The findings, determinations, and assertions contained in this document are not final and subject to change following the public comment period.
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DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY

Energy Fuels Resources Corporation leases and operates the Energy Queen Mine, which is an underground uranium and vanadium mine. The discharge treatment system for this facility consists of a chemical precipitation process with barium chloride. The intercepted mine water is pumped and mixed with barium chloride and then up to an initial treatment pond where the barium chloride assists in Radium reduction. The mine is located at 560 E. Highway 46, La Sal, UT 84535 in San Juan County, Utah at latitude 38°18'45" and longitude 109°18'30". The facility has a Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code 1094, for Uranium mining.

SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS PERMIT

All limitations will remain the same as those in the previous permit. Based on effluent monitoring data and the capacity of the existing treatment facility, Energy Queen Mine is expected to be able to comply with the limitations.
DISCHARGE

DESCRIPTION OF DISCHARGE
The Energy Queen Mine is a new discharger of an existing mine, which has not had a discharge for approximately 25 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outfall</th>
<th>Description of Discharge Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Discharge from the mine water treatment system, latitude 38°18'45&quot;, longitude 109°18'30&quot; to West Coyote Wash.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>002</td>
<td>Discharge from the mine water treatment system, latitude 38°18'45&quot;, longitude 109°18'45&quot; to West Coyote Wash.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>003</td>
<td>Discharge from the mine water treatment system, latitude 38°18'45&quot;, longitude 109°19'00&quot; to West Coyote Wash.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECEIVING WATERS AND STREAM CLASSIFICATION
The final discharge is to a dry wash, which is classified as 2B and 3D according to *Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13.*

- Class 2B - Protected for secondary contact recreation such as boating, wading, or similar uses.
- Class 3D - Protected for waterfowl, shore birds and other water oriented wildlife not included in Class 3A, 3B, or 3C, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

BASIS FOR EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS
Effluent limits for total suspended solids (TSS), total uranium, total radium 226, dissolved radium 226, chemical oxygen demand (COD), and total zinc are technology based standards for uranium ore mines found in 40 CFR 440.32 and 440.33. The pH limit is based on current Utah Secondary Treatment standards. The total dissolved solids (TDS) concentration limit is based on the Utah Water Quality Standard. The oil & grease limit is based on best professional judgment. Discharges from the Energy Queen Mine facility could potentially reach the Colorado River, which places it under the requirements of the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Forum (CRBSCF). In accordance with the CRBSCF the effluent will be limited to a maximum discharge of 1.0 ton per day or 366 tons per year. The permit limitations are:
### Effluent Limitations for Outfalls 001, 002, 003 b, c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Monthly Average</th>
<th>Daily Minimum</th>
<th>Daily Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Flow, MGD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS, mg/L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Uranium, mg/L</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Radium 226, pCi/L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Radium 226, pCi/L</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD, mg/L</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Zinc, mg/L</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids, mg/L</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Dissolved Solids, tons/day a</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil &amp; Grease, mg/L d</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH, standard units</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA – Not Applicable

a/ TDS will be limited to a maximum discharge of 1.0 ton per day or 366 tons per year, with daily maximum tonnages reported monthly. It is the permittee’s responsibility to monitor and report the actual discharge of TDS for each monitoring period.

b/ There shall be no discharge of floating solids or visible foam in other than trace amounts.

c/ There shall be no discharge of sanitary wastes.

d/ An Oil and grease sample shall be taken when a sheen is visible.

### SELF-MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following self-monitoring and reporting requirements are listed in the table below. The permit will require reports to be submitted monthly on Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) forms due 28 days after the end of the monitoring period.
The permittee is required to sample and submit the analysis of the pollutants listed in 40 CFR Part 122 Appendix D Table III (Other Toxic Pollutants (Metals and Cyanide) and Total Phenols) occurring from the first discharge of the facility.

**WASTE LOAD ANALYSIS AND ANTI DEGRADATION REVIEW**

Effluent limitations may also be derived using a Wasteload Analysis (WLA). The WLA incorporated Secondary Treatment Standards, Water Quality Standards, Antidegradation Reviews (ADR), as appropriate and designated uses into a water quality model that projects the effects of discharge concentrations on receiving water quality. Effluent limitations are those that the model demonstrates are sufficient to meet State water quality standards in the receiving waters. During the UPDES renewal development, a WLA and ADR were performed. An ADR Level I review was performed and concluded that an ADR Level II review was not required. The WLA indicates that the effluent limitations should be sufficiently protective of water quality, in order to meet State water quality standards in the receiving waters.

**STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS**

According to Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-8-3.9 this facility will be required to maintain coverage under the UPDES multi-sector general permit for discharges associated with industrial activity, permit number UTR0000000, sector G (Mineral Industry, SIC Major Group 10).

**PRETREATMENT REQUIREMENTS**

This facility does not discharge process wastewater to a sanitary sewer system. Any process wastewater that the facility may discharge to the sanitary sewer, either as a direct discharge or as a hauled waste, is subject to federal, state, and local pretreatment regulations. Pursuant to section 307
of the Clean Water Act, the permittee shall comply with all applicable federal general pretreatment regulations promulgated, found in 40 CFR 403, the state’s pretreatment requirements found in UAC R317-8-8, and any specific local discharge limitations developed by the Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) accepting the waste.

**BIOMONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

As part of a nationwide effort to control toxic discharges, biomonitoring requirements are being included in permits for facilities where effluent toxicity is an existing or potential concern. In Utah, this is done in accordance with the State of Utah Permitting and Enforcement Guidance Document for Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Control (Biomonitoring (2/1991)). Authority to require effluent biomonitoring is provided in UAC R317-8, Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System and UAC R317-2, Water Quality Standards. The result of the wasteload analysis was a finding of no significant impact. Based on these considerations, and that the facility is not classified as a major or a significant minor facility, there is no reasonable potential for toxicity in the Energy Queen Mine’s discharge (per State of Utah Permitting and Enforcement Guidance Document for WET Control). As such, there will be no numerical WET limitations or WET monitoring requirements in this permit. However, the permit will contain a toxicity limitation re-opener provision that allows for modification of the permit should additional information indicate the presence of toxicity in the discharge.

**PERMIT DURATION**

It is recommended that this permit be effective for a duration of five (5) years.
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