To: Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) Financial Assistance Recipients and their Engineers or other Consultants

From: Utah Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)  
Division of Drinking Water (DDW)  
Drinking Water Board (DWB)  
Michael Grange, Assistant Executive Secretary, 801-674-2563, mgrange@utah.gov

Date: July 22, 2022

Purpose
An environmental review is required for all construction projects that receive DWSRF financial assistance. This guidance document has been created to assist recipients and their engineers or other consultants with conducting the environmental review. Contact DDW regularly during the process to help ensure you comply with the various requirements.

The environmental review addresses various environmental authorities that apply to federal assistance projects. Federal environmental authorities applicable to DWSRF include laws such as the National Historic Preservation Act, Archeological and Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, Farmland Protection Policy Act, Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Clean Air Act, Safe Drinking Water Act, and Executive Orders on the protection of wetlands and floodplain management. To ensure compliance with these environmental authorities, each DWSRF project must undergo a State Environmental Review Process (SERP) before loan closing. This is like a National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review performed by federal agencies.

Levels of Environmental Review
There are three types of environmental reviews and corresponding determinations which apply to proposed drinking water projects constructed with DWSRF financial assistance. They are listed below in the order of complexity and time required to complete the review. In general, most DWSRF projects fall within the first or second type.

1. **Categorical Exclusion (CatEx)** – A proposed project may be “categorically excluded” from environmental review if it falls into a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment based on procedures adopted by the Environmental Protection Agency. CatEx apply to projects proposing only minor rehabilitation or functional replacement of existing facilities and must fit the criteria defined in Attachment A: Environmental Review Checklist. Projects such as replacement of waterlines or modifications to treatment plants within the existing plant site are often eligible for a CatEx.

2. **Environmental Assessment (EA)/Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)** – If DDW determines that a proposed project does not qualify for a CatEx, the recipient must prepare an EA. Projects constructing new facilities on sites that did not previously have
them usually require an EA. The EA evaluates and discloses whether a proposed project has the potential to cause significant environmental effects. Based on the EA, DDW will determine one of two outcomes: 1) if the proposed project will not have significant environmental impacts, DDW will prepare a FONSI, or 2) if the proposed project will have significant environmental impacts, an EIS must be prepared.

3. **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)/Record of Decision (ROD)** –When an EA indicates that a significant environmental impact may occur or significant adverse impacts cannot be mitigated by making changes in the project, a Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS must be published, scoping will be undertaken in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.7, and a draft EIS will need to be prepared and distributed. After DDW considers and responds to public comment on the draft EIS, a final EIS and ROD will be issued.

DDW will provide guidance to applicants as to the appropriate level of environmental review required for their project. To facilitate this, **all financial assistance recipients must complete the Environmental Review Checklist (Attachment A) for any proposed construction project.**

**Agency Consultation Requirements**

Notification must be sent to potentially affected Indian Tribes and applicable agencies listed in [Attachment B: Federal and State Agency Contacts](#). Notification can be provided via postal mail or email. All letters may have the same text body but should be addressed individually to each contact.

Notification must provide a description of the scope of the project, its justification and purpose, its location (including Section, Township & Range), and other important factors related to the community and project, etc. A location map and site plan showing the location of proposed facilities should be attached, along with any other information that will help the agency understand what is proposed. A contact name, address, and timeframe in which they may submit comments should be included. You should allow up to 30 days for comments. DDW will, upon request, review draft letters and maps before you send them to agencies. Email DDW electronic copies of all correspondence between you and the environmental agencies (everything you send and receive from them).

Provide the agencies with any additional information they request. If an agency makes 'recommendations' for surveys, studies, etc., you must treat those 'recommendations' as imperatives. You must conduct the surveys or studies and submit them to the agency for review.

Submit the surveys or studies directly to the agency, with a copy to DDW. Your correspondence with each of the various environmental agencies is not complete until each agency tells you they have no further concerns or issues with the project that you have not addressed. It may sometimes be hard to determine when you have achieved this. To help avoid delays, you should discuss the agencies' correspondence promptly with DDW to make sure that you are addressing all agencies' issues.
National Historic Preservation Act Requirements
Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties. Any project, activity, or program carried out with Federal financial assistance is considered an ‘undertaking.’ Section 106 compliance applies to all types of environmental review: CatEx, EA/FONSI, and EIS/ROD.

