter	Range
Temperature (°C)	25 ±1
pH	7.9 – 8.4
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	1.8 – 6.0
Salinity (g/L)	80 – 102
Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)	35 – 49
Dissolved Organic Carbon (mg/L)	34.8 – 40
Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	5-18
Sulfate (mg/L)	5,800

539



540 **Table 2. Composition of artificial water used for testing *Dunaliella viridis*.**

<i>Salt</i>	Concentration (mg/L)
NaCl	100,000
MgCl <sub>2</sub> 6 H <sub>2</sub> O	1,500
MgSO <sub>4</sub> 7 H <sub>2</sub> O	500
KCl	200
CaCl <sub>2</sub> 2 H <sub>2</sub> O	400
KNO <sub>3</sub>	1,000
NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	43
H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub>	2.86
MnCl <sub>2</sub> 4 H <sub>2</sub> O	1.81
ZnSO <sub>4</sub> 7 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.222
Na <sub>2</sub> MoO <sub>4</sub> 2 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.39
CuSO <sub>4</sub> 5 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.079
Co(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> 6 H <sub>2</sub> O	0.049
FeCl <sub>3</sub> 6 H <sub>2</sub> O	2.44
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	35

541 **Table 3. Summary of acute toxicity test results (all values are mg/L Se).**

Species	Se Form	LC50 (95% C.L.)	NOEC	LOEC
<i>Artemia franciscana</i>	Selenate	78 (71-86)	51	71
<i>Ephydra cinerea</i>	Selenate	490 (445-542)	369	691

542

543

544

545 **Table 4. Summary of chronic *Dunaliella viridis* toxicity test (all values are mg/L Se).**

Evaluation	Specific Growth	Cumulative Area Under Growth Curve
EC50 (95% C.I.)	45 (36-71)	32 (28-36)
NOEC	11	11
LOEC	18	18
Chronic Value	14	14

546

547 **Table 5. Summary of chronic *A. franciscana* toxicity test results.**

Endpoint	Evaluation	mg/L Se
Survival – parental Day 11	NOEC	38
	LOEC	74
Survival – parental Day 21	NOEC	74
	LOEC	>74
Survival – parental Day 28	NOEC	74
	LOEC	>74
Growth – parental Day 11	NOEC	3
	LOEC	8
Growth – parental Day 28	NOEC	15
	LOEC	38
Reproduction - parental Day 21	NOEC	3
	LOEC	8
Reproduction - parental Day 28	NOEC	15
	LOEC	38
Survival - F <sub>1</sub>	NOEC	15
	LOEC	38
Growth - F <sub>1</sub>	NOEC	15
	LOEC	38
Final Chronic Value		5

549 **Table 6. Summary of Co-located Selenium Data in Surface Water and Brine Shrimp.**

Sample Date	Station	Total Se ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Dissolved Se ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	Tissue Se ( $\text{mg/kg dw}$ )	BAF
6/21/98	1	120	121	15.5	129
6/21/98	2	117	116	15.4	132
6/21/98	3	85	81	7.82	92
6/21/98	4	30	30	3.36	112
6/21/98	5	2	2	2.75	1375
6/21/98	6	2	2	2.86	1430
6/21/98	7	2	2	3.14	1570
8/27/98	7	1	1	3.38	3380

**Figure 1 - Map of Study Area and Sampling Locations.**

**Figure 2 – Conceptual Model.**

**Figure 3 - Species Sensitivity Distribution for Selenate (Sulfate-Normalized).**

**Figure 4 - Relationship Between Water and Brine Shrimp Selenium Concentrations.**









