



Thomas Gunter <thomasgunter@utah.gov>

Air Quality Rule and Plan Changes

1 message

Matt Miller [REDACTED]
To: thomasgunter@utah.gov

Fri, Oct 26, 2018 at 2:10 PM

Dear Sir,

Utahns are uniquely vulnerable to air quality issues, due to the location of our cities within mountain valleys. Air pollution does not disperse, leaving us to stew in our own filth. Consequentially, we violate Federal Air Quality standards. Local leaders too often shrug, citing it as an unalterable downside to our unique geography. Special situations requires special solutions, even when they impose special hardships.

Because we cannot disperse the pollution we create (foolish plans for giant fans aside), we must create less of it. I recognize that air quality mitigation strategies have costs. But I also recognize that poor air quality has costs, in health (in terms of fatalities and lost years of life).

Regarding: Section IX, Part H:

Pleased to see monitoring moving from every 3 years to annually, and reduction in routine flaring. The switch to year-round natural gas is good. The reduction in hazardous chemical burning is good. I find the lack of initial testing dates for boilers (page 98) to be alarming. I do not understand why the test-date has been moved to the third quarter, and I dislike it.

Matt Miller

PhD Student; Metropolitan Policy, Planning and Design, City and Metro Planning Department, University of Utah
Graduate Research Assistant, Metropolitan Research Center
Planner, Metro Analytics
[REDACTED]