Federal Financial Assistance
Section 106 has a more rigorous consultation process compared to Utah Code Annotated 9-8-404 and consultation requirements must be completed for every undertaking. Per §36CFR800.2(c), the state historic preservation office (SHPO), Indian tribes, representatives of local governments, the public, and other consulting parties must be involved in the process. Furthermore, to meet the good faith identification standard in §36CFR800.4(b)(1), Utah SHPO recommends a Class III survey of a proposed project’s Area of Potential Effects (APE) for all Federal undertakings. Some projects may be exempt based on significant previous disturbance (the ground has already been disturbed in the areas it will be disturbed again) e.g., the project will remove and replace existing infrastructure in the same exact location. DDW can consult informally with SHPO to verify.

To assist DDW in complying with §36CFR800.3(g), the recipient or project engineer shall submit a report to DDW that demonstrates a reasonable and good faith effort was made to identify and gather information to determine if cultural resources are present in the APE, including a Class III Survey. The report format shall meet State of Utah Archaeological Compliance Guidance (refer to Appendix E). GIS data must be submitted, as well.

DDW is the legally responsible party to consult with the SHPO. Once DDW receives a completed cultural report and determines "no adverse effect" from the proposed undertaking, DDW will initiate consultation. If contractors need additional clarification or direction with Utah SHPO requirements, they should reach out directly to the listed SHPO contacts for help.

State Financial Assistance*
The UCA 9-8-404 also requires state agencies to take into account the effect of the undertaking on any historic property and provide the SHPO with a written evaluation of the effect. At a minimum, consultants should expect to complete a Class I literature review and records search. Utah SHPO prefers a 1/2-mile buffer for all file searches, but that buffer may be inappropriate for the potential visual effects of various projects. Depending on the results of the records search, a Class III survey may not be needed. Sufficient justification for why a survey was not undertaken or is not necessary (e.g., previously disturbed area) should be included in the report.

Categorical Exclusion Requirements
The following items must be submitted to DDW to support a CatEx (or state review)*:
1. Environmental Review Checklist
2. Copies of letters and responses to Indian tribes and required agencies
3. Cultural resource report*
4. SHPO concurrence of “No Historic Properties Affected” or “No Adverse Effect” finding*
After reviewing these items, if DDW determines the proposed project qualifies for a CatEx, DDW will prepare a Categorical Exclusion Determination public notice and send it to the recipient to be published once in a local paper of general circulation. The recipient shall provide DDW an affidavit of publication.

**Environmental Assessment Requirements**

If DDW determines the project does not qualify for a CatEx, the recipient will need to prepare a draft EA for the proposed project and submit it to DDW for review. See [Attachment C: Example Environmental Assessment Outline](#). Other formats may also be acceptable, but please discuss with DDW first.

If there are no significant adverse environmental impacts, DDW will prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) public notice. The FONSI must be published once in a local paper of general circulation and the EA must be available for public review. The recipient shall provide DDW an affidavit of publication.

The FONSI public notice opens a 30-day formal public comment period, and the recipient will need to hold at least one public meeting during this comment period to accept comments. All public comments received by the recipient, oral and written, shall be recorded and provided to DDW for review and consideration. If there are no comments, expiration of the 30-day comment period ends the environmental review process.

**Environmental Impact Statement Requirements (Reserved)**

**Review Requirements for Adopting Other Environmental Documents**

DDW may adopt environmental reviews issued by other federal agencies whose determinations are no older than five years of the date of the DWSRF application and applicable under the review requirements of this guidance document. In so doing, DDW will ensure that all mitigation measures specified in the previous determinations are applied as conditions of the financial assistance agreement and that such adoption will be consistent with the requirements of the Utah SERP. The recipient or the DDW may combine environmental documents to reduce duplication and paperwork.

The DDW Responsible Official can adopt previous reviews by means of one of the following:

a. A CatEx public notice published in a local paper of general circulation.

b. A FONSI public notice which includes explanations of the modifications to the proposed project, potential environmental impacts identified during the environmental review, and any mitigation measures proposed in addition to those included in the federal environmental determination that are to be enacted.

c. A ROD summarizing the findings in the EIS and the basis for the decision and summary of identified mitigation and monitoring procedures.
ATTACHMENT A

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW CHECKLIST

AND

REQUEST FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION
The following checklist must be completed for DDW to determine the necessary environmental review requirements for the proposed project, or to determine if the project qualifies for a categorical exclusion. Please contact a DWSRF Project Manager with any questions.

Section 1. General Information
Recipient Name: Date:

Project Title: Project Number:

Project Contact: Phone Number:

Email:

Project Description: Include the following elements: A brief, complete description of the proposed project; Geographical extent of planning area boundaries; Description of all proposed construction activities; Length, width, depth of ground disturbance.

Location: Legal address and Township(s), Range(s), and Section(s).

Map: Attach a map(s) of the proposed project showing the planning area boundaries, location of all ground disturbing areas, and any known environmentally sensitive areas.

Section 2. Categorical Exclusion Eligibility
A project for which the answer to any part of statement 1 is “yes” may be eligible for a Categorical Exclusion. However, if any of the statements from 2 through 6 is answered “yes,” then the project is not eligible. [40 CFR §6.204(a)(1)(ii)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Basis for Determination and Documentation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answering "yes" indicates that the project is not supported.
### Section 3. Extraordinary Circumstances

If any of the following extraordinary circumstances apply to the project, it is not eligible for a Categorical Exclusion. [40 CFR §6.204(2)(1)-(10)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Basis for Determination and Documentation*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5d.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5e.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5f.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Basis for Determination and Documentation*

The basis for determination and documentation information must be traceable and establish factual data to support the response to each item. Types of information may include field observations, maps, websites, published plans or studies. Cite the source.

**Section 4. Special Conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Comments/Documentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. The project is for planning purposes only and does not include construction.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. An EA/FONSI or EIS/ROD under NEPA has been conducted for this project or earlier phases of this project.</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td><em>(If yes, provide a copy of the review document and decision)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CERTIFICATION AND AUTHORIZATION**

☐ I certify that the information contained in this Environmental Review Checklist is, to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate, and correct.

I hereby affirm that I, ____________________ am the ____________________ and I am authorized by _______________________________ to submit this Environmental Review Checklist on behalf of the organization for which I am acting.
Consultation with environmental cross-cutting agencies and other stakeholders is required. An EA will not be considered complete without written responses from all applicable cross-cutting agencies. Per the EPA memo issued November 5, 2013, if State SRF staff determine that a project has no potential impact on a related federal cross-cutting authority, then it is not necessary to consult with the agency responsible for that cross-cutter. Only the cross-cutters who will be directly affected are required to be notified.

The following list is provided as a starting point for the consultation and coordination process but should not be considered complete. Local officials and other interest groups should be identified and included in the project mailing list as appropriate.

**ALL PROJECTS**

**Indian Tribes**
The National Historic Preservation Act requires notification of American Indian tribes that may have occupied or have historical ties to the project area: [https://egis.hud.gov/TDAT/](https://egis.hud.gov/TDAT/)

The Utah Division of Indian Affairs maintains mailing addresses, emails, and contact names for the eight Federally-Recognized Sovereign Tribes of Utah: [https://indian.utah.gov/aboutus/utl/](https://indian.utah.gov/aboutus/utl/)

**Determine whether any listed or proposed species or designated or proposed critical habitat may be present in the project area**
Utah Field Office Supervisor
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
2369 West Orton Circle, Suite 50
West Valley City, UT 84119
801-975-3330
utahfieldoffice_esa@fws.gov

**ONLY IF …**

**Project is located in or affects a wetland**
US Army Corps of Engineers
Bountiful Field Office
533 West 2600 South, Suite 150
Bountiful, UT 84010-7744
801-395-8842
cespk-regulatory-info@usace.army.mil
Project is located in or affects a floodplain
Contact the local Floodplain Administrator for the relevant county and …

A Floodplain Development Permit is required
Federal Emergency Management Agency
Denver Federal Center, Building 710
P.O. Box 25267
Denver, CO 80225-0267
303-235-4812

Project has potential adverse effects on important farmlands
State Soil Scientist
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service
125 South State Street, Room 4010
Salt Lake City, UT 84138-1100
801-524-4550

Project is in the vicinity of a sole source aquifer
Division of Drinking Water - Source Protection

Project emissions will exceed de minimis thresholds for nonattainment pollutants
Division of Air Quality

Project will affect a designated or study Wild and Scenic River
Appropriate agency with jurisdiction over the affected river(s):
NPS, USFS, or BLM

Project involves SITLA land - Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (EA/EIS only)
Utah Public Lands Coordinating Office
Resource Development Coordinating Committee
350 North State Street, Suite 5110
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-1107
801-537-9801
rdcc@utah.gov
Alternatively, post a notice directly in the RDCC Project Management System:
http://rdcc.utah.gov
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